Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Molecular genetic analysis of plant *Mei2*-like genes

A Thesis Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Plant Biology

at

Massey University
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Nena de Guzman Alvarez 2002

Thesis Abstract

Molecular and genetic methods were used to analyse how a novel class of genes, plant *Mei2*-like genes may be involved in the regulation of morphogenesis in plants. The study specifically aimed to 1) further characterise maize *te1* (the first plant *Mei2*-like gene to be genetically analysed) and understand the morphological basis of the *te1* mutant phenotype and 2) analyse the function of *Arabidopsis Terminal Ear Like* (*TEL*) genes using expression analyses and reverse genetics strategy.

te1 maize mutants are initially characterised by abnormal phytomer formation and development. A more detailed morphological analysis shows that mutant plants 1) have smaller vegetative shoot apices than the wild type, 2) initiate leaves at a higher, more distal position on the apical dome and 3) have higher plastochron ratio. Molecular analyses of kn1 expression pattern, a marker of leaf founder identity, show that dowregulation of kn1 transcripts occur higher up the dome. Clonal analyses show that fewer number of leaf founder cells are recruited to form the leaf.

TEL1 and TEL2 are expressed in distinct overlapping domains in the undifferentiated region of the shoot apical meristems during the embryo, vegetative and reproductive stages of Arabidopsis development suggesting involvement of these genes in regulating meristem development and subsequent maintenance. The distinct expression of TEL1 in both the embryonic SAM and RAM raises the possibility of a unifying regulatory mechanism in the formation of the root and the shoot. The absence of TEL single knockout phenotypes supports the idea of functional redundancy. When the TEL genes were both knocked out, double mutant phenotypes show apical-basal pattern defects, ectopic production of numerous secondary shoots, production of numerous leaves and basic embryonic pattern defects such as deletions of apical and/or basal region of the seedling.

Results of this study support the hypothesis that plant *Mei2*-like genes are important in regulating morphogenesis in plants and that they are required in the early patterning of the basic plant body.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people who have helped me with this PhD:

Bruce Veit, for teaching me the essentials of a good research work. Thank you for the knowledge and skills you have taught me not only about plant molecular biology work and plant development but many other things as well.

Paula Jameson, for being instrumental in my pursuit of this PhD. Thank you for your concern, and support throughout the conduct of the study. Geoff Malcolm, for directing me to the then Plant Biology Department. Ian Brooking, for helping me with the glasshouse maize experiment. Thank you for the helpful discussions in the analysis of maize morphological data.

Ray Johnston and the staff of the Plant growth Unit, for all the unsolicited assistance in all the glasshouse and field experiments. Carmel Gilman, for assistance in lab work. Liz Nickless, for assistance in microscopy and histology, Charlotte James for being helpful with a lot of things. Keith Croft, for irradiating maize seeds. Doug Hopcroft and Raymond Bennet, for helping with SEM work.

The fellow students in the DEB Lab: Dan Jeffares, Murray Brown, Vernon Trainor and Susanah Leung, for sharing with me knowledge and skills in molecular biology work.

Terry and Neval, for helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

My extended family, members of the Access 21, for the many help and support. Ferdie and Ting, for all the help in my field experiments. Dan, Leo, Ann and Popee, for the helpful rides to work. Mina, for the encouragement. Alice for the company and all the help in the preparation of the manuscript. Amang and Inang, for the inspiration.

AND, my family, Paco, Kay and Kim for the love, encouragement and prayers. Thank you Paco for just being there for me. Your unceasing support and understanding have helped me through difficult and trying times. Thank you Kay and Kim, for the concern and for being so undemanding.

I acknowledge the assistance of the Marsden Foundation.

Table of contents

THESIS ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
GENE NOMENCLATURE	xii
ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1. AN OVERVIEW OF PATTERN FORMATION AND MORPHOGENESIS IN PLANTS	1
 1.1. Pattern formation in plants 1.1.1. The basic body plan is set up during embryogenesis 1.1.2. Pattern forming processes in plants 1.1.2a. Cell division patterns 1.1.2b. Cell fate specification and positional information 1.1.2c. Intercellular communication in plants 	1 2 5 5 7 8
 1.2. Shoot apical meristem 1.2.1. SAM structure 1.2.2. Maintenance of self-renewing initial cells in the SAM 1.2.3. Organ formation 1.2.3a. Specification of organ position 1.2.3b. Specification of leaf founder cells 	10 11 14 16 16
 1.3. The maize terminal ear1 (te1) and the Mei2-like gene family of RNA binding proteins 1.3.1. The maize terminal ear1 1.3.2. The plant Mei2-like genes as RNA binding proteins 	20 20 21
1.4. Aims of the thesis	25
CHAPTER 2. GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	27
Abstract	27
2.1. General plasmid and E. coli methods2.1.1. Growth of bacterial culture2.1.2. Harvesting and lysis of bacteria2.1.2a. Alkaline lysis method based from Sambrook (1989)	27 27 27 28

2.1.2b Qiaprep Spin Miniprep Kit protocol (Qiagen) 2.1.3. Transformation of E. coli by heat shock	29 29
 2.2. General DNA methods 2.2.1. Quantification of DNA 2.2.2. Electrophoresis of DNA 2.2.3. Amplification of DNA by PCR 2.2.4. DNA extraction protocol 2.2.4a. Urea leaf DNA prep 2.2.4b. Qiagen plant DNeasy prep (Qiagen Cat No. 69104) 2.2.4c. Shorty DNA Quick prep 2.2.5. Southern blotting with the DIG system 2.2.5a. DNA transfer to the nylon membrane 2.2.5b. DNA labeling 2.2.5c. DNA-DNA hybridisation 2.2.6. Southern blotting with radiolabeled probes 2.2.6a. Synthesis of radiolabeled probes 2.2.6b. DNA-DNA hybridisation 	29 30 30 31 31 32 32 33 34 34 35 35 35 36
 2.3. General RNA methodology 2.3.1. Precautions to avoid RNase contamination 2.3.2. RNA labeling 2.3.3. Quantification of DIG-labeled RNA 2.3.3a. Spot test method (Boehringer Mannheim protocol) 2.3.3b. Electrophoresis and colorimetric detection of glyoxylated RNA 	36 36 37 38 38 38
2.4 Hybridisation of DNA membranes with RNA probes	
2.5. Microscopy methods	40
2.6. In situ hybridisation protocol 2.6.1. Fixation of plant tissue materials	41 41 42 42 43 43 44 44 45 46
CHAPTER 3. MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISATION OF the te1 MUTANT AND LOCALISATION OF GENE TRANSCRIPTS	47
Abstract	47
3.1. Introduction	47

3.2. Materials and Methods 3.2.1. Experiment 1. Histological analysis of leaf initiation and	51
meristem size 3.2.2. Experiment 2. Morphological characterisation of the <i>te1</i> mutant 3.2.3. Experiment 3. Clonal analysis 3.2.4. Plant measurements 3.2.5. Frequency of leaf initiation 3.2.6. Expression analyses 3.2.7. Histological analyses 3.2.7a. SEM analysis 3.2.7b. Light microscopy 3.2.8. Sector analysis	51 52 52 53 55 55 56 56 56
3.3. Results 3.3.1. Leaf initiation 3.3.2. Internode elongation 3.3.3. Leaf size during vegetative development 3.3.4. Phenotype during reproductive development 3.3.5. Vegetative shoot apices 3.3.6. Expression analyses 3.3.7. Clonal analysis	59 59 60 65 68 70 72
 3.4. Discussion 3.4.1. te1 regulates phytomer organisation and development 3.4.2. te1 gene product is expressed in "active" SAM 3.4.3. te1 mutant has a smaller vegetative shoot apex and fewer leaf founder cells 	78 78 81
3.5. Conclusions	84
CHAPTER 4. EXPRESSION PATTERNS OF <i>MEI2</i> -LIKE GENES IN ARABIDOPSIS	86
Abstract 4.1. Introduction	86 86
4.2. Materials and Methods 4.2.1. Plant growth 4.2.2. In situ hybridisation	91 91 92
4.3. Results	92
 4.3.1. Expression patterns of <i>Mei2</i>-like genes during embryo development 4.3.2. TEL expressions during vegetative development 4.3.3. Expression patterns of <i>Mei2</i>-like genes during inflorescence 	92 96
and floral development 4.3.4. Expression of <i>TEL</i> genes in <i>clavata</i> mutants	99 105

 4.4. Discussion 4.4.1. Function of plant Mei2-like genes 4.4.1a. The roles of plant Mei2-like genes in embryo development 4.4.1b. The roles of plant Mei2-like genes in postembryonic development 4.4.2. TEL expression in clv mutants 	107 107 107 111 113
4.5. Conclusions	113
CHAPTER 5. GENETIC ANALYSIS OF <i>ARABIDOPSIS MEI2</i> -LIKE GENES	115
Abstract	115
5.1. Introduction	115
 5.2. Materials and Methods 5.2.1. The Arabidopsis Knockout Facility 5.2.1a. Knockout primer design 5.2.1b. Hot start PCR condition 5.2.1c. DNA sequencing of PCR products 5.2.1d. Finding the knockout plant 5.2.2. Genetic crosses 5.2.3. Genetic analysis of double mutants 5.2.4. Analysis of embryo and seedling phenotypes 	119 119 121 123 123 124 124 124 125
5.3. Results 5.3.1. Single knockouts 5.3.2. Double knockouts	126 126 132
5.4. Discussion5.4.1. What are the functions of plant <i>Mei2</i>-like genes?	136 136
5.5. Conclusions	139
CHAPTER 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	140
6.1. Summary of aims and results of the study6.2. Model for TEL function6.3. Limitations of the study and future experiment directions	140 143 145
REFERENCES	146
APPENDICES Appendix 1. Primary screening of T-DNA transformed Arabidopsis lines. A) tel1 B) tel2 and C) aml1 knockouts using PCR.	170 170
Appendix 2 Map of vector pD991	171

Appendix 3. pSKI015 map	
Appendix 4. Southern blot to determine cross-hybridisations between plant <i>Mei2</i> mRNAs.	173

List of Tables

Table 3.1.	Genotypes, phenotypes and phenotypic ratios of the maize plants used in the clonal analysis	54
Table 3.2.	Shoot traits of wild type and <i>te1</i> mutant siblings in B73 background	61
Table 3.3.	Juvenile shoot traits of te1 mutants and their normal siblings	65
Table 3.4.	Meristem size of maize vegetative shoot apiœs (um)	70
Table 3.5.	Frequency and position of sectors in leaves 5-15 of irradiated wild type and <i>te1</i> maize plants	75
Table 3.6.	Apparent cell number of the leaf and the internode	76
Table 5.1.	A summary of the crosses made to generate double mutants.	125

List of Figures

Figure 1.1.	Cell fate specification in the one-celled embryo	3
Figure 1.2.	Schematic representation of a shoot apex showing the organisation of the meristem.	13
Figure 1.3.	The third RRM characterizes Mei2-like genes	22
Figure 1.4.	Three groups of <i>Mei2</i> -like genes have strong support in maximum parsimony trees	23
Figure 3.1.	A model of te1 function based on the expression of te1	50
Figure 3.2.	Schematic representation of a median longitudinal section of a maize vegetative shoot apex	58
Figure 3.3.	Total number of leaves initiated in normal plants and <i>te1</i> mutants during the vegetative development	59
Figure 3.4.	te1 mutant phenotype	62
Figure 3.5.	Internode lengths of normal and <i>te1</i> mutants at different positions from the base of the plant	63
Figure 3.6.	Light microscopy images of internode epidermal surface	64
Figure 3.7.	Leaf sizes of <i>te1</i> mutants and their normal siblings in B73 background	66
Figure 3.8.	Leaf shapes of <i>te1</i> mutants and their normal siblings illustrated as leaf length/width ratio	67
Figure 3.9.	Leaf shapes of <i>te1</i> mutants and their normal siblings illustrated as a normalised leaf area curve	67
Figure 3.10.	Phenotype of flowering maize plants	69
Figure 3.11.	Longitudinal section of maize vegetative shoot apices	71
Figure 3.12.	Scanning electron microscopy images of maize vegetative shoot apices	71
Figure 3.13.	Expression of kn1 in maize vegetative shoot apices	73
Figure 3.14.	Expression of te1 in maize vegetative shoot apices	73

Figure 3.15.	Total number and positions of sectors in the normal maize plant and <i>te1</i> maize	75
Figure 3.16.	Sector sizes in maize phytomers	77
Figure 4.1.	Shoot apical meristem (SAM) formation and expression of related genes	88
Figure 4.2.	TEL1 mRNA expression patterns during embryo development of wildtype Arabidopsis	94
Figure 4.3	TEL2 mRNA expression patterns during embryo development of wildtype Arabidopsis	95
Figure 4.4.	AML1 expression patterns during embryo development of wildtype Arabidopsis	95
Figure 4.5.	TEL1 mRNA expression pattern in the vegetative meristem of wildtype Arabidopsis	97
Figure 4.6.	TEL 2 mRNA expression patterns in vegetative meristem of wildtype Arabidopsis	98
Figure 4.7.	Expression patterns of <i>Arabidopsis Mei2</i> -like genes in inflorescence and floral meristems of wildtype <i>Arabidopsis</i> .	101
Figure 4.8.	Serial, transverse sections of an inflorescence meristem (IM) of wild type <i>Arabidopsis</i> showing <i>TEL2</i> mRNA expression patterns in the inflorescence and floral meristems	102
Figure 4.9.	Expression patterns of TEL2, AML1 and TEL1 during flower development	103
Figure 4.10.	TEL1 and TEL2 mRNAs are expressed in axillary meristems.	104
Figure 4.11.	Expression patterns of TEL mRNAs in clv mutant	106
Figure 5.1.	Organisation and screening of T-DNA transformed Arabidopsis lines	120
Figure 5.2.	Graphical illustration showing knockout primer locations in A) TEL1, B) TEL2 and C) AML1 genomic DNA	122
Figure 5.3.	Graphical illustration showing the T-DNA insertion and primers location in <i>TEL1</i> genomic DNA	128
Figure 5.4.	Graphical illustration showing T-DNA insertion and primer locations in <i>TEL2</i> genomic DNA	129

List of Figures Xii

Figure 5.5.	Graphical illustration showing T-DNA insertion and primer locations in <i>AML1</i> genomic DNA	130
Figure 5.6.	TEL1 and TEL2 mRNA expression in Arabidopsis tel1 knockout embryo	131
Figure 5.7.	tel1/tel2 double mutant phenotypes	134
Figure 5.8.	Scanning electron microscopy images of putative double mutant and the wildtype	135
Figure 6.1.	Model for TEL function in Arabidopsis	144

Gene nomenclature

Genes and gene products are named as follows:

Arabidopsis

GENES are capitalised and italicised

GENE TRANSCRIPTS are capitalised and italicised

PROTEINS are capitalised

mutant plants are named after the gene, in lower case, italicised

Maize

genes are written in lower case, italicised

PROTEINS ARE capitalised, italicised

Mutants are named after the gene, in lower case, italicised

Yeast (S. pombe)

genes are written in lower case, italicised ie. mei2

Proteins are written with the first letter capitalised, not italicised as in Mei2p

Groups of genes

Mei2-like

TEL <u>Terminal</u> <u>Ear-Like</u>

Abbreviations

Amp ampicillin

BCIP x-phosphate/5- bromo-chloro-indoyl-phosphate

DMPC dimethylpyrocarbonate
DEPC diethylpyrocarbonate

DIG Digoxigenin

DMSO dimethylsulfoxide

DTT dithiothreitol

EDTA disodium ethylene diamine tetra acetate

Kan kanamycin

LB Luria Bertanni medium

NBT 4 Nitroblue tetrazolium chloride

ng nanogram

NaOAc sodium acetate

NTP nucleotide triphosphates
PBS phosphate buffered saline
PCR polymerase chain reaction

RAM root apical meristem
rpm revolutions per minute
RRM RNA recognition motif
SAM shoot apical meristem

sodium dodecyl sulphate

 μ micron

SDS

°C degrees Celsius