Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Developing monitoring methods for cryptic species:

A case study of the Australasian bittern, *Botaurus poiciloptilus*.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Ecology

at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand.

Emma M. Williams

2016

i

Abstract

Difficult-to-detect species (here-after, 'cryptic') are problematic to monitor. This is because sampling is often restricted by logistic complications, and species-detectability tends to be low and/or highly variable. Such challenges create data that are complex to interpret, and contain biases that cannot be estimated, making results less meaningful. Yet there is a need to monitor such species as they are also often rare.

In this thesis I review 30 publications, covering 28 different species, to demonstrate that challenges experienced across cryptic species fall into four categories: visually-cryptic, behaviourally-cryptic, spatially-cryptic and temporally-cryptic. The Australasian bittern (*Botaurus poiciloptilus*) is an appropriate case-study for examining the process of developing a monitoring method for cryptic threatened species because they have all four cryptic characteristics. Yet bitterns are also endangered, and what is left of their habitat is under-threat. Currently the most feasible monitoring method available for bitterns is counts of male calls (booms) during the breeding season. However, calling-rate is known to be variable and difficulties in accessing some sites restricts sampling possibilities.

I fitted a range of generalised linear mixed models to 461 15-min call-counts, conducted in a range of conditions, during two breeding seasons at Whangamarino wetland, to identify factors affecting calling-rate-per-individual-bittern (CRPI). Results showed that CRPI was predictable in terms of time-of-day, time-of-year, cloud-cover, rainfall and certain moon parameters, but some spatial and temporal variation remained unexplained. Additionally, I showed that recorders are a cost-effective practical solution to logistical constraints restricting sampling possibilities at some sites. Furthermore, I show that abundance can be estimated from calling-rate by correcting for effect sizes of factors affecting CRPI. Results obtained using 269 15-min sound-files at two sites (Whangamarino wetland and Lake Whatumā) show that these abundance derivations are accurate but imprecise. To understand more about how call-based methods can be used to monitor bitterns, I radiotracked six males throughout the optimum monitoring-period to confirm that these birds have high site-fidelity, therefore, validating territory-mapping method assumptions. The approach used in this thesis is applicable to any cryptic species, as illustrated with the Guam rail (*Gallirallus owstoni*) in my final discussion.

iii

Acknowledgements

This project, which started as a Masters, was very much a team effort, with the team growing as it went. As such, it is easier to separate acknowledgement into general acknowledgements (those that had a personal involvement in supporting me) and chapter specific acknowledgements (those that helped with individual aspects of the project as it developed). Without the support of all of these people the project would not have been possible (or as much fun). So thank you everyone!

General Acknowledgements

The idea of doing a PhD on Australasian bitterns was originally conceived by George Pickerell and Colin O'Donnell, well before my time working on it. However, delays in finding funding for the project meant that by the time the opportunity arose, George had moved on to bigger and better things (i.e. a PhD investigating 'braided river islands as refuges'). We will never know what this thesis might have looked like had it been George, rather than me, that wrote it - but I have no doubt it would have contained fewer mistakes! Aside from creating the opportunity, I'm grateful to George and Colin for showing no hesitation in supporting me when I first started to show interest in the project.

The idea of me working on bitterns originally came from Petrina Duncan, as a suggestion of something I could do in Palmerston North when my partner-of-the-time (Brice Ebert) decided to base himself in Rongotea. Trines - it's ironic that things didn't quite work out as we imagined at the time, but also lucky that you pointed me in that direction. I'm grateful to both yourself and Brice for supporting me in those early years, and directing me down the path that led to where I am now.

Thank you to my supervisor Doug Armstrong. I'm very grateful for all the hours you invested in teaching me modelling concepts, Winbugs code, and in reading various abstracts and funding applications - often on weekends and at short notice. You somehow managed to provide me with the supervisory support I needed, whilst also giving me enough freedom to adapt and embrace the challenges and opportunities that

bittern work presented. I think few supervisors could have struck that delicate balance so well.

Thank you to my co-supervisor Phil Battley, who joined the project late in the piece. Your ability to provide good advice and sound suggestions at short notice, without the prior knowledge of the project that Doug and I had, never ceased to amaze me. Thank you.

A big thank you to the staff at Massey University and all the students who have supported me throughout my time there. In particular: Sharon Wright, Christy Getzlaff, Christie LeCoeur, Liz Parlato, Julia Panfylova, Gillian Dennis, Nirosha Priyadarshani, Kyle Morrison and Kate Richardson.

Thank you to John Cheyne and Gail Cheyne who have provided me with advice and support on numerous fronts. In particular for your help in the field, for letting me use your shower and toilet while I was living in the van, introducing me to the locals, letting me use your internet etc...the list goes on. John, thank you for building the bittern traps and for the hours painstakingly tweeking the triggers to give us the best chance possible of catching. Most of all thanks for being there to share the excitement of it all once we did catch! We've had some good times.

A huge thank you to my family, who have always supported me with my adventures, no matter how hair-brained they may have seemed. Thank you for continuing to provide me with support no matter where I am in the world. Thanks also for tolerating me when I needed to work on the bittern project during your visits to New Zealand - I'm very lucky to have you all in my life!

I'd like to thank the O'Donnell family, particularly David and Kerrin, who let me work inside my camper at their place in Martinborough, over the festive season, while I was in the final stages of writing this PhD. Their enthusiasm for what I was trying to do, and their willingness to help by bringing me food and champagne, and entertaining Kimi, made the final stages of writing up that much more enjoyable. Thanks to Colin for filling my freezer with fish whenever you came to visit and for continually encouraging me to follow my dreams.

Finally, thank you to all the locals who live around Lake Whatumā, and volunteers who made my time living in the van next to the lake enjoyable. There were so many little

things that you all did to help that it's not possible to list them all here – however, the home baking by Pam Turner, Bernie Kelly and Holly Allen definitely deserves an extra special mention!

Chapter specific acknowledgements

Chapter 1: Doug Armstrong; Colin O'Donnell; Gillian Dennis, Christie LeCoeur, Emma Bean, John Cheyne and Christy Getzlaff for their comments on early manuscripts.

Chapter 2: Doug Armstrong; Colin O'Donnell; Gillian Dennis, Christie LeCoeur, Amelia Geary and Christy Getzlaff for their comments on early manuscripts.

Chapter 3: Doug Armstrong for his help with the Winbugs code; Colin O'Donnell for his help and advice while in the field; Lynette Plenderleith and Elise Verschoor for assistance with collecting the data; Matthew Brady, Kevin Hutchinson Shannon Patterson and Lucy Roberts for their logistical support, on-site advice and help with getting Department of Conservation Arawai Kakariki funding; David Lloyd and Dave Riddle for letting us access Lloyd's peninsula at odd time of the day and night; Gillian Dennis, Lynette Plenderleith, Rebecca Stirnemann, Colin O'Donnell and Robyn Pickering for comments on early manuscripts; and Liz Parlato and Christie LeCoeur for their modelling guidance. **Work in this chapter was partially funded by the Department of Conservation Arawai Kakariki wetland restoration fund.**

Chapter 4: James Griffiths, Graeme Elliot, Colin O'Donnell and Stu Cockburn for letting me borrow recording devices for the field trials and providing advice on how to use recorders; Murray Efford for his advice on how to visually look for bittern calls using Raven Pro software; Doug Armstrong for writing, and teaching me how to write, Winbugs code; Matthew Brady, Colin O'Donnell, Elise Verschoor and Kevin Hutchinson for their field support; Doug Armstrong, Colin O'Donnell and Emma Bean for reading through earlier drafts of this manuscript. **Work in this chapter was partially funded by the Department of Conservation Arawai Kakariki wetland restoration fund.**

Chapter 5: Doug Armstrong for his help with the Winbugs code; Liz Parlato and Kate Richardson for commenting on earlier drafts; Elise Verschoor, Ross Curtis, Becky Harris and Robyn Dewhurst for helping to process sound-files; John Cheyne, Gail Cheyne, Matthew Brady, Colin O'Donnell, Elise Verschoor and Kevin Hutchinson, for their field

support. Work in this chapter was partially funded by the Department of Conservation Arawai Kakariki project

Chapter 6: I'm grateful to the other expert observers: John Cheyne, Gail Cheyne and Colin O'Donnell; Support staff from the Department of Conservation, in particular Daniel Winchester, Matthew Brady, Denise Fastier, Shay Dean and Rhys Burns for their field assistance, for helping to making the research station comfortable and for providing health and safety support; Bernie Kelly (Birds Hawkes Bay) who assisted with fieldwork; and the Naïve observers who did a wonderful job under sometimes trying conditions. Naïve observers included: Samantha Roberts, Nicole Thompson, Mike Fleming, Deirdre Malcolm, Anne Burrows, Tim Hopley, Diane Seager, Pete Meredith, Louise Meredith, Gill Tracy, Howard Saunders, Taurito Hutana Tichborne, Hanna Wilcox, Maia Wilcox, Tony Wall, Sue Robson, Holly Allen, Pam Turner, Tanya Goodley, Chrysler Goodley, Dennis Goodley, Graeme Dickson and Rod Dickson.

Also thanks to Doug Armstrong, Phil Battley, Lynette Plenderleith, John Cheyne, Kate Richardson and Chifuyu Hawksby for various discussions and comments regarding earlier drafts; Rachel Summers for her GIS support; Doug Armstrong, Tertia Thurley and Ducks Unlimited, NZ, for lending me tracking gear. **Work in this chapter was partially funded by the Department of Conservation Arawai Kakariki project and transmitters were funded by Ducks Unlimited, NZ.**

Chapter 7: Suzanne Medina who gave me the opportunity to go to Guam and Laura Barnhart Duenas who spent a lot of time showed me around on Rota Island; everyone that covered for me with my bittern work at Lake Whatumā so that I could go to Guam in the first place (e.g. John Cheyne, Bernie Kelly, Denise Fastier, Naomi and Ben Gordon, Matthew Brady, John Barry, Shirley Win-Lewis, Dave Win-Lewis and Daniel Winchester); John Cheyne for mentoring me with my dog training - we couldn't have passed it without you; Andrew Glaser for his advice and for assessing us for our full conservation dogs certification; Tim Arnold for his advice on thermal imagery; Andy Brooke (Search and Rescue Sargent, Palmerston North) for trusting me with the Search and Rescue FLIR Scout-2 thermal handheld imagery camera (in a wetland!) and the following people for reading through earlier drafts of this manuscript: Phil Battley, Doug Armstrong, Kate Richardson and Lynette Plenderleith.

Table of Contents

Abstract	•••••	
Acknowledge	ments	v
Table of Conte	ents	ix
Table of Figur	es	xv
List of Tables.	•••••	xvii
List of Plates		xix
Dedication		xxi
Chapter 1	Definiı	ng the cryptic conundrum1
	1.1	Introduction2
	1.2	The process of developing population monitoring programmes.3
	1.3	Challenges in monitoring cryptic species5
		1.3.1 Visually cryptic6
		1.3.2 Behaviourally cryptic11
		1.3.3 Spatially cryptic11
		1.3.4 Temporally cryptic14
		1.3.5 Challenges of multiple cryptic characteristics16
	1.4	Options for monitoring cryptic species17
	1.5	Developing monitoring methods for cryptic species: Australasian
		bittern as a case study18
	1.6	Thesis aims and objectives21
	1.7	References23
Chapter 2	Optior	ns for monitoring cryptic Australasian bitterns in New Zealand:
	A revie	ew31
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Cryptic characteristics of bittern species32
	2.3	Current monitoring practices for bittern species

		2.3.1 Inc	complete counts corrected for detection36
		2.3.1.1	Territory mapping – using calls and
			sightings40
		2.3.1.2	Territory mapping using nests43
		2.3.1.3	Mark-recapture (CMR)45
		2.3.1.4	Mark-recapture - using vocal individuality.48
		2.3.1.5	Mark-recapture using transmitters50
		2.3.2 Inc	dices - Incomplete counts not corrected for
		de	tection52
		2.3.2.1	Best guess/expert opinion52
		2.3.2.2	Call counts52
		2.3.2.3	Territory mapping54
		2.3.3 Oc	cupancy55
	2.4	Alternative cue d	etection methods58
		2.4.1 De	tections via scent (use of dogs)58
		2.4.2 De	tections via infra-red or motion (use of
		са	meras)60
	2.5	Conclusions and	recommendations61
	2.6	References	
Chapter 3	Mod	elling variation in ca	all-rates to develop a reliable monitoring
	meth	od for a cryptic we	tland species, the Australasian bittern75
	3.1	Introduction	
	3.2	Methods	
		3.2.1 St	udy site77
		3.2.2 Da	ta collection74
		3.2.3 Sta	atistical Analysis84
	3.3	Results	
		3.3.1 Fa	ctors influencing calling-rate86
		3.3.2 Qu	antifying effect sizes of predictor variables88
	3.4	Discussion	
	3.5	Conclusion	
	3.6	References	

Chapter 4	Acou	stic recorders	as a solution to the sampling challenges of the
	Austr	alasian bittern,	a cryptic wetland species97
	4.1	Introduction.	
	4.2	Methods	
		4.2.1	Data collection101
		4.2.2	Data Analysis105
			4.2.2.1 Sound file analysis105
			4.2.2.2 Cost benefit analysis106
	4.3	Results	
		4.3.1	Comparisons among devices108
		4.3.2	Cost comparisons112
	4.4	Discussion	
	4.5	Conclusions	
	4.6	References	
Chapter 5	Predi	cting abundanc	e from the calling-rate of a rare cryptic birds, the
	Austr	alasian bittern.	
	5.1	Introduction.	
	5.2	Methods	
		5.2.1	Recorder deployment127
		5.2.2	Measuring number of calls from sound files130
		5.2.3	Weather variables131
		5.2.4	Statistical analysis131
		5.2.5	Validating model estimates133
	5.3	Results	
	5.4	Discussion	
		5.4.1	Objectives of monitoring139
		5.4.2	Assumptions of the data used to build the
			underlying model141
		5.4.3	Model selection process141
	5.5	References	
Chapter 6	Meas	uring site fide	elity to validate assumptions underlying three
	meth	ods used to mo	nitor Australasian bitterns149

	6.1	Introduction150
	6.2	Methods151
		6.2.1 Study area151
		6.2.2 Mapping territories using naïve observers
		(two territory-mapping methods)152
		6.2.3 Mapping territories using expert observers
		(Expert opinion method)154
		6.2.5 Data analysis155
	6.3	Results156
		6.3.1. Site Fidelity of marked bitterns156
		6.3.2. Core booming areas of marked and unmarked
		bitterns160
		6.3.3. Comparison of monitoring estimates163
	6.4	Discussion165
	6.5	References168
Chapter 7	Gene	ral Discussion171
	7.1	Introduction172
	7.2	Developing a monitoring method for a bird that looks and
		behaves like a plant – starting at the beginning172
	7.3	Key findings of this thesis and what it tells us about cryptic
		species in the 'too hard basket'173
	7.4	How to apply the approach used in this thesis to another cryptic
		species177
	7.5	Key findings made during related ongoing work181
	7.6	Implications for the conservation of Australasian bitterns186
	7.7	References188
Chapter 8	Арре	ndices193
Apper	ndix 1	Declaration confirming content of digital version of thesis195
Apper	ndix 2:	Criteria for recording climate variables during bittern counts.197
Apper	ndix 3:	Table A3.1: Relationships considered during exploratory
		analysis199
Apper	ndix 4:	Table A4.1: Comparison of betas for the top models201

Appendix 5:	Figure A5.1: Spectrogram of an Australasian bittern boom
	sequence203
Appendix 6:	Instructions for using automatic Acoustic Recording Devices
	(ARDs) (Ver B.2) for monitoring Australasian bitterns205
Appendix 7:	Detecting the calls of Australasian bitterns
	(Botaurus poiciloptilus) by visually analysing sound files209
Appendix 8:	Power to detect a decline in the calling-rate of Australasian
	bittern at Whangamarino wetland233
Appendix 9:	Table A7.1: Details of six male bitterns captured at Lake
	Whatumā using cage traps237
Appendix 10:	Locations of animals hidden in images239

Table of Figures

Figure 3.1:	Locations (stations) of call-count listening stations at Whangamarino
	wetland for 2009 and 201079
Figure 3.2:	Raw calling-rates for Australasian Bittern per 15 min count survey
	conducted at Whangamarino wetland in 2009 and 201086
Figure 3.3:	Beta estimates from global (Model 1) and simplified (Model 1i) models89
Figure 4.1:	Strength of the relationship between numbers of calls detected using
	four recording device options and numbers detected by the field
	observer110
Figure 4.2:	Relationship between minimum number of individual bitterns
	distinguished by audibly listening to stereo sound files and the number
	of individual bitterns distinguished by the field observer111
Figure 5.1:	Recorders deployed at 42 point locations (stations) around
	Whangamarino wetland from September to November 2010127
Figure 5.2:	Locations of the six mono recorders deployed Lake Whatumā in 2014129
Figure 5.3:	Comparisons between Australasian bittern abundances estimated from
	calling-rates and observed abundances at 42 stations, at
	Whangamario wetland from September to November 2010136
Figure 5.4:	Comparisons between Australasian bittern abundances estimated from
	calling-rates and observed abundances for each of the six sampling
	locations, at Lake Whatumā137
Figure 6.1:	Lake Whatumā located in central Hawkes Bay, New Zealand152
Figure 6.2:	Utilisation distributions for six marked Australasian bitterns158
Figure 6.3:	Distance moved from centroid of 95 percent Utilisation Distribution for
	six marked bitterns at Lake Whatumā159
Figure 6.4:	Core booming territories at Lake Whatumā, Hawkes Bay, New Zealand,
	between September and November, 2014161
Figure 6.5:	Proportion of overlap in area between the 95 % utilisation distributions
	of marked bitterns and the core booming territories of all other bitterns
	(marked and unmarked)162

Figure 6.6:	Number of booming male bitterns detected by naïve observers during	
	acoustic triangulation surveys16	4
Figure 6.7:	Number of booming male bitterns detected by naïve observers during	
	close approaches surveys16	55
Figure 7.1:	Frequency of publications that acknowledge or discuss detection	
	probabilities with regard to monitoring wildlife1	.74

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Descriptions of cryptic characteristics	7
Table 1.2: Summary of monitoring constraints associated with cryptic species	15
Table 2.1: Cryptic characteristics of the 12 species of the bittern subfamily,	
Botaurinae	33
Table 2.2: Current monitoring of Botaurinae species	38
Table 2.3: Capture methods used for all 12 Botaurinae species	51
Table 2.4: Summary monitoring options feasible for Australasian bitterns	63
Table 2.5: Research questions associated with each method	65
Table 3.1: Explanatory variables considered for modelling the calling-rate per	
individual Australasian bittern	82
Table 3.2: Results of stepwise backwards elimination of variables	83
Table 4.1: Spearman correlation coefficients (rho) showing the association between	n
the number of bittern calls detected using four recording options and the	e
number of calls detected by the observer	.109
Table 4.2: Costs of five options considered for monitoring bitterns	.113
Table 4.3: Performance of options in relation to three values that managers may	
wish to achieve while monitoring Australasian bitterns	.114
Table 6.1. Utilisation distributions and core booming territory descriptors for six	
male Australasian bitterns marked with radio-tags	.157
Table 7.1: Summary of monitoring constraints associated with Guam rails	.180

Table of Plates

Plate 1.1: Upper Sinbad Gully in Fiordland, where the only known population of
Sinbad skinks is located13
Plate 1.2: An enlargement of the rock crevice where the only population of Sinbad
skinks has been found to date13
Plate 1.3: Australasian bittern (shown by the arrow) is one of the most cryptic
bird species in New Zealand20
Plate 2.1: An Australasian bittern in freeze pose35
Plate 2.2: Australasian bittern chicks in a nest found in raupō at Lake Whatumā44
Plate 4.1: Typical placement of the two recording devices (STEREO and MONO)
trialled in relation to the field observer (OBS) at Whangamarino wetland
in 2010102
Plate 4.2: A mono recording device placed near two field observers104
Plate 7.1: A Guam rail at the captive rearing facility in Guam
Plate 7.2: Emma and Kimi look for radio-tagged bitterns from a kayak at
Lake Whatumā183
Plate 7.3: Emma and Kimi wading back to the kayak after finding a radio-tagged bittern
in thick raupō (<i>Typhɑ spp</i>) at Lake Whatumā
Plate 7.4: A juvenile female bittern demonstrates the posture regularly observed when
working the dog to detect bitterns184
Plate 7.5: An unmarked Australasian bittern captured on a trail camera within
the territory of another marked male at Lake Whatumā, Hawkes Bay,
New Zealand185

To Barry White,

for teaching me how to think like a male bittern, and showing me how to elicit the behaviour needed to catch your kind.



And

To Frank Sinatra,

for teaching me that no matter how hard I try I will never be able to catch all male bitterns. I'd like to think we caught you in 2015 but that's something that only you would know.

