Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

#### SOME STUDIES ON DIFFUSION

#### IN MACROMOLECULAR SOLUTIONS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Massey University

CRAIG MALCOLM TROTTER

#### ABSTRACT

The construction and performance of a Pulsed Field Gradient system for use with a commercial, high-resolution, Fourier-Transform NMR spectrometer The self-diffusion coefficient of benzene as measured by is described. the calibrated system is in agreement with the current literature value, within the overall experimental error of the system (+2%). The use of an external lock in conjunction with signal averaging facilitates the measurement of self-diffusion coefficients for solution components in small concentrations. During signal accumulations, the system exhibits freedom from the spin-echo phase and envelope instabilities mentioned as sources of error even in recent publications dealing with the Pulsed Field Gradient technique (e.g. von Meerwall et al., 1979). The ability of the system to investigate dilute solutions is demonstrated by measurements made on 0.5% (w/v) solutions of polystyrene in carbon tetrachloride. Homogeneity coils included in the NMR probe have allowed the self-diffusion coefficients of some single components in multicomponent systems to be investigated, and results for the binary system butanol-benzene are presented.

Polymer self-diffusion coefficients have been obtained for 110,000 molecular weight random-coil polystyrene in the solvents carbon tetrachloride, deuterated-chloroform and deuterated-toluene. The Pulsed Field Gradient NMR method was used for the measurements, and the polystyrene concentrations ranged from 0.5% (w/v) to 25% (w/v). For each solvent a concentration regime is found in which the de Gennes' polymer self-diffusion scaling law is obeyed; and the upper concentration limit at which this scaling law breaks down is defined. The self-diffusion coefficient of polystyrene in the solvent deutero-benzene has also been determined, and is shown to agree with Forced Rayleigh Scattering selfdiffusion results for similar molecular weight polystyrenes in normal In contrast, values of the self-diffusion coefficient obtained benzene. for polystyrene random-coils by calculation from sedimentation data are shown to differ significantly from those directly determined. The mutual diffusion coefficients of the polystyrene solutions have been obtained from Quasi-Elastic Laser Light-Scattering experiments. These mutual diffusion coefficients do not approach the directly measured selfdiffusion coefficients even at concentrations where the random-coils are on average well separated. It is proposed that migrating polymers must suffer transient entanglement effects over the experimental time scales

employed in the diffusion measurements.

Quasi-Elastic Laser Light-Scattering has also been used to measure the diffusion coefficient of polystyrene latex spheres in 0.01M and 0.001M sodium chloride. Experiments were conducted over the latex sphere concentration range 0.004% (w/v) to 4.46% (w/v), and several measurements were also made for low concentrations of latex spheres in triply distilled water. The diffusion coefficient was found to be ionic strength dependent over the entire concentration range studied. Solutions of polystyrene spheres at moderate concentrations exhibit the phenomenon of multiple scattering. The available literature on multiple scattering is reviewed and criteria adopted for the reliable interpretation of data collected during experiments on these solutions. The diffusion coefficients so obtained show substantial agreement with the mutualdiffusion coefficient results of Anderson et al., obtained by a capillary The conclusion reached in this section of the penetration technique. work is that Quasi-Elastic Laser Light-Scattering is able to provide a measure of the mutual diffusion coefficient in the presence of interactions between charged macromolecules. This conclusion is seen to be in accord with earlier laser light-scattering studies on solutions of the protein Bovine Serum Albumin, provided that a reassessment of available mutual diffusion data on these systems is undertaken.

iii

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation for the guidance and careful instruction given by my Chief Supervisor, Dr D.N. Pinder, during the course of this research project. It is also a pleasure to thank Dr P.T. Callaghan, not only for his invaluable advice during the joint supervision of this work, but also for constructing part of the Pulsed Field Gradient apparatus.

I am indebted to many members of the Science Faculty for helpful discussions, and I would particularly like to thank Mr R.C. O'Driscoll for advice concerning the electronic projects undertaken. The financial support of Massey University during the course of this thesis is also appreciated.

I am grateful to Mr N. Foote of the Mechanical Workshop for his cheerful co-operation in performing many tasks requiring his considerable technical expertise. The assistance of Mr R. Parsons and the Electronic Workshop staff is also appreciated.

The typists, Mesdames Maryanne Nation and Wendy Sigvertson are thanked for their patience and assistance. Particular thanks are due to Maryanne Nation for concluding the typing of this thesis.

				PAGE
ABSTRACT				ii
ACKNOWLEDGEME	NTS			iv
TABLE OF CONT	ENTS			v
LIST OF FIGUR	ES			ix
LIST OF TABLE	S			xii
LIST OF PLATE	S			xiii
LIST OF SYMBO	LS			xiv
CHAPTER 1 - I	NTRODUCT	ION		1
1.1.	RESEARC	CH GOALS		1
1.2.	DIFFUSI	ON IN MACROMOLECULAR SOLUTIONS	77	3
	1.2.a.	Definition of Diffusion Coefficients		3
	1.2.b.	The Direct Measurement of Macromolecular		
		Diffusion Coefficients		5
	1.2.c.	Diffusion Coefficient Measurement in		
		This Thesis		7
CHAPTER 2 - T	HE LASER	LIGHT-SCATTERING EXPERIMENT		12
2.1.	HISTORI	CAL SKETCH AND INTRODUCTION		12
2.2.	THEORY	REVIEW		15
	2.2.a.	The Laser Light-Scattering Experiment		15
	2.2.b.	The Homodyne Intensity Autocorrelation		
		Function for a Very Dilute, Monodisperse		
		Solution of Small Macromolecules		17
	2.2.c.	The Photocount Analysis Method		19
	2.2.d.	The Method of Cumulants: Application to		
		Data Obtained from Concentrated Solutions		
		of Macromolecules		23
	2.2.e.	Implications of the Angular Dependence of		
		the Intensity Autocorrelation Function		
		Decay Rate		26
2.3.	INSTRUM	ENTATION		29
	2.3.a.	A Digital Correlator with Blinker Facility		29
	2.3.b.	Considerations for Photomultipliers as		
		Photodetectors		32
	2.3.c.	The Laser Spectrometer		33

v

vi

1

CHAPTER 3 - THE PULSED FIELD GRADIENT EXPERIMENT

3.	1.	INTRODU	CTION: THE NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE	39
		EXPERIM	ENT	
		3.1.a.	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	39
		3.1.b.	The Formation of Spin-Echoes	43
3.	2.	DIFFUSI	ON COEFFICIENT MEASUREMENT BY NUCLEAR	
		MAGNETI	C RESONANCE	45
		3.2.a.	The Attenuation of Spin-Echoes Due to	
			Diffusion	45
		3.2.b.	The Pulsed Field Gradient Nuclear Magnetic	
			Resonance Experiment	46
	_ T)		TATION FOR A DUICED FIFID CRADIENT EVDERIMENT	51
	1	TNTRODU	CTION	51
ч. Ц	2	DESTON	AND CONSTRUCTION OF INSTRUMENTATION	54
т.	2.	<u>ц</u> 2 э	Probe and Gradient Coil Design	54
		ч.2.а. ц 2 Ъ	A Digital Pulse Programmen for Pulsed Field	54
		T.2.D.	Cradient Experiments	62
		4.2 c	A Power Supply for Pulsed Field Gradient	02
		1.2.0.	Ceneration	65
		4.2.d.	The NMR Spectrometer	71
4.	3.	EXPERIM	ENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	75
		4.3.a.	Sample Related Considerations	75
		4.3.b.	Signal Related Considerations	75
		4.3.c.	Restrictions on Gradient Pulse Parameters	76
		4.3.d.	Accumulation and Pulse Repetition Time Effects	80
4.	4.	MAGNETI	C FIELD GRADIENT CALIBRATION	82
		4.4.a.	Field Gradient and RF Pulse Profiles	82
		4.4.b.	Calibration and Reproducibility of the	
			Field Gradient	84
		4.4.c.	Concluding Remarks	88
CHAPTER 5	- Tł	HE STUDY	OF DIFFUSION IN RANDOM-COIL POLYSTYRENE	
	S	DLUTIONS	: EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS	93
5.	1.	INTRODU	CTION	93
5.	2.	THE PUL	SED FIELD GRADIENT NUCLEAR MAGNETIC	
		RESONAN	CE EXPERIMENTS	94
		5.2.a.	Preparation of Samples for the PFGNMR Study	94
		5.2.b.	Analysis of PFGNMR Data	94

			VI
			PAGE
	5.2.c.	The Polystyrene Self-Diffusion Coefficients	95
	5.2.d.	Self-Diffusion of Chloroform in Polystyrene	98
5.3.	THE QUA	SI-ELASTIC LASER LIGHT-SCATTERING EXPERIMENTS	103
	5.3.a.	Application of the Blinker to Concentrated	
		Polymer Solutions	103
	5.3.b.	Preparation of Samples for the QELS Study	104
	5.3.c.	Spectrometer Related Considerations	106

- 5.3.d. Spurious Contributions to the Intensity Autocorrelation Function 5.3.e. Analysis of QELS Data
- 5.3.f. The Polystyrene Solution Mutual Diffusion Coefficients 114

#### CHAPTER 6 - DIFFUSION IN RANDOM-COIL POLYSTYRENE SOLUTIONS:

118 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 6.1. INTRODUCTION 118 6.2. THE dE GENNES' SCALING LAW FOR POLYMER SELF-DIFFUSION 119 6.3. DIFFUSION IN RANDOM-COIL POLYSTYRENE SOLUTIONS: 122 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 6.3.a. Experimental Results and the de Gennes' Scaling Law 122 6.3.b. Direct and Indirect Measurements of Polystyrene Self-Diffusion Coefficients 124 6.3.c. A Comparison of Macroscopic and QELS Determinations of the Mutual Diffusion Coefficient 126 6.3.d. A Comparison of Self and Mutual Diffusion Coefficients in Polymer Solutions 128

### CHAPTER 7 - POLYSTYRENE LATEX SPHERE DIFFUSION: A LASER LIGHT-

S	CATTERIN	<u>G</u> STUDY	135
7.1.	INTRODU	CTION	135
7.2.	THE EFF	ECT OF MULTIPLE SCATTERING	137
7.3.	THE EXP	ERIMENTAL STUDY	139
	7.3.a.	Sample Preparation	139
	7.3.b.	Use of the Blinker	140
	7.3.c.	Data Analysis	141
	7.3.d.	The Concentrated Solution Results	147
	7.3.e.	The Very Dilute Solution Results	151

107

7.4.	COMPARI	SON OF RESULTS	158
	7.4.a.	Theories of Macro-ion Transport in the	
		Presence of a Concentration Gradient	158
	7.4.b.	Application of Macro-ion Transport	
		Theories to Latex Sphere Solutions	161
	7.4.c.	Interpretation of Data Obtained at High	
		Ionic Strength	163
	7.4.d.	Interpretation of Data Obtained at Low	
		Ionic Strength	165
	7.4.e.	Comparison with Other Work	167
CHAPTER 8 - C	ONCLUSIO	NS	169
	8.1.a.	Concluding Remarks	169

8.1.b. Towards an Improved PFGNMR Experiment 172

# APPENDICES

4

Appendix 1	-	The Magnetic Field Due to an Opposed	
		Helmholtz Pair in the Presence of	
		Magnet Pole-pices	176
Appendix 2	-	The Digital Pulse Programmer	179
Appendix 3	-	Auxilary Tables	181
Appendix 4	-	Auxilary Figures	189
Appendix 5	-	A 20MHz Digital-Analogue Ratemeter	192
Appendix 6	-	A Pulsed Magnetic Field Gradient	
		Sequence for Eddy Current Minimisation	195
Appendix 7	-	Published Work	199

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

		PAGE
Figure 1.1.	A comparison of QELS and diffusion cell	
_	measurements.	9
Figure 2.1.	A schematic representation of the laser light	
	scattering experiment.	16
Figure 2.2.	The relationship between the full and clipped	
	photocount.	22
Figure 2.3	A schematic circuit diagram of the digital	
	autocorrelator	30
Figure 2.4	A schematic diagram of the laser spectrometer	34
Figure 2.5.	The photomultiplier tube and housing.	36
Figure 3.1.	The spin-echo experiment.	41
Figure 3.2.	The pulsed magnetic field gradient experiment.	47
Figure 4.1.	The NMR probe circuitry.	56
Figure 4.2.	The coil shape for the generation of uniform	
	magnetic field gradients.	59
Figure 4.3.	The sample space geometry, and sample holder.	60
Figures 4.4.	The TTL pulse timer schematic circuit diagram.	63,64
Figure 4.5.	A schematic circuit diagram of the Kepco power	
	supply.	67
Figure 4.6.	Programming the output current of the Kepco power	
	supply by stepping the reference voltage.	68
Figures 4.7.	The circuitry used in this work for the generation	
	of magnetic field gradient pulses.	70
Figure 4.8.	A block diagram of the JEOL JNM-FX 60 NMR	
	spectrometer.	72
Figure 4.9.	Investigation of the effect of eddy currents on	
	the PFGNMR experiment.	78
Figure 4.10.	Magnetic field gradient and rf field magnitude-	
	profiles along the sample y-axis.	83
Figure 4.11.	The magnetic field gradient calibration experiment:	
	the spin-echo attenuation plot for water.	85
Figure 4.12.	The spin-echo attenuation plot for Analar benzene.	87
Figure 4.13.	The spin-echo attenuation plot for Analar glycerol.	89

.

			PAGE
Figure	4.14.	The <sup>1</sup> H frequency domain NMR spectrum of an	
		equi-molar mixture of benzene and butanol.	91
Figure	4.15.	Spin-echo attenuation data for pure benzene,	
		pure butanol and an equi-molar mixture.	92
Figure	5.1.	The <sup>1</sup> H frequency domain NMR spectrum of 0.75gm%	
	5 0	polystyrene in D-toluene.	96
Figure	5.2.	The spin-echo attenuation plot for 1.5gm%,	
		110,000M polystyrene in D-toluene.	97
Figure	5.3.	The self-diffusion coefficients of 110,000M	
		polystyrene, as a function of concentration, in	
		the solvents $CCl_4$ , $CDCl_3$ and D-toluene.	99
Figure	5.4.	The spin-echo attenuation plot for "doped" water.	100
Figure	5.5.	The self-diffusion coefficients of chloroform	
		in polystyrene solutions.	102
Figure	5.6.	The diffusion coefficients of 390,000M	
		polystyrene in butanone, measured by QELS in	
		the very dilute concentration regime.	109
Figure	5.7.	The signal-to-noise ratio obtainable in QELS	
		experiments at the lowest polystyrene concentration	
		studied.	111
Figure	5.8.	Plots showing the multi-exponential nature of	
		the IAFs encountered in the study of the sample	5
		2.0gm% 110,000M, polystyrene in CCl <sub>11</sub> .	113
Figure	5.9.	Cumulant plots for the sample 2.0gm% polystyrene	
		in CCl <sub>u</sub> .	115
Figure	5.10.	Mutual diffusion coefficients obtained by QELS	
		for solutions of 110,000M_ polystyrene in the	
		solvents CDC1 <sub>3</sub> and CC1 <sub>4</sub> .	116
Figure	6.1.	Entanglement phenomena in the polymer gel regime:	
		the de Gennes' model.	120
Figure	6.2.	The self-diffusion coefficients of 110,000M	
		polystyrene in various solvents, normalised to	
		solvent viscosity.	123
Figure	6.3.	A comparison of directly and indirectly measured	
_		self-diffusion coefficients of random-coil	
		polystyrene.	125
		<u> </u>	0

Figure	6.4.	A comparison of $D_0$ values obtained by wedge	
		interferometry with results from QELS studies.	127
Figure	6.5.	A comparison of mutual- and self-diffusion	
		coefficients for 110,000M polystyrene in CCl <sub>4</sub> .	129
Figure	6.6.	A comparison of mutual- and self-diffusion	
		coefficients for 110,000M polystyrene in	
		CDC13.	130
Figure	6.7.	A comparison of mutual- and self-diffusion	
		coefficients for $110,000M_{W}$ polystyrene in the	
		solvents toluene and D-toluene.	131
Figure	7.1.	Plots showing the presence of both long and	
		short decays in the NIAFs obtained from a QELS	
		study of a concentrated solution of polystyrene	
		latex spheres.	142
Figure	7.2.	A plot showing the linear dependence of the long-	
		time decay rate on $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ , for a concentrated	
		solution of polystyrene latex spheres.	145
Figure	7.3.	The concentration dependence of the quantity	
		with the dimensions of a diffusion coefficient	
		which was obtained from QELS studies of concentrated	1
		polystyrene latex sphere solutions.	148
Figure	7.4.	Plots showing the linear dependence of the long-	
		time decay rate on $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ , for representative	
		samples.	149
Figure	7.5.	As for Figure 7.4.	
Figure	7.6.	The very dilute regime latex sphere diffusion	
		coefficients.	152
Figure	7.7.	The diameter distribution of the nominally	
		0.085 $\mu$ m diameter latex spheres as determined by	
		transmission electron microscopy.	156
Figure	7.8.	A comparison of QELS measurements from the	
		concentrated latex sphere solution study with	
		$\mathtt{D}_{M}$ values obtained by the technique of capillary	
		penetration.	166
Figure	8.1.	A ramped-pulse, field gradient pulse sequence for	

eddy-current minimisation.

,

xi

PAGE

## LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table 2.1.	Specifications of the laser light-scattering	$\sim$
	spectrometer.	35
Table 4.1.	The effect of eddy currents on the measured	
	diffusion coefficient.	79
Table 4.2.	Checks for the consistency of the attenuation	
	ratio under various rf and magnetic field	
	gradient pulse conditions.	81
Table 4.3.	Gradient coil calibration experimental data.	86
Table 5.1.	Details of the data analysis from the samples	
	0.26gm% and 2.0gm% polystyrene in CCl <sub>4</sub> .	112
Table 6.1.	A comparison of intermolecular spacings, radii	
	of gyration, and experimental molecular	
	displacements encountered at two different	
	concentrations in 110,000M polystyrene solutions. $_{\rm W}$	133
Table 7.1.	Examples of correlator off-to-on times	
	encountered in a study of concentrated latex	
	sphere solutions.	143
Table 7 2	Details of the data analysis for the sample	
	2 15gm% polystypene later spheres in 0 001M	
	sodium chloride	146
Table 7 3	Diffusion coefficients determined by OFIS	140
TADIE /.J.	in the yeary dilute later aphone concentration	
	in the very difute fatex sphere concentration	150
	Theoretical and encominants, we have at h	161
ladie /.4.	ineoretical and experimental values of K.	104

xii

# LIST OF PLATES

# PAGE

\*\*

Plate 1 - The low resolution NMR probe used in this work.	61
Plate 2 - The JEOL JNM-FX60 spectrometer, and instrumentatio	n
for the PFGNMR experiment.	73

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

DS	-	macromolecular self-diffusion coefficient.
DSolv	5_4	solvent self-diffusion coefficient.
DM	-	macromolecular solution mutual-diffusion coefficient.
2		
r	376	mean-squared displacement.
J	-	the flow of solute molecules, per unit time, across
		a unit area perpendicular to that flow.
С	-	macromolecular concentration.
Do	-	infinite dilution macromolecular diffusion coefficient.
<sup>k</sup> B	-	Boltzmann constant (1.38x10 <sup>-23</sup> joule.deg <sup>-1</sup> ).
Т	-	absolute temperature, or correlator sample time.
f <sub>0</sub>	-	frictional coefficient per macromolecule at infinite
		solute dilution.
R	-	non-hydrated hard sphere radius.
π	-	the constant 3.14159.
η <sub>ο</sub>	-	the solvent viscosity.
M,,M	-	the weight and number average molecular weights.
R <sub>11</sub>	-	the hydrodynamic sphere radius.
D <sup>+</sup> S	_	indirectly determined self-diffusion coefficient.
- v	-	solute partial specific volume.
S	-	sedimentation coefficient.
ρ	-	solution density.
θ	_	the scattering angle (except in Chapter 3 where $ heta$ is the
		magnetisation rotation angle).
q	3=0	the scattering vector.
k,,k	-	initial and scattered wave vectors.
q ~1 ~3	-	magnitude of the scattering vector.
λ	-	vacuum wavelength of the incident laser radiation.
к	<b>[-</b> ]]	Planck's constant (6.6256x10 <sup>-34</sup> joule.deg <sup>-1</sup> ) divided by $2\pi$
E <sub>S</sub> (0)	-	magnitude of the total scattered electric field at the
5		photodetector at time t'.
E <sub>c</sub> (t)	-	E <sub>c</sub> at the later time t <sup>+</sup> t.
I(0)	-	the scattered intensity, defined similarly to $E_{c}(0)$ .
I(t)	6_8	the scattered intensity, defined similarly to $E_{S}^{(t)}$ .
×	-	indicates that the complex conjugate has been taken
		(Chapter 2 only).

g <sup>(2)</sup> (t)	-	the normalised intensity autocorrelation function
<i>(</i> )		(second order electric field autocorrelation function).
g <sup>(1)</sup> (t)	-	the normalised first order electric field autocorrelation
		function.
ω	-	incident electric field angular frequency.
I(t,T)	-	the average intensity during the short time interval T
		which is centred on the time t <sup>+</sup> t.
n(t,T)	-	number of photodetections during T.
C <sub>p</sub> (t,T)	-	the un-normalised, full photocount autocorrelation function.
η <sub>k</sub> (Τ)	-	the clipped count.
k	-	clipping level.
c <sub>k</sub> (t),c <sub>k</sub> (r	nT) —	single-clipped, un-normalised photocount autocorrelation
$(2)_{(+,T)}$	_	normalised single-clipped photocoupt autocompelation
<sup>6</sup> k (1,1)		function
г	_	the decay rate of a photocoupt autocorrelation function
Ē		average decay rate of a photocount autocorrelation function.
г с(Г)	-	average decay fate of a photocount autocorrelation function.
$U / \overline{r}^{r}$	_	normalised distribution of the decay rates.
$\mu_r/1$	_	ren normalised moment of G(1).
D <sub>ML</sub>	-	the quantity extracted by a cumulants analysis of QELS
		data obtained from concentrated, non-random-coil,
		macromolecular solutions.
Q'	-	the variance of the decay rate distribution.
G <sup>(2)</sup> (∞)	-	the calculated baseline: the value of the normalised,
		single-clipped autocorrelation function at infinite time.
Acoh	-	the detector coherence area.
Ω	-	the solid angle subtended by the source, at the detector
		(Chapter 2 only; otherwise an Ohm symbol).
I	-	nuclear spin quantum number (Chapter 3 only; otherwise
		symbolises current).
<sup>B</sup> 0	-	magnitude of the steady magnetic field.
γ	-	nuclear gyromagnetic ratio.
i,j,k	-	laboratory frame unit vectors
i,j,k	-	rotating frame unit vectors.
μ	-	magnitude of the nuclear magnetic moment (Chapter 3 only;
		otherwise the multiplier "micro" i.e. $x10^{-6}$ ).

xvi

М	-	the magnitude of the macroscopic magnetisation.
$\omega_{\rm L}$	-	Larmor frequency.
B_1	-	the magnitude of a magnetic field applied along the
		x'-axis.
t p	-	the time for which $\mathbb{B}_{{\sim}1}$ is applied.
τ	-	the 90 <sup>0</sup> -180 <sup>0</sup> rf pulse spacing.
G	-	the magnitude of the magnetic field gradient.
A(0)	-	the magnitude of the spin-echo at $t=2\tau$ in the absence of
		an applied magnetic field gradient.
A(G)	_	the magnitude of the spin-echo when the field gradient
		is applied.
Т	-	the time for which a nucleus remains at a position ${f z}.$
ζ	-	the rms jump displacement of a nucleus.
Δ	-	the magnetic field gradient pulse separation.
δ	-	the magnetic field gradient pulse width.
d	-	the separation between the 90 $^{\circ}$ rf pulse and the first
		gradient pulse.
P(φ <sub>D</sub> )	-	the probability of obtaining a phase difference $\phi_{ m D}.$
Q <sub>T</sub>	-	quality factor of the transmitter circuit.
	_	inductances in the NMR probe circuitry.
$R_{1}, R_{1}, R_{2}$	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry.
$R_{in}, R_1, R_2$ $C_1, C_2, C_3$	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry.
$R_{in}, R_1, R_2$ $C_1, C_2, C_3$ $D_1 - D_4$	,R <sub>3</sub> - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> - <sup>D</sup> <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> -D <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> - <sup>D</sup> <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>S</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> - <sup>D</sup> <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>S</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>CC</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> -D <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>S</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>CC</sub> <sup>g</sup> <sub>0</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> -D <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>S</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>CC</sub> <sup>g</sup> <sub>0</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ .
<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sub>in</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>R</sup> <sub>2</sub> <sup>C</sup> <sub>1</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>2</sub> , <sup>C</sup> <sub>3</sub> <sup>D</sup> <sub>1</sub> -D <sub>4</sub> <sup>21</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sub>A</sub> , <sup>Q</sup> <sub>B</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>S</sub> <sup>R</sup> <sub>CC</sub> <sup>g</sup> <sub>0</sub> <sup>g</sup> <sub>e</sub>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient.
<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>in</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{e} \\ \Delta \nu \\ Aco \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> - - - - - -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{e} \\ \Delta \nu \\ Aco \\ S_{M} \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial
$     \begin{array}{c}       1 & 2 & 3 \\       R_{in}, R_1, R_2 \\       C_1, C_2, C_3 \\       D_1 - D_4 \\       21 \\       Q_A, Q_B \\       R_S \\       R_C \\       g_0 \\       g_e \\       \Delta \nu \\       Aco \\       S_M   \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{e} \\ \Delta V \\ Aco \\ S_{M} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{F} \\ F \\ \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub>	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential. the radius of random-coils in the very dilute regime.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{e} \\ \Delta \nu \\ Aco \\ S_{M} \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} g_{e} \\ F_{F} \\ \epsilon \\ \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential. the radius of random-coils in the very dilute regime. the Kuhn statistical segment length.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ g_{e} \\ \Delta V \\ Aco \\ S_{M} \\ R_{F} \\ \epsilon \\ M \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub>	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{00}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential. the radius of random-coils in the very dilute regime. the Kuhn statistical segment length. the polymer molecular weight.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ g_{e} \\ \Delta V \\ Aco \\ S_{M} \\ R_{F} \\ \epsilon \\ M \\ m \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential. the radius of random-coils in the very dilute regime. the Kuhn statistical segment length. the polymer molecular weight. molecular weight of $\ell$ .
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_{in}, R_{1}, R_{2} \\ C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \\ D_{1} - D_{4} \\ 21 \\ Q_{A}, Q_{B} \\ R_{S} \\ R_{CC} \\ g_{0} \\ g_{e} \\ \Delta \nu \\ Acco \\ S_{M} \\ R_{F} \\ \epsilon \\ M \\ m \\ \nu \\ \nu \end{array} $	,R <sub>3</sub> -	resistances in the NMR probe circuitry. capacitances in the NMR probe circuitry. diodes in the NMR probe circuitry. separation of the magnet pole-pieces. sets of Darlington connected transistors. the Kepco sensing resistor. Kepco current control potentiometer. residual field gradients due to inhomogeneities in the steady field $B_{0}$ . the eddy current induced gradient. the off-resonant frequency. the ratio of the correlator off-to-on times. the slope of a ln(NIAF-1) vs. time plot; or the initial slope of such a plot if the plot is multi-exponential. the radius of random-coils in the very dilute regime. the Kuhn statistical segment length. the polymer molecular weight. molecular weight of $\&$ . Flory number (except in Chapter 3 where av denotes the

-	the polymer concentration such that the average distance
	between two neighbouring coil centres is equal to 2R <sub>p</sub> .
-	the average distance between polymer entanglements in the
	gel regime.
-	the chain disentanglement time.
-	the long time decay rate.
_	the quantity with the dimensions of a diffusion coefficient.
	calculated from the long time part of a $ln(NIAF-1)$ vs
	time plot
	the coloriated accounting
-	the calculated mean latex sphere separation.
-	latex sphere surface charge density.
-	permittivity of the latex sphere.
-	latex sphere radius.
-	latex sphere bulk modulus.
-	the permittivity of free space.
-	defined through the equation
	$D_{M} = D_{0}(1 + k.c +)$
-	the pair potential energy for two charged particles
	separated by a centre-to-centre distance r.
-	the surface potential of a charged sphere.
-	the normalised intersphere separation (Chapter 7 only).
-	the Debye-Hückel shielding length.
-	electronic charge (1.602x10 <sup>-19</sup> C).
-	parameters used to evaluate equation 7.6.
-	isothermal osmotic compressibility.
-	volume fraction.
-	the rise-time of a ramped magnetic field gradient pulse.

xvii