Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE

TECHNIQUES OF DIRECT DRILLING SEEDS

INTO UNDISTURBED, SPRAYED PASTURE.

A Thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at

Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand

by

Christopher John Baker March 1976 An investigation into the techniques of direct drilling seeds into undisturbed sprayed pasture

C.J. Baker

ABSTRACT

Methods of evaluating the techniques and equipment used for direct drilling of seeds into untilled soils were reviewed and developed. Field tests were used to highlight seedling establishment problems and were complimented by a tillage bin technique which sought to isolate variables such as climate, soil type and soil moisture regime. The tillage bin technique involved collecting half-tonne undisturbed blocks of turf in open ended steel bins using a special turf cutting machine. These tillage bins were subjected to a common climate and moisture supply by placing them beneath transparent rain canopies and applying water artificially. Drilling utilized a support bed on which several bins were placed end to end and which was straddled by a moving gantry and tool testing apparatus operating on rails alongside. This facility allowed close visual appraisal to be made of the action of coulters and seed deposition and was operated at speeds which were infinitely variable, within limits. Seed metering was precisely controlled and selected coulter forces and soil physical properties were measured with the apparatus. Turf blocks, in their tillage bins, were returned to the rain protection canopies after drilling for plant response studies.

Soil cover over the seed appeared to be important in promoting seedling emergence. Field covering devices were evaluated and a bar harrow was developed and adopted as a standard covering procedure. The importance of covering the seed appeared to be more pronounced with large seeds such as maize and barley than with smaller seeds such as lucerne. A strong relationship between visual scoring of the amount and type of cover, and seedling emergence data was established. This favoured covering media with a predominance of unbroken dead pasture mulch, compared with loose soil and rubble.

The performances of a range of drill coulters operating at slow speeds in association with the bar harrow, were compared in terms of plant responses under soil moisture stress. An experimental chisel coulter was developed to obviate the noted shortcomings of some of these existing coulters. In contrast to the "V" shaped grooves left by most coulters, the chisel confined most of its soil disturbance to sub surface layers, with a narrow opening at the surface.

With all coulters, seed germination appeared to be less affected by coulter design than seedling emergence because of sub surface mortality of seedlings. In this respect clear seedling emergence responses favoured the

chisel coulter. Maximum wheat seedling emergence with the chisel coulter assembly was 77%, which was significantly greater than hoe and triple disc coulters with 27% and 26% respectively. As the initial soil moisture level was raised in other emperiments the magnitude of these differences decreased but the order of ranking remained. A 22% comparative decrease in initial soil moisture content was necessary to reduce the performance of the chisel coulter to a similar level to that of the hoe and triple disc coulters.

Difficulty was experienced in accurately monitoring in-groove soil moisture regimes, but irrigation responses and gravimetric determinations of sub samples suggested that the ability of grooves to retain available soil moisture was a critical factor in the plant emergence responses.

Soil temperatures appeared not to be greatly affected by coulter type in these experiments although the in-groove minimum temperature with the chisel coulter was significantly higher than the hoe and triple disc coulters in one experiment.

Observation of the modes of action of coulters showed that the chisel and hoe coulters produced some upward soil heaving while the triple disc appeared to operate with a downward and outward wedging action in the soil. An increase in soil density under the groove resulted from passage of the triple disc coulter but no effect on density was seen with the chisel or hoe coulters. The down forces required for 38 mm penetration of all coulters tested, appeared also to be closely related to their modes of action and relatively insensitive to soil moisture content in the stress range. In this respect the triple disc required 1.4 times more force than the dished disc coulter and from 2.3 to 4.6 times more force than a range of 4 other coulters.

Field tests of the wear rates of chisel coulters constructed of various steel based materials, with and without hardening treatments, suggested a number of preferred treatments but could not establish any difference in wear rate from coulters operating in the tractor wheel marks compared with those operating in unmarked soil.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section				Page
1	DEFI	NITIONS		1
2	GENE	RAL INT	RODUCTION	2
3	DEVE	LOPMENT	OF EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND TECHNIQUES	5
	3.1	INTROD	UCTION AND REVIEW	5
		3.1.1	Seed germination requirements	5
		3.1.2	Seedling emergence under direct drilled	
			conditions	5
		3.1.3	Failure of techniques and machines	6
		3.1.4	Reasons for direct drilling failure	6
		3.1.5	Groove formation and covering	7
		3.1.6	Desirable drill and coulter features	11
		3.1.7.	Experiments comparing drill performance	13
		3.1.8	Identification of important soil physical	
			parameters	15
		3.1.9	Monitoring in-groove conditions	16
		3.1.10	The physical effects of coulters travelling	
			through soil.	16
	3.2	OVERCO	MING THE DISADVANTAGES OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS	17
		3.2.1	Field equipment	18
	3.3	DETAILS	S OF TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT	19
		3.3.1	Turf block collection	19
			Turf cutter	19
			Protection	22
			Water supply	22
			Tillage bins	23
			Soil disturbance	23
			Lubrication	24
			Vegetation	25
			Soil cohesion	25
		3.3.2	Turf block extraction procedure	26
		3.3.3	Turf block quality and site choice	35
		3.3.4	Emptying of bins	36
		3.3.5	Preparation, storage and climate control of	
			turf blocks	37
			Preparation	37
			Storage	37
			Climate control	40

Section		Page
3.4	DRILLING OF TURF BLOCKS AND TESTINGS OF DRILL COULTER	
	PERFORMANCE	
	3.4.1 Description of support bed, moving gantry and tool	44
	testing apparatus	
	Support bed	44
	Drive chains	46
	Motivation	46
	Lubrication	48
	Clutch	48
	Overload	48
	Gantry	49
	Tool testing apparatus	49
	3.4.2 Procedure	60
	Bin matching	60
	Drill coulter testing	61
	Covering and sealing	63
3.5	MEASURING TECHNIQUES RELATING TO PRE- AND POST-DRILLED	
	TURF BLOCKS	63
	3.5.1 Physical measurements	64
	Soil moisture content and matric potential	64
	Soil temperature within the groove	68
	Type and amount of cover over the seed	68
	Compaction in the bounding areas of the groove	69
	a. Beneath the seed	69
	Penetrometer	69
	Description of multipoint penetrometer	70
	Support bars	70
	Metering frame	72
	Support frame	72
	Procedure	74
	b. Above the seed	75
(3)	Soil-seed contact	75

Sect	ion			Page
		3.5.2	Plant response measurements (seed fate counts)	75
			The proportion of seeds which failed to germinate	75
			The proportion of seeds which germinated but failed to	
			emerge	75
			The proportion of seedlings which emerged	75
			The proportion of abnormal seeds or seedlings	75
		3.5.3	Field studies	76
			Growth studies	76
	3.6	DEVELO	OPMENT OF TRACTOR OR VEHICLE OPERATED FIELD EQUIPMENT	77
		3.6.1	Bar harrow	77
			Operating principles	80
		3.6.2	Drill coulter field test rig	82
		3.6.3	Trailing arm, seed boot and chisel drill coulter assembly	84
			Chisel drill coulter	84
			Functional requirements	84
			Trailing arm	97
			Seed boot	97
	3.7	EXPERI	IMENTAL DESIGNS	99
		3.7.1	Turf block studies	99
			Site and soil selection	100
			Sampling procedure and subsequent h q ndling	100
		3.7.2	Field studies	101
4	EXPER	IMENTAI	L OBJECTIVES AND RESULTS	102
	4.1	OBJECT	LIVES	102
	4.2	RESEAF	RCH PRIORITIFS	103
	4.3	RESULT	<u>"S</u>	105
		4.3.1	Experiment 1: The effectivensss of bar harrowing	
			(<u>field experiment</u>)	105
			Objective	105
			Results	105
			Discussion	106
		4.3.2	Experiment 2: The effectiveness of bar harrowing with	
			differing seed sizes (field experiment)	108
			Objective	108
			Results	109

Section			Page
		Discussion	111
		a. Lucerne	111
		b. Barley	112
		c. Maize	112
		Summary of species response to harrowing	113
	4.3.3	Experiment 3: The effectiveness of coulter	design on
		seedling emergence (pilot til	llage bin
		experiment)	114
		Objectives	114
		Results	116
		Discussion	116
	4.3.4	Experiment 4(a) The effect of coulter design	gn on soil
		physical properties (pilot ti	illage bin
		experiment)	118
		Objectives	118
		Results and discussion	121
		a. Cover	121
		b. Seedling emergence	122
		c. In-groove soil moisture content	123
		d. Seed dry matter	124
		e. In-groove temperature	125
		Experiment 4(b): The effects of coulter pas	ssage on soil 127
		compaction (pilot tillage	bin experiment)
		Objective	127
•		Results	127
		Discussion	128
	4.3.5	Experiment 5: The effects of a range of dr	rill coulters
		on selected plant and soil m	responses
		(pilot tillage bin experimen	<u>nt</u>) 131
		Objectives	131
		Results and discussion	132
		a. Cover	132
		b. Seedling emergence	132
		c. In-groove soil moisture content	133
		d. In-groove temperature	134
		e. Penetration forces	135

Section					Page
		Discus	sion of to	echnique	136
	4.3.6	Exper	iment 6:	Comparison of the performance of selected	
				drill coulters (main tillage bin	
				experiment)	138
		Object	ives		138
		Result	s and disc	cussion	139
		a.	Cover		139
		b.	Seedling	emergence and seed fate	139
		С.	Relations	ship of cover and emergence	143
		d.	Soil mois	sture content and matric potential	143
		e.	Soil temp	perature	144
		f.	Physical	effect of drill coulters	147
	4.3.7	Exper	iment 7:	Comparison of the performance of selected	148
				drill coulters (main tillage bin experimen	<u>ıt</u>)
		Object	ives		148
		Result	s and disc	cussion	149
		a.	Cover		149
		b.	Seedling	emergence	149
		С.	Relations	ship of cover and emergence	151
		d.	Seed fate	e counts	152
		e.	Dry matte	er content of ungerminated seeds	154
		f.	Herbage o	dry matter yield	155
		g.	Penetrati	lon forces	156
	4.3.8	Exper	iment 8:	The effects of soil moisture content on	
				the performance of drill coulters (main	
				tillage bin experiment)	157
		Object	ives		157
		Result	s and disc	cussion	157
		a.	Cover		157
		b.	Seedling	emergence and seed fate	158
		С.	Soil mois	sture content	160
		d.	Non-viab	le seed dry matter content	162
		e.	Inter-re	lationships	162
		f.	Herbage o	dry matter yields	162
		g.	Penetrat	ion forces	167
	4.3.9	Exper	iment 9:	Comparison of the wear rate of chisel	
				coulters (field experiment)	168

Section		Page
	Objectives	168
	Measurements	169
	Results and discussion	171
	Effect of tractor wheel marks	174
5	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	176
6	BIBLIOGRA PHY	181
7	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	186
8	APPENDICES	

List of tables

		Page
1.	A comparison of ambient and beneath-rain-canopy temperature	
	data during winter months	41
2.	The effects on soil moisture of extracting and treating	
	turf blocks	43
3.	The effects of bar harrowing on the seedling emergence of	
	direct drilled choumollier	105
4(a)	The effects of cultivation, direct drilling and bar harrowing	109
	on seedling emergence, dry matter yield and soil moisture	
	content of a lucerne crop	
4(b)	The effects of bar harrowing on seedling emergence of direct	
	drilled barley	110
4(c)	The effects of cultivation, direct drilling and bar harrowing	
	on the seedling emergence of maize	110
5.	The effect of soil moisture content on the uptake by viable	
	and non-viable maize seed	121
6(a)	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on seedling	
	emergence of direct drilled maize	122
6(b)	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on seedling	
	emergence of direct drilled maize (replicate 2 only)	123
7.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove soil moisture content	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing (replicate 2 only)	123
8.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on the dry	
	matter content of direct drilled non-viable maize seed	124
9.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove temperature	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	125
10.	Soil penetrometer resistance and drill coulter penetration	
	force as affected by direct drilling coulter type	127
11.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on seedling	
	emergence of direct drilled barley	132
12	The effects of coulter type on in-groove soil moisture content	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	133
13.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove temperature	407
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	135
14.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on the seed fate	4.4
	of direct drilled wheat, with and without irrigation	141

		Page
15.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove and undisturbed soil	
	temperature following direct drilling and bar harrowing	145
16.	The effects of coulter type plus bar harrowing, and method of	
	sampling on seedling emergence counts of direct drilled wheat	151
17.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on the dry matter	•
	content of ungerminated direct drilled wheat seeds	155
18.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on dry matter	
	production of direct drilled wheat seedlings	156
19.	The effects of coulter type and bar harrowing on dry matter	
	yield of direct drilled wheat seedlings	164
20.	Hardness treatments applied to direct drilling chisel coulters	168
21.	The effects of hard surfacing treatments on the wear rates of	
	chisel coulters	170
22.	The effects of position in relation to tractor wheel marks	
	on the wear rate of chisel coulters	173

	List of figures	Page
1.	The multipoint penetrometer (from Dixon, 56)	71
2(a)	Side elevation of the Mk. 1 & Mk. 2 versions of the chisel	
` ,	coulter	94
2(b)	Front & rear elevations, & plan view of Mk. 1 chisel coulter	95
2(c)	Front & rear elevations, & plan view of Mk. 2 chisel coulter	96
2(d)	Mk. 2 chisel coulter assembly .and drag arm	98
3.	The effects of direct drilling using chisel coulters followed	
	by bar harrowing, and hoe coulters with and without harrowing,	
	on seedling emergence of barley	115
4.	The principal characteristics of direct drilled grooves in	
	a silt loam at moisture contents, 15%, 20% & 27% (from Dixon,	
	56)	130
5.	The effects of coulter type & bar harrowing on the seedling	
	emergence of direct drilled wheat	140
6.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove and undisturbed soil	
	moisture contents following direct drilling and bar harrowing	144
7.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove soil temperature	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	146
8.	The effects of coulter type & bar harrowing on the seedling	
	emergence of direct drilled wheat	150
9.	The effects of coulter type on the fate of wheat seeds	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	153
10.	The effects of coulter type on the fate of wheat seeds following	ng
	direct drilling and bar harrowing	159
11.	The effects of coulter type on in-groove soil moisture content	
	following direct drilling and bar harrowing	161
12.	The effects of coulter type on the dry matter content of	
	ungerminated wheat seeds following direct drilling and bar	
	harrowing	163

	List of plates	Page
1.	Exposed barley seed visible in the groove created by a hoe	
	coulter in moist silt loam. (with acknowledgement to	
	L.W. Blackmore)	8
2.	Dished disc coulters creating soil flaps in moist soil	9
3.	The growth formation of a ryegrass shoot under a soil flap	10
4.	The turf cutter with a tillage bin attached	20
5.	Water discharge from the hollow turf cutter blade	21
6.	Turf block extraction procedure; (1) cutting lead-in channel	27
7.	Turf block extraction procedure; (2) connection of tillage bin	28
8.	Turf block extraction procedure; (3) elevation of rear of tillage	ge
	bin	30
9.	Turf block extraction procedure; (4) initiation of turf cutter	
	travel	31
10.	Turf block extraction procedure; (5) tillage bin at full depth	
	(note the four lifting rings)	32
11.	Turf block extraction procedure; (6) severing the turf block	
	ends	33
12.	Turf block extraction procedure; (7) uplifting turf block and	
	tillage bin	34
13.	Removal of loose soil from the turf block ends with a wire brus	sh 38
14.	Placement of a prepared tillage bin into its tray, with the	
	rain canopy in the raised position	39
15.	End view of the tillage bin support bed, showing; lower centre-	
	drive mechanism; top right- multipoint penetrometer	45
16.	Gantry and tool testing apparatus, showing; centre - parallelog	
	trailing arms attached to sub frame; upper centre- vacuum seede	er,
	coulter support column & penetration weights; left- vacuum	
	accumulator; lower centre— hoe coulter & pre disc under test;	~-
	lower right- bar covering harrow section	50
17.	Placement of a tillage bin on the support bed	62
18.	The waxing of divots left by the scoop sampler	66
19.	Groove "scratchers" attached to hoe coulter assemblies	79
,	Bar harrow dimensions	79
20(b)	Its operation in maize stubble after passage of dished disc	04
	coulters	81

		Page
21.	Drill coulter field test rig	83
22(a)	Side view of chisel coulter assembly and drag arm	87
22(b)	Oblique frontal view of the chisel coulter	88
22(c)	Rear view of the chisel coulter, showing the diverging	
	internal seed delivery tube, and the lateral wings	89
23.	The hoe coulter assembly as tested	107
24.	The triple disc coulter assembly as tested	119
25(a)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (unirrigated)	143
25(b)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (irrigated)	143
26(a)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (day 5)	165
26(b)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (day 7)	165
26(c)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (day 9)	166
26(d)	Typical direct drilled wheat seedlings (day 12)	166
27	Comparative wear rates of chisel coulter wings. The three	
	senarated wings are the original unworn shape	173

List of Appendices

- 1. Meteorological Data Station E05465 Massey University
- 2. Ambient and comparative temperature data, beneath rain canopies
- 3. Comparative soil moisture contents of tillage bin turf blocks and parent soils in situ
- 4. Specifications of experiment 1.
- 5(a) Specifications of experiment 2
- (b) Rainfall Feilding trial area 1971/72
- (c) Direct drilled and conventionally sown lucerne, plant emergence counts.
- (d) Direct drilled and cultivated lucerne plots, soil moisture status
- (e) Direct drilled barley, plant emergence counts
- (f) Direct drilled and conventionally sown maize, plant emergence counts
- 6 (a) Specifications of experiment 3
 - (b) Direct drilled barley, plant emergence counts
- 7 (a) Specifications of experiments 4(a) and 4(b)
 - (b) Direct drilled maize, emergence counts
 - (c) Direct drilling, in-groove soil moisture content
 - (d) Direct drilled maize seed dry matter percentage
 - (e) Direct drilling, in-groove temperature
 - (f) Range analysis of in-groove temperature, direct drilling
 - (g) Penetrometer resistance, direct drilled grooves and undisturbed turf
- 8 (a) Specifications of experiment 5
 - (b) Pre-drilling soil moisture status of turf blocks
 - (c) Direct drilled barley, plant emergence counts
 - (d) In-groove soil moisture content, direct drilling
 - (e) In-groove temperature, direct drilling
 - (f) Range analysis of in-groove temperature, direct drilling
- 9 (a) Specifications of experiment 6
 - (b) Seedling emergence %, direct drilled wheat
 - (c) Seed fate counts, direct drilled wheat
 - (d) Direct drilling, in-groove and undisturbed matric potential
 - (e) Between direct drilled rows, soil moisture data (day 36)
 - (f) In-groove and undisturbed soil temperature, direct drilling
- 10 (a) Specifications of experiment 7
 - (b) Seedling emergence percentage, direct drilled wheat, whole plot counts

- 10 (c) Seed fate, direct drilled wheat, percentage of total seed pool
 - (d) Dry matter percentage, direct drilled wheat seeds
 - (e) Shoot dry-matter yields, direct drilled wheat, terminal figures
- 11 (a) Specifications of experiment 8
 - (b) Seed fate, direct drilled wheat
 - (c) In-groove soil moisture content, direct drilling
 - (d) Non-viable wheat seed dry matter percentage, direct drilling
 - (e) Dry weights of direct drilled wheat seedlings
- 12 (a) Specifications of experiment 9
 - (b) Weight loss of chisel direct drilling coulters
 - (c) Weight loss of chisel direct drilling coulters
- 13 Definitions

DEFINITIONS

1. Unless defined in Appendix 13 or otherwise explained in the text, all references to agricultural machines or components thereof have the meaning stated in British Standard 2648: 1963, "Glossary of Terms Relating to Agricultural Machinery and Implements".