

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

PLANT DENSITY AND CROP ESTABLISHMENT
STUDIES WITH TOMATOES FOR
MECHANICAL HARVEST

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL
FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY
IN HORTICULTURAL PRODUCTION
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY

JACQUELINE CLAIRE SHELAGH GREEN

1981

E R R A T A

Sections 2,5 Yield density relationships p 47
and 2.5.1 Results and Discussion 47-55
are out of sequence. They should be renumbered 3.5, and 3.5.1, and be
placed between p 99 and p 100.

MASSEY UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

This book must be returned by the date last stamped
below, or earlier if recalled. Otherwise a fine will be
charged.

1002328239



ABSTRACT

Using three cultivars, chitting tomato seed and priming tomato seed with P. E. G. was found to have no effect on the early relative growth rate of the seedlings, when compared with untreated seed. However, because chitted seeds emerged earlier than primed seeds, which in turn emerged earlier than untreated seed, at any one time, the plants from chitted seed were larger than those from primed seed, and both were found to be larger than those from untreated seed.

The seed treatments along with a high quality transplant treatment were compared in a field study to determine plant weight and fruit yield at four plant densities (62,500, 160,000, 200,000 and 591,716 plants per hectare).

Castlong was found to give heavier total fruit yields than either VF 145-B7879 or Fireball. This is attributed to the higher proportion of fruit total plant weight that this variety develops. Castlong also produced a higher proportion of ripe total fruit at all harvests, this is considered to be due to this cultivar's early maturity combined with its excellent field storage characteristics.

Transplanted plants in all cases yielded heavier and matured earlier than any of the three seed treatments. The yields and maturity characteristics were not significantly different from any of the three seed treatments.

Increasing the plant density from 62,500 plants per hectare to 591,716 plants per hectare increased fruit number and yield per unit area and also tended to increase the proportion of the fruit that was ripe. The number of fruit per plant decreased as plant density increased.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful to Dr M A Nichols for his constant supervision and guidance in the preparation of this thesis.

I am indebted to the D. S. I. R. for providing the financial assistance that made this thesis possible.

I sincerely appreciate the readily proffered help of the technicians of the Plant Growth Unit and especially Dean Anderson of the Horticultural Research Laboratory.

I would also like to extend my grateful thanks to two friends responsible for the typing of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank the staff of the Department of Horticulture and Plant Health for their sincere interest and encouragement throughout the duration of my studies at Massey University culminating in the completion of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xii
List of Appendices	xv
Introduction	1
 1. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
1.1. Methods of Production	2
1.1.1. Cultivators	2
1.1.2. Methods of establishment	6
1.1.3. Chemical Ripening	10
1.1.4. Irrigation	11
1.1.5. Weed Control	11
1.1.6. Pests and diseases	12
1.2. Seed Treatments	13
1.2.1. Chemical Treatment	13
1.2.1.1. Nutrients	13
1.2.1.2. Pesticides and antibiotics	13
1.2.1.3. Growth regulators	14
1.2.1.4. Plant extracts	15
1.2.1.5. Pelleting seeds	16
1.2.2. Energy treatments	16
1.2.3. Pre-sowing imbibition treatments	16
1.2.3.1. Wetting and drying treatments	16
1.2.3.2. Salt pretreatments	17
1.2.3.2.1. Chemical effect	18
1.2.3.2.2. Osmotic effect	18
1.2.3.3. 'Priming' pretreatments using inert osmotica	19

1.3.	Plant population	23
1.3.1.	Total fruit yield per unit area	23
1.3.2.	Ripe fruit yield per unit area	24
1.3.3.	Yield concentration	26
1.3.4.	Yield per plant	26
1.3.5.	Fruit weight	27
1.3.6.	Vine type	27
1.4.	Quantative yield-density relationships	29
1.5.	Growth Analysis	31
2.	EXPERIMENT 1.	
2.1.	Introduction	35
2.2.	Materials and Methods	35
2.2.1.	Cultivars	35
2.2.2.	Treatments	35
2.2.3.	Experimental Design	37
2.2.4.	Harvest	37
2.3.	Results	38
2.3.1.	Final Percentage Emergence	38
2.3.2.	Time to 50% (of final percentage) Emergence	38
2.3.3.	Quartile Deviation	38
2.3.4.	Discussion	41
2.3.5.	An Interpretation of the Results in Terms of Growth Analysis	43
2.3.6.	Comparison of Plant Dry Weights at Final Harvest	45
2.4.	Summary	
2.5.	Yield-density relationships	47
2.5.1.	Results and discussion	47

3.	EXPERIMENT 2.	
3.1.	Introduction	56
3.2.	Materials and Methods.	56
3.2.1.	Treatments.	56
3.2.2.	Field preparation.	64
3.2.3.	Experimental design.	64
3.2.4.	Sowing.	64
3.3.	Results.	71
3.3.1.	Total fruit.	71
a	Yield (t/ha).	71
b	Fruit number per m ² .	73
c	Fruit number per plant.	73
3.3.2.	Components of total fruit.	76
a	Ripe, green and rotten fruit yield (t/ha).	76
b	Ripe, green and rotten fruit number per m ² .	78
c	Ripe, green and rotten fruit number per plant.	83
3.3.3.	Yield of ripe, green and rotten fruit as a percentage of the total.	88
a)	Establishment Methods.	88
b)	Cultivar.	90
c)	Plant density.	90
3.		
3.4	Discussion.	93
3.4.1.	Yield components.	93
a)	Number of fruit per plant.	93
b)	Number of fruit per unit area.	94
3.4.2.	Fruit yield.	95
3.4.3.	Earliness.	97
3.4.4.	Yield concentration.	98
4.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	100
	Appendices.	
	Bibliography.	

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
1.	Production of outdoor tomatoes in New Zealand for the 1978/79 season.	2
2.	The major recommended varieties of process tomatoes grown in New Zealand.	5
3.	Final Percentage Emergence.	39
4.	Time to 50% (of final percentage) Emergence (hrs.).	39
5.	Quartile Deviation (hrs.).	40
6.	Relative Growth Rate at 20°C (g/g/week).	44
7.	Cultivar and Treatments Means of S.L.A., L.W.R., L.A.R. and N.A.R. at 20°C.	44
8.	Total Plant Dry Weight 22 Days after sowing (g. x 10).	46
9.	1/B from reciprocal yield - density model for total plant weight as influenced by method of plant establishment.	51
10.	K parameters for total fruit as influenced by (a) cultivar and (b) time of harvest.	53
11.	Chemical spray programme for control of weeds, pests and diseases.	69
12.	Total fruit yield as influenced by a) harvest and cultivar and b) density.	72
13.	Total fruit number per m ² as influenced by a) harvest, b) cultivar and c) density.	74
14.	Total fruit number per plant as influenced by time of harvest and cultivar.	74
15.	Total fruit number per plant as influenced by method of establishment and plant density.	75
16.	Ripe fruit yield as influenced by time of harvest and a) density and b) cultivar.	75
17.	Ripe fruit yield as influence by time of harvest and method of establishment.	77
18.	Green fruit yield (t/ha) as influenced by a) time of harvest and method of plant establishment and b) cultivar and plant density.	77
19.	Rotten fruit yield (t/ha) as influenced by a) density and time of harvest and b) cultivar.	79
20.	Ripe fruit number per m ² as influenced by time of harvest and method of plant establishment.	79
21.	Ripe fruit number per m ² as influenced by a) cultivar and plant density and b) time of harvest and plant density.	80

22. Ripe fruit number per m^2 as influenced by
time of harvest and method of establishment. 82
23. Green fruit number per m^2 as influenced by the
time of harvest and method of establishment. 82
24. Green fruit number as influenced by a) time of
harvest and cultivar and b) density. 82
25. Number of rotten fruit per m^2 as influenced by
time of harvest and plant density. 84
26. Number of ripe fruit per plant as influenced by
time of harvest and a) method of establishment
and b) cultivar. 85
27. Number of ripe fruit as influenced by a) time of
harvest and density and b) density and cultivar. 85
28. Number of green fruit per plant as influenced by
time of harvest and method of establishment. 85
29. The number of green fruit per plant as influ-
enced by time of harvest and a) cultivar and b)
plant density. 87
30. Number of rotten fruit per plant as influenced
by a) time of harvest and plant density and b)
cultivar. 87

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
I	Log 1/A from reciprocal yield - density model for total plant, as influenced by time of harvest.	48
II	Graph to show the influence of time of harvest on Loge 1/A from reciprocal yield - density model for total fruit weight.	54
III	Graph to show the influence of time of harvest on Loge 1/B from reciprocal yield - density model for total fruit weight.	54
IV	Equipment used for priming the seed.	59
V	Primed seed.	58
VI	Equipment used for chitting the seed.	60
VII	Plug mix incorporating the chitted seed.	62
VII	Transplants before and after thinning.	63
IX	The experimental area on the day of sowing.	65
X	Frame dimensions, plant spacing and resulting densities used in experiment 2.	66
XI	Sowing the seeds.	67
XII	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and method of establishment.	67
XII	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and cultivar.	67
XIV	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and plant density.	67
XV	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and method of establishment.	89
XVI	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and cultivar.	91

XVII	Percentage (by weight) of ripe, green and rotten fruit as affected by harvest and plant density.	92
------	--	----

LIST OF APPENDICIES

APPENDIX		PAGE
1.	Final percentage emergence and treatment and cultivar means at a) 12°C and b) 20°C.	103
2.	Results of soil analysis for experiment 2.	104

INTRODUCTION

An essential prerequisite for the successful mechanical harvesting of tomatoes is that, at the time of harvest, a high proportion of the fruit is ripe. There are a number of ways in which the uniformity of maturity within a tomato crop can be modified -

- (1) by the choice of cultivar,
- (2) by the method of establishment,
- (3) by the use of chemical ripening agents,
- (4) by management practices such as irrigation, plant population, weed control and protection against pests and diseases.

The objective of this study was to examine the effect of seed treatment, cultivar, method of establishment and plant population on the yield of tomatoes grown for mechanical harvesting.