Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

RESPONSE OF CHICORY (Cichorium intybus L.) TO DEFOLIATION

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Science at Massey University, New Zealand

> GUANGDI LI 1997

ABSTRACT

Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) is a perennial herb which has long been used as a forage crop. However, only recently has this species been re-evaluated in terms of its agronomy and animal performance. The objectives of this research were to study the persistence and the seasonal patterns of herbage mass accumulation and morphological development of forage chicory under defoliation. A series of field and glasshouse experiments were conducted at the Pasture and Crop Research Unit (PCRU), the Deer Research Unit (DRU) and the Plant Growth Unit (PGU), Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand (latitude 40°23'S) from 1993 to 1996. 'Grasslands Puna' chicory was used in all experiments except for one of the glasshouse experiments (Chapters 6 and 7) where two contrasting cultivars, 'PG90' and 'Orchies', were also included.

Under grazing, Puna chicory accumulated herbage masses of 8.5, 9.4 and 4.6 t dry matter (DM)/ha from November to April, with average plant densities of 66, 69 and 24 plants/m² and plant sizes of 2.9, 2.7 and 6.7 shoots/plant, for 1, 2 and 4 year old stands, respectively (Chapters 3, 4 and 5). It was concluded that the characteristics of a grazed chicory crop that had deteriorated to the point of not being able to accumulate half of its maximum herbage mass were 25 plants/m², six or more shoots/plant, or less than 150 shoots/m².

Defoliation stimulated the development of secondary shoots, but suppressed the growth of the primary shoot. Axillary shoots, however, developed fully regardless of whether or not plants were defoliated. The main source of feed from chicory for livestock was primary leaves during spring, and secondary and axillary leaves during summer and autumn (Chapters 4, 6 and 7). Results from

Abstract

both field and glasshouse experiments suggested that Puna chicory was more sensitive to defoliation frequency than intensity. It was concluded that defoliation at 50-100 mm in height at 3 week intervals in spring, and at 100-150 mm at 5 week intervals in summer and autumn, maximised the leaf formation and minimised the stem development of chicory.

Grazing decreased the plant density of chicory regardless of grazing intensity or frequency, with the significant decrease in late spring and early summer when primary shoots were controlled (Chapters 3 and 4). Autumn grazing, especially hard grazing, was detrimental to plant persistence (Chapters 4). It was concluded that less grazing pressure through the growing season cannot be used to improve persistence without compromising leaf growth rate, but that avoidance of grazing in late autumn will improve the persistence of chicory.

In a comparison of three cultivars, Orchies was the most persistent cultivar but had the slowest growth rate, and PG90 was the least persistent but with the highest growth rate, whereas the performance of Puna was intermediate, due to their contrasting root sizes and different root carbohydrate reserves. It was suggested that to improve the persistence and enhance the leaf production of Puna by plant breeding the emphasis should be on increasing taproot size without unduly prejudicing herbage mass accumulation.

Keywords: biomass, fructans, grazing intensity, grazing frequency, herbage production, morphology, persistence, plant density, regrowth, taproot.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere thanks go to my chief supervisor, Dr. Peter D. Kemp, for his great supervision, guidance, advice and patience throughout this study, and to my cosupervisor, Prof. John Hodgson, for his enthusiastic encouragement, constructive suggestions and critical comments on experimental proposals and papers, which helped make this study productive.

My special thanks are extended to both past and present technicians, Terry Lynch, Mark Osborne, Roger Levy, Douglas Steward, Gary Evans, Bryan Sturgess and Rachel Richardson, at the PTC (Pasture Teaching Complex), and Ray Johnstone, Lesley Taylor, Deane Pegler and Lindsay Sylva, at the PGU (Plant Growth Unit), Department of Plant Science, and Charlie Howell, at the DRU (Deer Research Unit), Department of Animal Science, Massey University, for their technical assistance, and to the staff in the Nutrition Lab, Department of Animal Science, Massey University, for the sample analysis, and to Hugh Neilson, Department of Plant Science, Massey University, for the isolation and identification of pathogens from the infected plants. Also, thank you to Prof. Tom N. Barry, Department of Animal Science, Massey University, for providing access to the DRU.

An acknowledgement to Dr. A. V. Stewart, Pyne Gould Guinness Ltd, New Zealand, for supplying PG90 and Orchies chicory seeds.

My particular appreciation to the financial assistance from a Helen E. Akers Scholarship and a Massey Doctoral Scholarship for my study. Many thanks to all the staff and my fellow postgraduates, both graduated and studying in the Pastoral Group, Department of Plant Science, Massey University, and to all my Chinese friends, both post and present students at Massey University, for their friendship and help during my study at Massey University.

Finally, my immense gratitude to my parents for their quality early education and continuous support for my high education pursuit, and to my parents-in-law for their encouragement and support of my study in a number of ways, and to my wife, Helen Y. Pan, who nearly "shared" all housework, especially during my thesis writing period, although she was doing her own degree study and made great efforts on teaching our son Chinese. Special thanks to my son, Michael Y. Li, who always brings us cheer and happiness.

STRUCTURE OF THESIS

The thesis is based on a series of papers. All chapters, including three appendices, except Chapters One (General Introduction), Two (Review of Literature) and Eight (General Discussion), have been published or accepted/submitted for publication. The paper in Chapter Three has been slightly modified in a few sections, whereas papers in Chapters Four to Seven are presented as scientific papers but in thesis format. The references relevant to individual chapters are at the end of each chapter, except for Chapter One where the references are merged into those in Chapter Two and placed at the end of Chapter Two. The results are discussed in detail in each experimental chapter and integrated into a general discussion in Chapter Eight. The main findings from the research in this thesis are also summarised at the end of Chapter Eight.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
STRUCTURE OF THESIS	
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	5
2.1 INTRODUCTION	6
2.2 HISTORY AND BREEDING	7
2.3 AGRONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS	8
2.3.1 Growth and development	8
2.3.2 Soil requirements and fertilisers	9
2.3.3 Herbage production	9
2.3.4 Feed quality	11
2.3.4.1 Mineral content	11
2.3.4.2 Crude protein	12
2.3.4.3 Digestibility	13
2.4 ANIMAL PERFORMANCE	14
2.4.1 Voluntary feed intake	14
2.4.2 Liveweight gain	16
2.4.3 Carcass weight and meat quality	17
2.4.4 Velvet antler production	18
2.5 UTILISATION AND MANAGEMENT	19

Table of Content	vii

2.5.1 Problems in utilisation	19
2.5.1.1 Reproductive stem development	19
2.5.1.2 Persistence.	19
2.5.2 Grazing management	20
2.6 CONCLUSIONS	23
2.7 REFERENCES	24
3. CONTROL OF REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH IN PUNA	CHICORY
BY GRAZING MANAGEMENT	33
3.1 ABSTRACT	34
3.2 INTRODUCTION	35
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
3.3.1 Experimental sites	36
3.3.2 Experimental design.	36
3.3.3 Grazing management	37
3.3.4 Measurements	37
3.3.5 Statistical analysis	38
3.4 RESULTS	38
3.4.1 Herbage accumulation	38
3.4.2 Growth rate	41
3.4.3 Growth pattern of different plant parts (DRU)	41
3.4.4 Leaf and stem consumption	44
3.4.5 Plant density and plant size	44
3.5 DISCUSSION	47
3.6 REFERENCES	49
4. REGROWTH, MORPHOLOGY AND PERSISTENCE O)F
GRASSLANDS PUNA CHICORY (Cichorium intybus L.)	
RESPONSE TO GRAZING FREQUENCY AND INTENS	
4.1 ABSTRACT	

Table of Content	viii
4.2 INTRODUCTION	53
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	55
4.3.1 Expt 1: Grazing trial	55
4.3.1.1 Herbage mass, yield components and quality	57
4.3.1.2 Plant morphology, density and size	58
4.3.1.3 Statistical analysis	58
4.3.2 Expt 2: Plant survival	59
4.3.3 Expt 3: Effects of autumn grazing on plant persistence	59
4.4 RESULTS	60
4.4.1 Herbage production	60
4.4.1.1 Leaf and stem residues	60
4.4.1.2 Accumulated herbage mass and quality	60
4.4.2 Individual plant mass distribution	65
4.4.2.1 Treatment effects	65
4.4.2.2 Allocation of shoot mass	65
4.4.3 Plant persistence	68
4.4.3.1 Expt 1	68
4.4.3.2 Expt 2	68
4.4.3.3 Expt 3	70
4.5 DISCUSSION	70
4.5.1 Herbage production and quality	70
4.5.2 Individual plant performance	72
4.5.3 Plant persistence	73
4.6 REFERENCES	76
5. HERBAGE PRODUCTION AND PERSISTENCE OF PUNA	
CHICORY (Cichorium intybus L.) UNDER GRAZING	
MANAGEMENT OVER FOUR YEARS	80
5 1 ABSTRACT	81

Table of Content	ix	

_		
	5.2 INTRODUCTION	82
	5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	83
	5.3.1 Experimental sites	83
	5.3.2 Experimental design and grazing management	85
	5.3.2.1 Expt 1: PCRU	85
	5.3.2.2 Expt 2: DRU	85
	5.3.2.3 Expt 3: PCRU	85
	5.3.3 Measurements	86
	5.3.4 Statistical analysis	87
	5.4 RESULTS	87
	5.4.1 Climate conditions during the experimental period	87
	5.4.2 Accumulated herbage mass	89
	5.4.3 Plant density and plant size	92
	5.5 DISCUSSION	95
	5.6 REFERENCES	97
6	5. BIOMASS ALLOCATION, REGROWTH AND ROOT	
v	CARBOHYDRATE RESERVES OF CHICORY IN RESPONSE	то
	DEFOLIATION IN GLASSHOUSE CONDITIONS	
	6.1 ABSTRACT	
	6.2 INTRODUCTION	
	6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	6.3.1 Expt 1: Effect of cutting height on regrowth and biomass	
	allocation in Puna chicory	104
	6.3.1.1 Plant establishment	
	6.3.1.2 Experimental design	105
	6.3.1.2 Experimental design	
		106

	6.3.2 Expt 2: Biomass allocation and root carbohydrate reserves in	
	response to defoliation of three chicory cultivars	107
	6.3.2.1 Plant establishment	107
	6.3.2.2 Pesticide and fungicide applications	108
	6.3.2.3 Experimental design	108
	6.3.2.4 Measurements	108
	6.3.2.5 Statistical analysis	109
	6.3.3 Expt 3: Morphological characteristics and persistence of Puna	
	chicory under extreme defoliation	110
	6.3.3.1 Plant materials	110
	6.3.3.2 Experimental design and measurements	110
	6.3.3.3 Statistical analysis	111
	6.4 RESULTS	111
	6.4.1 Accumulated shoot mass	111
	6.4.2 Shoot and root mass dynamics over time	114
	6.4.3 Growth analysis	118
	6.4.4 Carbohydrate reserves in root system	122
	6.4.5 Morphological characteristics and persistence of Puna chicory	
	under extreme defoliation	122
	6.5 DISCUSSION	126
	6.6 REFERENCES	131
_	MODDING OCICAL DEVELOPMENT OF FOR ACE CHICODY	
/،	. MORPHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF FORAGE CHICORY	126
	7.1 ABSTRACT	
	7.2 INTRODUCTION	
	7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	140
	7.3.1 Expts 1 and 2: Morphological development of marked plants	1.40
	under grazed and ungrazed conditions	140

xi

7.3.2 Expts 3 and 4: Effect of grazing on bud and leaf numbers	141
7.3.3 Expt 5: Effect of defoliation intensity on leaf and shoot number	pers. 142
7.3.4 Expt 6: Comparison of the morphological characteristics of	
cultivars	143
7.4 RESULTS	144
7.4.1 Morphological characteristics of marked plants over seasons.	144
7.4.2 The development of crown and stem buds under grazing	
management	149
7.4.3 The seasonal dynamics of leaf numbers under grazing	
management	149
7.4.4 The seasonal patterns of leaf and shoot numbers under defolia	ation152
7.4.5 Morphological characteristics of three chicory cultivars under	r
defoliation	154
7.5 DISCUSSION	157
7.6 REFERENCES	161
8. GENERAL DISCUSSION	164
8.1 INTRODUCTION	165
8.2 HERBAGE ACCUMULATION AND PERSISTENCE	165
8.2.1 Annual	165
8.2.2 Seasonal	166
8.3 GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT UNDER DEFOLIATION	171
8.4 CONCLUSIONS	174
8.5 REFERENCES	175

APPENDIX I EFFECT OF DEFOLIATION INTENSITY ON THE	
ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE GROWTH RATES OF 'GRASSLANDS	3
PUNA' CHICORY	. 177
APPENDIX II PERSISTENCE OF CHICORY	185
APPENDIX III PERSISTENCE OF PUNA CHICORY UNDER GRAZING	
MANAGEMENT	191

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1 Herbage accumulation of leaf and stem dry matter (kg DM/ha) over
181 days from 5 November to 5 May40
Table 4-1 Monthly rainfall and soil temperature at 100 mm during the growth
period for Puna chicory and the 10-year mean
Table 4-2 Effect of grazing management on accumulated herbage mass (kg
DM/ha) over 20 weeks from 21 November 1994 to 9 April 1995
Table 4-3 Organic matter, nitrogen, digestibility and ash content of chicory,
sampled in mid-January 1995. OM, organic matter; N, nitrogen; DMD,
dry matter digestibility; OMD, organic matter digestibility; DOMD,
digestible organic matter digestibility; ash, ashes in dry matter64
Table 4-4 Mean dry mass of individual plants (g DM/plant) over the season
under different treatments
Table 4-5 Effects of late autumn grazing on plant density, plant size and
taproot diameter of chicory plants71
Table 5-1 Time of sowing and period of monitoring for all experiments 84
Table 5-2 Monthly rainfall and soil temperature at 100 mm during the growth
period for chicory and the 10-year mean
Table 5-3 Accumulated herbage mass (mean ± SE, kg DM/ha) over six
months from November to April on each site
Table 5-4 Average plant density, plant size, shoot density and shoot size for
chicory over 6 months from November to April each year (mean \pm SE) 93
Table 6-1 Accumulated leaf and stem masses (g DW/plant) of Puna chicory
for a range of cutting heights over 12 weeks (Expt 1)
Table 6-2 Accumulated leaf and stem mass (g DW/plant) of Puna, PG90 and
Orchies chicory under 20 and 100 mm cutting treatments over 12 weeks
(one missing value in Puna cut at 100 mm height) (Expt 2)

List of Tables xiv

Table 6-3 Root mass (g DW/plant) of Puna, PG90 and Orchies chicory under
20 and 100 mm cutting treatments at each cutting (one missing value in
Puna cut at 100 mm height from week 3 onwards) (Expt 2)
Table 6-4 Leaf area ratio (LAR), specific leaf area (SLA), leaf weight ratio
(LWR) and root weight ratio (RWR) of Puna, PG90 and Orchies chicory
under 100 mm cutting treatment at each cutting (one missing value in
Puna from week 3 onwards) (Expt 2)
Table 6-5 Concentration and composition of total reducing sugars of Puna,
PG90 and Orchies chicory over the season (Expt 2)
Table 6-6 Morphological characteristics of transplanted Puna chicory plants
under severe cutting (all shoots including visible buds > 5 mm on crown
removed) and lax cutting (cut at 100 mm height) at each cutting (Expt 3) 125
Table 7-1 Shoot length (mm) and crown diameter (mm) of year 1 Puna chicory
under grazed and ungrazed conditions over the season
Table 7-2 Leaf and shoot numbers per plant of Puna chicory for the 0 and 200
mm cutting height treatments in glasshouse conditions
Table 7-3 Leaf and shoot numbers per plant of Puna, PG90 and Orchies
chicory for the 100 mm cutting height treatment in glasshouse conditions 155
Table 7-4 Crown diameter (mm) of Puna, PG90 and Orchies chicory for the
100 mm cutting height treatment in glasshouse conditions
Table 8-1 Annual total herbage yield (t DM/ha) and dynamics of plant density
(number/m ²) and plant size (shoots/plant) for Puna chicory
Table 8-2 Seasonal distribution (%) of total herbage yield and relative
percentages (%) of plant density and plant size compared to spring as
100% for Puna chicory

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1 Leaf and stem growth rates of Puna chicory over the season on the
Pasture and Crop Research Unit. Vertical bars represent LSD _{0.05} ; NS, not
significant42
Figure 3-2 Leaf and stem growth rates of Puna chicory over the season on the
Deer Research Unit. Vertical bars represent LSD _{0.05} ; NS, not significant 43
Figure 3-3 Percentage of grazed leaf and stem over the season on the Deer
Research Unit. Vertical bars represent LSD _{0.05} ; NS, not significant45
Figure 3-4 Plant densities and plant sizes over the season on the Deer
Research Unit and the Pasture and Crop Research Unit. Vertical bars
represent LSD _{0.05}
Figure 4-1 Stem and leaf residues after hard-lax and lax grazing every four
weeks over the season. Vertical bars represent LSD _{0.05} ; NS, not
significant61
Figure 4-2 Leaf and stem accumulation rates of chicory every four weeks over
the season. Vertical bars represent s.e.m
Figure 4-3 Allocation of shoot mass to primary, secondary and axillary leaf,
stem and dead material of chicory every four weeks over the season.
Means of all grazing treatments. AL, axillary leaf; SL, secondary leaf;
PL, primary leaf; AS, axillary stem; SS, secondary stem; PS, primary
stem; AD, axillary dead; SD, secondary dead; PD, primary dead. Vertical
bars represent overall s.e.m67
Figure 4-4 Plant density and plant size of chicory every four weeks over the
season. Vertical bars represent s.e.m. 69
Figure 5-1 Leaf and stem mass accumulation for chicory each month. Vertical
bars represent s.e.m. 90

Figure 5-2 Plant density and plant size dynamics for chicory over three years.
(a) plant density versus plant age (month unit), arrows show predicted
month for 50% and 75% plant losses; (b) plant size versus plant age
(month unit); and (c) plant size versus plant density94
Figure 6-1 (a) Shoot and (b) root mass of Puna chicory at cutting heights of 0,
50, 100, 150 and 200 mm at each cutting (Expt 1). Vertical bars
represent s.e.m. (d.f. = 15)
Figure 6-2 Shoot mass of Puna, PG90 and Orchies chicory at cutting heights
of 20 and 100 mm at each cutting (Expt 2). Vertical bars represent s.e.m.
(d.f. = 14, except at week 0, d.f. = 15)
Figure 6-3 Shoot relative growth rate of Puna chicory at cutting heights of 0,
50, 100, 150 and 200 mm at each growth period (3 weeks) (Expt 1).
Vertical bars represent s.e.m. (d.f. = 15)
Figure 6-4 Relative proportion of leaf, stem, dead and root of Puna (left bars),
PG90 (middle bars) and Orchies chicory (right bars) at each cutting (Expt
2)
Figure 6-5 Yield of total reducing sugars of Puna, PG90 and Orchies chicory
under 100 mm cutting treatment at different cutting times (Expt 2).
Vertical bars represent s.e.m. (d.f. = 3 at initial, d.f. = 6 at week 0, d.f. =
5 at weeks 6 and 12)
Figure 7-1 (a-l) Morphological characteristics of year 1 and year 3 Puna
chicory under ungrazed and grazed conditions. Vertical bars represent
s.e.m. of axillary (top), secondary (middle) and primary (bottom) parts of
chicory in each figure (d.f. = 12 for ungrazed year 1 chicory; d.f. = 15 for
grazed year 1 chicory; d.f. = 18 for grazed year 3 chicory). Letters on x-
axis are the initial letters of months
Figure 7-2 Buds on crown and on stems for (a) year $2 (n = 20)$ and (b) year 4
Puna chicory (n = 16). Vertical bars represent s.e.m. (d.f. = 38 for year 2
chicory; d.f. = 30 for year 4 chicory; NS, not significant)

Figure 7-3 Primary, secondary and axillary leaf numbers per plant of year 2
Puna chicory under grazing management over the season. In each group
left bars represent pre-grazed leaf numbers ($n = 20$) and right bars
represent post-grazed leaf numbers (n = 20). Vertical bars on the left side
of each group represent the associated s.e.m. (d.f. = 38) of primary
(bottom), secondary (middle) and axillary leaf numbers (top), if
applicable, between pre- and post-grazed plant parts151
Figure 8-1 Chicory management decision chart