

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

IMAGE REGISTRATION USING FINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE GROUPS

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN
MATHEMATICS
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH,
NEW ZEALAND.

Raziyeh Zarredooghabadi

2016

Contents

Abstract	xix
Acknowledgements	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Review of Image Registration	3
1.1.1 Intensity-Based Distance Functions	6
1.1.2 Optimisation	9
1.1.3 Transformation Sets	12
1.2 Diffeomorphic Registration	17
1.3 Aims of The Research and Overview of The Thesis	20
2 Finite Dimensional Planar Lie Groups	23
2.1 Introduction to Lie Groups	23
2.1.1 Linearization of a Lie Group	24
2.1.2 Lie Subgroups	25
2.1.2.1 Submanifolds	26
2.1.3 Infinitesimal Transformations of Lie Group Actions on Manifolds	27
2.1.4 Lie Algebras and One-Parameter Subgroups of a Lie Group . . .	29
2.1.5 Infinitesimal Generators of the Group Action on Manifolds . . .	32
2.1.6 Lie Group and Lie Algebra Correspondence	32
2.1.7 Distances in Lie groups	33
2.1.8 Matrix Lie Groups	33
2.1.9 Projective Linear Group	37
2.2 Planar Lie Groups	39
2.2.1 Transformations Relating to Each Generator	40
2.2.2 Planar Lie Groups	47
2.3 Groups and Subgroups	58
2.3.1 Subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	58
2.3.2 Subgroups of $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$	59

2.3.3	Subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$	59
2.4	Properties of Planar Lie Group Actions	61
2.4.1	$PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Action on the Plane	62
2.4.1.1	Similarity	65
2.4.1.2	$PSU(2, \mathbb{C})$	65
2.4.1.3	$NPSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	66
2.4.2	$PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ Action on the Plane	68
2.4.2.1	Affine	69
2.4.2.2	Special Affine	70
2.4.3	$PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Action on the Plane	70
3	Image Registration Methodology	73
3.1	Registration Methodology of This Research	73
3.1.1	Image Discretization	74
3.1.2	Transformation of a Discretized Image	75
3.1.2.1	Bilinear Interpolation	76
3.1.3	Example of Registration and Issues	78
3.2	Difficulties with Image Registration	83
3.3	Critical Points in the Distance Function	84
3.3.1	Discontinuity Points	85
3.3.2	Non-Differentiable Critical Points	88
3.3.3	Construction of a Differentiable Image	89
3.3.4	Differentiable Critical Points	93
3.4	Treating the Pixels Without Pre-image	94
3.5	Modified Registration Methodology of This Research	99
3.6	Testing the Algorithm	100
3.7	Conclusion and Future Work	108
4	Image Registration Using Finite Dimensional Lie Groups	111
4.1	On the Theory of Transformations	111
4.2	The Relationship Between Hoofed Mammals's Feet	117
4.2.1	Comparing The Group and Subgroup Results	128
4.3	The Relationship Between Crabs	135
4.3.1	$PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Transformations Between Related Crabs	135
4.3.2	$PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Transformations Between Related Crabs	139
4.3.3	Registration of Each Pairs of Crabs in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	145
4.4	The Relationship Between Fishes	145
4.5	The Relationship Between Human and Simian Skulls	151
4.6	Human Skull Growth	156

4.7	Conclusion	162
5	Curve Fitting in a Lie Group	163
5.1	Curve of the Human Skull Growth	164
5.2	Prediction of the Models in Human Skull Growth	177
5.3	Curves Describing The Hoofed Mammals Feet	181
5.4	Conclusion and Future Work	185
6	Multi-Registration of Images	187
6.1	Multi-registration in a Chain of Groups	189
6.2	Multi-registration on a Tree of Groups	199
6.3	Conclusion and Future Work	212
7	Conclusion	213
	Bibliography	216

List of Tables

2.1	Infinitesimal generators of planar Lie groups [26].	40
3.1	The output of the registration of the plants given in Figure 3.28 in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$, where the images are convolved with Gaussian with $\sigma = 3$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5.	101
3.2	The output transformation from the registration of the plants given in Figure 3.28 in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$, where images are convolved with Gaussian with $\sigma = 3$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5.	101
3.3	The output of transformations from the registration of the brains given in Figure 3.30 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 2$	103
3.4	Output of the registration of the brains given in Figure 3.30 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 2$	103
3.5	Output of registration of the cups given in Figure 3.7 in the similarity group. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 2$	103
3.6	The output of the registration of the human skulls given in Figure 3.32 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where the images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 4$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5.	105
3.7	The output transformation from the registration of the human skulls given in Figure 3.32 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where the images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 4$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5.	105
3.8	Output of the registration of the galaxies given in Figure 3.34 in the similarity group. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 6$	106
3.9	Output of the registration of the bananas given in Figure 3.37 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 2$	108
3.10	Output of the registration of the bananas given in Figure 3.37 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 2$	108
4.1	Transformation groups between the related forms used in [67], 1.	113
4.2	Transformation groups between the related forms used in [67], 2.	114

4.3	The measurements of oa, ob, oc and oy parts in the ox, sheep and giraffe feet in Figure 4.5.	118
4.4	Output of the registration in $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ between each pair of feet.	123
4.5	Output of the registration in $Sim \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ between each pair of feet.	127
4.6	The normalized similarity measure D for each pair of images (ox, sheep, giraffe) in the group $(PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and the subgroup $(Sim \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))$	132
4.7	Cross-ratios of four marked points in the crabs given in Figure 4.23. . .	137
4.8	Residuals of <i>Chorinus</i> Möbius-registered to <i>Paralomis</i> and <i>Lupa</i>	138
4.9	The one-dimensional cross-ratios of the y -coordinates of the marked points given in Figure 4.26.	139
4.10	The one-dimensional cross-ratios of the x -coordinates of the marked points given in Figure 4.27.	140
4.11	Residuals of crab registration.	144
4.12	Registration in the group $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ and its two subgroups, affine and special affine.	149
4.13	Residuals of the <i>Argyropelecus olfersi</i> registration.	150
4.14	Cross-ratios of four corresponding marked point in the human, chimpanzee and baboon skulls in Figure 4.38.	152
4.15	Residuals of skull registration.	153
4.16	Registration error in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ for smooth and non-smooth images. Source is I_3 and the targets are $I_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ given in Figure 4.46.	158
4.17	Output transformations of the registration of the human skulls in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$. The source is I_3 and the targets are $I_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ given in Figure 4.46.	161
5.1	The norm of scale, rotation, translation and non-linear component in $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; φ_i are the transformations between the human skulls, which belong to the $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group.	167
5.2	Coefficient of determination and adjusted coefficient of determination of the fitted lines in the Lie algebra	176
5.3	Leave-one-out method, 1.	181
5.4	Leave-one-out method, 2.	185
6.1	Information of multi-registration of source and target in Figure 6.3 by three groups: $G_1 =$ special affine ($i = 1$), $G_2 =$ affine ($i = 2$), and $G_3 = PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 3$).	194

6.2	Information of multi-registration of two fishes given in Figure 6.3 in three groups: special affine, affine, and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$	194
6.3	Information from multi-registration of human skull and chimpanzee skull.	198
6.4	Output of multi-registration of the source and target given in Figure 6.9 in three groups $G_1 = NPSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $G_2 =$ similarity and $G_3 = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.	200
6.5	Output of multi-registration of the source and target given in Figure 6.9 in three groups $G_1 =$ similarity, $G_2 = NPSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and $G_3 = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.	203
6.6	Cross-ratios of four marked points on fishes, see Figure 6.15.	207
6.7	The coordinates of twelve marked points on the source and the target in Figure 6.16 for landmark registration.	208
6.8	The output of multi-registration of marked points on the fishes given in Figure 6.16 in two groups: $G_1 = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $G_2 =$ diffeomorphism. . . .	211

List of Figures

1.1	On Growth and Form	1
1.2	<i>Diodon porcupine</i> fish is transferred to <i>Orthogoriscus mola</i> by deforming the grid isogonally. Taken from [67].	2
1.3	(a) An image of a plant, (b) a functional view of the image, the z -axis is the range of the image (intensities), the domain of the image is in the xy -plane.	4
1.4	(a) An office image, (b) lines in the edge of image are detected, (c) corners are detected. Figures are taken from [27].	4
1.5	(a) I_0 is scaled by $\varphi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x, y)$ to (b) I_1 , and $I_1(x', y') = I_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}(x', y')$, where $(x', y') = \varphi(x, y)$	6
1.6	Two images with different intensities.	7
1.7	The gradient field of two images.	9
1.8	A sample image [73].	13
1.9	The image in Figure 1.8 taken from the same viewing angle (red line) but two different positions of camera [73].	14
1.10	A projective photo of a railway. The camera optical axis is not perpendicular to the plane in which the railway is located.	14
1.11	Three photos of a pencil. The pencil is translated on the plane.	16
2.1	An immersed submanifold.	27
2.2	The group G acting on the manifold M	28
2.3	The lattice of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and its subgroups.	61
2.4	The lattice of groups $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ and their subgroups.	62
2.5	A Möbius transformation	64
2.6	A nonlinear Möbius transformation.	66
2.7	Other nonlinear Möbius transformations.	67
2.8	A projective transformation.	68
2.9	A special affine transformation.	70
2.10	A one-dimensional Möbius transformation.	71
3.1	The discrete domain of an image.	74

3.2	Ω' is the discrete domain. After transformation by φ^{-1} , Ω' is not matched to itself.	75
3.3	Linear interpolation through the data: x_1, x_2, x_3 ; $p(x)$ is an approximation at point x within the data set.	77
3.4	Four points $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\}$ and their values are given. The value at point (x', y') can be approximated by bilinear interpolation.	78
3.5	Bilinear interpolation in an image to approximate the value of $I(x, y)$	79
3.6	Two photos of a cup, with a camera rotation and zoom between them.	79
3.7	The same as in Figure 3.6 after the cup is cut out and placed on a solid black background for the registration. These images can be registered with a similarity transformation.	79
3.8	Ω is transformed by $\varphi(\Omega, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t}z$ to Ω_t , where $\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2}$; $\Lambda_t = \Omega - \Omega_t$	80
3.9	An unsuccessful registration.	81
3.10	Synthetic image data.	82
3.11	Value of the distance function between the source and target given in Figure 3.10.	82
3.12	Distance function of source and target in Figure 3.10 versus scale.	83
3.13	Two continuous image functions. Left: source. Right: target image.	86
3.14	The distance function of the source and the target given in Figure 3.13 versus angle of rotation, 1.	87
3.15	The distance function of the source and target given in Figure 3.13 versus angle of rotation, 2.	87
3.16	The function f is discontinuous at zero.	90
3.17	Convolution illustrated.	90
3.18	Left: source. Right: target. There is a translation between source and target.	91
3.19	Effect of smoothing.	92
3.20	Left: source, Right: target. The target is generated from source by a known rotation.	93
3.21	Distance function after smoothing.	94
3.22	The problem of local minima.	95
3.23	Low resolution images.	96
3.24	The distance function of the low-resolution versions of the source and the target given in Figure 3.23 versus angle (in radians), where the group of transformations is rotations.	96
3.25	The source and the target in Figure 3.20 are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 10$	97

3.26	The distance function of the source and the target in Figure 3.25 versus rotation. The group of transformations is rotations.	97
3.27	Distance function versus scale. Distance function is computed by Equation (3.7).	99
3.28	(a) An image of a plant that is taken as the source. (b) Transformation of source with a $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ transformation (details in the text); this image is taken as the target.	101
3.29	Registration of plants given in Figure 3.28 in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$, where the images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 3$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5	101
3.30	Möbius transformation of a brain image.	102
3.31	Discrepancy image of two cups given in Figure 3.7 after registration. . .	104
3.32	Two side views of human skulls at different ages, taken from [37].	104
3.33	Registration of the human skulls given in Figure 3.32 in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where the images are convolved with a Gaussian with $\sigma = 4$ in the algorithm given in Section 3.5.	105
3.34	Two photos of galaxies.	106
3.35	(a) Transformed source (the source is given in Figure 3.34) after registration with target in the similarity group. (b) The target.	107
3.36	Discrepancy images of two the galaxies given in Figure 3.34.	107
3.37	Two bananas.	107
3.38	Transformed source (source and target are given in Figure 3.37) after registration with the target in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	108
3.39	Discrepancy image of the two bananas given in Figure 3.37.	109
3.40	An image that does not tend to a constant intensity toward its edges. .	109
4.1	Thompson's transformations of forms, 1.	114
4.2	Thompson's transformations of forms, 2.	115
4.3	Thompson's transformations of forms, 3.	116
4.4	Left to right: Cannon-bones of ox, sheep and giraffe.	117
4.5	Foot of the ox, sheep and giraffe.	118
4.6	The curves of feet (the ox, sheep and giraffe) length as functions of the ox length, taken from [67].	119
4.7	A $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation that carries the three marked points on the ox foot to the corresponding points on the sheep and giraffe feet, taken from [47].	120
4.8	A $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ transformation that carries the four marked points on the ox to the corresponding points on the sheep and giraffe feet, taken from [47].	120

4.9	(a) A rectangular grid in the plane. The grid is transformed by (b): $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, (c): $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$, (d): $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformations.	121
4.10	Three feet given in Figure 4.5 with their inside filled with black and outside with white, (a) the ox, (b) the sheep, (c) the giraffe.	122
4.11	Diagram of the transformations between the ox, sheep and giraffe feet. $\psi_i, i = 0, 2, \dots, 8$ belongs to $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$	122
4.12	Data 1: composition of $\psi_5 \circ \psi_1(x, \cdot)$ where ψ_5 maps the ox to the sheep, and ψ_1 maps the sheep to giraffe. Data 2: $\psi_2(x, \cdot)$, which maps the ox to the giraffe.	125
4.13	1D Möbius registration of 3 feet.	126
4.14	The diagram of the transformations in $Sim \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, which maps the feet to each other.	126
4.15	Transformations of the ox foot.	129
4.16	Two groups compared.	130
4.17	Ox/sheep discrepancy.	130
4.18	Ox/sheep landmarks.	131
4.19	Discrepancy between (a) transformed ox (to sheep) and sheep, (b) transformed ox (to giraffe) and giraffe, (c) transformed sheep (to giraffe) and giraffe.	132
4.20	Vertical dimension of the ox, sheep and giraffe feet as functions of the ox vertical dimension. Dots are the Thompson data in Table 4.3.	134
4.21	The carapace of a crab <i>Geryon</i>	135
4.22	Carapace of various crabs, (a) <i>Geryon</i> ; (b) <i>Corystes</i> ; (c) <i>Scyramathis</i> ; (d) <i>Paralomis</i> ; (e) <i>Lupa</i> ; (f) <i>Chorinus</i> , taken from [67]	136
4.23	Four corresponding points in crabs are marked to calculate the cross-ratios.	137
4.24	Two Möbius transformations of <i>Chorinus</i>	138
4.25	Two projective transformations of <i>Chorinus</i>	139
4.26	Four corresponding points in the crab are marked. The y -coordinates of marked points are taken to calculate the one-dimensional cross-ratios.	140
4.27	Four corresponding points in the crabs are marked to calculate the cross-ratios. The x -coordinates of the marked points are taken to calculate the one-dimensional cross-ratios.	140
4.28	Five one-dimensional Möbius transformations of <i>Chorinus</i>	141
4.29	Five one-dimensional Möbius transformations of <i>Chorinus</i> : discrepancies.	142
4.30	Five transformations in $Sim \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$	143
4.31	Five transformations in $Sim \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$: discrepancies.	144

4.32	Discrepancy image of each pair of crabs (given in Figure 4.22) after registration in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where for example, ‘a’ to ‘b’, means ‘a’ is source, ‘b’ is target.	146
4.33	Transformed source along with the deformed grid, which is the output of registration of each pairs of crabs (given in Figure 4.22) in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where for example, ‘a’ to ‘b’, means ‘a’ is source, ‘b’ is target.	147
4.34	Left: <i>Argyropelecus olfersi</i> . Right: <i>Sternoptyx diaphana</i> . Images are taken from [67].	148
4.35	Images of the same two fishes taken from [50].	148
4.36	Human, chimpanzee and baboon skulls, taken from [67].	151
4.37	A $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation of human skull to chimpanzee skull by Milnor [47].	151
4.38	Four corresponding points are marked in skulls to calculate the cross-ratios. Cross-ratios are given in Table 4.14.	152
4.39	Human, chimpanzee and baboon skulls, taken from [67].	152
4.40	Before registration.	154
4.41	After registration. (e) and (f) are the transformation of human skull to chimpanzee and baboon skulls respectively.	154
4.42	(a) Discrepancy between the transformed human and chimpanzee after registration in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. (b) Discrepancy between the transformed human and baboon after registration in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	154
4.43	(a) Human skull along with a rectangular grid. Human skull and rectangular grid after registration and transformation to (b) chimpanzee, (c) baboon.	155
4.44	$PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation of the human skull growth, (a) adult, (b) 5 years old, (c) newborn. Taken from [53].	156
4.45	Traditional growth series based on longitudinal cephalometric radiographs taken from [37].	157
4.46	Five human skulls.	158
4.47	Skull I_3 is registered with I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ (given in Figure 4.46) in groups: $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$. Transformed I_3 after registration with (a): I_1 , (b): I_2 , (c): I_3 , (d): I_4 , (e): I_5	159
4.48	Skull I_3 is registered with I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ (given in Figure 4.46) in the groups: $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$. Discrepancy between transformed I_3 after registration with (a): I_1 , (b): I_2 , (c): I_3 , (d): I_4 , (e): I_5	160
5.1	Two-dimensional projection of ζ_k	169
5.2	Skull registrations in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	171

5.3	Plots of each of the three parameters of lines $\Gamma_i(t)$ against t , $i = 1, 2$. Γ_1 is a line in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ that passes through the origin and Γ_2 is a line that does not pass through the origin. They are fitted by least squares. The data are the elements $\zeta_k = \log(\varphi_k)$, where φ_k are the transformations between the human skulls in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$	172
5.4	Plots of each of the six parameters of line $\Sigma_1(t)$ against t . Σ_1 is a line in $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ that passes through the origin fitted by least squares. The data are the elements $\xi_k = \log(\psi_k)$, where ψ_k are the transformations between the human skulls in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$	174
5.5	Plots of each of the six parameters of line $\Sigma_2(t)$ against t . Σ_2 is a line in $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ that does not pass through the origin fitted by least squares. The data are the elements $\xi_k = \log(\psi_k)$, where ψ_k are the transformations between the human skulls in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$	175
5.6	(a) The original skulls, inside to outside: I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5 . (b) Generating skulls using the curve $\exp(\Gamma_2)$ in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. (c) Generating skulls using the curve $\exp(\Sigma_2)$ in $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$	177
5.7	Skulls interpolated in groups, 1.	179
5.8	Skulls interpolated in groups, 2.	180
5.9	A two-dimensional representation of the line L fitted through $v_i = \log(\varphi_i)$	184
5.10	A two-dimensional representation of the exponential curve $\exp(L)$. . .	184
5.11	Group interpolation of ox foot.	185
6.1	The edge $G_i \rightarrow G_{i+1}$ means that G_i is a subgroup of G_{i+1} , and multi-registration is performed first in G_i and then in G_{i+1}	188
6.2	The edge $G_i \rightarrow G_j$ means that G_i is a subgroup of G_j . On a tree, multi-registration can be performed in several different orders.	188
6.3	Two fish species images taken from [50].	189
6.4	Illustration of multi-registration, 1.	191
6.5	Illustration of multi-registration, 2.	192
6.6	Multi-registration of human and chimpanzee skulls.	196
6.7	Multi-registration of human and chimpanzee skulls: discrepancies.	196
6.8	Transformation of the human skull.	197
6.9	(a) Source, I_0 , (b) target, J . The target is generated by a $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation of the source.	199
6.10	Illustration of multi-registration with synthetic data.	201
6.11	Illustration of multi-registration with synthetic data: discrepancies.	202
6.12	Illustration of multi-registration with synthetic data, 2.	204
6.13	Illustration of multi-registration with synthetic data, 2: discrepancies.	205
6.14	left: <i>Diodon porcupine</i> , right: <i>Sunfish</i> , figures are taken from [67].	206

6.15	Four corresponding points are selected to calculate the cross-ratios. . . .	207
6.16	Twelve corresponding points are marked in source (I_0) and target(J) for landmark registration.	208
6.17	Landmark registration of <i>Diodon</i> and <i>Sunfish</i> : data.	209
6.18	Landmark registration of <i>Diodon</i> and <i>Sunfish</i> : results.	210
6.19	Landmark registration of <i>Diodon</i> and <i>Sunfish</i> : discrepancies.	211

Abstract

D’Arcy Thompson was a biologist and mathematician who, in his 1917 book ‘On Growth and Form’, posited a ‘Theory of Transformations’, which is based on the observation that a smooth, global transformation of space may be applied to the shape of an organism so that its transformed shape corresponds closely to that of a related organism. Image registration is the computational task of finding such transformations between pairs of images.

In modern applications in areas such as medical imaging, the transformations are often chosen from the infinite-dimensional diffeomorphism group. However, this differs from Thompson’s approach where the groups are chosen to be as simple as possible, and are generally finite-dimensional. The main exception to this is the similarity group of translation, rotation, and scaling, which is used to pre-align images. In this thesis the set of planar Lie groups are investigated and applied to image registration of the types of images that Thompson considered. As these groups are smaller, successful registration in these groups provides more specific information about the relationship between the images than diffeomorphic registration does, as well as providing faster implementations. We build a lattice of the Lie groups showing which are subgroups of each other, and the groups are used to perform image registration by minimizing the L^2 -norm of the difference between the group-transformed source image and the target image. A robust, practical, and efficient algorithm for registration in Lie groups is developed and tested on a variety of image types.

Each successful registration returns a point in a Lie group. Given several related images (such as the hooves of several animals) it is possible to find smooth curves that pass through the Lie group elements used to relate the various images. These curves can then be employed to interpolate points between the set of images or to extrapolate to new images that have not been seen before. We discuss the mathematics behind this and demonstrate it on the images that Thompson used, as well as other datasets of interest.

Finally, we consider using a sequence of the planar Lie groups to perform registration, with the output from one group being used as the input to the next. We call this multi-registration, and have identified two types: where the smallest group is a subgroup of the next smallest, and so on up a chain, and where the groups are not directly related, i.e., separated on the lattice. We demonstrate experimentally that multi-registration can provide more information about the relationship between images than simple registration. In addition, we show that transformations that cannot be obtained by a single registration in any of the groups considered can be successfully reached.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisors, Prof. Stephen Marsland and Prof. Robert McLachlan for their constant support, patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Their guidance helped me to achieve my PhD project and to write my thesis, without their help it would have been impossible to accomplish my PhD. Their advice on the completion of my dissertation has taught me numerous lessons and insight about academic research in general.

I would like to thank the Marsden Fund of the Royal Society of New Zealand; without their financial support I could not have achieved my PhD. I would like to thank the administration staff of the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT), the Massey Residential Services Office, the Graduate Research School, the International Office, the Medical Centre, and the Massey library staff for their immense support during my Ph.D.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my father, my mother, my sisters for supporting me spiritually throughout my PhD journey, my beloved husband for his immense patience, encouragement, understanding me, taking care of me, and his spiritual support.

