

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

HOW SEASONAL DAIRY FARMERS IN THE
LOWER NORTH ISLAND OF NEW ZEALAND
ACHIEVE:

High Per Cow Production

- a participatory case-study



H K Crawford

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Master of
Agricultural Science in Farm Management, at Massey University, Palmerston
North, New Zealand

May 1996

Abstract**How Seasonal Dairy Farmers in the Lower North Island of New Zealand Achieve High Per Cow Production: a participatory case-study.**

New Zealand's 14,000 seasonal dairy farmers supply 16 co-operative companies that operate 40 milk processing factories. While the industry produces only 1.5% of the world's milk, it supplies 25% of the world trade in dairy products, which was worth \$5 billion to the New Zealand economy in 1994/95. In order to remain internationally competitive, dairy production research in New Zealand has focused on achieving 'low cost' milk production from pasture. Recent high prices for dairy land and the need to reduce the seasonality of milk supply have promoted greater interest in increasing per cow production. Greater milk yields per cow would allow greater utilisation of the genetic potential of the New Zealand dairy cow for milk production and reduce per cow costs for non-feed items.

At present only 1% of seasonal supply dairy farmers consistently achieve in excess of 350 kg milksolids (MS) per cow per year. These farmers achieve this level of production with resources that appear to be similar to those of other dairy farmers, but little is known about how these resources are managed to consistently achieve high per cow production. The objective of this research was to describe the management processes used by farmers who achieve high per cow production.

Milk supply records from Tui Milk Products Limited were used to identify seasonal dairy farmers who had averaged 350 kg MS/cow/year for at least three seasons (n=31). All of these farmers were surveyed by telephone to obtain information about themselves and their farms. A sub-sample of ten farmers was then chosen from this group for in-depth study and of these 8 farmers agreed to participate. Through three semi-structured interviews, each farmer was asked to describe their management processes. The interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim and analysed using the NUD.IST computer program to identify concepts associated with high per cow production. A 'model' of a high per cow production system was developed from these concepts and this was compared with the recommendations in the literature.

The results suggest that while the resources available to the farmers influenced per cow production, they did not constrain the achievement of high per cow production. Farmers strategically managed the resources available to enable them to achieve high production levels and used tactical adjustments to account for seasonal variation in pasture production and feed demand. All of the case-farmers reared their herd replacements 'well', concentrated on fully feeding the herd throughout lactation, and had superior pasture management systems in place. In addition, these farmers were genuinely interested in the state of their farms, particularly their livestock, and closely observed livestock behaviour as part of their management system.

Keywords: Per cow production, pastoral dairying, farm management, strategy, tactics, case-study

Author H.K. Crawford.

Year 1996

Degree Master of Agricultural Science in Farm Management

Acknowledgements

Where do you begin with your thanks to people who have aided and abetted a study that has taken two full years to complete? First thanks must go to Professor Warren Parker for giving me the opportunity to study a subject that was dear to my heart. As well as granting me the opportunity, Professor Parker supervised the study and I suspect he spent many late nights of exasperation, checking the writings of somebody who frequently wrote far too much. Second thanks must go to Dave Gray who as another of my supervisors, had to withstand frequent interruptions to deal with my questions and to get the chapters 'just right' and in the final stages endure seemingly endless e-mails and clogged mailbags. Third, Dr Nick Edwards must be thanked for his contributions in the initial stages.

These are not all. The most important thank-you must go to the farmers, without whose assistance and co-operation this study would not have been possible. All the farmers interviewed gave freely of their time to talk about their farming systems and to describe, at length, how they achieved high per cow production. If I ever go dairy farming again and cannot achieve the same results then I was not listening. You know so much, and I only hope that a small amount of this knowledge has filtered through and appears in this thesis. Thank-you for your hospitality, thank-you for your time, and thank-you for your knowledge.

There are many other people that must be thanked. First the members of Tui Milk Products Limited staff, Andrew Watters, Aynsley Richardson and Beth Atkins who helped me locate information about the farmers, as well as make the initial contact. Second, the Dairy Board Consulting Officer and Farm Wise consultant who showed me around the areas where the study took place. Without them, I may well have got lost. Thank-you Clare and Aiden. Third, those people with whom I had contact while at Massey, and probably bored with the whole process of the research method, especially my flatmates, Vanessa, Rose, Phil, Justin and Simon, thank-you.

Other personal thanks go to Naomi Collins and Professor Robert Anderson, without whose support I would not have been able to embark on the path of study that I have. Thanks must also go to my grandfather, who at 99 was still interested in what I was doing and offered me the support to

continue. It is a pity I did not complete this project before he died, 30 days short of his 100 th birthday. Also to my parents, who supported me in their prayers. Thanks also to Kevin Lowe, Alan McCrae, and Evelyn Hurley who gave me an unquenchable interest to look at the whole system. Final personal thanks go to my wife, Mamie, although she did not have to endure the whole process helped strengthen my resolve over the last few months to finish what I had started, and whose superior English skill made passages of the text much easier to read.

I must also acknowledge the assistance provided by the C Alma Baker Trust for a grant-in-aid which helped me carry out the field work and provided the opportunity to study such an interesting group of farmers.

Thank-you one and all, I hope your faith in me has been justified.

Ken Crawford

Table of Contents

Abstract	[I]
Acknowledgements	[ii]
Table of Contents	[iv]
List of Tables	[vii]
List of Figures	[x]
List of Plates	[xv]
List of Maps	[xvii]
Chapter 1 Introduction	[2]
1.1 Industry Overview and Problem Statement	[2]
1.2 Objectives of the Study	[9]
1.3 Area of study and thesis structure	[9]
Chapter 2 Literature Review of Dairy Farm Production Factors	[12]
2.1 Introduction	[12]
2.2 Resource Based Factors	[12]
2.2.1 Pasture Factors	[12]
2.2.2 Climatic Factors	[16]
2.2.3 Soil Factors	[16]
2.2.4 Environmental Factors	[16]
2.3 Animal Based Factors	[20]
2.3.1 Genetic Merit	[21]
2.3.2 Breed	[22]
2.3.3 Weight and Size of Cow	[23]
2.3.4 Feed Intake	[23]
2.4 Management Factors	[24]
2.4.1 Stocking Rate	[25]
2.4.2 Drying Off	[26]
2.4.3 Winter Feeding	[27]
2.4.4 Calving	[28]
2.4.5 Mating	[31]
2.4.6 Mid- and Late-Lactation (December to March)	[32]
2.4.7 Replacement Stock	[32]
2.4.8 Tactical	[33]
2.5 Models of Per Cow Production	[33]
2.6 Summary	[38]

Chapter 3	Selection and Design of Research Method and Telephone Survey	[40]
3.1	Introduction	[40]
3.2	Research Method, Design and Selection	[40]
	3.2.1 <i>Research Methods Available</i>	[40]
	3.2.2 <i>Suitability of Research Methods</i>	[42]
3.3	Design of Research Method	[43]
3.4	Identification of Cases for In-depth Study	[44]
	3.4.1 <i>Telephone Survey</i>	[45]
	3.4.2 <i>Results</i>	[49]
Chapter 4	Case Study Method - Selection of farmers, data collection and transcript analysis	[69]
4.1	Introduction	[69]
4.2	Selection of farmers for case study	[70]
4.3	Data Collection Protocol	[74]
4.4	Analysis of Data	[76]
4.5	Case-study Method	[81]
	4.5.1 <i>Introductory Interview</i>	[81]
	4.5.2 <i>Farmer interviews and analysis</i>	[81]
	4.5.3 <i>Second Interview and Analysis</i>	[88]
4.6	Data Analysis	[90]
	4.6.1 <i>Introduction</i>	[90]
	4.6.2 <i>Within-case analysis</i>	[90]
	4.6.3 <i>Cross-case Analysis</i>	[98]
Chapter 5	Results - How Case-study Farmers Achieve High Per Cow Production	[100]
5.1	Case-Descriptions	[100]
5.2	Cross-Case Analysis	[101]
	5.2.1 <i>Resources</i>	[101]
	5.2.2 <i>Management</i>	[114]
5.3	A Model of a High Per Cow Production System	[170]
	5.3.1 <i>Resources</i>	[171]
	5.3.2 <i>Strategic Management</i>	[173]
	5.3.3 <i>Tactical management</i>	[176]
5.4	Comparison of Model with the Literature	[190]
	5.4.1 <i>Resources</i>	[191]
	5.4.2 <i>Management</i>	[192]
Chapter 6	Reflection and Conclusions	[201]
6.1	Results	[201]
6.2	Critical Examination of Method	[202]
6.3	Suggestions for Future Research	[207]
References	[211]

Appendix 1 Dairy Industry Information and Overview of Study Area	[II]
Appendix 1.1 The 'Average' dairy farm in 1991/92	[II]
Appendix 1.2 Overview of study area	[III]
Appendix 2 Telephone Survey Questionnaire	[IX]
Appendix 3 Case Study Method	[XVIII]
Appendix 3.1 Letter to farmers advising them of selection for in-depth case study	[XVIII]
Appendix 3.2 Interview one introductory dialogue and questions.	[XIX]
Appendix 3.3 Interview One Notes	[XX]
Appendix 3.4 Farm walk and interview Notes interview one	[XXII]
Appendix 3.5 Example page of transcribed interview	[XXIV]
Appendix 3.6 An example of farm fact sheet, concept sheet, and gross margin sent to the farmers	[XXV]
Appendix 3.7 Taxonomy of categories developed during data classification using Q.S.R. NUD.IST. Category numbers refer to identification process used by NUD.IST to access category information.	[XXIX]
Appendix 4 Case Descriptions	[XXXVII]
Case 1	[XXXVII]
Case 2	[XLVII]
Case 3	[LVII]
Case 4	[LXVII]
Case 5	[LXXVI]
Case 6	[LXXXV]
Case 7	[XCVII]
Case 8	[CVIII]

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Effect of the herd calving pattern on average lactation length of the herd (source Holmes 1993[a]).	[30]
Table 3.1	Relevant situations for different research methods (adapted from Yin, 1994). [41]	
Table 3.2	The division of factors contributing to high per cow production	[46]
Table 3.3.	Characteristics of farmers and farms in the lower North Island where high per cow production was achieved and corresponding data for all suppliers to Tui Milk Products Ltd.	[50]
Table 3.4.	Characteristics of dairy herds achieving high per cow production in the lower North Island and in the Waikato region (MacMillan et al., 1990).	[53]
Table 3.5.	Soil fertility status and fertiliser use on farms achieving high per cow production in the lower North Island.	[55]
Table 3.6	Levels of annual feed inputs including, supplements, concentrates, crops and grazing-off on farms achieving high per cow production in the lower North Island.	[56]
Table 3.7.	Factors identified by farmers limiting annual production on the high per cow production seasonal farms in the Tui Milk Products Ltd collection area.	[58]
Table 3.8.	Summary of goals relating to milk production on the high per cow production seasonal farms in the Tui Milk Products Ltd collection area.	[59]
Table 3.9.	Summary of reasons why farmers have per cow production as a milk production goal on the high per cow production seasonal farms in the Tui Milk Production Limited collection area.	[60]
Table 3.10	Simple correlation coefficients between variables included in the multivariate regression model (n = 23 case farms).	[61]
Table 3.11	Regression model of variables associated with per cow milk production (n = 23 case farms).	[62]
Table 3.12	Table of simple correlations between variables included in the second regression model (N = 26 case farms).	[63]
Table 3.13	Second regression model for factors associated with per cow production (n = 26 case farms).	[64]

Table 3.14	Important factors identified from the literature review and their respective correlations for farm data collected through a telephone survey of lower North Island dairy farmers.	[65]
Table 4.1	Summary of factors, for all farms, that were used for farm selection.	[73]
Table 4.2	Guide 'points of interest' used by the researcher to assist in interview management.	[82]
Table 4.3	An example of an interview transcript analysed by a farmer (I =researcher, F = farmer).	[84]
Table 4.4	An example of the same interview transcript analysed by the author (I =researcher, F = farmer).	[85]
Table 4.5	Examples of the summaries of transcripts showing factors highlighted from the interview and sent to the farmer concerned.	[86]
Table 4.6	Examples of the data bits and the idea headings used by the author to group the highlighted text from the interview transcripts.	[87]
Table 4.7	Example of notes and descriptions made on the researcher's copy of the transcript summary during the second interview. (The text in italics are the notes made by the author during the interview. The bold headings in the left-hand column are the group headings used by the author when the summaries were prepared).	[89]
Table 5.1	Resource factors and their on the estimated amount of pasture consumed on eight case-study farms.	[105]
Table 5.2	Summary of animal based factors and per cow feed consumption on case-study farms.	[108]
Table 5.3	Characteristics of capital improvements on case-study farms.	[109]
Table 5.4	Stocking rates, and techniques used to manipulate stocking rate of case-study farms and strategies for achieving high per cow production at different stocking rates.	[118]
Table 5.5	Factors associated with the strategic setting of lactation length.	[120]
Table 5.6	The level of supplementation used on case-study farms and corresponding stocking rates and lactation lengths.	[124]
Table 5.7	Methods used by farmers for improving their herds.	[129]
Table 5.8	Goals for rearing replacement stock and where replacements are grazed on eight case-study farms.	[131]

Table 5.9	Main goals for the winter on high per cow production farms.	[136]
Table 5.10	The methods used by farmers to achieve pasture cover targets at calving and to provide sufficient feed to feed the cows post-partum.	[138]
Table 5.11	Methods used by the eight case study farmers to achieve condition score targets at calving.	[141]
Table 5.12	Methods used by six of the farmers to maintain animal health on their farms.	[142]
Table 5.13	Methods used to prevent soil and pasture damage.	[143]
Table 5.14	Planned start of calving dates and main goals for the calving period on high per cow production farms.	[144]
Table 5.15	The methods used to fully feed cows immediately after calving and to ensure cows commence high levels of milk production.	[146]
Table 5.16	Methods used to maintain animal health on eight case-study farms in the lower North Island of New Zealand.	[150]
Table 5.17	Important goals identified for the mating period on high per cow production farms.	[151]
Table 5.18	Methods used by case-study farmers to fully feed their dairy cows during mating.	[153]
Table 5.19	Oestrus detection frequency and mating aids used on case-study farms. The resulting calving spread is also shown.	[154]
Table 5.20	The main goals identified as important on high per cow production farms for mid and late lactation.	[156]
Table 5.21	Methods used, and goals of, fully feeding dairy cows from the end of mating until the end of April on eight case-study farms.	[158]
Table 5.22	The main goals identified for drying off on high per cow production farms.	[162]
Table 5.23	Methods of providing sufficient feed at drying off to achieve pasture cover targets at calving, and to have sufficient feed to feed to cows during the winter.	[163]
Table 5.24.	Methods used to meet cow condition targets at drying off.	[164]
Table 5.25	Methods and frequency of monitoring pasture cover and cow condition on eight case-study farms.	[167]
Table 5.26	Milk production gross margins for eight case study farms.	[169]

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1 Trends in dairy land prices from 1978 to 1994. Price per kg milksolids are derived from price per kg milkfat data. Figures for 1994 relate to the half year to 30 June (source LIC, 1994). [5]
- Figure 1.2 Per cow production trends from 1950/51 to 1993/94 showing annual production for the period and the ten year moving average from 1960/61 to 1993/94(source LIC, 1994). [6]
- Figure 2.1 Annual pattern of pasture growth and feed quality on a typical Manawatu dairy farm (source M A F; Brookes et al., 1992) [13]
- Figure 2.2 The selection of drying off date and late lactation feeding levels and the influence on and interrelationship of factors that influence milk production early in the following lactation (adapted from Holmes & Wilson, 1987). [26]
- Figure 2.3 The main components of per cow milk yield (Holmes *et al.*, 1993) [34]
- Figure 2.4 Theoretical model of per cow production developed from the review of literature [37]
- Figure 3.1 Basic types of designs for case studies (Source: Yin, 1994). [44]
- Figure 3.2 Effective milking area (ha) and per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [51]
- Figure 3.3 Soil fertility levels (Olsen P (phosphate)) and average production per cow (kg milksolids/cow). [51]
- Figure 3.4 Average herd size (cows peak milked) and average per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [51]
- Figure 3.5 Herd BI and average per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [51]
- Figure 3.6 Stocking rate (cows/ha) and production per effective hectare (kg milksolids). [54]
- Figure 3.7 Number of days in milk (lactation length) and average per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [54]
- Figure 3.8 Phosphate fertiliser applied (kg/ha/annum) and average per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [55]
- Figure 3.9 Total supplements fed (kg DM/cow) and average per cow production (kg milksolids/cow). [57]

Figure 3.10	Total feed inputs per cow (kg DM/cow) and days in milk (lactation length).	[57]
Figure 4.1	Case-study method involving multiple-cases (source: Yin, 1994).	[69]
Figure 4.2	The iterative analysis spiral for multiple-case qualitative research (adapted from Dey, 1993).	[77]
Figure 4.3	Decision rules for allocating data-bits to categories (adapted from Dey, 1993).	[79]
Figure 4.4	Hierarchy of resource categories used to analyse the data relating to the resources on a high per cow production farm	[92]
Figure 4.5	Hierarchy of management categories used to analyse the data relating to the management of high per cow dairy farms.	[93]
Figure 4.6	The decision rules used to determine the categories for text blocks in the verbatim transcripts of farmer interviews.	[95]
Figure 4.7	An example of the allocation of a block of text into categories using the decision rules outlined in Figure 4.6.	[96]
Figure 4.8	The revised iterative analysis spiral used for this study showing the need to rewrite the case description (adapted from Dey, 1993).	[97]
Figure 5.1	High level taxonomy of categories developed during the classification of data from interview transcripts.	[101]
Figure 5.2	The taxonomy of categories developed during the classification of data from interview transcripts.	[102]
Figure 5.3	Typical pasture growth patterns for TMPL regions where case farms were located (source Riddick 1991; Brookes 1991, MAF)	[104]
Figure 5.4	Relationships between land based resource factors associated with a dairy farm and their effects on pasture production, pattern, variability and quality.	[111]
Figure 5.5	Relationships between capital resource factors associated with a dairy farm and their effects on potential per cow feed demand, and linkages with land based factors and strategic and tactical management.	[112]
Figure 5.6	Relationships between resources associated with a dairy farm and their effects on high per cow production. The impact of management on high per cow production is clearly illustrated.	[113]

Figure 5.7	Taxonomy of categories developed for management during classification of the data from the interview transcripts.	[115]
Figure 5.8	Taxonomy of strategic management developed during classification of the data from the interview transcripts.	[116]
Figure 5.9	Factors influencing the stocking rate decision on high per cow production dairy farms.	[119]
Figure 5.10	Factors influencing the lactation length decision on high per cow production dairy farms.	[121]
Figure 5.11	Lactation curves of eight case study farms showing percentage milk production per month of lactation (source TMPL data).	[122]
Figure 5.12	Average percentage milk production for eight case-study farms and for Tui Milk Products Limited suppliers (source TMPL data).	[122]
Figure 5.13	Percentage fall from peak for eight case-study farms and the 'average' Tui Milk Products Limited Supplier.	[123]
Figure 5.14	Factors influencing the supplement policy decision on high per cow production dairy farms.	[125]
Figure 5.15	Total feed inputs per cow (kg DM/cow) and days in milk (lactation length)..	[125]
Figure 5.16	The type and use of supplements on eight case-study farm to achieve high per cow production.	[127]
Figure 5.17	Relationship between method of selecting heifers and annual per cow production on high per cow production farms.	[130]
Figure 5.18	System to strategically adjust the resource bundle to match per cow feed demand with per cow feed supply to achieve high per cow production..	[134]
Figure 5.19	Taxonomy of tactical management developed during classification of the data from the interview transcripts.	[135]
Figure 5.20	The goal hierarchy for tactical winter management.	[137]
Figure 5.21	Goal hierarchy for tactical management during calving.	[145]
Figure 5.22	Goal hierarchy for tactical management during mating	[152]
Figure 5.23	Goal hierarchy for tactical management during mid / late lactation.	[157]
Figure 5.24	Goal hierarchy of tactical management during drying off.	[162]

Figure 5.25	Schematic representation of the effects tactical management has on the strategically adjusted resource bundle to achieve high per cow milk production.	[168]
Figure 5.26	High per cow dairy production model.	[167]
Figure 5.27	Hierarchy of strategic decisions made to alter the resource bundle to achieve high per cow production.	[176]
Figure 5.28	Tactical management techniques used to fully feed cows during lactation on high per cow production farms and the linkages with other tactical management techniques and farmer goals.	[179]
Figure 5.29	Tactical management techniques used to attain a concentrated calving pattern on high per cow production farms and linkages with other tactical management techniques.	[181]
Figure 5.30	Tactical management techniques used to maintain quality on high per cow production farms and linkages with other tactical management techniques.	[183]
Figure 5.31	Tactical management techniques used during the winter period to achieve winter goals.	[185]
Figure 5.32	Tactical management techniques used at the end of the season and for drying off on high per cow production farms and linkages with other tactical management techniques.	[186]
Figure 5.33	Tactical management techniques used to attain high quality supplements on high per cow production farms and linkages to other tactical management techniques.	[187]
Figure 5.34	Elements of tactical management for achieving high levels of milk production early in lactation and links with other tactical management decisions.	[188]
Figure 5.35	Tactical management techniques used to maintain lactation persistence on high per cow production farms and linkages with other tactical management techniques.	[189]
Figure 5.36	Tactical management techniques associated with labour and milking management on high per cow production farms and linkages with other tactical management techniques.	[190]
Figure 6.1	Modified multiple case-study approach for collecting qualitative data from farmers (Adapted from Yin, 1994).	[205]
Figure A1	Lactation curve for case Farm One compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data).	[XLI]

Figure A2	Lactation curve for case Farm Two compared with district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [L]
Figure A3	Lactation curve for case Farm Three compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data) [LXI]
Figure A4	Lactation curve for case Farm Four compared with district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [LXX]
Figure A5	Lactation curve for Case Farm Five compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [LXXIX]
Figure A6	Lactation curve for Case Farm Six compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [LXXXIX]
Figure A7	Lactation curve for Case Farm Seven compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [C]
Figure A8	Lactation curve for Case Farm Eight compared with the district average for similar sized farms (adapted from TMPL data). [CXI]

List of Plates

- Plate 1 View of the newly renovated cow shed indicating the general cleanliness of the area. The new plant is just visible in the shadow of the shed [XXXIX]
- Plate 2 Typical quality pasture on farm 1 and the high quality races which assist in preventing lameness in the herd [XXXIX]
- Plate 3 View of shed on Case Farm two showing the milking plant. Cows leave the shed behind where the photograph was taken and through the old walk-through shed and a foot-bath to treat lameness. [XLIX]
- Plate 4 Photograph of the Friesian herd on Case Farm two taken at 11.00 a.m showing the levels of pasture residual expected when cows are fully fed. Pine trees in the background provide excellent shelter from strong westerly and southerly prevailing winds. [LII]
- Plate 5 The lower river terraces on Case Farm 3 showing a typical pasture mix following hard grazing to remove less desirable pasture species [LVIII]
- Plate 6 View of Case Farm 3 looking north up the Pohangina Valley. The area in the foreground was recently added to the dairy unit and has been developed for dairy production by heavy grazing of the original pastures. [LVIII]
- Plate 7 A view of the recently modernised shed on Case Farm 3. The cleanliness of the milking area helps to illustrate this farmers philosophy towards his cows and dairying. [LX]
- Plate 8 The milking herd showing a mixture of older cows and recently calved two year heifers. The difference in size is not easily detected. [LXV]
- Plate 9 A view of a typical race on Case Farm 4 also shows the undulating topography of the farm. The race surface and construction is smooth enough to avoid cows being affected by foot problems [LXVIII]
- Plate 10 The herd of Jersey cows on Case Farm 4 grazing in mid-afternoon. The level of pasture residual on this particular day was considered low as the cows' behaviour suggested they were still hungry. [LXXII]
- Plate 11 The older style walk-through shed on Case Farm Five which permits individual attention for cows during milking. [LXXVIII]
- Plate 12 The river flats towards the rear of Case Farm Five. The cows are 'set stocked' on this part of the farm during the day to maintain full feeding levels. [LXXXI]
- Plate 13 The spotless free-flowing 'no fuss' shed on Case Farm six. An airless milking system is used to improve milk quality. [LXXXVII]

- Plate 14 The smooth wide races for easy access to and from the shed are characteristic of the attention to detail found on Case Farm six. [LXXXVIII]
- Plate 15 Freshly grazed pasture late October on Case Farm Six is ready to be closed for silage making in the next round. Pasture in the foreground has been grazed for approximately 3 hours. [XCII]
- Plate 16 On Case Farm Seven smooth and wide races, particularly on the newer parts of the farm, provide easy access to the cowshed. [XCIX]
- Plate 17 On Case Farm seven fresh breaks of pasture are offered to the cows during the day if required. This pasture had last been grazed less than twenty days previously. [CII]
- Plate 18 On Case Farm seven feeding bins along side each race are used to feed brewers grain and other supplements during wet weather and when 'extra' feed is required for the cows. The whole herd can be accommodated in this feeding area. [CIV]
- Plate 19 The races on Case Farm eight are smooth and well maintained. [CX]
- Plate 20 The cows on Case Farm eight are observed regularly to ensure that they are fully fed. Pasture levels are monitored in front of the herd to ensure there is sufficient high quality feed available. [CXIV]

List of Maps

Map 1	Location of seasonal dairy farms achieving high per cow production in the southern North Island (n = 30).	[74]
Map 2	Map of the lower North Island of New Zealand showing the approximate areas in which Tui Milk Products Limited dairy farmers are located.	[III]
Map 3	Topography and soils of the lower North Island.	[V]
Map 4	Climatic conditions in the lower North Island of New Zealand.	[VII]