

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE COMPOSITION OF MILK OF
THE NEW ZEALAND SEA LION
(*PHOCARCTOS HOOKERI*)**

**A THESIS IN FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTERS OF SCIENCE IN ZOOLOGY
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY
NEW ZEALAND**

EMILY S.WEEKS

JUNE, 2002

Abstract

The composition of milk collected from 321 New Zealand sea lions (*Phocarctos hookeri*) found on the Auckland Islands during January and February in 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002 were examined. The mean composition of milk was 21.7 % fat, 9.97% protein and 31.97% total solids. The milk composition varied significantly from 1999 to 2002. The average fat percentage decreased each year from 24.08% in 1999 to 11.02% in 2002. Protein percentages fluctuated each year from 8.36% in 1999, 11.07% in 2000, 10.57% in 2001 and 9.14% in 2002.

Once the milk composition was determined additional biochemical tests were performed on the same milk samples. A total of 17 sea lion samples were selected for fatty acid analysis. These samples indicated that N.Z. sea lion milk consists of primarily mono-unsaturated (47%) and saturated (34%) fatty acids. The most prevalent fatty acids found in the milk included C18:1n-9 (26.28%) and C16:0 (22.5%).

Lastly, thirty-one samples were selected for protein analysis. Preparative methods for bovine milk using gel electrophoresis, with dilution alterations accommodating total protein percentages proved adequate for the separation of whey and caseins found in N.Z. sea lion milk. These samples were compared to bovine indicated that Alpha, beta and kappa caseins had molecular weights around 2300 and beta and alpha lacto-globulin whey proteins had molecular weights around 1800. Proteins comprise approximately 10% of the total milk composition, 36.04% of which are caseins and 29.26% were whey.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my supervisors Padraig Duignan (Massey University), Kevin Stafford (Massey University), Alastair MacGibbon (Fonterra Research Centre), and Ian Wilkinson (Department of Conservation) for their unfailing interest, advice and continued encouragement throughout all aspects of this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the Department of Conservation, Massey University, and the Fonterra Research Centre. This work was funded by the Department of Conservation and Fonterra Research Centre. Laboratory facilities and equipment were provided by Fonterra Research Centre.

I would also like to thank the following staff of the Analytical Service Group (Food Science Section, Fonterra Research Centre), who kindly provided the technology and analytical services required for the test samples. Thank you for tolerating the fishy smell of the sea lion milk.

Dr Robert Crawford (Fonterra Research Centre) gave me invaluable help with the statistical analysis of the data.

Carmen Norris's (Fonterra Research Centre) exceptional advice and assistance has been greatly appreciated.

Russel Richardson (Fonterra Research Centre) helped with the ASE machine and providing critical support for this project.

Angela Redman (Fonterra Research Centre) helped me with the protein gels and patiently taught me the procedure for running protein gels.

Erol Conaghan (Fonterra Research Centre) helped me calibrate the MilkoScan. Without your help I would still be in the lab.

Lastly thanks to my two very special friends Shienach Dunn and Penny Aspin for your continuous support during this project. I couldn't have done it without you both.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Content	iii
Chapter 1	
Literature Review	1
Chapter 2 Total Milk Composition	
Abstract	20
Introduction	21
Methods	23
Results	24
Discussion	35
Chapter 3 Fatty Acid Composition	
Abstract	40
Introduction	41
Methods	44
Results	45
Discussion	51
Chapter 4 Protein Analysis	
Abstract	54
Introduction	55
Methods	57
Results	58
Discussion	62

Conclusion	64
References	67
Appendix I	85
Appendix II	106
Appendix III	110