

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The effects of pH-stat long-term lactic acid bacterial
activity prior to curd formation on the development of
cheese structure in a fat free model cheese**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

in

Food Technology

At Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand

Abbas Khodamoradi Dashtaki

2018

Abstract

Cheese ripening is an important step in most cheese production practices during which a tasteless fresh cheese is converted to a tasty and flavourful product with specific textural attributes. However, the complexity of its composition (pH, solubilisation of calcium from the colloidal casein proteins, salt concentration, acid production rate, indigenous and added enzymes, residual activity of the enzymes etc.) coupled with the length of time associated with manufacturing which in some cases can be in excess of two years has made it a complicated area of study. The influences of the composition and process contributors are confounded and it is impossible to connect the impact of one particular parameter on cheese making steps and quality attributes. pH has proven to be an important influential factor to influence the extent of effects of other parameters with significant influence on other ruling parameters in milk, curd and cheese. Proteolysis during ripening is the most important physicochemical pathway to define the quality of cheese. One of the major factors governing cheese ripening reactions is the starter bacteria.

This study has aimed to characterise the effects of starter bacteria activity on curd formation and resultant cheese textural attributes of the long fermented cheesemilk. By developing a pH-stat system, long fermentations carried out to assess the proteolytic activity of selected starter lactic acid bacteria (LAB) on a milk based medium before rennet addition. It was attempted to assess the degree of hydrolysis of cheesemilk through extended bacterial fermentation, conducted under pH-stat conditions, prior to curd formation. The effects of the bacterial activity on casein proteins during pH-stat long term (PSLT) fermentations were evaluated by assessing proteolysis index from pH4.6 soluble nitrogen as a fraction of total nitrogen (pH4.6SN/TN). The proteolysis of proteins during PSLT was further assessed by doing reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) on 70%Ethanol soluble (70%EtOHS) and insoluble (70%EtOHI) fractions of pH4.6 soluble fraction of the samples. The effects of PLST fermentation on formation of small-size peptides were assessed by quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-ToF MS) on the 70%EtOHS fraction. The effect of PLST fermentation on 'depth of proteolysis' during

cheese ripening were assessed by analysing the quantity of free amino acid (FAA) formed in resultant cheese after 12 months storage at 4°C. The impact of PLST fermentation on gel formation attributes were assessed by doing dynamic low amplitude oscillatory rheometry (DLAOR). The consequent effects on resultant cheese texture were evaluated using texture profile analysis (TPA). The impact of PSLT on microstructure were assessed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

The results provided evidence for the adequacy of developed fermentation to conduct PSLT with reproducible results. High correlation between the parameters of the PSLT fermentation system were obtained. The proteolysis index measured from the PSLT fermentations with different durations showed evidences on the significance of LAB proteolytic system on cheese milk prior to curd formation. The proteolysis index for the longest fermentation prior to curd formation was 5% which was comparable to day one cheese proteolysis index, in presence of rennet, in most cheeses varieties. Peptide profiling of the 70%EtOHS and 70%EtOHI sub-fractions of pH4.6S showed significant ($p < 0.05$) effects arising from PSLT fermentations. Analysis of FAA of ripened cheese also showed a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the samples with longer PSLT (20 times increase in total free amino acids compared to non-fermented treatment) fermentations. The differences in gelation behaviour of the sample and textural attributes of cheese and microstructure of final cheese were connected to the extent of proteolytic activity of LAB during PSLT fermentations. The hardness of cheese significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased (up to ~60%) by increasing fermentation duration over the studied timescale.

Acknowledgments

I would like to give my sincere gratitude to all the people who were directly or indirectly involved and also assisted me in completing this project. The thesis could not have been completed without the help of my supervising team. I would like to especially thank Dr. Alistair Carr for his knowledgeable insights and inspiring thoughts and conversation. Also for allowing me to come to his office whenever I had a question or idea to consult.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. James Harnett (Fonterra) who agreed to be my supervisor and helped me greatly to look at things from a microbiologist's point of view, and kindly provided me the bacterial strains and some milk-based powders. I would like also to thank Mr Rod Bennett for being a part of supervisory team at some stage. I also would like to express my gratitude to Professor Steve Flint for his help and support in post-submission, examination and amendments of my thesis.

I am especially thankful to Mr Allan Hardacre for helping me to stop being the "the pH-stat system's alkali addition pump". Without his help, I have no idea how many more nights I had to stay beside the equipment to keep the pH constant by dripping caustic soda in the fermenter tank. I would like to thank Professor Matt Golding for the discussions which shaped my thesis greatly. I would like to also thank Professor Julian Haynes for a being a source of continuous inspiration and support.

I am thankful to the staff of IFNHH and Riddet Institute, especially Mr. Garry Radford, Mr. Steve Glasgow, Mr. Warwick Johnson and Mrs. Michelle Tamehana, Janiene Gilliland and Maggi Zou, Mr. Byron McKillop and Mrs. Yvonne Parkes for providing me with the material and equipment I needed for the study and also the right advice and help at the best time.

I would like to especially thank Ann-Marie Jackson for her support in providing me with whatever I needed in the microbiology lab and many helpful advice. I also wish to thank Julia Good for her practical advice during microbiological lab work. I would like to thank Joella Lauridsen for her help during my visit to Fonterra's microbiology unit. I am grateful to the Manawatu Microscopy

and Imaging Centre (MMIC) for their help and advice during my study. I would like to express my gratitude to Mr Trevor Loo from Institute of Fundamental Sciences for his help and practical advice for protein analysis. I wish to thank Matt Levin from Massey IT services for his help with computer and software used in this study and many fun chats.

I am grateful to my friend and colleagues who I have become to know during my studies, shared experiences and knowledge, and will always remember their support and encouragements. I would especially like to thank Miss Jasmine Soukieh for her many advice and intriguing discussions.

Finally, I am greatly thankful to my family, to my parents for supporting me extraordinarily throughout my life. I can't put it in words how blessed I am to have you both as my parents, idols and source of true love. To my brothers and sisters for being a source of inspiration and happiness. I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my father-in-law, Dr Majid Dehghan-Shoar, Zahra Dehghan-Shoar and my brother-in-law Mohammad Hossein Dehghan-Shoar for their support and encouragement throughout my PhD work. This thesis is dedicated to my love, Dr Zeinab Dehghan-Shoar, who has supported me from the beginning of this study till the end. It would have not been possible to finish this piece of work without her being so understanding and patient, especially by looking after the eternal source of happiness in our life, Rose Khodamoradi. And above all, I thank almighty God who is the source of the 'Energy' to me, the casein interactions, the starter bacteria enzymes, and each and every other 'vibrating matter' in the universe.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgments.....	iii
Table of Figures.....	xi
Table of Tables.....	xvii
List of abbreviations and symbols.....	xix
Chapter One Introduction.....	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research questions.....	3
Chapter Two Literature Review	4
2.1 Cheese	4
2.1.1 Milk.....	4
2.1.2 Casein proteins	5
2.2 Cheese making process.....	12
2.2.1 Milk selection and pre-treatment	14
2.2.2 Coagulum formation.....	15
2.3 Acid coagulation of milk.....	16
2.3.1 Fermentation.....	17
2.3.2 Parameters affecting LAB during fermentation.....	26
2.3.3 Parameters affecting acid coagulation of milk	31
2.4 Enzymatic coagulation of milk.....	33
2.4.1 Introduction.....	33
2.4.2 Primary phase (Enzymatic hydrolysis of κ -casein).....	35

2.4.3	Secondary phase (Aggregation and gel formation).....	38
2.4.4	Factors affecting gel formation.....	40
2.5	Post coagulation steps in cheese making.....	42
2.6	Cheese Ripening.....	43
2.6.1	Proteolysis during cheese ripening.....	44
2.6.2	Evaluating the proteolysis progress in cheese.....	49
2.7	Development and assessment of quality attributes and functional properties of cheese during ripening.....	55
2.7.1	Introduction.....	55
2.7.2	Cheese as a viscoelastic material.....	56
2.7.3	Dynamic rheometry.....	57
2.7.4	Large-scale deformation rheometry.....	59
2.7.5	Factors affecting functional properties of cheese.....	62
2.7.6	Confocal laser microscopy of gel microstructure.....	70
2.8	Conclusion.....	71
2.9	Research questions.....	71
2.10	Experimental approach.....	72
	Chapter Three Materials and method.....	74
3.1	Development of a model system.....	74
3.1.1	Introduction.....	74
3.1.2	Fermenter design.....	75
3.1.3	Medium selection.....	76
3.1.4	Lactose adjustment.....	79

3.1.5	pH adjustment.....	80
3.1.6	Oxygen removal.....	81
3.1.7	NaOH addition and concentration.....	82
3.1.8	Adjusting the ionic strength and conductivity	83
3.2	Materials	84
3.2.1	Bacterial culture.....	84
3.2.2	Milk protein concentrate (MPC85)	84
3.2.3	Skim milk powder	85
3.2.4	Sodium caseinate.....	85
3.2.5	Rennet	85
3.2.6	Lactose	86
3.2.7	Chemicals	86
3.3	Methods.....	86
3.3.1	Powder rehydration	86
3.3.2	Fermenter sanitization.....	87
3.3.3	Bacterial stock preparation.....	87
3.3.4	Inoculum propagation.....	87
3.3.5	Bacillus contamination assessment.....	92
3.3.6	Nitrogen fractionation.....	93
3.3.7	Total Nitrogen content (Kjeldahl method).....	95
3.3.8	Cheese making.....	95
3.3.9	Moisture content.....	98
3.3.10	Conductivity measurements	98

3.3.11	HPLC.....	98
3.3.12	Free amino acid.....	99
3.3.13	Quadrupole time-of flight (Q-ToF) Mass Spectrometry	99
3.3.14	Rheological Experiments.....	100
3.3.15	Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (cheese microstructure)	103
3.3.16	Sampling for next steps.....	104
3.3.17	Analysis of the results.....	104
Chapter Four Fermentation		106
4.1	Introduction	106
4.2	Bacterial starter selection.....	106
4.3	Bacterial preparation by cell extract method.....	109
4.4	Fermentation System	111
4.4.1	Our designed system pH-stat.....	111
4.4.2	Brunswick BioFlo 110 system.....	112
4.5	Formulating the milk-based medium for fermentation	112
4.6	Length of fermentation	113
4.7	pH range.....	114
4.8	Fermentation trials.....	115
4.9	Lactose elimination point (LEP)	118
4.10	Non fermented control trial.....	118
4.11	Bacterial enumeration	119
4.11.1	Inoculum count.....	119
4.11.2	Fermenter bacterial count.....	121

4.11.3	Presence of bacillus	122
4.12	Chapter conclusion.....	123
Chapter Five Evaluation of Nitrogenous compounds as an indicator of proteolysis in fermented milk and cheese		
124		
5.1	Introduction:	124
5.2	Chemical analysis of fermented medium	126
5.3	Nitrogen fractionation as a guide to the extent of proteolysis.....	128
5.3.1	pH 4.6 fractionation.....	129
5.3.2	70%Ethanol fractionation.....	132
5.4	High performance Liquid chromatography	133
5.4.1	Ethanol soluble fraction.....	133
5.4.2	70%Ethanol Insoluble fraction.....	138
5.5	Mass Spectrometry.....	140
5.6	Free amino acid evaluation.....	145
5.7	Chapter conclusion.....	148
Chapter Six Effects of pH-Stat Long-Term Fermentation on Rheological Aspects of Curd formation and Cheese and Microstructure of Cheese		
151		
6.1	Introduction	151
6.2	Curd formation and its rheological attributes.....	152
6.2.1	Gelation time	152
6.2.2	Evaluation of dynamic moduli of curd formation	154
6.2.3	Chemical analysis of the formed coagulum	160
6.3	Large strain rheometry.....	161
6.3.1	Chemical analysis of the final cheese	161

6.3.2	TPA	162
6.4	Evaluation of the microstructure of cheese by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy	166
6.4.1	Results.....	166
6.5	Modelling.....	168
6.6	Technique used for curve fitting.....	168
6.6.1	Assumptions	169
6.6.2	Curve fitting results	169
6.7	Chapter conclusion.....	171
Chapter Seven Concluding discussion and future work		172
7.1	pH-stat long-term (PSLT) fermentation system.....	172
7.2	Proteolysis evaluation.....	173
7.3	Rheological aspects	174
7.3.1	Coagulation rheological attributes	175
7.3.2	Final cheese texture and microstructure.....	177
7.4	Future work.....	179
References		180

Table of Figures

<i>Figure 2-1 Amino acid sequence of glycomacropeptide (AA 106-169) in κ-casein chain of bovine milk protein (Eigel, Butler et al., 1984)</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Figure 2-2 Phosphoserine</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 2-3. Ca^{2+} binding tendency of casein molecules. The ester-bond phosphate content is presented for each casein protein ($mmol.g^{-1}$ casein), (Walstra, Wouters et al. 2006).</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 2-4. Schematic structure of the casein micelle (Dalgleish 2011) with α-caseins in red, β-casein in blue, κ-casein in green and calcium phosphate nanoclusters in grey (not to scale).</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Figure 2-5. General cheese manufacturing protocol (Fox, Guinee, Cogan, & McSweeney, 2017a)</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 2-6 An example of cheese varieties (McSweeney, Ottagi and Fox; 2006)</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Figure 2-7. Effect of pH reduction on the ability of micellar calcium (filled circle) and inorganic phosphate (open circle) to remain inside the micelle (Fox, Guinee, et al., 2017a).</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Figure 2-8 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of <i>Lc. lactis</i> organisms.....</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Figure 2-9: schematic of proteolytic system of <i>Lc. lactis</i>.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Figure 2-10: Cleavage sites of lactococcal lactocepins in αs1-casein (Upadhyay et al., 2004).....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Figure 2-11: Cleavage sites of lactococcal lactocepins in αs2-casein (Upadhyay, McSweeney et al., 2004).....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Figure 2-12: Cleavage sites of lactococcal lactocepins in β-casein (Upadhyay, McSweeney et al., 2004).</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Figure 2-13. Cleavage sites of lactococcal lactocepins in κ-casein (Upadhyay, McSweeney et al., 2004).....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Figure 2-14. Effect of temperature on growth of <i>Lactococcus</i> strains; (blue line <i>Lc. lactis</i>, red and green lines <i>Lc. cremoris</i> (Fox et al., 2017)).</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Figure 2-15. Flow chart for production of acid coagulated cheeses and related products (Fox et al., 2017).</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Figure 2-16. Process flow of enzymatic coagulation of milk (Fox et al., 2017).</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Figure 2-17. Schematic representation of enzymatic coagulation of milk. (a) Casein micelles with intact extended CMP layer exposed to chymosin enzyme; (b) Partially CMP-cleaved caseins; (c) Aggregating micelles with no 'hairy' layer (Fox et al., 2017).</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Figure 2-18. Enzymatic coagulation of milk. First step- caseinomacropeptide (back springe shaped parts on the surface part (a)) is being removed from intact micelle surface as a result of rennet action. Micelles tend to come closer (Dalgleish & Corredig, 2012).</i>	<i>35</i>

Figure 2-19. Schematic representation of cheese curd formation from milk (a-e) (Fox et al., 2017).	39
Figure 2-20. RCT (T_0) as a function of protein concentration at 32 °C (square) and 40 °C (diamond) (Bech, 1993).	42
Figure 2-21- Summary of the proteolytic system of <i>Lactococcus</i> (McSweeney, 2004).....	46
Figure 2-22. Summary of common methods used to assess proteolysis in cheese during ripening. [Analytical techniques are highlighted in bold (Sousa et al., 2001)].....	50
Figure 2-23 LVE limit of cheese at a strain sweep test with a constant frequency (Gunasekaran, 2002).	57
Figure 2-24. Frequency sweep test for Mozzarella cheese. Trends of G' , G'' and complex viscosity (η^*) are presented (Tunick, 2000).	58
Figure 2-25. A cylindrical cheese sample between two parallel plates of a uniaxial compression machine.	60
Figure 2-26. A typical texture profile analysis curve (Tunick, 2000).	61
Figure 2-27: Basic steps in cheese manufacturing (Bennett & Johnston, 2004).	62
Figure 2-28. Storage modulus (G') as a function of time during Renneting normal pH of milk (A) skim milk (open circle), 2X UF concentrate (open square) or 3X UF concentrate (open triangle), and at pH=6.50 (B) skim milk (filled circle), 2X UF concentrate (filled square) or 3X UF concentrate (filled triangle) printed from (Waungana et al., 1998).	64
Figure 2-29: Effect of temperature on gel formation of milk (Guinee et al., 1998).	65
Figure 2-30. Storage modulus (A) and loss tangent (B) of Cheddar cheese at different ripening times (circle: 2days, square: 14 days and triangle 1 month) affected by temperature (J. A. Lucey et al., 2003).	66
Figure 2-31. Elastic modulus (G') development in renneted milk (18% protein w/w). Open circle pH 6.67, filled circle pH 6.55, open square pH 6.45, filled square pH 6.3, open triangle pH 6.15 and filled triangle pH 6.0 (Fox et al., 2017).	68
Figure 2-32. Effect of pH on elastic modulus of renneted UF-concentrated milk. Filled circle: pH 6.22, open circle: pH 5.83, filled triangle: pH 5.51 and open: pH 5.29. Reprinted from (López, 1998).	68
Figure 2-33. Schematic of confocal laser scanning microscope (Claxton, Fellers et al. 2006)	70
Figure 3-1 The pH-stat fermentation apparatus consisting a fermenter (left), online measuring unit (centre) and computer (right) to record and process the data.	75
Figure 3-2. Schematic design of the pH-start Fermentation system.....	76
Figure 3-3.Schott Prolab 4000 measurement system,	76

<i>Figure 3-4 Poor gel formation quality of the experimented medium (calcium caseinate) at different pH values (pH from 5 to 7 with 2 values increments from left to right). The pH range was selected to be cover target pH-stat value (i.e. pH=6).....</i>	<i>78</i>
<i>Figure 3-5 Solubility of citrate, ionic magnesium, inorganic phosphate and ionic calcium as a function of pH (Walstra et al. 2005).....</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Figure 3-6 preparation for serial dilution and CFU count.</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Figure 3-7 Fractionation for nitrogenous compounds of samples(Sousa et al., 2001).....</i>	<i>93</i>
<i>Figure 3-8 Cheese making process used for the present study.</i>	<i>97</i>
<i>Figure 3-9.a- TA-XT HD Texture analyser, Stable Micro Systems- experimental setup for static cheese compression studies, b) an example of sampled cheese</i>	<i>102</i>
<i>Figure 3-10. An example of the TPA graph obtained from the cheese samples.</i>	<i>103</i>
<i>Figure 4-1. NaOH consumed (mL, n=2) to keep the pH-stat condition of MPC85 fermentation at different intervals (6, 12 and 18 hours) using different strains of LAB. The missing data at 6 hour category indicates a zero value. Error bars show mean (n=2) \pmS.D.</i>	<i>107</i>
<i>Figure 4-2. NaOH consumed (mL, n=2) to keep the pH-stat condition of sodium caseinate at different intervals (6, 12 and 18 hours) using different strains of LAB. The missing data at 6 hour category indicates a zero value. Error bars show mean (n=2) \pmS.D.</i>	<i>107</i>
<i>Figure 4-3 Time required for bacteria to acidify the medium down to pH 6 for the first time after inoculation. For 10% RSM, cell extract and cell extract (modified) n=6, 2 and 3, respectively. Error bars show standard deviation.....</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>Figure 4-4. New Brunswick BioFlo 110 fermentation system (fermenter tanks, attachments and PLC).</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>Figure 4-5. Correlation between lactose content and duration of fermentation (minutes) and NaOH consumed to maintain the pH-stat conditions.....</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>Figure 4-6. pH range in which the pH changes from lowest pH when the set point is reached to the highest degage when the pump stops to inject NaOH. X axis is time of fermentation at a selected range.....</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>Figure 4-7. An example of pH graph of fermentation trial for SF (3.85hours of fermentation after first pH=6).</i>	<i>116</i>
<i>Figure 4-8. An example of pH graph of fermentation trial for MF (6.5 hours of fermentation after first pH=6).</i>	<i>116</i>
<i>Figure 4-9. Effect of added lactose on time required for first pH 6.00 in PSLT fermentation trials.</i>	<i>117</i>

<i>Figure 4-10. Lactose elimination point in a pH-stat fermentation. Arrow indicates LEP.</i>	118
<i>Figure 4-11. An example of plate count results from three dilutions. Dilution 10^{-8} was selected to report the cfu/mL result of the experiment as the colony number is between 25-250.</i>	119
<i>Figure 4-12. Colony forming unit (cfu) count of Lc. Lactis strain in each inoculum vial (cfu/mL). Error bars show standard deviation (n=3).</i>	120
<i>Figure 4-13. Fermenter sampling device.</i>	121
<i>Figure 4-14. Mean Lactococcal count (cfu/mL) from fermenter after 2, 6, 12 and 18 hours of inoculation. Error bars show standard deviation (n=3).</i>	122
<i>Figure 5-1. Assessment points to track down the proteolysis and the major contributors at each step. The focus in this study is before curd formation.</i>	125
<i>Figure 5-2. The process flow of pH-stat long-term formation before curd formation.</i>	126
<i>Figure 5-3. Water Soluble Nitrogen (WSN) formation in cheddar cheese: (A) controlled microflora (absence of non-starter flora); (B) controlled microflora and starter-free (chemically acidified); (C) Controlled microflora with no rennet; (D) controlled microflora, no rennet and no starter (P F Fox et al., 2017).</i>	129
<i>Figure 5-4. pH4.6-soluble nitrogen of samples as a percentage of total nitrogen.</i>	131
<i>Figure 5-5. Chromatogram 70%EtOH soluble of the pH4.6S fraction of samples (from top to bottom: non-fermented, short fermented, medium fermented and long fermented respectively. X axis shows the retention time (min).</i>	134
<i>Figure 5-6. Changes in some of the major peaks with duration of fermentation after pH=6. The name of each series in the figure represents the retention time related to each individual peak.</i>	135
<i>Figure 5-7. Increase in 'area of total peaks' of 70%EtOH soluble chromatograms for NF, SF, MF and LF treatments. Error bars show the standard deviation (n=4).</i>	137
<i>Figure 5-8. Chromatogram of the 70%EtOH insoluble fraction of the pH4.6S fraction for the samples (from top to bottom: non-fermented, short fermented, medium fermented and long fermented respectively. X axis shows the retention time (min).</i>	139
<i>Figure 5-9. Increase in 'area of total peaks' of 70%EtOH insoluble chromatograms for NF, SF, MF and LF treatments. Error bars show the standard deviation (n=4).</i>	140
<i>Figure 5-10. Chromatograms from LC/MS mass spectrometry of 70%EtOH soluble fraction for m/z values up to 1200. From top to bottom: NF, SF, MF and LF respectively. Y axis values are arbitrary units.</i>	143

<i>Figure 5-11. Q-ToF mass spectra of three 70%EtOH soluble fraction of three different cheeses with different extents of ripening. Top left: Cheddar cheese (day one); top right: Cheddar cheese (4 months) and bottom: Parmigiano-Reggiano fully ripened (printed from (Piraino et al., 2007).</i>	<i>144</i>
<i>Figure 5-12. Total area under chromatogram acquired from Q-ToF Mass spectrums for NF, SF, MF and LF treatments. Error bars show the standard deviation (n=3).</i>	<i>145</i>
<i>Figure 5-13. Accumulation of individual amino acid in ripened cheese made from PSLT fermented cheese milk with different fermentation durations.....</i>	<i>146</i>
<i>Figure 5-14. Effect of starter bacteria on the liberation of free amino groups in cheddar cheese. open square: cheese made with normal LAB; filled square: starter with no proteinase; filled circle: GDL; open circle: GDL+ adjunct starter (lower quantity than normal) (Lane & Fox, 1997).</i>	<i>147</i>
<i>Figure 5-15. Effect of PSLT fermentation on total free amino acid (TFAA) of final cheese ripened at 4°C for 12 months. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>148</i>
<i>Figure 6-1. Effect of PSLT fermentation duration on gelation time of rennet induced gels. Error bars show standard deviation of measurements (n=3)</i>	<i>153</i>
<i>Figure 6-2. Development of storage modulus over time after renneting in rennet induced gels made using PSLT fermentations with different durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>155</i>
<i>Figure 6-3. Development of damping factor of dynamic moduli over time after renneting in rennet induced gels made using PSLT fermentations with different durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>Figure 6-4. Effect of a frequency sweep on storage modulus of stiffened gel in rennet induced gels made using PSLT fermentations with different durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>Figure 6-5. Loss tangent $\tan(\delta)$ as a function of frequency for rennet induced gels made using PSLT fermentations with different durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>159</i>

Figure 6-6. Confocal micrographs of day one cheese made from PSLT fermented sample with different durations. From left to right, NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively. Scale bars 40 μ m. 166

Figure 6-7 Storage modulus (G') development of rennet induced gel made with MF (as an example) experimentally (red markers) and Carlson's model (blue markers). 169

Table of Tables

<i>Table 2-1 Approximate composition of milk (Walstra, Wouters, & Geurts, 2006).</i>	4
<i>Table 2-2: Some general specifications of casein proteins in milk (O'Mahony and Fox 2013)</i>	6
<i>Table 2-3. Functional properties derived from TPA curve and their method of calculation.</i>	61
<i>Table 3-1. Compositional analysis for MPC85 4850 (Fonterra, product bulletin 071)</i>	85
<i>Table 3-2 Test conditions used for TPA analysis of the cheese samples</i>	102
<i>Table 4-1.¹Mean fermentation duration and NaOH consumed to maintain pH-stat conditions for MPC85 5% with no lactose added inoculated by cell extract starters and 10% RSM.</i>	109
<i>Table 4-2. Lactose content inside the fermenter to adjust the duration of fermentation trials.</i>	113
<i>Table 4-3. Mean values of fermentation duration after first pH 6 and NaOH consumed to maintain the pH-stat conditions based on the initial added lactose to the fermenter.</i>	113
<i>Table 4-4. Correlation coefficients between the lactose content in the fermenter, fermentation duration after first pH6 and NaOH 1N consumed to maintain the pH-stat conditions.</i>	114
<i>Table 4-5. Fermentation duration at pH 6 and quantity of NaOH consumed to maintain the pH-stat conditions until the end of the fermentation. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	117
<i>Table 5-1. Different parameters of fermented medium just before curd making. Mean± S.D. for protein and sodium contents. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	127
<i>Table 5-2. pH4.6 SN/TN of the treatments at the end of fermentation and the net increase in the water soluble nitrogen index. Non fermented, Short fermented, Medium fermented and Long fermented represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	130
<i>Table 6-1. *Mean values ± S.D gelation time t_g of renneted MPC85 samples with different levels of fermentation. Adjusted time is the gelation time from the graph plus the time required for sampling of renneted medium before the first measuring interval of Rheometer. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	153

<i>Table 6-2. Different parameters of cheese coagulum. Mean± S.D. for protein and conductivity measurements for in rennet induced gels made using PSLT fermentations with different durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.....</i>	<i>160</i>
<i>Table 6-3. ¹Composition and pH of final cheese made from samples with different extent of fermentation. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>162</i>
<i>Table 6-4. ^{1,2}Mean± S.D. for hardness, adhesiveness, resilience, cohesion, springiness, gumminess and chewiness of cheese made from samples with different PSLT fermentation durations. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>163</i>
<i>Table 6-5. Pearson's correlation coefficients between added lactose to the fermenter, fermentation duration, extent of proteolysis (pH4.6SN/TN) before curd formation, ethanol soluble and insoluble fractions peptide profiles, mass spectrometry peptide profile for small peptides, G' _{max} of gelation and hardness of cheese.</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>Table 6-6-6. R² values and standard error obtained from the fitting of experimental data of G' development over time and Carlson's model predictive data for samples treated by PSLT fermentations with different duration. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>170</i>
<i>Table 6-6-7. Absolute and relative error in the t_g and G' _{max} between derived method and experimental data. NF, SF, MF and LF represent samples with 0, 3.85, 6 and 10 hours of fermentation after first pH=6, respectively.</i>	<i>170</i>

List of abbreviations and symbols

°C: Celsius degree

AA: Amino acid

pI: Isoelectric pH

ANOVA: Analysis of variance

a_w: Water activity

CCP: Colloidal calcium phosphate

CFU: Colony forming unit

CLSM: Confocal laser scanning microscopy

CMP: Caseinomacropptide

Da: Dalton

DLAOR: Dynamic low amplitude oscillatory rheometry

EtOH: Ethanol

FAA: Free amino acid

g: gram

G': Elastic modulus

G'': Viscous modulus

GMP: Glycomacropptide

h: Hour

HPLC: High performance liquid chromatography

LAB: Lactic acid bacteria

Lc.: Lactococcus

LEP: Lactose elimination point

LTLT: Low temperature long time

MALDI-ToF: Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight

min: Minute

mL: Millilitre

MM: Molecular Mass

MPC: Milk protein concentrate

NSLAB: Non-starter lactic acid bacteria

PAGE: Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

PEP-PTS: Phosphoenol pyruvate phosphotransferase system

PSLT: pH-stat long-term

PTA: Phosphotungestic acid

P- β -gal: Phosphor- β -galactosidase

Q-ToF: Quadrupole time of flight

RCT: Rennet coagulation time

RP-HPLC: Reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography

RSM: Reconstituted skim milk

s: Second

TCA: Trichloroacetic

t_g: Gelation time

η^ : Complex viscosity*

TPA: Texture profile analysis

UF: Ultrafiltration

β -gal: β -galactosidase