

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Multi-stakeholder Perspectives on Barriers to Finding a Job for Individuals with an
Intellectual Disability: Applying Actor-Observer Theory

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Psychology

At Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Amy Mauer
2018

Abstract

This research examined the perceived barriers to finding a job for individuals with an intellectual disability from the perspectives of three key stakeholders on an “actor-observer” (A-O) continuum: potential *employees with an intellectual disability (actors)*, potential *employers (observers)* and *employment specialists* (in-between), who specialise in finding jobs for individuals with an intellectual disability. These participants made *attributions* for successful and unsuccessful job applications, exploring the possibility that *dispositional attributions* (e.g. lack of skills) by *observers* will be the predominant barrier to workplace inclusion.

Using Flanagan’s critical incident technique, successful employment and unsuccessful unemployment stories were collected from *employees with an intellectual disability* ($n=30$), *employers* ($n=13$) and *employment specialists* ($n=22$). When *employees with an intellectual disability* were unsuccessful in finding a job, these *employees (actors)* attributed *situational factors* such as negative attributions by the *employer*. Whilst *employers (observers)* tended more to blame *dispositional factors*, such as a lack of skills from *employees with intellectual disabilities* as the reason they did not hire. *Employment specialists* made both *dispositional and situational* but predominantly *situational attributions*. For successful employment, all three groups attributed support from the *employment specialists*, *employers* being flexible in the hiring process and *employees with an intellectual disability* being capable to do the job as the most important factors. As well as supporting A-O theory, these findings highlight the need for *employees* to be trained in job skills prior to *job hunting* and especially for *employers* to be trained in perspective taking, in order to remove the need for specialist support agencies.

Acknowledgments

This thesis was done with the help of a village. Thank you to everyone who patiently gave their time and energy to consult, proof read, offer me advice and cook me dinner. Thank you to Giulia Lowe for your invaluable assistance and my incredible partner, Grant, for always supporting me and pushing me to achieve my goals. To Mum, Lizzie, Liz, Anna, Lara and all my family and friends, thank you for everything you have done for me behind the scenes. To Recreate NZ, thank you for your unwavering support, your work as an organisation continues to inspire me. To Professor Stuart Carr, your guidance and knowledge has provided an incredible learning experience in the creation of this thesis.

Finally I would like to dedicate this thesis to my Gran who taught me to tackle life with compassion and determination. This one's for you, Gran.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	5
Critical Literature Review and Research Questions.....	5
<i>Context for the Research</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Unemployment in a New Zealand Context.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>The proposed model.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Key Stakeholders as outlined in Figure 1.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Actors</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Observers</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Employment Specialists</i>	<i>21</i>
Chapter 2	27
Method.....	27
<i>Participants</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Actors</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Observers</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Employment Specialists</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Measures</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Procedure</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Interviews</i>	<i>31</i>
Chapter 3	33
Results.....	33
<i>Thematic Analyses</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Positive Critical Incidents</i>	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
<i>Negative Critical Incidents</i>	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
Discussion	42
<i>Positive Outcome</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Negative Outcome</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Employment Specialists are Key</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Limitations</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Future recommendations</i>	<i>62</i>
<i>Implications of the current study</i>	<i>63</i>
Conclusion	65
Appendices	67
Appendix A	67
Appendix B.....	71
Appendix C.....	72
Appendix D	74
Appendix E	75
Appendix F	78
References	79