

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Theoretical investigation into the origins of multicellularity.**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of

PhD

in

Theoretical Biology

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand.

Yuriy Pichugin

2015



# Abstract

Evolution of multicellularity is a major event in the history of life. The first step is the emergence of collectives of cooperating cells. Cooperation is generally costly to cooperators, thus, non-cooperators have a selective advantage. I investigated the evolution of cooperation in a population in which cells may migrate between collectives. Four different modes of migration were considered and for each mode I identified the set of multiplayer games in which cooperation has a higher fixation probability than defection. I showed that weak altruism may evolve without coordination among cells. However, the evolution of strong altruism requires the coordination of actions among cells.

The second step in the emergence of multicellularity is the transition in Darwinian individuality. A likely hallmark of the transition is fitness decoupling. In the second part of my thesis, I present a method for characterizing fitness (de-)coupling which involves an analysis of the correlation between cell and collective fitnesses. In a population with coupled fitnesses, this correlation is close to one. As a population evolves towards multicellularity, collective fitness starts to rely more on the interactions between cells rather than the individual performance of cells, so the correlation between particle and collective fitnesses decreases. This metric makes it possible to detect fitness decoupling.

I used the suggested metric to investigate under which conditions fitness decoupling occurs. I constructed a model of a population defined by a linear traits-to-fitness function and used this to identify those functions that promote fitness decoupling. In this model, the fitness correlation is equal to the cosine of the angle between the gradients of fitnesses. Therefore, my results allow an estimation of the fitness (de-)coupling state before selection takes place.

In the third section of my thesis, the accuracy of this estimation was tested on available experimental data and using a model simulating an experimental selection regime, which featured non-linear traits-to-fitness functions. The results obtained from the estimation of fitness correlations showed a close approximation to the fitness correlation calculated from experimental data and from simulations in a range of selection regimes.

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Distinguished Professor Paul B. Rainey for the opportunity to work on the exciting project concerning the fundamental questions of the biology; for his inspiration and for teaching me the high standards of science.

I am thankful for financial support from the Marsden Fund Council from government funding administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand and the New Zealand Institute for Advanced Study.

I thank my collaborators Dr. Katrin Hammerschmidt, Dr. Caroline Rose, Dr. Chaitanya S. Gokhale, Dr. Julian Garcia and my co-supervisors Dr. Eric Libby and Dr. Prof. Thomas Pfeiffer for sharing their scientific experience and the wisdom of life.

I thank Dr. Prof. Arne Traulsen and his group for fruitful collaboration during my stay in Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology.

To these who read and commented drafts of this thesis: Dr. Saumya Agrawal, Dr. Benedikt Bauer, Dr. Prof. Cassandra Extavour, Dr. Weini Huang, and Dr. Jorge Peña, thank you for suggestions, which enormously helped me to improve the text.

I thank my colleagues in Rainey lab, especially my office-mates Yeserin Yildirim and Yunhao Liu for their support.

I thank David and Theresa Terry for their hospitality and help with settling down in New Zealand.

I also thank my friends Anton Potkalov, William Turner, Amber Milan, Alex Budny and Mark Caubo.

My teachers: Dr. Prof. Oliver Savchenko, Dr. Tatyana Baturina, Dr. Prof. Grigoriy

Dymshits and Dr. Vladimir Kharitonov, thank you for nurturing my passion for science.

Thank you, Dr. Prof. Sandra Rossie for encouraging me to pursue a PhD degree abroad.

To my parents and my grandmother, thank you for your support in my desire to travel to the other side of the world.

The most of all, I am thankful to my beloved wife Tatyana who followed me through the whole journey.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Core concepts of evolution and multicellularity . . . . .	4
1.1.1	Definition of multicellularity . . . . .	4
1.1.2	Natural selection . . . . .	4
1.1.3	Darwinian individuality . . . . .	5
1.1.4	Multilevel selection . . . . .	6
1.1.5	Emergence of multicellularity as a major evolutionary transition . . .	7
1.2	Investigation of the origins of multicellularity . . . . .	8
1.2.1	Features of multicellularity . . . . .	10
1.2.2	Examples of the emergence multicellularity observed in nature . . . .	13
1.2.3	Experimental approaches in the artificial evolution of multicellularity	15
1.2.4	Theoretical studies of the evolution of multicellularity . . . . .	21
1.3	Research objectives . . . . .	34
1.3.1	Thesis structure . . . . .	35
<b>2</b>	<b>Modes of migration and multilevel selection in evolutionary multiplayer games</b>	<b>37</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	39
2.2	Evolutionary dynamics within a single group . . . . .	40
2.3	Migration modes . . . . .	42
2.3.1	Single individual migration . . . . .	43
2.3.2	Pair migration . . . . .	45

2.3.3	Caravan migration . . . . .	46
2.3.4	Differential migration . . . . .	47
2.4	Social dilemmas . . . . .	48
2.4.1	Weak and strong altruism . . . . .	49
2.4.2	Public goods games . . . . .	50
2.5	Discussion . . . . .	56
2.6	Appendix . . . . .	58
2.6.1	Derivation of sign sums . . . . .	58
2.6.2	Other payoff to fitness mappings . . . . .	62
<b>3</b>	<b>Fitness correlation as a new indicative metric of Darwinian individuality</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	65
3.2	Method for detecting fitness decoupling . . . . .	69
3.3	Results . . . . .	73
3.3.1	Comparison of FCM and MVSHN indices in a model population with reproductive division of labour. . . . .	74
3.3.2	Application of the FCM to identify selective conditions providing fitness decoupling under weak selection. . . . .	80
3.3.3	Dynamics of the FCM in the course of evolution . . . . .	84
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	88
3.4.1	Measurement of the collective fitness of early collectives . . . . .	88
3.4.2	Fitness decoupling in non-intuitive scenarios . . . . .	92
3.4.3	Fitness decoupling as a manifestation of Darwinian individuality . . . . .	95
3.4.4	Interpretation of the FCM value . . . . .	97
3.4.5	Possible applications of the FCM . . . . .	98
3.4.6	Measurement of fitness decoupling in natural and experimental populations . . . . .	99
3.5	Appendix . . . . .	100
3.5.1	Models used in illustrations of fitness correlation . . . . .	100

3.5.2	Fitness covariation in the case of more than two traits . . . . .	104
3.5.3	Fitness decoupling in the population with uncorrelated traits but un- equal traits variations . . . . .	105
<b>4</b>	<b>Using the FCM to make predictions concerning fitness decoupling</b>	<b>107</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	107
4.2	Methods . . . . .	110
4.2.1	The simulative model . . . . .	110
4.2.2	The predictive model . . . . .	115
4.2.3	Additional selection regimes . . . . .	116
4.3	Results . . . . .	121
4.3.1	The predictive model calculates highly accurate FCM in both mixed and non-mixed selection regimes . . . . .	121
4.3.2	In additional selection regimes, predicted and simulated FCM are highly correlated . . . . .	124
4.3.3	The mixing procedure determines collective-level selection in addi- tional mixed regimes . . . . .	124
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	130
4.5	Appendix . . . . .	131
4.5.1	A detailed description of the simulative model . . . . .	131
4.5.2	Measurement of the FCM in the simulative model . . . . .	136
4.5.3	A detailed description of the predictive model . . . . .	140
4.5.4	Measurement of the FCM from experimental data . . . . .	142
4.5.5	Estimation of uncertainty of FCM . . . . .	145
4.5.6	A detailed description of additional selection regimes . . . . .	145
4.5.7	A calculation of the effect of guiding selective events on the evolution of the modelled population . . . . .	147
<b>5</b>	<b>Concluding discussion</b>	<b>151</b>

5.1	Summary of main results . . . . .	151
5.1.1	Cooperation may evolve under limited capability of particles to coordinate their actions . . . . .	152
5.1.2	Fitness decoupling can be detected by the fitness correlation metric (FCM) . . . . .	154
5.1.3	The fitness (de-)coupling state can be inferred from selective conditions	155
5.2	Future directions . . . . .	156

# List of Figures

1.1	The probable scenario of the evolution of multicellularity from single cells. . . . .	9
1.2	Scheme of evolutionary experiment used in Hammerschmidt et al (2014). . . . .	19
2.1	Different modes of migration. . . . .	44
2.2	The evolution of cooperation does not always become easier with increasing benefit $\beta$ . . . . .	53
3.1	The evolution of multicellularity from the single cells. . . . .	66
3.2	Distributions of particle and collective fitnesses in populations with different degrees of fitness decoupling. . . . .	71
3.3	Relation between the MVSHN index and the FCM in a model where a transition in individuality is achieved by a division of reproductive labour. . . . .	77
3.4	Relation between the MVSHN index, FCM and character of tradeoff $b_N + v_N - 1$ . . . . .	79
3.5	Geometric interpretation of traits-to-fitnesses functions, which promote fitness decoupling. . . . .	83
3.6	In a population with a universally beneficial trait, a “lawless” trait provides a FCM closer to zero than a collective-only trait. . . . .	85
3.7	Dynamics of the FCM in the course of evolution of the model population under different selective conditions. . . . .	89
3.8	Measurement of MLS2 fitness in a population in which collectives do not possess Darwinian individuality. . . . .	91

4.1	Schemes of life cycles used in the experimental evolution study. . . . .	109
4.2	Scheme of non-mixed life cycle in the simulative model. . . . .	113
4.3	Scheme of mixed life cycle in the simulative model. . . . .	114
4.4	Scheme demonstrating how the predictive model calculates the FCM. . . . .	117
4.5	Scheme of life cycle in additional selection regimes. . . . .	118
4.6	Directions of scoring function gradients in the space of traits $(k, p)$ for different variants of $S_P$ and $S_C$ . . . . .	120
4.7	FCM values in mixed and non-mixed selection regimes significantly differs between regimes. . . . .	123
4.8	Predicted and simulated FCM are highly correlated in additional selection regimes. . . . .	125
4.9	At the particle level, guiding events determine the direction of fitness gradients, while at the collective level the guiding events are not the only factor of selection. . . . .	127

# List of Tables

1.1	Payoff matrix for the prisoner's dilemma game. . . . .	23
2.1	Payoffs and their differences for the linear self-returning (LSR), the linear self-excluding (LSE), the synergy/discounting self-returning (SDSR), and the synergy/discounting self-excluding (SDSE) public goods games. . . . .	52
2.2	Sign sums for self-returning games (weak altruism) in a well mixed population and under different modes of migration. . . . .	54
2.3	Sign sums for self-excluding public good games (strong altruism) in a well mixed population and under different modes of migration. . . . .	54
4.1	Parameters used in the simulative model. . . . .	115
4.2	Scoring functions in the additional selection regimes. . . . .	119
4.3	FCM calculated from experimental data, predictive model and simulative model in the mixed and non-mixed regimes. . . . .	122
4.4	Impact of the different components of selection on the fitness gradients at the particle and collective levels in additional selection regimes. . . . .	128
4.5	Traits of cell lines in the simulative model. . . . .	132

