Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Weaving 'Muka' and 'Iron'?: A Content Analysis of How Māori and Pākehā/Western Inter-relationships are Articulated in Academic Texts for Māori Educational Benefit

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Education at Massey University, Palmerston North

Timu-o-te-rangi Hirini Niwa

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to Professor Don Miller who passed away suddenly two months before I completed this work.

Your mentorship and guidance, your quiet and humble manner and endless encouragement of my potential as an educational researcher will last long in my heart and memories.

Thanks for all your support Don.

We'll still go out for that beer sometime.

This one's for you.

Ngā mihi e te Rangatira.

Abstract

This thesis analyses and describes how different academics articulate and define inter-relationships between Māori and Pākehā people, in terms of power and identity and Māori and Pākehā/Western epistemological worldviews. In examining a selection of four academic texts, it aims to provide an insight into how varying definitions and articulations of Māori and Pākehā/Western inter-relationships are utilised for varying philosophical, theoretical and political purposes. In comparing and contrasting the content analytic findings with a review of historical and more contemporary exchanges between Māori and Pākehā people and Māori and Pākehā/Western epistemologies in the realm of education it aims to probe into the over utilisation of monoglossic binaries to inform theorising heavily influential in education spheres concerning Māori.

In noting that a small number of academics are beginning to move beyond the sole use of binaries to inform their position of how education for Māori ought to be conceived and conceptualised, this thesis suggests that what is lacking in educational academic discourse impacting upon Māori people is a more carefully considered theory of Māori heterogeneity, diversity and ambivalence. This research aims to provide some significant sign-posts from which a more thoughtful and careful examination of the blurring of Māori and Pākehā power and identity boundaries and Māori and Pākehā/Western epistemological worldviews can take place. It is suggested that when the political, philosophical and epistemological diversities of Māori people are legitimated and validated in academic discourse, more appropriate and purposeful strategies can be devised to provide suitable forms of education for them.

Acknowledgements

I do want to take some time here to acknowledge the numerous people who have supported and guided me throughout not only this thesis, but throughout this entire post-graduate journey. I firstly want to thank the staff, students and whānau of Glen Taylor School in Auckland. Thank-you for your quiet support when I began this degree in 2002 while I was still teaching there. To the wonderful group of children I taught, you will always remain with me as a form of constant inspiration. You provided me with the much needed fuel to get back into study. Kia-ora.

To the staff of Te Uru Māraurau. I have greatly appreciated the opportunity to work and study here. Special thanks to Professor Arohia Durie who gave me the chance to be unleashed upon tertiary students while continuing on with my own development as an educator and researcher.

To Hine and Peti- your assistance and feedback throughout my thesis journey has been invaluable. Thanks for your ongoing support, nurture and motivation for me to keep going, despite the many times when the going got too tough for me to handle.

To Don, Pani, Marilyn and Carolyn- I think our expert panel was awesome. We were able to bounce off one another, assisting and challenging where need be over our respective theses while managing to have a few laughs and giggles along the way too. Our team dynamic was great. Ngā mihi nui e te roopu manaaki.

To my whānau- Thank-you for your encouragement and support along the way. To my Dad, who when the going got tough for me, you provided me with the extra encouragement I needed to stand my ground, and to stick to what I know and believe. Kia-

ora. To my Mum, your regular question of 'How's it going with your thesis?' was always welcomed. You displayed your concern for my work and study in your own quiet and unassumingly supportive way. Thank-you.

To Laura, my wife- Thank-you for the unenviable task of putting up with the irregular sleeping patterns, the constant mood shifts and the ups and downs I'd encountered throughout this journey. Your patience, confidence and numerous hugs have provided me with an ongoing and selfless source of motivation and comfort when I felt I had nobody else to turn to. I look forward to the next leg of our journey together.

Most of all I would like to give thanks to God. I have struggled with your nature and existence over the past three years as I've wrestled with huge theoretical, philosophical, theological and epistemological issues upon this journey. Without the guidance of your Holy Spirit and the work of Christ I would not have been able to complete this. Thank-you for the experiences encountered, roads traversed and people met along the way.

Looking forward to the next part of my journey, Lord.

Table of Contents

		Page
Title Page		i
Dedication		ti
Abstract		iii
Acknowledge	ments	iv
Table of Cont	tents	vi
List of Tables		ix
Chapter One	e: Introd	uction1
Chapter Two	o: Literat	ture Review
	2.0	Introduction9
	2.1a	Māori and Pākehā Inter-relations: Mission and Native Schooling Eroding and Challenging Māori Worldviews13
	2.1b	Māori Integrating into Pākehā Society: State Schooling for Māori
	2.2	Māori 'Speaking Back' to Pākehā Dominance19
	2.3	Articulating Māori and Pākehā/Western Inter-relations in Academic Discourse
		2.3.1 Theme One: Unequal Māori and Pākehā Power
		Relations in Education
	2.4	Summary of Literature Review

Chapter Three: Methodology

	3.0	Introduction
	3.1	The 'Western-Objectivist' Research Paradigm44
	3.2	Māori Critiques of the 'Western-Objectivist' Research Paradigm
	3.3	'Western-Subjectivist' Research Paradigms51
	3.4	Māori Ambivalence Toward 'Western-Subjectivist' Research Paradigms
	3.5	Outline and Critique of Māori Research Paradigms59
	3.6	Articulating a 'Hi-Ha' Māori-Centred Research Methodology69
Chapter Four:	Metho	d and Procedure
	4.0	Introduction
	4.1	Content Analysis
	4.2	Procedure82
		4.2.1 Getting Focused, Articulating Questions and Selecting Material to Analyse
Chapter Five:	Result	s and Analysis
	5.1	Major and Minor Themes
	5.2	Analysis of Themes
		5.2.1 Major Theme D1

		5.2.3 Major Theme D3	.115
		5.2.4 Major Theme D4	
		5.2.5 Minor Theme D5	
		5.2.6 Minor Theme D6	
		5.2.7 Minor Theme D7	
		5.2.8 Minor Theme D8	
		5.2.0 Idinor Theme Domining	
	5.3	Summary of Themes	.123
Chapter Six: D	iscussi	on	
		W.	
	6.0	Introduction	.125
	6.1	Articulating Power, Identity and Epistemological	
	0.1	Inter-relations	127
		inter-relations.	.121
		6.1.1 Discussion of McKinley Article	127
		6.1.2 Discussion of Rata Article	
		6.1.3 Discussion of Durie Article	
		6.1.4 Discussion of Tau Article.	
		0.1.4 Discussion of Tau Article	140
	6.2	Working With and Beyond the Binary: Critically	
	0.2	Representing Inter-relating Power, Identity and Knowledge	
		Boundaries in Educational Academic Discourse	151
		Boundaries in Educational Academic Discourse	.131
		6.2.1 Working With and Beyond the Binary:	
		2도 14도원에 - 이렇는 12번	152
		Theorising Ambivalence	.155
		6.2.2 Concerns Over Theorising a 'Hi-Ha'	1.55
		Māori-Centred Approach	.15/
	()	0 1:	1.00
	6.3	Conclusion	162
1. 1. 1	F 11 0		
Appendix 1:		Putline of all Major and Minor Themes, Contributing	1.00
	Variat	oles and Distribution Rates	.165
1. 2	37 7		1.07
Appendix 2:	Numbe	er and Distribution of Unsorted Content Units	.187
1 1 2	37 7	CC	100
Appendix 3:	Numbe	er of Content Units Per Theme	.188
, , , , ,	37 .	(m . 10	100
Appendix 4:	Numbe	er of Total Content Units Constructed	189
1. 5	* .		100
Appendix 5:	Inter-(Coder Reliability Coefficients Results	.190

References......192

List of Tables

Pag
Table 4.1 Pre-Sorted Distribution and Total Number of Content Units94
Table 4.2 Post-Sorting Distribution and Total Number of Content Units Utilised95
Table 4.3 'Hi-Ha' Māori-Centred Research and Ethicality99
Table 5.1a: Four Major Themes
Table 5.1b: Four Minor Themes
Table D1: Distribution Table (Theme One)
Table D2: Distribution Table (Theme Two)
Table D3: Distribution Table (Theme Three)
Table D4: Distribution Table (Theme Four)
Table D5: Distribution Table (Theme Five)
Table D6: Distribution Table (Theme Six)
Table D7: Distribution Table (Theme Seven)
Table D8: Distribution Table (Theme Eight)
Table D1a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Major Theme D1109
Table D2a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Major Theme D2112
Table D3a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Major Theme D3115
Table D4a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Major Theme D4118
Table D5a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Minor Theme D5119
Table D6a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Minor Theme D6120
Table D7a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Minor Theme D7121
Table D8a: Distribution and Weighting of Content Units of Minor Theme D8122

Table 6.1a: Examples of Elizabeth Rata's binary theorising	134
Table 6.1b: A Critical 'Ha' Māori-Centred Re-orienting of Rata's Binaries	138
Table 6.2a: Examples of Graham Smith's binary theorising	160
Table 6.2b: A Critical 'Ha' Māori-Centred Re-orienting of Smith's Binaries	160
Table 4.4a: McKinley Inter-Coder Reliability Co-efficient Table	190
Table 4.4b: Rata Inter-Coder Reliability Co-efficient Table	19
Table 4.4c: Durie Inter-Coder Reliability Co-efficient Table	191
Table 4.4d: Tau Inter-Coder Reliability Co-efficient Table	191
Table 4.4e: Overall Inter-Coder Reliability Co-efficient Table	191