Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Engineering in Renewable Energy Systems at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand.

Joshua Richard Burley Curd

2017

Abstract

The Parihaka Papakāinga Trust - the administering body of communally owned Māori land at Parihaka, Aotearoa New Zealand - initiated university research into sustainable energy practices and technologies within a context of community and infrastructure development. As one part of this wider research topic, various renewable energy conversion technologies were compared in terms of cost, effect on increasing the energy independence of the papakāinga (excluding transport, covered elsewhere), and reducing papakāinga greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Consumption of electricity, LPG and firewood was assessed in 14 study buildings over 12 months. Energy demands both now and also for hypothetical scenarios 20 years in the future were proposed, taking into account energy efficiency opportunities, low energy housing design and potential electric vehicle charging loads from parallel research.

The local solar, wind and hydro potentials were assessed over 12 months, and estimations of the long-term resources were made using long-term reference data from the region. An estimation was also made of land area requirements to support a short rotation coppicing (SRC) fuelwood plantation.

The technical and economic performance of a range of electricity and heat generation technologies was modelled, both on an individual building basis and on a community-wide basis.

The technologies with the largest expected economic benefits (after energy efficiency and building design) were a grid-connected community solar PV array with output available for consumption by as much of the papakāinga as possible, and wood-burners for space and water heating in new homes. However further study is required into the design and costs of a feasible metering and billing solution to allocate the benefits of community owned distributed electricity generation.

The technologies with the largest expected effect on energy independence include combining solar water heaters with wood-burners and wetbacks for space and water heating, and producing firewood locally with an SRC plantation.

Based on the household study, transport behaviours or technologies are expected to have a larger effect on GHG emissions than papakāinga infrastructure.

Recommendations include a billing/metering feasibility study potentially followed by a community PV array, an SRC trial, and solar water heaters and wood-burners with water heating for new homes.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge and thank the following:

The Parihaka community who welcomed me so warmly and kindly, and accommodated and supported the research activities. Many of the community contributed in many different ways, too many to list here, and I am grateful to you all.

The three houses of the marae at Parihaka, who facilitated and supported the research from the beginning.

The families who welcomed us into their homes to collect household energy use data, including Turoa who passed away.

Taiepa Tiketike research assistant Tihikura Hohaia, not only for all the mahi and guidance, but also for the valued friendship which developed.

The Parihaka Papakāinga Trust and Te Whare Whakaruru for facilitating the research.

Those who gave their time to participate in the Punanga Ngi workshops.

Eve Kawana-Brown and Amokura Panoho for visualising and creating the project, and the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment for providing the funding to enable it.

My supervisors Dr Phil Murray, Professor Ralph Sims and Dr Nick Roskruge. Also the valued friendship and leadership of Phil.

Powerco for funding the installation of electricity monitoring devices, and Janet Stephenson and Rebecca Ford at the University of Otago for enabling the electricity monitoring within their *100 Homes* research.

Leith Robertson and Darren Barrett of Wells Engineering, who installed and removed the electricity monitoring devices.

Jamie Silk, Elizabeth Chisholm and Daniel Gnoth at Powerco for the advice on the issues and possibilities surrounding connecting distributed generation sources to the local electricity network.

Barry Waugh at NIWA for the river monitoring advice, and Kathy Walter for providing long term river flow data in the region.

Andrew Cotter and Warrick Johnston at Taranaki Regional Council for the advice in establishing river monitoring at Parihaka (including a valuable site visit), and Fiona Jansma for providing long term river and wind environmental data in the region.

Ian Fuller and Ranvir Singh from the geography department at Massey University for the river flow monitoring course and the loan of the velocity meter.

Andrew Pollard at BRANZ for the advice on monitoring LPG consumption, including the kind offer of gas flow meters and data loggers.

Peter McComb and Dr Séverin Thiébau of Metocean Solutions for providing long term modelled wind speed data in the region.

Brett Gawn and Paul Vautier of Calibre Consulting for providing the high quality topographical survey of Parihaka, and background information.

Tobias Heine at Solarcity for the advice on solar PV installations and costs.

The work put in by Ana Hernandez, Jonathan Quinn, Ingrid Lambert and Ray Mohan which helped form this research.

The support, tolerance and love of my fiancée Fiona Gordon.

Many thanks to all those who have assisted, including those who I forgot to include and to whom I apologise.

I would also like to acknowledge that the conclusions and recommendations here fall far short of the vision of self-sufficiency at Parihaka, but hope that they inform some initial practical steps as part of a larger journey in that direction.

Table of Contents

Α	bstract			i
Α	cknowl	edge	ments	ii
Li	st of Fig	gures		vi
Li	st of Ta	bles		viii
1.	Intr	oduc	tion	1
	1.1.	Bac	kground Information	1
	1.2.	Sus	tainable Energy for Community Development	3
	1.3.	Pro	blem Statement	4
	1.4.	Lite	rature Review	5
2.	Met	hods	5	9
	2.1.	Con	nmunity Engagement	9
	2.2.	Ass	essing Current Energy Demand	11
	2.2.	1.	Electricity demand	12
	2.2.	2.	LPG demand	14
2.2.3.		3.	Firewood demand	15
	2.2.	4.	Hot water demand	16
	2.3.	Mo	delling Possible Future Energy Demand	19
	2.4.	Ass	essing the Renewable Energy Resources	21
	2.4.	1.	Wind resource	21
	2.4.	2.	Solar resource	32
	2.4.	3.	Hydro resource	33
	2.4.	4.	Biomass resource	43
	2.5.	Pre	dicting the Performance of Various Renewable Energy Conversion Techno	logies45
	2.5.	1.	Performance indicators	45
2.5.2.			Renewable energy conversion technologies and system architectures	40
			ed	
	2.5.		Modelling energy efficiency gains	
	2.5.		Modelling solar water heating	
	2.5.		Modelling heat pump water heating performance	
	2.5.		Modelling wood fired beilers for water besting in marse	
	2.5. 2.5		Modelling wood fired boilers for water heating in marae	57
	7.5	Ö.	IVIOGENIAS OTAET BEAT SEDELATION AND USE	5X

	2.	5.9.	Modelling electricity generation and use	59
3.	Re	esults a	nd Discussion	61
	3.1.	Curi	rent Energy Demand	61
	3.2.	Futi	ıre Energy Demand	67
	3.3.	Ren	ewable Energy Resources	70
	3.	3.1.	Wind resource	70
	3.	3.2.	Solar resource	75
	3.	3.3.	Hydro resource	75
	3.	3.4.	Biomass resource	82
	3.4.	Pred	dicted Performance of Renewable Energy Conversion Technologies	83
	3.	4.1.	Existing buildings	83
	3.	4.2.	Community outcomes – zero-growth scenario	85
	3.	4.3.	Future buildings	90
	3.	4.4.	Community outcomes – mid-growth scenario	91
	3.	4.5.	Community outcomes – high-growth scenario	94
	3.	4.6.	Effect of population growth	97
	3.5.	Gen	eral Discussion	99
4.	Co	onclusio	ons	104
5.	Re	comm	endations	107
Gl	Glossary			
Re	eferei	nces		111
Αį	openo	dices		115
	A.	Marae	energy audit, 22/4/2015	115
	B.	Energy	monitoring points	120
	C.	Power	spout turbine type selection chart	122
	D.	Indicative prices of renewable energy technologies in NZ in 2016		
	E.	HOME	R model inputs	130
	F.	Individ	lual building results	137
	G.	Compa	aring aggregated and measured community electricity demand	151

List of Figures

Figure 1: Current papakāinga boundaries	
Figure 2: Karakia ceremony to comission the wind tower	
Figure 3: Electricity monitoring (CTs and grid-node) installation in a household distribution panel	
Figure 4: Electricity monitoring (<i>grid-node</i>) installation in a household distribution panel	
Figure 5: Mr Hohaia weighing LPG cylinders using gantry and scales	
Figure 6: Mr Hohaia noting species and sampling moisture content of firewood stocks	
Figure 7: Ultrasonic flow sensor attached to hot water supply pipe in Marae B	
Figure 8: Ultrasonic flow meter data-logger	
Figure 9: Typical wind resource assessment procedure	
Figure 10: The location of the two meteorological data sets used to select a wind tower site	
Figure 11: The site chosen to install a wind tower on the papakāinga	
Figure 12: The wind tower installed on the papakāinga	
Figure 13: Plan and elevation of structure of installed wind tower	
period 21/1/2015 - 20/1/2016period 21/1/2015 - 20/1/2016	
Figure 15: Example of areas of contigous surface roughness surrounding Parihaka	
Figure 16: Wind shear profile at the monitored location, using the power law approximation	
Figure 17: Rivers and streams assessed for micro-hydro potential within the Parihaka locality	
Figure 18: Mr Hohaia measuring water velocity and area within the Otahi-iti culvert pipe	
Figure 19: Staff gauge installed in the Waitotoroa river	
Figure 20: Velocity Area method of measuring waterway discharge	
Figure 21: Electromagnetic sensor measuring water velocity in a stream	
Figure 22: Stage/Discharge Rating of the Waitotoroa river	
Figure 23: Rivers with similar origins used for long term hindcasts	
Figure 24: Relation between Waitotoroa flow and Kapoaiaia flow, for period May 2015 – May 2016	
Figure 25: Relation between Otahi-iti flow and Kapoaiaia flow, for period March 2016– September 2016	
Figure 26: Relation between Awaiti flow and Kapoaiaia flow, for period August 2015 – September 2016	
Figure 27: Water diversion routes considered for micro-hydro schemes on the Waitotoroa river (intake upstream of	
	39
Figure 28: Water diversion routes considered for micro-hydro schemes on the Waitotoroa river (intake downstrea	
bank)	
Figure 29: Water diversion routes considered for micro-hydro schemes on the Otahi-iti river	
Figure 30: Water diversion route considered for a micro-hydro scheme on the Awa-iti stream	
Figure 31: Wetted perimeter of channels	
Figure 32: Moody chart for determining penstock internal friction factor	
Figure 33: COP of various existing heat pump water heaters in NZ, modelled by BRANZ	
Figure 34: Diagram showing how HOMER was used to calculate the performance of each modelled solution	
Figure 35: Estimated annual energy demand of the papakāinga (excluding transport) by fuel type	
Figure 36: Mean annual household energy use (exluding transport)	
Figure 37: Mean annual household energy cost (excluding transport)	
Figure 38: Mean annual household energy use related GHG emissions (excluding transport)	
Figure 39: Mean annual household energy use (including transport)	
Figure 40: Mean annual household energy cost (including transport)	
Figure 41: Mean annual household energy related GHG emissions (including transport)	
Figure 42: Mean annual marae energy use	
Figure 43: Mean annual marae energy cost	
Figure 44: Mean annual marae energy related GHG emissions	
Figure 45: Mean daily electricity load profile of current papakāinga (as modelled)	
Figure 47: Average daily electricity load profiles (modelled for mid-growth scenario)	
Figure 48: Mean monthly electricity demand (modelled for mid-growth scenario)	
Figure 49: Average daily electricity load profiles (modelled for high-growth scenario)	
Figure 50: Mean monthly electricity demand (modelled for high-growth scenario)	
Figure 51: Wind direction frequency rose of measured data over 12 months	
Figure 52: Wind speed frequency distribution of measured data at 10m and 15m above ground over 12 months	
Figure 53: Monthly mean wind speeds, measured data at 15m above ground over 12 months	
Figure 54: Average diurnal wind speed variation, measured data at 15m over 12 months	
Figure 55: Wind direction frequency rose, MCP hindcast over concurrent 12 month period	

Figure 56: Wind speed frequency distribution, MCP hindcast over concurrent 12 month period	71
Figure 57: Wind direction frequency rose, MCP hindcast over ten year period	72
Figure 58: Wind speed frequency distribution, MCP hindcast over ten year period	72
Figure 59: Predicted mean wind speeds in the papakāinga vicinity at 30m above ground level, with potential wind turbine sites	;
identified	73
Figure 60: Predicted mean wind speeds in the papakāinga vicinity at 30m above ground level, if sheltering trees near potential	
wind turbine sites were removed	73
Figure 61: Impression of a 50kW turbine at siteD from the marae ātea of Toroānui	74
Figure 62: Monthly mean daily global horizontal irradiance at Parihaka	75
Figure 63: Expected monthly mean daily flows of Parihaka waterways	
Figure 64: Surveyed head of the Waitotoroa river	76
Figure 65: Elevation of surveyed points along the Waitotoroa river, with uppermost point as reference datum	76
Figure 66: Predicted flow duration curve of the Waitotoroa river	76
Figure 67: Surveyed head of the Otahi-iti river	77
Figure 68: Elevation of surveyed points along the Otahi-iti river, with uppermost point as reference datum (numbers in	
parantheses are interpolated)	77
Figure 69: Predicted flow duration curve of the Otahi-iti river	
Figure 70: Surveyed head of the Awaiti waterway	78
Figure 71: Predicted flow duration curve of the Awaiti waterway	78
Figure 72: Comparison of various potential micro-hydro scheme layouts	79
Figure 73: Micro-hydro scheme layout expected to produce the most electricity annually, assuming that turbines cannot be	
located further downstream due to space and noise constraints	80
Figure 74: : Micro-hydro scheme layout expected to produce electricity at least cost	81
Figure 75: Cost of reducing community energy imports - individual approach	86
Figure 76: Cost of reducing community energy imports (electricity generation distributed north of river)	87
Figure 77: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions (electricity distributed north of river)	87
Figure 78: Cost of reducing community energy imports (electricity distributed north and south of river)	88
Figure 79: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions (electricity distributed north and south of river)	88
Figure 80: Cost of reducing community energy imports, mid growth scenario	91
Figure 81: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions, mid growth scenario	91
Figure 82: Cost of reducing community energy imports, mid growth scenario (land not available for biomass production)	92
Figure 83: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions, mid growth scenario (land not available for biomass production)	93
Figure 84: Cost of reducing community imports, high growth scenario	94
Figure 85: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions, high growth scenario	94
Figure 86: Cost of reducing community energy imports, high growth scenario (land area not available for biomass production)	95
Figure 87: Cost of reducing community GHG emissions, high growth scenario (land area not available for biomass production).	96
Figure 88: Group billing approach to community electricity distribution	. 101
Figure 89: Te Rānui roof, potential community PV array location	. 102

List of Tables

Table 1: Main energy sources currently used to meet demand in 13 selected buildings	. 11
Table 2: Transforming long-term reference wind data to a long-term hindcast of the wind resource at the monitoring site	. 27
Table 3: Comparison of correlations between measured wind data and various hindcast predictions from long term reference data	ata
for the period 21/1/2015 - 20/1/2016	. 28
Table 4: Surface roughness factors applied for wind modelling	. 30
Table 5: Roughness coefficents of micro-hydro headrace channels	. 42
Table 6: Carbon dioxide equivalent emissions factors applied for existing energy sources	. 47
Table 7: The renewable energy technologies which were included in this study	. 49
Table 8: Energy source and appliance configurations considered for individual buildings	. 50
Table 9: Solar water heater performance parameters used	. 53
Table 10: Findings from HEEP: Standing losses in electric water cylinders in NZ	. 54
Table 11:Water cylinder standing losses used in the analysis of hot water demand at Parihaka	. 55
Table 12: Annual energy use in study buildings	. 61
Table 13: Times of largest community peak loads	. 62
Table 14: Measured daily hot water use in study buildings which use LPG to heat water	. 66
Table 15: Papakāinga annual energy demand (modelled for mid growth scenario)	. 67
Table 16: Papakāinga annual energy demand (modelled for high growth scenario)	. 68
Table 17: Comparison of Parihaka wind resource characterisations	. 72
Table 18: Comparison of potential wind turbine sites in the papakāinga vicinity	. 74
Table 19: Expected land area required for biomass production under various appliance scenarios	. 82
Table 20: Annual fractions of residential water heating demand able to be met by solar and/or biomass	. 83
Table 21: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of individual buildings	. 84
Table 22: Papakāinga fuel use for various aggregations of individual building technologies	. 85
Table 23: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community (zero-growth scenario) .	. 89
Table 24: Results of sensitivity analysis of the capital cost of wind energy	. 89
Table 25: Results of sensitivity analysis of electricity demand growth	. 90
Table 26: Expected cost of off-grid homes based on predicted future loads	. 90
Table 27: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community (mid-growth scenario,	
100kW grid-connect capacity constraint)	. 92
Table 28: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community (mid-growth scenario,	
100kW grid-connect capacity constraint, land unavailable for biomass production)	. 93
Table 29: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community (high-growth scenario,	
100kW grid-connect capacity constraint)	. 95
Table 30: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community (high-growth scenario,	
100kW grid-connect capacity constraint, land unavailable for biomass production)	. 96
Table 31: Summary of the most cost-effective technologies to reduce energy imports of the community under different growth	
scenarios	. 97