Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Elevating phosphorus accumulation in waste stabilisation pond algae

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Environmental Engineering

at Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Matthew Sells

2019



UNIVERSITY

## Abstract

Facultative waste stabilisation ponds (WSP) are used globally for wastewater treatment due to their low cost and simple operation. While WSPs can be effective at removing organic pollutants and pathogens, phosphorus removal is typically poor. Algae that are common in WSPs are known to accumulate phosphorus and increase their phosphorus content in the biomass from 1% up to 3.8% (gP/gSS), which is believed to be from the production of intracellular polyphosphate granules. This phenomenon, known as luxury uptake, may be possible to manipulate to improve phosphorus removal in WSPs; however, its occurrence is sporadic and poorly understood. This PhD thesis was undertaken to investigate the conditions that influence phosphorus accumulation in WSP algae. Phosphorus accumulation was quantified using two methods: (1) the traditional phosphorus content in the biomass (gP/gSS), and (2) a new image analysis method developed in this thesis that quantifies stained polyphosphate granules within individual algal cells ( $\mu m^2$  granule/ $\mu m^2$  cell).

Following a literature review and screening experiments that sought to identify variables that could affect the phosphorus content in the biomass (gP/gSS), six variables: temperature, phosphorus concentration, light intensity, mixing intensity, organic load, and pH were comprehensively examined using 40 batch factorial experiments (2<sup>6-1</sup>) and a mixed genus culture from a full-scale WSP. Nine variables and interactions had a significant effect on the phosphorus content in the biomass and were incorporated into a regression equation. This 'mixed genus' regression equation was tested against literature data, where seven out of the eight batch experiments from the literature were successfully predicted.

In order to identify if the batch findings could be applied to a continuous process, which is more typical of full-scale WSPs, a bench-scale novel 'luxury uptake' process was designed, built, and operated under five different scenarios. The regression equation successfully predicted the experimental results for three of the five conditions examined. It was theorised that differences in behaviour at the genus level might explain why all five conditions were not successfully predicted.

In an attempt to improve the prediction capability, the 'black-box' of mixed genus analysis was 'opened' to allow the effects of variables on phosphorus accumulation at the genus level to be directly examined. To achieve this, a new image analysis method was developed that quantified stained polyphosphate granules in individual algal cells. To ensure the granules being measured were indeed polyphosphate, algal cells were analysed using transmission electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, which confirmed the granules contained higher levels of phosphorus compared to the remaining cell. The image analysis method was then used to quantify stained polyphosphate granules in individual cells from the 40 batch factorial experiments mentioned previously.

The results using the image analysis method showed that, for the five most abundant algal genera, *Micractinium/Microcystis* had the highest average accumulation of polyphosphate granules (17%  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> granule/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> cell), followed by *Scenedesmus* (12%), *Pediastrum* (11%), *Monoraphidium* (8%), and *Actinastrum* (4%). Although none of the genera studied had the same combination of significant variables, all five genera preferred a high phosphorus concentration to elevate polyphosphate granule accumulation. Furthermore, a high light intensity, high organic load, or high temperature was preferred by the algae if the variable was significant for that genus.

The culture used in the bench-scale continuous flow 'luxury uptake' process originated from a mixed genus WSP culture; however, it had become dominated by the *Scenedesmus* genus. Therefore, the regression equation was refined to use the batch data for this genus alone. This new *Scenedesmus* regression equation was compared against the experimental data from the 'luxury uptake' process previously mentioned. Polyphosphate granule accumulation was now successfully predicted in all five experimental conditions at the 95% confidence level. This improved prediction capability indicates that an understanding of the algal genus present in a WSP system is required for accurate predictions of the phosphorus accumulation to be obtained, and the batch data can indeed be applied to a continuous process.

An unexpected result of the research was that, contrary to what was believed in the literature, an increase in the phosphorus content in the biomass did not necessarily increase the polyphosphate granule accumulation. Further examination identified that individual cells from the same algal species had varying polyphosphate granule contents from 0% to over 20% ( $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> granule/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> cell) when exposed to the same conditions. This variation was hypothesised to be from cellular functions influencing the granules differently depending on the individual alga's cell cycle. In addition, when the phosphorus content in the biomass was increased above 2.1% (gP/gSS), no significant effect on the average quantity of polyphosphate granules was observed. This finding indicates that other forms of phosphorus storage must be responsible for attaining a highly elevated phosphorus content in the biomass.

The findings in this thesis have demonstrated that manipulation of phosphorus accumulation in WSP algae is possible, and predictable, albeit at a genus level. These findings pave the way forward for the development of a new algal-based biotechnology capable of harvesting phosphorus from wastewater.

### Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Andrew Shilton and Dr. Nicola Brown. Their support and guidance throughout my PhD motivated me to produce the highest level of work I possibly could. Their feedback on the many drafts of the research papers was invaluable and greatly appreciated.

Thank you to the Manawatu District Council, Horowhenua District Council, Tararua District Council, and the Palmerston North City Council for their assistance and access to the WSPs that allowed me to undergo my research.

Many thanks to all the staff from the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology at Massey University Palmerston North for their assistance during my PhD. In particular, I would like to thank Ann-Marie Jackson, John Sykes, and John Edwards for their assistance in the laboratory, and Glenda Rosoman and Michele Wagner for their assistance with the administrative aspects of this PhD. Thank you to the team at the Manawatu Microscopy and Imaging Centre, Dr Matthew Savoian, Ms Jordan Taylor, and Mrs Niki Minards, for the training and assistance with the many hours of microscopy work undertaken. I would also like to thank Nihal Jayamaha for his valuable guidance on the statistical analysis conducted in this PhD.

Thanks to all my postgraduate colleagues, Aidan Crimp, Ramsay Huang, Roland Schaap, and Zane Norvill, for their assistance and encouragement during my PhD. In particular, I would like to thank Maxence Plouviez for his assistance with the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* culture, and Paul Chambonniere for access to the pilot scale Palmerston North WSP that he used for his research.

I would also like to thank the Royal Society of New Zealand for financially supporting this research through the Marsden Fund (MAU1103). Thank you also to the Massey University scholarship office for their financial support through the Doctoral Scholarship.

Finally, thank you to all my friends and family for their support and encouragement throughout the PhD journey.

# **Table of Contents**

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
Table of Figures	x
Table of Tables	xvi
Table of Equations	xix
Structure of the thesis	xx
List of papers and contribution	xxi
Introduction	22
Chapter 1 Literature Review	25
1.1 Waste stabilisation pond (WSP) overview	26
1.2 Phosphorus removal pathways in WSPs	29
1.3 Algal phosphorus uptake dynamics	30
1.4 The role of polyphosphate in the algal cell	31
1.5 Methods to quantify phosphorus accumulation in algae	33
1.5.1 Raman microscopy	34
1.5.2 Electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EM-EDS)	35
1.5.3 Polyphosphate granule staining coupled with image analysis	36
1.5.4 Summary of the methods to quantify phosphorus accumulation in algae	37
1.6 Phosphorus accumulation mechanisms	38
1.6.1 Variables influencing over-compensation	38
1.6.2 Variables influencing luxury uptake of phosphorus	39
1.7 Summary	51
Chapter 2 Screening of variables	53
Abstract	54
2.1 Introduction	54
	v

2.2 Methodology	56
2.2.1 Variable selection	57
2.2.2 Data analysis	59
2.3 Results and discussion	60
2.3.1 Organic loading	61
2.3.2 Concentration of calcium, magnesium and potassium cations	62
2.3.3 Prior phosphorus content of the biomass	64
2.3.4 Biomass concentration	65
2.3.5 Wastewater pH	66
2.3.6 Mixing intensity	67
2.4 Conclusions	68
Chapter 3 Variables affecting phosphorus accumulation in a mixed genus WSP biomass	69
Abstract	70
3.1 Introduction	70
3.2 Methodology	71
3.2.1 Batch factorial experiment	71
3.2.2 Semi-continuous flow 'luxury uptake' process	75
3.3 Results and discussion	81
3.3.1 Identification of significant variables and interactions	81
3.3.2 Implications on WSP system design and operation	86
3.3.3 Operation of a laboratory scale 'luxury uptake' process	88
3.4 Conclusions	91
Chapter 4 The effect of individual algal genera on phosphorus accumulation	
Abstract	94
4.1 Introduction	94
4.2 Methodology	95
4.2.1 Developing the polyphosphate granule quantification method	96
	vi

4.2.2 Procedure for identifying variables that influence polyphosphate granule	
accumulation in individual algal genera106	
4.3 Results and discussion 108	
4.3.1 Variables responsible for polyphosphate granule accumulation in individual WSP	
algal genera	
4.3.2 Bench-scale semi-continuous flow 'luxury uptake' process 112	
4.3.3 Comparing the polyphosphate granule content to the phosphorus content in the	
biomass 113	
4.4 Conclusions 115	
Chapter 5 Relating polyphosphate granules in algal cells to the phosphorus content of the biomass	. 117
Abstract	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Methodology	
5.2.1 Scenedesmus experimental reactors 119	
5.2.2 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii experimental reactors	
5.2.3 Analytical methods121	
5.3 Results and Discussion 122	
5.3.1 Does the staining and image analysis technique identify the polyphosphate granules	
correctly? 123	
5.3.2 Do the species within a genus accumulate different amounts of polyphosphate	
granules?	
5.3.3 What cellular processes are responsible for the variation in polyphosphate granule	
content?	
5.3.4 Are there compounds other than polyphosphate granules responsible for	
phosphorus storage?	
5.4 Conclusions	
Chapter 6 Conclusions and recommendations	. 132

6.1 Conclusions	
6.2 Recommendations for future research134	
6.3 Recommendations for the design and operation of a 'luxury uptake' process	
6.3.1 Luxury uptake stage136	
6.3.2 Growth stage139	
6.3.3 Final design	
References	144
Chapter 8 Appendices	157
8.2 Appendix for Chapter 2: Screening of variables158	
8.2.1 Synthetic wastewater recipe158	
8.2.2 Growth and phosphorus removal data used to calculate the phosphorus content of the biomass	
8.3 Appendix for Chapter 3: Variables affecting phosphorus accumulation in a mixed genus	
8.3.1 Analysis of local waste stabilisation ponds165	
8.3.2 Statistical analysis of inoculum sources167	
8.3.3 Confounding of interactions using the 2 <sup>6-1</sup> experimental design	
8.3.4 Laboratory scale semi-continuous flow 'luxury uptake' process	
8.3.5 Identifying the HRT required for the luxury uptake stage of the 'luxury uptake' process	
8.3.6 Residual analysis of the linear regression fitted to produce Equation 3.2 that is used to predict the phosphorus content in the biomass	
8.3.7 Regression equation for biomass growth under batch conditions	
8.3.8 Additional experimental data from luxury uptake process	
8.4 Appendix for Chapter 4: The effect of individual algal genera on phosphorus	
accumulation	
8.4.1 Matlab code used for image analysis quantification of polyphosphate granules177	
8.4.2 Is the Matlab methodology robust?192	
viii	

8.4.3 Regression equation used to incorporate the effects of variables on polyphosphate	
granule formation in the genera studied197	
8.5 Appendix for Chapter 5: Relating polyphosphate granules in algal cells to the phosphorus	
content of the biomass 203	
8.5.1 Minimal medium 203	
8.5.2 Mass balance on phosphorus in polyphosphate granules	
Statement of contribution to doctoral thesis containing publications – Chapter 2	207

# Table of Figures

Figure 0.1: Phosphorus concentration in the biomass at luxury uptake levels of 1.0% or 3.8%
(gP/gSS). Adapted from Powell <i>et al.</i> (2008)23
Figure 1.1: Phosphate transfer into an algal cell according to Cembella et al. (1982)
Figure 1.2: Relationships between the polyphosphate groups and other cellular phosphorus
fractions. RNA-P, DNA-P, Lipid-P, and Protein-P refer to the phosphorus associated with
the respective compounds. Poly-P refers to polyphosphate with A, B, C, and D referring to
the different fractions. Adapted from Miyachi <i>et al.</i> (1964)
Figure 2.1: Example of the experimental reactors used during the screening experiments 56
Figure 2.2: Effect of organic load on the average phosphorus content of the biomass. Error bars
are 90% confidence intervals. The black dashed horizontal line represents the average of
the three levels62
Figure 2.3: Effect of cations calcium (A), magnesium (B), and potassium (C) on the average
phosphorus content of the biomass. Error bars are 90% confidence intervals. The black
dashed horizontal lines represent the average of the three levels
Figure 2.4: Effect of the prior phosphorus content of the biomass (i.e. different levels in the
inoculum) on the average phosphorus content of the biomass. Error bars are 90%
confidence intervals. The black dashed horizontal line represents the average of the three
levels64
Figure 2.5: Effect of the inoculum biomass concentration on the average phosphorus content
of the biomass. Error bars are 90% confidence intervals. The black dashed horizontal line
represents the average of the three levels65
Figure 2.6: Effect of using $CO_2$ (A) or HCl (B) pH control on the average phosphorus content of
the biomass. Error bars are 90% confidence intervals. The black dashed horizontal lines
represent the average of the three levels66
Figure 2.7: Effect of mixing intensity on the average phosphorus content of the biomass. Error
bars are 90% confidence intervals. The black dashed horizontal line represents the
average of the three levels67
Figure 3.1: Schematic of the bench-scale 'luxury uptake' process design. Black lines represent
the flow of the 'liquid' wastewater, and red lines represent the flow of 'solids'. Green
rectangles represent reactors. The first three reactors are the luxury uptake stage, and
the final three reactors are the growth stage. Solids harvesting occurs in the blue central

Figure 3.4: Comparison between the phosphorus content of the biomass observed by Schmidt *et al.* (2016) and that predicted by Equation 3.2. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals.

- Figure 4.3: Typical STEM-EDS output from a *Scenedesmus* algal cell with polyphosphate granules. Top: STEM image of *Scenedesmus* cell (contrast inverted) showing electron-dense areas as white. Bottom: Phosphorus (P), oxygen (O), carbon (C), and calcium (Ca) spectrometric output as EDS laser goes from left to right along the orange line in the STEM image shown at the top. Black-dashed and solid red circles highlight areas of two

- Figure 5.2: Electron microscope image of a Scenedesmus alga. (B): SEM backscatter detection image of Scenedesmus alga with overlaid phosphorus (P) in red/top and lead (Pb) in

Figure 6.3: Satellite image of the current WSP system for Rongotea, New Zealand ...... 141

Figure 8.1: Effect of organic loading on biomass concentration (A) and phosphorus removal (B).

Figure 8.2: Effect of calcium, magnesium, and potassium concentration on the biomass concentration (A, C, E respectively) and phosphorus removal (B, D, F respectively)...... 160

Figure 8.3: Effect of the prior phosphorus content of the biomass on the biomass concentration (A), phosphorus removal (B) and adjusted phosphorus removal (C). (Note: percentages in the legend are the phosphorus content (gP/gSS) of the initial inoculum Figure 8.4: Effect of the initial biomass concentration on the biomass concentration (A), phosphorus removal (B), and adjusted biomass concentration (C) (Note: Legend shows Figure 8.5: (Top) Effect of CO2 pH control on biomass concentration (A) and phosphorus removal (B). (Bottom) Effect of HCl pH control on biomass concentration (C) and phosphorus removal (D)......163 Figure 8.6: Effect of mixing intensity on biomass concentration (A) and phosphorus concentration (B)......164 Figure 8.7: Comparison of centre point runs from Foxton Beach, PNCC, and Rongotea. Error bars are the 95% confidence intervals based on the average of 4 centre point runs using Rongotea algae......167 Figure 8.8: Phosphorus content in the biomass for the eight centre point control reactors. Reactors 1 to 4 (cross pattern) were inoculated from Rongotea WSP, and reactors 5 to 8 (solid red) were inoculated from Palmerston North WSP. The black dotted line is an average of all centre point reactors. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals......168 Figure 8.9: Day that the maximum phosphorus content of the biomass (gP/gSS) was achieved. Figure 8.10: Residual plots for Equation 3.2 that is used to predict the phosphorus content of Figure 8.11: Actual experimental value vs predicted value using Equation 3.2.....173 Figure 8.12: Residual plots produced in Minitab when producing the growth regression Figure 8.15: The empirical relationship between mean cell colour and polyphosphate granule detection threshold (Note: a higher cell colour result in a lighter cell. 0 is black, and 255 is Figure 8.16: Example of the empirical detection threshold to identify polyphosphate granules. Top images show the separation of the cell from the background, while the bottom

Figure 8.17: Example of a typical *C. reinhardtii* cell used for the volume calculations. From top left to right: original image, background removed image, and background removed image with the red dotted outline showing the circumference used for the spherical estimation.
From bottom left to right: low granule threshold, typical granule threshold as predicted by the empirical threshold relationship for *C. reinhardtii*, and high granule threshold. Red circles show the detected granules and the circumference used in the spherical estimation.
Figure 8.18: Comparison between area and volume calculations of polyphosphate granules in

C. reinhardtii cells
Figure 8.19: Residual plots for the Scenedesmus regression equation 198
Figure 8.20: Residual plots for the <i>Micractinium/Microcystis</i> regression equation
Figure 8.21: Residual plots for the <i>Pediastrum</i> regression equation
Figure 8.22: Residual plots for the <i>Monoraphidium</i> regression equation
Figure 8.23: Residual plots for the Actinastrum regression equation 202
Figure 8.24: Phosphorus associated with the polyphosphate granules for Scenedesmus and C.
reinhardtii cells shown in Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.3 respectively. Error bars are the
standard deviation produced from the variation in polyphosphate granule area of
individual cells

## Table of Tables

Table 1.1: Key algal genera present in facultative ponds (7 studies), maturation ponds (5
studies), and HRAPs (7 studies) (Shelef 1982; Banat <i>et al.</i> 1990; Cromar <i>et al.</i> 1996;
Pearson 2005; Godos et al. 2009; Shanthala et al. 2009; Park & Craggs 2010; Pham et al.
2014; Ariesyady et al. 2016; Mehrabadi et al. 2016; Crimp et al. 2018). Classification of
class and order is based on Guiry and Guiry (2017). $\checkmark$ = present, $lpha$ = no mention of
presence, F-WSP = facultative WSP, and M-WSP = Maturation WSP.
Table 1.2: Experimental methods used in the key literature on luxury uptake under WSP
conditions41
Table 1.3: The effect of increasing the phosphorus concentration on luxury uptake in WSP
algae. "N/A" refers to unavailable data, and "not significant" is the result of a p-value
greater than 0.1 suggesting less than 90% confidence42
Table 1.4: Effect on luxury uptake of interactions involving the phosphorus concentration 42
Table 1.5: The effect of increasing the temperature on luxury uptake in WSP algae. "N/A"
refers to unavailable data, "not significant" is the result of a p-value greater than 0.1
suggesting less than 90% confidence, <sup>a</sup> inferred from effects on both ASP and AISP45
Table 1.6: Effect of interactions of temperature with other variables for luxury uptake of
phosphorus45
Table 1.7: The effect of increasing the light intensity on luxury uptake in WSP algae. "N/A"
refers to unavailable data, "not significant" is the result of a p-value greater than 0.1
suggesting less than 90% confidence, *Effect is only initially positive, a inferred from
effects on both ASP and AISP, $^{ m b}$ measured above the water surface47
Table 1.8: The ability of algal genera typically found in New Zealand WSPs to accumulate
polyphosphate granules. *Microcystis is a cyanobacterium.
Chlamydomonas/Cryptomonas and Micractinium/Microcystis* could not be differentiated
and were therefore grouped. Adapted from Crimp <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Table 1.9: The effect of key variables on luxury uptake in algae. <sup>1</sup> Effect is putative as it has not
been studied under WSP conditions, <sup>2</sup> Effect on luxury uptake was estimated based on
growth and phosphorus removal data52
Table 2.1: Identification of variables that could potentially influence the phosphorus content of
the biomass
Table 2.2: Variable levels examined

Table 3.5: Experimental conditions used to operate the 'luxury uptake' process. Winter andsummer refer to the conditions in Table 3.4.88

- Table 4.2: Combination of variable levels predicted to increase polyphosphate granule accumulation in the individual algal genera based on the levels tested in this study. N/A is due to no significant effect of the variable on polyphosphate granule accumulation..... 110
- Table 5.1: Phosphorus concentration and light intensity used in experimental reactors...... 120

 Table 6.1: Recommended conditions to operate the luxury uptake stage
 137

- Table 8.2: Hutners trace elements composition according to Davis and Wilcomb (1967) ...... 158

Table 8.3: Description of the sites sampled from the wider Manawatu region.         165
Table 8.4: Presence of algal genera in WSPs from the Manawatu region         166
Table 8.5: Pump times and flow rates
Table 8.6: HRT and average SRT of the entire luxury uptake process under the different
operating conditions. Experiment number refers to the numbers given in Table 3.5170
Table 8.7: The standardised effects of significant variables and interactions on the biomass
growth. *Denotes an interaction between variables, a not applicable due to the variable
not having a significant effect
Table 8.8: Operating conditions for the luxury uptake process         176
Table 8.9: Additional experimental data obtained when operating the luxury uptake process
under the different experimental conditions shown in Table 8.8
Table 8.10: Minimal medium used for the Chlamydomonas reinhardtii species work

# Table of Equations

Equation 1.1: Calculating the phosphorus content of the biomass, %P (gP/gSS), multiplied by
100 to change into a percentage. Where: TP = total phosphorus concentration (gP/L), DP =
dissolved phosphorus concentration (gP/L), and SS = biomass suspended solids
concentration (gSS/L)
Equation 2.1: Calculating the phosphorus content of the inoculum reactors
Equation 2.2: Calculating the phosphorus content of the biomass (gP/gSS)
Equation 3.1: Phosphorus content of the biomass (%P) using total dissolved phosphorus 74
Equation 3.2: Regression equation produced to predict the maximum phosphorus content in
the biomass over days 2 to 7, %P (gP/gSS), as a percentage. T = temperature (°C), P =
phosphorus concentration (mgP/L), L = light intensity ( $\mu E/m^2.s$ ), M = mixing intensity
(RPM), C = organic load (mgCOD/L), and pH = pH. '×' refers to an interaction effect
between the variables. Note: the input value of the variables should be their initial value
from day 0
Equation 4.1: Calculating the polyphosphate granule content ( $\mu m^2$ granule/ $\mu m^2$ cell) of a single
algal cell
Equation 8.1: SRT calculation for luxury uptake process. Where: SS = suspended solids
(mgSS/L), LU = luxury uptake stage, V = volume (L), Growth = growth stage, and Q = flow
rate (L/d) 170
Equation 8.2: Regression equation to predict the biomass growth over seven days under batch
conditions174
Equation 8.3: The empirical relationship between the detection threshold and cell colour 193

## Structure of the thesis

The chapters in this thesis are adapted from a series of scientific papers that have been published or are ready for submission to international peer-reviewed journals. While the presented content of the chapters is the same as the papers they are based on, the following changes have been made to improve the clarity of the thesis:

- Formatting changes have been conducted to ensure a consistent style throughout the thesis,
- The introductions of each chapter have been shortened to reduce repetition,
- If a method is repeated in later chapters, a reference back to the first mention of the method has been used, and
- Changing the references of papers produced in this PhD to their corresponding chapter number (i.e. a reference to the paper Sells *et al.* (2018) has been changed to Chapter 2).

A preface has been included at the beginning of each chapter to help link the individual chapters together and illustrate their contribution towards the research objectives of this thesis. The content presented in Chapters 1 through 5 has been used to produce the thesis conclusions that are discussed after Chapter 5.

The structure of this thesis complies with the Massey University "Guidelines for Doctoral Thesis by Publications", 2015 issued by the graduate research school (GRS).

## List of papers and contribution

A list of the chapters and relevant publications are given below. Along with these publications, an overview of the initial findings of this thesis was presented at the "11<sup>th</sup> IWA Specialist Group Conference on Wastewater Pond Technologies, 2016" in Leeds, UK.

#### Chapter 2

Sells, M. D., Brown, N., & Shilton, A. N. (2018). Determining variables that influence the phosphorus content of waste stabilization pond algae. *Water Research, 132*, 301-308. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.01.013

#### Chapter 3

Sells, M.D., Brown, N. and Shilton, A.N. Interactions between environmental and process variables influence phosphorus accumulation in waste stabilisation pond algae. *In preparation for submission to Water Research.* 

#### **Chapter 4**

Sells, M.D., Brown, N. and Shilton, A.N. The conditions for phosphorus accumulation in algae are genus dependent. *In preparation for submission to Ecological Engineering.* 

#### Chapter 5

Sells, M.D., Brown, N. and Shilton, A.N. Relating polyphosphate granule accumulation to the algal phosphorus content. *In preparation for submission to Environmental Science and Technology.* 

Matthew Sells was the main contributor and lead author on all the papers mentioned above, with advice and editing assistance being obtained from his supervisors Prof. Andrew Shilton and Dr. Nicola Brown. Matthew Sells designed, conducted, and analysed the experimental work. The "statement of contribution to doctoral thesis containing publications" for the published paper can be found at the end of the appendices.