

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Ecology and Ecophysiology of
Subantarctic Campbell Island
Megaherbs**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Science in Ecology at Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Vivienne Joy Nicholls

2000



Abstract

The megaherb growth form is not common in the New Zealand flora; yet it is a distinctive feature of the flora of New Zealand's subantarctic islands, such as Campbell Island (52°33'S, 169°09'E). It occurs in four genera: *Pleurophyllum*, *Stilbocarpa*, *Bulbinella* and *Anisotome*. Their unusually large form and striking colourful flowers have long been commented as possible relics of a more widespread flora or possibly more recently dispersed and adapted to the present conditions. This research focussed on how well they are adapted to their environment and how adaptable they may be to novel conditions using ecophysiological methods. The breeding system of *Pleurophyllum* was also looked at as an example of the development of reproductive systems in an isolated island environment.

Biomass allocation patterns were investigated in two species, *A. latifolia* and *P. speciosum* in order to determine whether this growth form was extraordinary compared with other herbaceous perennials. Six shoots of each species were harvested on the island, and sorted into components (leaf, stem, rhizome, reproductive) and dried back on the mainland. Leaf:stem ratios were found to be higher in both species than other perennials. This may be a response to ensure adequate resource harvesting i.e. light, water, nutrients, in an exposed, competitive environment.

Gas exchange was studied in different light and temperature regimes using a growth cabinet in order to determine light and temperature tolerance and possible optima. Nine plants each of subantarctic *Pleurophyllum criniferum*, *Anisotome latifolia*, *Stilbocarpa polaris* (three plants only), New Zealand subalpine *Ranunculus lyallii*, and Chatham Island *Myosotidium hortensia* were exposed to three temperatures (7°C, 17°C, 25°C) and four light levels (0, 150, 380 and 950 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). *P. criniferum* had the fastest photosynthetic rate (of 8.6 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), followed by *R. lyallii* (7.8 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), *A. latifolia* and *M. hortensia* (both with 4.6 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and *S. polaris* (2.1 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) (averaged over all light and temperature levels). All species had higher photosynthetic rates at the highest light level. *P. criniferum* did not appear to be inhibited by the high temperatures while *A. latifolia* did. Respiration rates increased with temperature with *A. latifolia* having the highest rate followed by *M. hortensia*, *P. criniferum*, *R. lyallii* and *S. polaris*. These results

suggest that although the subantarctic megaherbs appear to be well adapted to the low light and cool temperature regime of their environment, they may be more plastic to environmental change at low ranges, especially temperature, than expected.

Experiments were carried out *in situ* on Campbell Island to ascertain the effect of environmental perturbations, using carbohydrate, chlorophyll, and growth analysis of leaves on *P. speciosum*, *P. hookeri* and *P. criniferum*, *Bulbinella rossii*, and *A. latifolia*. The microenvironment around each plant was altered by combinations of reducing light, increasing shelter, increasing nutrients, altering photoperiod and increasing temperature over the course of eight weeks. Most of the treatments had very little effect on the carbohydrate pools of the species suggesting that environments were not limiting growth on the island. However leaf growth in *P. criniferum* increased in reduced light as did leaf growth in *B. rossii*, suggesting etiolation (sensitivity to light). *A. latifolia* showed an increase in carbohydrate pools with increase in temperature, compared with *P. speciosum*. Contrary to expectations increased night length also had a small positive effect on growth. Chlorophyll content remained unaffected by treatment but differed significantly between species (ranging from 56 mg g⁻¹ in *A. latifolia* to 149 mg g⁻¹ in *B. rossii*). These results suggest that the megaherbs are quite plastic in their responses, relatively unaffected by environmental perturbations.

Megaherbs may be pollen limited in an environment that might be considered unfavourable to insects. 15 plants each of *P. criniferum* (discoid capitulum), *P. speciosum* (rayed capitulum) and *P. criniferum x speciosum* (rayed capitulum) were randomly chosen and four treatments - control, bagged and hand-outcrossed, bagged and hand-selfed, and bagged (no assisted pollination) - individually applied to four scapes on each plant. Capitula were collected at the end of the season and proportion of seed set analysed in the laboratory. *P. speciosum*, the most colourful of the genus, is an obligate out-crosser (*i.e.* self-incompatible). The less colourful *P. criniferum* is autonomously self-compatible, and the hybrid, while being able to set seed autonomously, sets more seed when outcrossed. These results indicate that these plants are not pollen or pollinator limited. Observations showed that small midges were the most active on these plants, although their efficacy was not examined. Their activity was significantly affected by wind. A selection of breeding systems and the existence of a hybrid suggests a capacity for recombination of genetic material and potential for adaptive radiation of species.

This study shows that the subantarctic megaherbs are well adapted to their environment. Their apparent plasticity in physiological responses to environmental, and their range of breeding systems, also indicates that they may be more adaptable to novel environments than previously considered. However, whether they are relicts of an ancient, more widespread flora, or whether they have evolved more recently *in situ* remains unresolved.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for the support of Massey University who provided financial assistance in this venture, via the Research and Graduate Funds, Professor S. Rumball (Science Dean) and the Ecology Group Development Fund.

For logistical support I would like to thank Southland Department of Conservation, in particular Carol West, without whose cooperation this project would not have been possible. My thanks also go to Rodney and Shirley Russ of Southern Heritage Expeditions for their haulage and hospitality on the high seas.

Island companions enhanced the experience and I thank: Geoff Walls and Colin Meurk for making excellent travelling companions around the Southern Ocean; Mike Joy for his assembly line structural creations, bread baking, and wonderful photography; Peter Moore for support and advice in my moments of scientific angst; and Bron, Georgie, Jenny, Kerri-Anne and Brent for the laughs, the whisky and the haggis-hurling.

On dry land I had assistance from many and I thank: my mother (Rae), Noeline Warr, and Ecology technician Cathy for their sewing skills; Ecology engineer Jens for patience in the face of last minute deadlines, not to mention a ready ear for a yarn; Ecology technicians Carol and Hayden for endless hours of drying and weighing samples; Ian Henderson for statistical enlightenment in the face of a blank one; Liz Grant for map creation; Ian Andrew for voluntary Diptera identification; Otago University Botanical Department and Plant Science, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University for the loan of expensive measuring equipment; Ian Flux who collected data from Campbell Island while I stayed on terra firma; Lance Currie and the Fertiliser and Lime Research Centre, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University for soil analysis; Crop and Food Research, Invermay, for the use of their growth cabinet, and in particular Graeme Parmenter, who assisted with this activity so obligingly, and Alison Evans for plant advice and support. And, lastly, but of course not least, my supervisors: co-supervisor Dr Alastair Robertson who guided the pollination study and provided statistical advice, editing and an open door, and Dr Jill Rapson, who got the whole project off the ground, and assisted throughout with extreme

patience; thank you for enabling me to experience first hand some of New Zealand's most amazing flora (and lets not forget the fauna....).

The rare opportunity of visiting these southern islands is particularly appreciated and I am extremely grateful for everyone's contributions to this project.

For assistance with my soul I'd like to thank: my family therapists, Ma, Steve, and Geoff, who, provided good food (the odd fish), support and a sympathetic ear; my gardening therapists, Colin Mahy and Sue Pendergast, who provided a weekly breath of fresh air, gardeners' philosophy and date scones (on Wednesdays); my musical therapists, Adie Leng, Wal Robinson, Catherine Widdup, Ann Andrews and Colin Caddick who, as Callanish, offered a welcome interruption of music and good humour (on Monday nights); and my coffee therapists: Halema Flannagan, Grant Blackwell, Mike Joy, Al Hewit, Elissa Cameron, Jarn Godfrey and Sarah Treadgold, Wayne Linklater, Alastair Robertson, Suzanne Bassett, who assisted with caffeine levels, inappropriate diversions and inane, yet stimulating conversation.

To all these good folk, I thank you for the experience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi

CHAPTER ONE:

INTRODUCTION	1
MEGAHERBS.....	1
NEW ZEALAND SUBANTARCTIC ISLANDS AND FLORA.....	1
THE MEGAHERB GROWTH FORM.....	4
FLORAL BIOLOGY.....	4
THE PLASTICITY OF THE MEGAHERBS.....	5
GROWING INTEREST IN MEGAHERBS.....	6
AIM.....	6
REFERENCES.....	7

CHAPTER TWO:

BIOMASS ALLOCATION IN SUBANTARCTIC ISLAND MEGAHERBS, <i>PLEUROPHYLLUM SPECIOSUM</i> (ASTERACEAE) AND <i>ANISOTOME LATIFOLIA</i> (APIACEAE)	11
INTRODUCTION	11
METHODS.....	12
RESULTS.....	12
DISCUSSION.....	14
STRATEGIES OF <i>ANISOTOME</i> AND <i>PLEUROPHYLLUM</i>	14
EVOLUTION OF THE MEGAHERB FORM	14
CONCLUSIONS.....	15
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	15
REFERENCES.....	15

CHAPTER THREE:

POLLINATION IN THE SUBANTARCTIC: *PLEUROPHYLLUM*.18

ABSTRACT	18
INTRODUCTION	19
STUDY ORGANISMS	20
STUDY AREA	22
METHODS.....	23
BREEDING SYSTEMS	23
POLLINATOR OBSERVATIONS	24
DATA ANALYSIS	24
RESULTS.....	26
BREEDING SYSTEMS	26
POLLINATOR OBSERVATIONS	26
DISCUSSION.....	30
SELF-INCOMPATIBILITY	30
POLLINATION.....	31
REFERENCES	34

CHAPTER FOUR:

IMPACT OF *IN SITU* ENVIRONMENTAL MANIPULATIONS ON MEGAHERB CARBOHYDRATE, CHLOROPHYLL AND GROWTH LEVELS.....37

ABSTRACT	37
INTRODUCTION	39
METHODS.....	43
CONGENERIC EXPERIMENT	45
"Shade"	45
"Wind"	45
"Nutrients"	45
ADAPTABILITY EXPERIMENT	47
"Cluche"	47
"Night"	48
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA.....	50
TEST FOR SAMPLING EFFECT	50
CHO ANALYSIS METHODS	51
CHLOROPHYLL	53

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	54
RESULTS.....	55
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	55
<i>Rainfall</i>	55
<i>Temperature</i>	55
<i>Light</i>	60
<i>Wind</i>	61
<i>Soils</i>	61
<i>Repeated sampling test</i>	62
CONGENERIC EXPERIMENT	63
<i>Phenology</i>	63
<i>Growth rates</i>	63
<i>Chlorophyll</i>	65
<i>Carbohydrates</i>	66
ADAPTABILITY EXPERIMENT	67
<i>Phenology</i>	67
<i>Growth Rates</i>	68
<i>Chlorophyll</i>	68
<i>Carbohydrates</i>	69
<i>CHO content</i>	70
DISCUSSION.....	73
LIGHT EFFECTS ON MEGAHERBS	73
PHOTOPERIOD EFFECTS.....	75
NUTRIENT EFFECTS.....	75
TEMPERATURE EFFECTS.....	76
SHELTER EFFECTS	77
SEASONAL EFFECTS	78
PHENOLOGY	80
CONCLUSION.....	82
REFERENCES	83

CHAPTER FIVE:

PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND RESPIRATION OF FIVE MEGAHERB SPECIES IN A RANGE OF LIGHT AND TEMPERATURE REGIMES.....	87
ABSTRACT	87
INTRODUCTION	88
METHODS.....	90

RESULTS	92
PHOTOSYNTHESIS	92
RESPIRATION	96
DISCUSSION	98
LIGHT	98
TEMPERATURE.....	99
CONCLUSION	101
REFERENCES	102

CHAPTER SIX:

DISCUSSION	105
PHYLOGENETIC CONSIDERATIONS	106
THE MEGAHERB FORM	107
PHENOLOGICAL RESPONSES	110
ECOPHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES	112
FOLIAR PANELS	114
CULTIVATION	116
FUTURE WORK	116
CONCLUSION	117
REFERENCES	119