Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# AN INVESTIGATION OF SOME FACTORS INFLUENCING THE RATE OF OXIDATION OF ELEMENTAL SULPHUR FERTILIZERS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science at Massey University

> WICHIEN CHATUPOTE 1990

#### ABSTRACT

Methodologies for measuring the particle size of S<sup>O</sup> in pure and compound fertilizers (sulphurized superphosphates (SSP), reactive phosphate rocks (RPR) and partially acidulated phosphate rock (PAPR)) and for determining the rate at which S<sup>O</sup> in these materials oxidises in soils were evaluated and improved. Sample dispersion in 10% HCl followed by wet sieving was the most successful method for sizing S<sup>O</sup> in SSP, RPR and PAPR based fertilizers. S<sup>O</sup>/bentonite fertilizers, however, were more easily dispersed in water than in acid.

Acetone extraction (40 g:200 ml acetone, using a 16 h shaking period) and determination of  $S^{O}$  in the extract proved to be a suitable method for measuring amounts of  $S^{O}$  in finely ground fertilizers and soils at concentrations above 5  $\mu$ g S g<sup>-1</sup> soil and below 200  $\mu$ g S ml<sup>-1</sup> acetone.

The rate of S<sup>O</sup> oxidation in soil was determined by regularly measuring residual amounts of S<sup>O</sup>. The influence of soil type and fertilizer history on the potential of soils to oxidise S<sup>O</sup> was examined in incubation studies. On average, soils that had previously received S<sup>O</sup> applications had higher initial rhodanese enzyme activities (RA) and higher S<sup>O</sup> oxidation rates but there was no simple relationship between fertilizer history or RA and initial S<sup>O</sup> oxidation rate.

Different sources of S<sup>O</sup>, namely Rotokawa S<sup>O</sup> (geothermal S<sup>O</sup>), dark S<sup>O</sup>, Damman S<sup>O</sup>, and agricultural grade S<sup>O</sup> had similar oxidation rates per unit surface area. Granules or prills oxidised slowly in incubated soil because they did not disintegrate when placed in soil and had small specific surface area.

On average, the oxidation rate of S<sup>o</sup> was increased when mixed or granulated with reactive phosphate rocks and incorporated in soil but this effect was not consistently reproducible. Further incubations of S<sup>o</sup> in the presence of various combinations, CaHPO<sub>4</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub>, demonstrated that the presence of CaHPO<sub>4</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub> could elevate S<sup>o</sup> oxidation rates.

Granulation of RPR and PAPR with S<sup>O</sup> did not significantly increase (p >0.05) the oxidation rate of S<sup>O</sup> surface applied to undisturbed pasture soils (glasshouse and field

trials). Under surface application conditions granulated S<sup>O</sup> had similar oxidation rates to finely divided S<sup>O</sup> forms.

An iterative computer program was developed to calculate specific oxidation rates (K,  $\mu g \ S^{O} \ cm^{-2} \ day^{-1}$ ) from the amounts of acetone extractable  $S^{O}$  remaining in soils at different times. On average, K for <150  $S^{O} \ \mu m$  was significantly lower (p <0.05) when surface applied to undisturbed soil cores than when incorporated into incubated soils.

Specific oxidation rates of different particle sizes (<150, 150-250 and 250-500  $\mu$ m) of surface applied S<sup>o</sup> were similar (ranging form 11-19  $\mu$ g S<sup>o</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) but were different (P <0.05) for the two soil types used in glasshouse trials (means of 17 and 13  $\mu$ g S<sup>o</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for Ramiha and Tokomaru soil, respectively). Corrections for the effects of soil moisture on oxidation rates provided evidence that all S<sup>o</sup> could have similar maximum potential K values (Kmax = 18  $\mu$ g S cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) in both soils. This suggested, with other evidence from the literature, that S<sup>o</sup> oxidation in soil could be effectively modelled by knowing S<sup>o</sup> particle size and the effects of soil moisture and temperature on S<sup>o</sup> oxidation.

A S<sup>O</sup> oxidation simulation model was constructed using a value for Kmax determined in the glasshouse trials. Within experimental error, the simulation model predicted S<sup>O</sup> oxidation in field soil well (explaining between 76 and 97% of data variance at 3 field sites) and provides a useful basis for designing future research projects.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to the following people for their contribution towards the completion of this thesis.

Dr Mike Hedley for his supervision, guidance, patience and friendship during my study.

Dr N S Bolan for helpful supervision and continual support.

Other members of the Soil Science Department, particularly Drs S K Saggar and D C Golden, for valuable discussions and assistance.

Ms Helen Moody for the analysis of Rhodanese enzyme activity.

Mr Sathien Phimsarn for the preparation of <sup>35</sup>S fertilizer samples and help with the large scale glasshouse and field trials.

Ms Lee Heng who helped with the development of the computer programmes.

Mr Roberto Bonoan for his help in the final preparation of this thesis.

My Thai and New Zealand friends particularly, Mr and Ms Michael Hare, for their friendship and support.

The Ministry of External Relations and Trade of New Zealand for the scholarship grant.

The Prince of Songkhla University of Thailand for the study leave.

Finally, to my family for their moral support, and especially to my wife, *Monta*, for her affection and encouragement throughout.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DGMENTS	
	iv
CONTENTS	v
URES	xii
BLES	xviii
CHAPTER 1	
TION	1
CHAPTER 2	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
E CHEMICAL NATURE OF SULPHUR IN SOILS	3
1 Abiotic Oxidation	4 7 8
1 S <sup>O</sup> Allotropes	11 12 14 16 18 20 22
Chemolithotrophs in New Zealand Soils      i) Incubation studies:      ii) Field studies:	30 30 30
	CHAPTER 2  REVIEW OF LITERATURE  E CHEMICAL NATURE OF SULPHUR IN SOILS

2.5	AGRONOMIC EF PASTURE	FECTIVENESS OF S <sup>O</sup> IN NEW ZEALAND	33
2.6	2.6.1 Measure 2.6.2 Measure	THODS FOR MEASURING S <sup>O</sup> OXIDATION	35 36 37 38 38
2.7	SUMMARY OF L OBJECTIVE	ITERATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH	39
		CHAPTER 3	
	EVALUATION OF ELEMENT	METHODS FOR MEASURING THE RATE OF CAL SULPHUR OXIDATION IN SOILS	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	·	41
3.2	OBJECTIVES		41
3.3	MATERIALS AN 3.3.1 Soils 3.3.1.1 3.3.1.2		42 42
	3.3.2 So Fertil	izerental Procedure	43 43 43
	3.3.4 Soil Ana 3.3.4.1 3.3.4.2 3.3.4.3 3.3.4.4 3.3.4.5	ılysis	46 46 47
3.4	RESULTS AND I 3.4.1 Evaluati Samples 3.4.1.1 3.4.1.2 3.4.1.3 3.4.1.4 3.4.1.5 3.4.1.6 3.4.1.7 3.4.1.8 3.4.1.9	OISCUSSION on of a Technique for Measuring the S <sup>O</sup> Content of  The proposed method Solubility of S <sup>O</sup> fertilizers in acetone Selectivity of acetone to S <sup>O</sup> . Effect of particle size and time of extraction on S solubility Reduction of S <sup>O</sup> by tin/HCl. Temperature of the reduction Effect of different soil drying methods. Size of soil sample required for extraction Technique adopted.	48 49 51 52 53 54

3.4.2	Potential 3.4.2.1 3.4.2.2 3.4.2.3 3.4.2.4	Effect of fertilizer history
3.5 CONCL	3.4.3.3 LUSIONS	Residual S <sup>O</sup>
		CHAPTER 4
		THODS FOR DETERMINING THE PARTICLE AL SULPHUR IN FERTILIZER MATERIALS
4.1 INTRO	DUCTION	80
4.2 OBJEC	TIVES	80
4.3 MATER 4.3.1 4.3.2	Materials	METHODS       81         Sample preparation       82         Moisture content       82         Dispersion techniques       82         A. Dispersion in water and wet sieving       82         B. Acid wash and dry sieving       83         C. Acid wash and wet sieving       83         D. Double acid wash and wet sieving       83         Elemental S determination       83         A. Loss on ignition       83         B. Solvent extraction       84         Solubility of gypsum and P fertilizer components in dilute HCl       84
4.4 RESUL 4.4.1	TS AND DIS Selection of Particle Siz 4.4.1.1	SCUSSION

4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.4.5	4.4.2.2 4.4.2.3 4.4.2.4 Effect of Fe Particle Siz Compariso Evaluation 4.4.5.1 4.4.5.2	Prilled forms of SO
4.4.6	Easters Af	fecting SO Particle Size in Sulphurized
	Superphos	phate 107 inded Methods 109
4.4.7		Sampling 109
	4.4.7.2	Analysis
	4.4.7.3	Dispersion
		A. Sulphurized phosphate fertilizer
	1171	Sieving
	4.4.7.4	A Alternative I - wet sieving110
		B. Alternative 2 - Dry sieving111
	4.4.7.5	Estimation of S <sup>O</sup> content
		A. Solvent extraction
CONCI	LUSION	
THE	OXIDATION INFLUEN	CHAPTER 5  N RATE OF S <sup>O</sup> IN INCUBATION SOILS AS CED BY FORM OF S <sup>O</sup> FERTILIZER
INTRO	DUCTION.	113
OBJEC	CTIVES	114
MATE 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4	Sources of 5.3.1.1 5.3.1.2 5.3.1.3 Fertilizer	METHODS
	4.4.3 4.4.4 4.4.5 4.4.6 4.4.7 CONCI THE 0 INTRO OBJEO MATE 5.3.1	4.4.2.2 4.4.2.3 4.4.2.3 4.4.2.4  4.4.3 Effect of F Particle Sizes 4.4.4 Comparison 4.4.5.1 4.4.5.2 4.4.5.3 4.4.6 Factors Af Superphos 4.4.7.1 4.4.7.2 4.4.7.3  4.4.7.4  4.4.7.5  CONCLUSION  THE OXIDATION INFLUEN  INTRODUCTION  MATERIALS AND 5.3.1 Sources of 5.3.1.1 5.3.1.2 5.3.1.3 5.3.2 Fertilizer 5.3.3 Particle S 5.3.4 Incubation 5.3.4.1

5.4		S AND DISCUSSIONSo Concentration in Fertilizer Materials	119
	5.4.1	So Concentration in Fertilizer Waterials	110
	5.4.2	Particle Size Analysis	120
		5.4.2.1 Dark S <sup>O</sup>	121
		5.4.2.2 Damman S <sup>0</sup>	121
		5.4.2.3 Other S <sup>O</sup> forms	121
	5.4.3	Oxidation Rates	122
	5. 1.5	5 4 3 1 Granules and Prills S <sup>O</sup>	122
		5.4.3.2 Ground S <sup>O</sup>	124
		5.4.3.3 Comparison between all S <sup>o</sup> forms	126
	5.4.4	Effect of RPR and Granulation of SO/RPR Mixtures	128
	5. 1. 1	5.4.4.1 Demonstration of effect	128
		5.4.4.1 Demonstration of effect	131
5.5	CONCLU	USION	132
		CHAPTER 6	
	THE FEFE	ECT OF PHOSPHATE FORM ON THE OXIDATION AN	ND.
	DIA	ANT AVAILABILITY OF ELEMENTAL SULPHUR	
	LLA		
	FLA		
6.1		VICTION	134
6.1	INTROD	DUCTION	
6.1 6.2	INTROD	DUCTION	
6.2	INTROD	TVES	135
	INTROD OBJECT MATER	IALS AND METHODS	135
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI	IALS AND METHODS	135
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2	IALS AND METHODS	135 135 135
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3	IALS AND METHODS	135 135 135 137
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	IALS AND METHODS	135135135137137
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis	135 135 137 137 137
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	IALS AND METHODS	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	IALS AND METHODS	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	IALS AND METHODS	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S	
6.2	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S  IS AND DISCUSSION Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer Form on SO Oxidation Effect of Plants on SO Oxidation	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5  6.3.6  RESULT 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S  IS AND DISCUSSION Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer Form on SO Oxidation Effect of Granulation	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S  IS AND DISCUSSION Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer Form on S <sup>O</sup> Oxidation Effect of Granulation Agronomic Effectiveness of S <sup>O</sup> Fertilizer	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5  6.3.6  RESULT 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S  IS AND DISCUSSION Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer Form on SO Oxidation Effect of Granulation Agronomic Effectiveness of SO Fertilizer 6.4.4.1 Dry matter yield	
6.2 6.3	INTROD OBJECT MATERI 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5  6.3.6  RESULT 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3	IALS AND METHODS Soils Fertilizers Fertilizer Manufacture Experimental Procedure Soil Analysis 6.3.5.1 Elemental and sulphate sulphur 6.3.5.2 Inorganic P fractionation 6.3.5.3 Estimation of PR dissolution 6.3.5.4 Rhodanese enzyme activity Herbage analysis 6.3.6.1 Dry matter yield 6.3.6.2 Herbage P and S  IS AND DISCUSSION Effect of Phosphate Fertilizer Form on S <sup>O</sup> Oxidation Effect of Granulation Agronomic Effectiveness of S <sup>O</sup> Fertilizer	

6.4	6.4.5	Phosphate 6.4.5.1 6.4.5.2 6.4.5.3	Fertilizers
			CHAPTER 7
TH	E EFFEC	r of the p e rate of	ARTICLE SIZE AND FORM OF FERTILIZER ON OXIDATION OF SURFACE APPLIED SO
7.1			165
7.2	OBJEC	TIVES	166
7.3	MATEI 7.3.1 7.3.2 7.3.3 7.3.4 7.3.5 7.3.6 7.3.7	Soils Preparation Fertilizer 7.3.3.1 7.3.3.2 Fertilizer Leaching Soil and I 7.3.6.1 7.3.6.2 7.3.6.3 Determin 7.3.7.1	D METHODS166on of Soil Cores168Manufacture169Isotopic labelling169Granular $S^{\circ}$ , $S^{\circ}$ /RPR and $S^{\circ}$ /PAPR170Application170Plant Analysis171 $S^{\circ}$ recovery171Extractable $SO_4$ -S171Herbage analysis171ation of $^{3\circ}S$ in Soil and Plant Samples172Establishing quench curves for liquid172Investigation of methods for improving the172Investigation of methods for improving the1751 Analysis178
7.4	RESUI 7.4.1 7.4.2	Effect of 7.4.1.1 7.4.1.2 7.4.1.3	ISCUSSION
7.5	CONC	LUSIONS .	213

### **CHAPTER 8**

# MODELLING SO OXIDATION RATES IN THE FIELD SOILS

8.1	INTROL	DUCTION	215
8.2	DEFINIT 8.2.1 8.2.2	NG THE POTENTIAL OXIDATION RATE (Kmax) Simulation Models Using Constant K Values Calculating K Values from Sequences of Experimental	218
8.3	Construct Rates Ob 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3	ction of a S <sup>O</sup> Oxidation Simulation Model to Explain S <sup>O</sup> oserved in Field Trials	226 227 228 and
8.4	RESULT	rs and discussion	232
8.5	CONCL	USIONS	244
		CHAPTER 9	
		SUMMARY	
9.1	INTROD	DUCTION	246
9.2	METHO	DOLOGY	246
9.3	FERTIL	IZER FORM AND SO OXIDATION RATE	247
9.4	MODEL	LING S <sup>o</sup> OXIDATION	248
BIBLIO	GRAPHY		251
APPENI	DICES		265

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The S cycle5
Figure 2.2	Effect of temperature and on S <sup>o</sup> oxidation in two Canadian soils (Janzen and Bettany, 1987a)
Figure 2.3	Percentage of maximum S <sup>O</sup> oxidaiton as a function of percent maximum water holding capacity (re-expressed from Moser and Olson, 1953 by Shedly, 1982)
Figure 2.4	Effect of soil temperature and water potential on S <sup>O</sup> oxidation in a Canadian soil (Janzen and Bettany, 1987a)19
Figure 2.5	Effect of rate of S <sup>o</sup> application on extractable sulphate-S produced during a 6-day incubation (Janzen and Bettany, 1987b)
Figure 3.1	The layering of soil and fertilized soil in the glasshouse trial
Figure 3.2	The effect of temperature and time on the recovery of S <sup>O</sup> by Tin/HCl reduction
Figure 3.3	The effect of soil type, S fertilizer history and inoculation time on the rhodanese enzyme activity in soil (laboratory incubation)
Figure 3.4	Effect of S <sup>O</sup> addition on rhodanese enzyme activity in incubated soil samples that had no recent history of S <sup>O</sup> fertilizer use (laboratory incubation)
Figure 3.5	Rhodanese activities of clover rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere soil in pots fertilized with different SO fertilizers
Figure 3.6	Relationship between initial rhodanese enzyme activities and the amounts of S <sup>O</sup> oxidised during the first 30 days of the incubation (laboratory incubation). Numbers refer to soil codes in Table 3.1
Figure 3.7	Relationship between the rate of S <sup>O</sup> oxidation on the RA measured at every harvest date for previously unfertilized samples of Makotuku and Tokomaru soil (glasshouse experiment - no plants)
Figure 3.8	Differences in the amounts of 0.04M Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> extractable sulphur between S <sup>o</sup> amended soil and control soil in the laboratory incubation
Figure 3.9	Relationship between percentage S <sup>o</sup> oxidation, measured by acetone extractable residual S <sup>o</sup> and 0.04M Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> extractable SO <sub>4</sub> -S in the absence of plants (laboratory incubation)

Figure 3.10	The amounts of acetone extractable sulphur in S <sup>o</sup> sulphur amended soil (laboratory incubation)
Figure 3.11	Soil sulphate-S levels in Tokomaru soil in the presence and absence of plants during the glasshouse incubation and plant growth experiment
Figure 3.12	A comparison of the amounts of S <sup>o</sup> oxidised calculated from measurements of residual S <sup>o</sup> or from extractable sulphate (above control soil) during the growth of clover plants in the Tokomaru soil (Glasshouse Trial)
Figure 3.13	Residual amounts of S <sup>O</sup> in Tokomaru and Makotuku soils in the presence and absence of plants during the glasshouse experiment
Figure 4.1	The relationship between the amount of P recovered in 10% HCl extracts and the amount added91
Figure 4.2	The effect of increasing amounts of MCP on the amount of gypsum dissolved in 10% HCl extracts
Figure 4.3	Relationship between the amount of S <sup>O</sup> in a range of fertilizers measured by acetone extraction and by loss on ignition
Figure 4.4	S particle size distribution in sulphurized superphosphates measured by three techniques. Water dispersion/wet sieving (WW), acid dispersion/wet sieving (AW) and acid dispersion/dry sieving (AD)
Figure 4.5	The relationship between increasing S content in sulphurized superphosphates and decreasing percentage S less than 250 µm in diameter
Figure 5.1	Percentage of S <sup>O</sup> remaining when prilled and granular forms of S <sup>O</sup> are incubated with soil
Figure 5.2	Percentage of S <sup>O</sup> remaining when different S <sup>O</sup> sources are incubated with soil
Figure 5.3	Percentage of S <sup>O</sup> remaining when S <sup>O</sup> sources are incubated in soil with and without reactive phosphate rock129
Figure 5.4	The relationship between surface area of S <sup>O</sup> applied and total amount of S oxidized at 10 weeks
Figure 6.1	The amounts of S <sup>O</sup> remaining in Tokomaru soil fertilized with various S <sup>O</sup> fertilizers in the presence (a) and absence (b) of plants
Figure 6.2	The amounts of S <sup>o</sup> remaining in Makotuku soil fertilized with various S <sup>o</sup> fertilizers in the presence (a) and absence (b) of plants

Figure 6.3a	The plant uptake of P from Tokomaru soil fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.3b	The plant uptake of P from Makotuku soil fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.4a	The plant uptake of S from Tokomaru soil fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.4b	The plant uptake of S from Makotuku soil fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.5a	The amounts of soil sulphate extracted from Tokomaru soil (plant with clover) fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.5b	The amounts of soil sulphate extracted from Makotuku soil (planted with clover) fertilized with various P and S fertilizers
Figure 6.6a	The amounts of soil sulphate extracted from Tokomaru soil (without plants) fertilized with various P and S fertilizers158
Figure 6.6b	The amounts of soil sulphate extracted from Makotuku soil (without plants) fertilized with various P and S fertilizers159
Figure 7.1	The relationship between the activity of <sup>35</sup> S counted before and after the removal of acetone by evaporation176
Figure 7.2	Relationship between the number of acetone evaporations and the activity of <sup>35</sup> S cpm remaining in the sample177
Figure 7.3a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the dry matter yield of herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.3b	The effect of S <sup>O</sup> particle size on the dry matter yield of herbage grown in Ramiha and Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.4a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on cumulative uptake of S by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.4b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on cumulative uptake of S by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.5a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of <sup>35</sup> S taken up by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.5b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of <sup>35</sup> S by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions

Figure 7.6a	The effect of S <sup>O</sup> particle size on the percent of plant S derived from fertilizer S (SDFF) for herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.6b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of plant S derived from fertilizer S (SDFF) for herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.7	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of oxidized S <sup>o</sup> taken up by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions at 180 days
Figure 7.8a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the dry matter yield of herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.8b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the dry matter yield of herbage grown in (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.9a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the cumulative S uptake by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.9b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the cumulative S uptake by herbage grown in (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.10a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the percentage uptake of <sup>35</sup> S by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.10b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the percentage uptake of <sup>35</sup> S by herbage grown in (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 7.11a	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the percentage of plant S derived from fertilizer S (SDFF) for herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions207
Figure 7.11b	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> granulation and S <sup>o</sup> /RPR granulation on the percentage of plant S derived from fertilizer S (SDFF) for herbage grown in (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions

Figure 7.12a	The effect of S <sup>O</sup> granulation and S <sup>O</sup> /RPR granulation on the percentage of oxidised S <sup>O</sup> taken up by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under glasshouse conditions
Figure 7.12b	The percentage of oxidised <sup>35</sup> S <sup>o</sup> taken up by herbage grown in undisturbed soil cores of (a) Ramiha and (b) Tokomaru soils under field conditions
Figure 8.1	The relationship between the predicted and measured percentage of S <sup>o</sup> remaining in undisturbed cores of Tokomaru soil
Figure 8.2	The measured and predicted percentage S <sup>o</sup> remaining in Tokomaru soil cores (glasshouse experiment, Chapter 7). The predicted values were calculated using Kmax measured on the Ramiha soil (Kmax = 18 µg S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) and adjusted for the moisture content.
Figure 8.3a	The simple relationship between the rate of S <sup>O</sup> oxidation (fraction of Kmax and temperature, derived from the experiment of Shedley (1982)
Figure 8.3b	The calculated field soil surface temperature and maximum air temperature, minimum air temperature, soil temperature at 10 and 30 cm depth in Tokomaru soil over the experimental period
Figure 8.4a	The relationship between the predicted and measured percentage of S <sup>o</sup> remaining in the Tokomaru soil at 180 days (under glasshouse conditions)
Figure 8.4b	The relationship between predicted and measured S <sup>o</sup> remaining (percent) in the Ramiha soil at 180 days (under glasshouse conditions). The predicted values were calculated using a Kmax of 18 ug S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> and accounting for different climate effects
Figures 8.5	The measured and the predicted amounts of S <sup>o</sup> remaining in Warepa soil (field experiment; Lee et al., 1987) using the surface area S <sup>o</sup> oxidation models
Figure 8.6a	The relationship between the measured amounts of S <sup>o</sup> remaining for the <150 um particle size range in Warepa soil (field experiment; Lee et al., 1987) and that predicted by surface area (a) surface area x moisture (b) and surface area x moisture x temperature (c) S model
Figure 8.6b	The relationship between the measured amounts of S <sup>O</sup> remaining for all particle size range in Warepa soil (field experiment; Lee et al., 1987) and that predicted by surface area (a) surface area x moisture (b) and surface area x moisture x temperature (c) S model
Figure 8.7	The difference between the observed and predicted amount of S <sup>o</sup> remaining using the surface area S model for all

		xvii
	particle size ranges in Warepa soil (calculated over all sampling dates)	239
Figure 8.8	The difference between the observed and predicted amount of S <sup>O</sup> remaining using the surface area x moisture x temperature S model for all particle size range in Warepa soil (calculated over all sampling dates)	240

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	S <sup>O</sup> oxidation rates per unit area (μg SA <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> soil) taken from a range of published studies in which the effects of application rate (μg g <sup>-1</sup> ) and applied S <sup>O</sup> surface area (cm <sup>-2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> soil) were examined
Table 3.1	Fertilizer history of soil samples used in the incubation study42
Table 3.2	The particle size distribution of the S <sup>o</sup> fertilizer used43
Table 3.3	S <sup>o</sup> content (% w/w) of different S <sup>o</sup> forms measured by extracting with either toluene or acetone
Table 3.4	Solubility of elemental sulphur in aqueous acetone at 25°C (Linke, 1958)
Table 3.5	Recovery of S <sup>O</sup> in acetone extracts of S <sup>O</sup> of different particle size at three different shaking times
Table 3.6	S <sup>o</sup> recovery by HI and tin/HCl reduction
Table 3.7	Percent of S <sup>O</sup> extracted from soil by acetone as influenced by different soil drying methods (40 µg S <sup>O</sup> added per g of moist soil)
Table 3.8	Elemental S recovery of surface application on the undisturbed soil cores as influenced by SO particle size and rate of application
Table 4.1	Percent moisture content, total P and S <sup>O</sup> content of the range of fertilizers used for S <sup>O</sup> particle size analysis
Table 4.2	Solubility of calcium sulphate in hydrochloric acid solutions (Linke, 1958)
Table 4.3	The amount of residue remaining after mixtures of MCP or NCPR with Gypsum were shaken for 16 h in different dispersants (40 ml)
Table 4.4	The amount of added and recovered P and S after HCl extraction of various MCP and gypsum mixtures90
Table 4.5	The amounts of added and recovered P and S after 10% HCl extraction of various MCP/NCPR gypsum mixtures and the calculated final acid concentration
Table 4.6	Total S <sup>O</sup> content as analysed by loss on ignition and solvent extraction on the fertilizers samples after water or acid washed compared to the whole sample analysis99
Table 4.7	Particle size analysis of non-water soluble and non-acid soluble residues of a range of sulphurized superphosphates

Table 4.8	Particle size analysis of non-water soluble and non-acid soluble residues of a range of sulphurized partially acidulated phosphate rocks
Table 4.9	Particle size analysis of non-water soluble and non-acid soluble residues of prilled S <sup>o</sup> fertilizers
Table 4.10	The effect of solvent and fertilizer to solvent ratio on the measurement of the S particle size distribution in Rockphos S <sup>o</sup>
Table 4.11	The residue weight and S <sup>O</sup> particle size distribution of fertilizer as dispersed by single and double 10% HCl105
Table 5.1	Form and origins of S <sup>o</sup> materials115
Table 5.2	The fertilizer treatment and their percent SO content117
Table 5.3	The fertilizer treatments and the amounts of S <sup>O</sup> , Ca and P added to the soil
Table 5.4	Particle size fractions of the fertilizers obtained by dry sieving as received materials and wet sieving water dispersed materials
Table 5.5	Calculated surface area of S <sup>o</sup> per g soil (cm <sup>2</sup> ), and the amount of S <sup>o</sup> oxidised and the specific oxidation rate (K, µg S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) at 10 weeks periods
Table 5.6	The percentage of $S^{O}$ oxidised and the specific oxidation rates of $S^{O}$ in different lime and P levels ( $L_1 = 26.6 \text{ mg}, L_2 = 13.3 \text{ mg}, L_3 = 6.7 \text{mg}$ )
Table 6.1	Some physical and chemical characteristics of the Tokomaru and Makotuku soils
Table 6.2	Particle size of the S <sup>O</sup> and RPR used. The amount of material collected on each sieve is expressed as a percentage of the total amount of material recovered from all sieves. The method used was a dry sieving method
Table 6.3	Solubility in 2% formic acid (in a 30 min. extraction) of the ground NCPR (Officer, 1990)
Table 6.4	The rate of P and S applied to the fertilizered soil zone in each treatment and the distribution of P within different soil P fractions at the beginning of the experiment
Table 6.5	The B <sup>^</sup> parameter of the exponential decay function fitted to observed amounts of S <sup>O</sup> remaining at each harvest and the amounts of S <sup>O</sup> oxidised calculated from the equation
Table 6.6	The accumulated dry matter, plant P and S concentration and accumulated P and S uptake from Tokomaru and Makotuku soils fertilised with various S and P fertilizers149

Table 6.7	The amounts of inorganic P fractions at the initial (t=0 days) and final sampling (t=98 days) in Tokomaru and Makotuku soil fertilized with various S and P fertilizers in the presence and absence of white clover plants
Table 6.8	The dissolution of P (%) from different residual P forms as affected by S <sup>o</sup> oxidation in Tokomaru and Makotuku soils
Table 7.1	Location and soil description of the Ramiha and Tokomaru trial sites
Table 7.2	Fertilizer treatments and the amounts of S and <sup>35</sup> S applied to the surfaces of intact soil cores
Table 7.3	Effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of added S <sup>o</sup> remaining in the 0-3 cm soil depth at each harvest (Nongranulated materials)
Table 7.4	Mean recovery of S <sup>O</sup> (%) at 180 days from the 0-3 cm depth of intact soil cores of the glasshouse and field trial with both Ramiha and Tokomaru soils (A. group means for all S <sup>O</sup> treatments, B. individual treatment means for both soils)
Table 7.5	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the percentage of <sup>35</sup> S <sup>o</sup> recovered in acetone from the 0-3 cm of soil cores of Tokomaru and Ramiha soils in the glasshouse and field trial (Non-granulated materials)
Table 7.6	The effect of S <sup>o</sup> particle size on the Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> extractable SO <sub>4</sub> (mg core <sup>-1</sup> ) in whole soil cores (A) and SO <sub>4</sub> concentration (µg g <sup>-1</sup> soil) of the top 0-3 cm soil depth (B) of Tokomaru and Ramiha soil (Nongranulated materials)184
Table 7.7	The effect of S <sup>O</sup> particle size on herbage S concentration (%) grown on intact cores of Tokomaru and Ramiha soil (Nongranulated materials)
Table 7.8	Effect of fertilizer form on the percentage of added S <sup>O</sup> remaining in the 0-3 cm soil depth at each harvest (Granulated materials)
Table 7.9	The effect fertilizer form on the percentage of <sup>35</sup> S <sup>o</sup> recovered in acetone from the 0-3 cm of soil cores of Tokomaru and Ramiha soils in the glasshouse and field trial
Table 7.10	The effect of fertilizer form on the total Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> extractable SO <sub>4</sub> (mg core <sup>-1</sup> ) in whole soil cores (A) and extractable SO <sub>4</sub> concentration (µg g <sup>-1</sup> soil) of the top 0-3 cm depth (B) of Tokomaru and Ramiha soil
Table 7.11	The effect of fertilizer form on herbage S concentration (%) in Tokomaru and Ramiha soil

\* \_\_\_\_

Table 7.12	The calculated (best fit) specific oxidation rates (µg S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ) of surface applied S <sup>o</sup> fertilizers in the glasshouse and field trial	213
Table 8.1	The least square fitted K values calculated from either using the constant change in $\Delta r$ or using K in equation (8.4b) of the surface applied $S^0$ to the Tokomaru and Ramiha undisturbed soil cores of glasshouse trial	219
Table 8.2	The best fit (least square fit) specific S <sup>o</sup> oxidation rates (K, µg S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) of different S <sup>o</sup> forms and particle sizes from the incubation, glasshouse and field experiments carried out in Chapter 5, 6 and 7	221
Table 8.3	The best least square fit of specific S <sup>o</sup> oxidation rates (K, µg S <sup>o</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) of different S <sup>o</sup> particle sizes in field experiments in (A) the cool temperate region at Invermay, South Island (Lee et al., 1987) and (B) the warm temperate region of the North Island at Huntly (Lee et al., 1988). R <sup>2</sup> value in parentheses calculated by comparing the observbed and predicted S <sup>o</sup> remaining at each sampling date	242
Table 8.4	K values calculated from the observed amounts of the <150 um S <sup>O</sup> size fraction remaining at the 4 field sites using 3 different particle size distributions	244