

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**STUDIES OF HOLSTEIN-FRIESIAN CATTLE
BRED FOR HEAVY OR LIGHT MATURE LIVE
WEIGHT**

José G. García-Muñiz

1998

Studies of Holstein-Friesian Cattle Bred for Heavy or Light Mature Live Weight

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

**Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences
Massey University**

**José G. García-Muñiz
1998**

MASSEY UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

THESIS COPYRIGHT FORM

Title of Thesis: Studies of Holstein-Friesian Cattle
bred for heavy or light mature live
weight

Please delete section not applicable.

- (1) (a) I give permission for my thesis to be made available to readers in Massey University Library under conditions determined by the Librarian.
- (b) I do not wish my thesis to be made available to readers without my written consent for ___ months.
- (2) (a) I agree that my thesis, or a copy, may be sent to another institution under conditions determined by the Librarian.
- (b) I do not wish my thesis, or a copy, to be sent to another institution without my written consent for ___ months.
- (3) (a) I agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.
- (b) I do not wish my thesis to be copied for Library use for ___ months.

Signed: _____

Date: 4/September'98

FOR READERS ONLY

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must sign their name in the space below to show that they recognise this. They are asked to add their permanent address.

NAME and ADDRESS

DATE



**MASSEY
UNIVERSITY**

Private Bag 11222
Palmerston North
New Zealand
Telephone +64-6-356 905
Facsimile +64-6-350 571

**COLLEGE OF
SCIENCES**

INSTITUTE OF
VETERINARY, ANIMAL &
BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to state the research carried out for the PhD thesis entitled:

“Studies of Holstein-Friesian cattle bred for heavy or light mature liveweight”

was done by José G. Garcia-Muñiz in the Animal Science Department, Massey

University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. The thesis material has not been used

for any other degree.

G. G. Garcia-Muñiz 4/9/98

Chief Supervisor



**MASSEY
UNIVERSITY**

Private Bag 11222
Palmerston North
New Zealand
Telephone +64-6-356 909
Facsimile +64-6-350 571

**COLLEGE OF
SCIENCES**

INSTITUTE OF
VETERINARY, ANIMAL &
BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES


TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to state the research carried out for the PhD thesis entitled:

“Studies of Holstein-Friesian cattle bred for heavy or light mature liveweight”

in the Animal Science Department, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand is all my own work.

This is also to certify that the thesis material has not been used for any other degree.

.....
Date:  4/9/98

Dedication

I dedicate this Thesis to my wife Marcela and my children José Alberto (5), Ana Victoria (4) and Eduardo (1). For all their love and support, and for putting up with my busy schedule during the first three years of my PhD and with my absence during the last 10 months.

To my mother, Victoria Muñiz, for encouraging me to further my education since the very first day I attended primary school.

Abstract

The new Animal Evaluation Model predicts that heavier live weight (LW) of the lactating cow reduces the profitability of the pasture-based dairying farm in New Zealand, because its effects on increased maintenance requirements are not fully compensated by the extra income generated from selling heavier culled cattle and surplus progeny. The work outlined in this thesis was intended to validate the expected effects of selection for differences in LW on actual LW from birth to maturity and on herbage intake and feed conversion efficiency (FCE) of growing cattle and lactating cows. It also investigated the existence of any associated effects on calving difficulty, calf mortality, onset of puberty and reproductive performance of the two lines of Holstein-Friesian (HF) cattle bred for heavy or light mature LW. These two lines have been developed at the Dairy Cattle Research Unit, Massey University, New Zealand, since 1989. The high genetic merit HF cows from the base herd have been mated to high genetic merit HF sires with either high or low breeding value (BV) for LW but with similar breeding worth (BW) in order to generate the heavy (H) and the light (L) mature LW selection lines. During the period 1994 to 1997, a series of experiments with growing heifers and lactating cows from the H and L lines, and analysis of data collected from the cows were undertaken to compare the two genetic lines.

The BV's for live weight of the sires were 86 kg for the H and 31 kg for the L cows and the actual H animals were heavier at birth (41 vs. 35 kg) and at maturity (510 vs. 460 kg). In addition the BV's for milk (1037 vs. 737 l), milkfat (33.0 vs. 27.5 kg) and milk protein (31 kg vs. 22 kg) of H sires were also higher and the H cows produced significantly more milk (4708 vs. 4323 l/lactation), more milkfat (207 vs. 198 kg/lactation) and more milk protein (157 vs. 150 kg/lactation) than the L cows. However, the L sires had slightly higher breeding worth (\$ 46 vs. \$ 37) than the H sires and theoretically calculated and experimentally measured feed intakes and the resultant feed conversion efficiencies, confirmed that the L cows had slightly higher values for FCE than the H cows in three short-term grazing experiments and when FCE was calculated over multiple lactations. Therefore the basic assumptions in the Animal Evaluation Model seem to be correct.

Sires of H cows had a higher proportion of USA Holstein genes in their pedigrees than the L sires. Consequently cows from the H line had a higher ($\approx 27\%$) proportion of USA Holstein genes compared to cows from the L line ($\approx 7\%$), whose sires were mainly of New Zealand ancestry. New Zealand bulls are progeny tested under grazing conditions and a very tight seasonal system of reproduction, whereas North American bulls are progeny tested under dairying systems of all year round milk production and feedlot feeding.

There were significant differences in the pattern of grazing behaviour of H and L cows. The L cows displayed a more 'aggressive' pattern of grazing behaviour than H cows given by significantly longer grazing times (520 vs. 499 min/d), faster rates of biting (58 vs. 52 bites/min), higher number of total bites per day (31053 vs. 25046 bites/d), lower rumination times (471 vs. 572 min/d), and the selection of herbage of higher digestibility (72.0% vs. 69.3%). These results may reflect not only a difference in mature LW between the H and L cows, but may also reflect a strain of Holstein (i.e. NZ vs. USA Holstein) difference due to the sires' ancestry referred to above.

There were no differences between H and L cows in the incidence of calving difficulty. However, offspring of bulls with high BV for rump width (i.e. wider pelvises) were more likely to face a difficult calving, and so were daughters of bulls with low BV for rump angle (i.e. less sloping pelvises). There were no differences between H and L cows for calf mortality. However, induced calves were more likely to die or undertake an emergency slaughter, and the H cows had significantly higher induction rates than the L cows (10.5 vs. 4.2%).

The H heifers grew faster, ate more feed (4.3 vs. 3.8 kg/hd/d) and were heavier (241 vs. 221 kg) and older (325 vs. 300 d) at puberty than L heifers, and there were no differences between H and L heifers in pregnancy rate, age at first calving and first lactation yield of milk and milk components.

There were only small differences in the reproductive performance of H and L cows after adjusting by differences in induction, calving date and percentage of USA Holstein genes in the cows. The L cows had slightly shorter intervals from first service to conception (13 vs. 17 d) and from the start of mating to conception (24 vs. 29 d), and slightly higher first service conception rate (65 vs. 54%), which translated in a more concentrated calving pattern and lower induction rate (4.2 vs. 10.5%) for the L cows.

The results of this thesis indicate that selecting for heavier mature live weight produced the expected results of heavier animals with higher yields of milk and milk components, higher feed requirements and higher herbage intakes and slightly lower feed conversion efficiency than lighter mature live weight cows. However, there were also differences in grazing behaviour in which the L cows displayed a more competitive pattern of grazing behaviour than the H cows. The results of this thesis suggest that for the New Zealand seasonal system of milk production based almost completely on grazed pasture, lighter mature LW HF cows may have an advantage over heavier mature LW cows. Under the conditions of this experiments L cows were slightly more efficient, younger at puberty, had a more concentrated calving pattern, and were less prone to be induced to calve than heavier mature live weight HF cows.

Acknowledgements

A project of this magnitude could not have been completed without the help, input, participation, enthusiasm and foresight of many people. Back in 1987 Associate Professor Colin Holmes from Massey University and Dr. Brian Wickham and Gisele Ahlborn-Brier from the Livestock Improvement Corporation laid the foundations of the present project.

I am particularly grateful to Associate Professor Colin Holmes for giving me the opportunity to study under his supervision. The promptness of reviewing research proposals, ideas, and all the chapters making up this thesis is highly appreciated. I am particularly appreciative of his interest in the development of my Ph.D. program, and the unlimited and unrestricted time he has devoted to helping me during the time I have been under his supervision. I have benefited immensely from his enjoyable style of supervising, which has provided a model I will try to emulate with students under my care in the future. I am grateful to his wife, Dorothy Holmes, for making me feel at home and for trusting me not only with her house but also her 'precious' cats while they were on holiday.

Dr. Ian Brookes, Dr. Gavin Wilson, Professor Dorian Garrick, Dr. Duncan Mackenzie, Associate Professor Roger Purchas and Professor John Hodgson provided valuable feedback to improve this thesis.

During two years Yvette Cottam helped me with the collection of blood samples and field data while the heifers were grazing away. The weekly trips we made to collect the data were a delightful experience. During the winter months we were able to taste most of the local chocolate brands available, while in summer we always made sure to call into a Fielding Pub for a bowl of hot 'Nachos' and a cold beer.

I am grateful to Jeff Purchas for his invaluable help in the running of the experiments and skilful handling of the animals. My especial appreciation to Martin L. Chesterfield, manager of the research herd, for his help and strategic support during the running of the experiments. A great lesson I learned from Martin was that a research farm could still be in the top 10% of the high profit farms within the district. The secret: how to accommodate the needs of both the cows and the researchers within the constraints of the dairy farm.

A large number of international students gave their time to participate in the running of the experiments and collection of field data. In no particular order, I will always be grateful to Stuart Crosthwaite (Australia), Lisa Watson (New Zealand), Michelle Jones (Canada), Jan (Holland), Brian Read (U.K), Carolina Realini, Daniel Laborde and Bettina (Uruguay), Pablo Londoño (Colombia), and from México, Nicolás López, David Pacheco, Aurelio Guevara, Mauricio Padilla and Alberto Torres.

My fellow post-grads Nicolás López (México), Richard Spelman (NZ) and Paul Charteris (NZ) provided valuable discussions and were always willing to review and comment on early drafts making up the chapters of this thesis. I am grateful they accepted helping me with this early 'peer review'. I am particularly grateful to my friend and colleague, Nicolás López, for his help and thorough discussions on the statistical analyses of the data, and for the emotional support he has given me during this last six months.

During the last 10 months while I have been without my family, Joyce and Arthur Worboys have become my foster family while in New Zealand. I will certainly miss Arthur's cup of tea and bunch of 'fancy' cookies that kept me going and helped me work until late every single night I spent in their house. Joyce always kept an interest and an open mind about my project, and was willing to listen to my ideas and findings as I was progressing in the analysis of the data and writing of this thesis. As it turns out, at the completion of this thesis she was familiar with my research and did not hesitate in doing the English proofreading of the manuscript. I am particularly grateful for that. However, any mistakes in the present thesis are my sole responsibility. Without any doubt, the completion of this thesis would not have been possible without Joyce and Arthur's help, and I will always be grateful for their support.

I am grateful to Professor Stuart McCutcheon for helping me with the 'lobbying' to obtain my scholarship from the Mexican Government and continue my education at Massey University. The financial support for this research by the New Zealand Livestock Improvement Corporation is highly appreciated.

My PhD studies were possible thanks to the financial support of the Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT) and Universidad Autónoma Chapingo, Chapingo, México. I am grateful to both institutions for giving me the opportunity to further my education at Massey University. At the end of my PhD program I can only look forward to meeting the challenges that both my academic institution and my country will put before me.

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

Abbreviations

<i>A</i>	mature live weight
a.m.	<i>ante meridiem</i>
AI	artificial insemination
ANOVA	analysis of variance
<i>b</i>	constant of integration
BR	biting rate
BS	bite size
BV	breeding value
BW	breeding worth
C ₃₂	dotriacontane
C ₃₆	hexatriacontane
CFH	calving to first heat
CFS	calving to first service
CI	calving interval
CIDR	controlled internal drug release device
Cr	chromium
CR1st	conception rate to the first service
CR21	21-days first service conception rate
Cr ₂ O ₃	chromium sesquioxide
CRC	controlled release capsule
CS	body condition score
CSH	compressed sward height
d	day
DM	dry matter
DMD	dry matter digestibility
DMI	dry matter intake
DO	days open
DOMD	digestible organic matter in the dry matter (D-value)
DTC	days to calving
FCM	4% fat corrected milk
FO	faecal output
FREQ	frequency
FSCO	first service to conception interval
GL	gestation length
GLM	Generalised Linear Models
GT	grazing time
h	hours
ha	hectare
hd	head
HFRO	Hill Farming Research Organization (Scotland)
HIR28	four-weeks herd in calf rate
HIR49	seven-weeks herd in calf rate
IAB	incisor arcade breadth
<i>k</i>	maturation rate parameter
ln	natural logarithm
log _e	natural logarithm
LW	live weight
LW ^{0.75}	metabolic weight
LWG	live-weight gain
ME	metabolisable energy
min.	minute
MJ	megajoule
MJME	megajoules of metabolisable energy

MS	mean square
MSY	milksolids yield
N	nitrogen content
n	number of observations
NLIN	non-linear
NM	natural mating
NZ	New Zealand
OM	organic matter
OMD	organic matter digestibility
<i>P</i>	probability
p.m.	<i>post meridiem</i>
P ₄	progesterone
PGF _{2α}	prostaglandin F _{2α}
PHREG	proportional hazards regression
PROC	procedure
PSC	planned start of calving
PSM	planned start of mating
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
sec	second
SMCO	start of mating to conception
SMFS	start of mating to first service
SR28	four-weeks submission rate
SR49	seven-weeks submission rate
SSH	sward surface height
<i>t</i>	time (days)
USA	United States of America
vs.	<i>versus</i>

Weights, volumes and measures

°C	degrees centigrade
g	gram
kg	kilogram
km	kilometer
l	liter
m	meter
m ²	square meter
mg	milligram
ml	milliliter
ng	nanogram

Statistical terms

*	significant at $P < 0.05$
**	significant at $P < 0.01$
***	significant at $P < 0.001$
†	significant at $P < 0.1$
μ	overall mean
χ^2	chi-square
<i>b</i>	partial regression coefficient
CDF	cumulative distribution function
CI	confidence interval
CV	coefficient of variation
d.f	degrees of freedom
HR	hazard ratio
n	number
NS	not significant
OR	odds ratio
R ²	coefficient of determination
RSD	residual standard deviation
s.e.d	standard error of the difference
SD	standard deviation
SE	standard error of the mean