

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**FEATURE-BASED RAPID OBJECT DETECTION:
FROM FEATURE EXTRACTION TO PARALLELISATION**

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Sciences

at Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

Andre Luis Chautard Barczak

2007

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Motivation	1
1.2	Research Scope	2
1.3	Original Contributions	2
1.4	Publications related to this work	3
1.5	Outline of the Thesis	4
2	Literature Review	7
2.1	Computer Vision and Rapid Object Detection	7
2.1.1	Real-time Object Detection, Recognition and Tracking	8
2.1.2	Face Detection	11
2.1.3	Invariant Features and Non-invariant Features	13
2.2	Rapid Object Detection: a review of the Viola and Jones Method	15
2.2.1	Haar-like Features	15
2.2.2	Summed-area Tables	16
2.2.3	Adaboost	18
2.2.4	Cascades of Classifiers	22
2.2.5	Multiresolution Analysis in Feature-based Systems	23
2.2.6	Lighting Conditions	26
2.2.7	Articulated Objects and Motion Features	26
2.3	Parallel Computing	27
2.3.1	Types of parallel machines	28
2.3.2	Beowulf Clusters	29
2.3.3	Cluster Topology	29
2.3.4	Bandwidth and Latency	30
2.3.5	Speedup in parallel computers	31
2.3.6	Message passing paradigm	32
2.3.7	Parallel Image Processing	32
2.4	Conclusions of Literature Review	35

3 Methods and Preliminary Experiments	37
3.1 Implementing the Rapid Object Recognition Training algorithms	38
3.1.1 Adaboost: a simple modification for convergence and speed	38
3.1.2 Building Classifiers with a set of Convex Hulls	42
3.1.3 Marking the positive examples	43
3.1.4 Accuracy and Performance Measurements	44
3.2 Preliminary Experiments	45
3.3 Building Beowulf Clusters	46
3.3.1 A brief description of the clusters	49
3.4 Performance of Clusters	51
3.4.1 Linpack performance results	51
3.4.2 An analysis of performance using mpptests	52
3.4.3 Ring test using jumpshot	54
3.5 Summary	56
4 Partial Occlusions in Face Detection	57
4.1 Introduction	57
4.2 The Training Process	58
4.3 Testing the classifiers	60
4.4 Experimental results and discussion	61
4.5 Summary	63
5 Hand Detection	65
5.1 Related work	65
5.2 Experiments with Haar-like features to recognise human hands	67
5.2.1 Preparing the Positive Set	67
5.2.2 Training	68
5.2.3 Detection	69
5.3 Results and Discussion	70
5.3.1 Accuracy	70
5.3.2 Performance	71
5.4 Summary	73
6 Rotational-invariant Approach	77
6.1 Background	78
6.2 Error sources in the computation of Haar-like features	80
6.2.1 Computing Haar-like features at 45°	81
6.2.2 Computing Haar-like features at 26.5° and 63.5°	82
6.3 Converting features to generic angles	84

6.3.1	Error Analysis for Pair of Equivalent Features (PEF)	85
6.4	Experimental Results and Discussion	86
6.4.1	Experiment 1: error analysis for PEFs using 0° and 45°	86
6.4.2	Experiment 2: error analysis for PEFs using 0° and 26.5°	89
6.4.3	Experiment 3: using PEFs to compute features in classifiers	93
6.4.4	Experiment 4: converting the original face classifier using tilted features	96
6.5	Summary	98
7	Real-time Moment Invariants	101
7.1	Related work	102
7.2	Geometric Moment Invariants	103
7.2.1	Hu's equations	104
7.2.2	Computing Moment Invariants from SATs	107
7.2.3	Preliminary Experiments	109
7.2.4	Limitations	111
7.2.5	Lighting Contrast Stretching	111
7.3	Concentric Discs	114
7.3.1	Circular Area (Discs)	115
7.3.2	Concentric Discs computation	116
7.4	Face Detection	122
7.4.1	Estimating angles using Hu's moments	122
7.4.2	Classifiers for Face Detection using Moments	123
7.5	Summary	126
8	Digits Recognition and Invariant Features	127
8.1	Related Work	128
8.2	Feature Extraction	130
8.2.1	The Concentric Discs Method (CDMI)	130
8.2.2	An Extended Feature Set for Normalised Central Moments	130
8.3	Training Methods	131
8.3.1	Convex Hull Classifiers	131
8.3.2	AdaBoost	132
8.3.3	Confidence Value for Multiple Classifiers	132
8.4	Experimental results	133
8.4.1	experiment 1: four types of classifiers	134
8.4.2	experiment 2: Ada-88- ψ with low training error	135
8.4.3	Experiment 3: η features with AdaBoost	137
8.5	Discussion	139

8.6 Summary	144
9 A Model for Parallel Classifiers	145
9.1 A Generic Platform for a Mobile Cluster	146
9.2 A Performance Model	146
9.2.1 Single Cascade	146
9.2.2 Concurrent Cascades	149
9.2.3 Communication	153
9.3 Implementing a mobile cluster using mini-ITX boards	154
9.3.1 Speedup	156
9.4 Summary	157
10 Final Conclusions	159
10.1 Summary	159
10.2 Limitations	160
10.3 Future Work	161
Appendices	165
A Implementation of SATs at 26.5°	165
B Moments with SATs	171
B.1 Deriving The Equations For μ_{pq} From SATs (m_{pq})	171
B.2 4 th Order Moment Invariants Derivation	174
C Additional Results for Digits Recognition	179
C.1 Experiment 1: additional Tables	179
C.2 Experiment 2: additional Tables	181
C.3 Experiment 3: additional Tables	182
Bibliography	184
Glossary	194

List of Figures

2.1	A generic computer vision Model	9
2.2	Histograms as features for computer vision systems	14
2.3	Examples of Haar-like Features.	15
2.4	The Summed-Area Table	17
2.5	Examples of tilted Haar-like Features.	18
2.6	AdaBoost applied in a colour classification problem.	20
2.7	A cascade of classifiers	23
2.8	Data decomposition	30
2.9	Four schemes for Parallel Computer Vision.	34
3.1	Classification using thresholds does not converge.	38
3.2	This set converges if the the classifier has four stages.	40
3.3	Example of convex hull used as a classifier.	43
3.4	Marking a face from the CMU-MIT database	44
3.5	ROC curve BASIC versus ALL features, 100 positive examples	46
3.6	ROC curve BASIC versus ALL features, 250 positive examples	47
3.7	ROC curve BASIC versus ALL features, 500 positive examples	47
3.8	ROC curve BASIC versus ALL features, 750 positive examples	48
3.9	ROC curve BASIC versus ALL features, 1000 positive examples	48
3.10	The Helix Beowulf-type cluster's topology	50
3.11	Linpack Rmax rating versus number of processors.	51
3.12	Point to point messages in Helix (short, blocking)	52
3.13	Point to point messages in Sisters (short, blocking)	53
3.14	Point to point messages in Helix (long, blocking)	53
3.15	Point to point messages in Sisters (long, blocking)	54
3.16	Goptest (Broadcast operation) running in 128 processors.	55
3.17	A ring test using 127KB message and 128 processors	55
4.1	Examples of partial occluded faces found in the three sets.	58
4.2	Example of a false positive object within the negative set.	59

4.3	The occlusion adding positive examples	60
4.4	Hits in several occluded faces.	62
4.5	ROC curve comparing Classifiers 2 and 3.	63
5.1	The basic hand images used on the training process.	67
5.2	Creating the positive set.	68
5.3	Using several cascades to recognise and determine rotation of hands . . .	69
5.4	The Haar-like features chosen for the first step of each cascade.	71
5.5	ROC curves comparing the classifiers trained at different angles for test set A.	73
5.6	Examples of detection at different angles (from test set A).	74
5.7	Examples of images in test set B	75
5.8	Rates for cascades detecting hands in various angles	75
6.1	Normal features conversion to other types	80
6.2	Comparison between a normal and a tilted feature	81
6.3	Comparison between a 0° , a 26.5° and a 63.5° Haar-like feature.	82
6.4	Computing the SAT for 26.56° recursively	83
6.5	Corrections for SATs at 26.5°	84
6.6	Pair of Equivalent Features (PEF)	85
6.7	An example of PEF	86
6.8	Case where the value of the 22.5° feature should be MAX.	87
6.9	Case where the value of the 22.5° feature should be zero.	87
6.10	Scanning images for PEF comparison	88
6.11	Measuring errors for PEFs	88
6.12	First frame of Akiyo sequence and the chessboard images.	88
6.13	Maximum error vs angle for Akiyo (normal+ 45° PEFs).	89
6.14	Average error vs angle for Akiyo (normal+ 45° PEFs).	90
6.15	Maximum error vs angle for Chessboard (normal+ 45° PEFs).	90
6.16	Average error vs angle for Chessboard (normal+ 45° PEFs).	91
6.17	Maximum error vs angle for Akiyo (normal+ 26.5° PEFs).	91
6.18	Maximum error vs angle for Chessboard (normal+ 26.5° PEFs).	92
6.19	Average error vs angle for Akiyo (normal+ 26.5° PEFs).	92
6.20	Average error vs angle for Chessboard (normal+ 26.5° PEFs).	93
6.21	Hit ratio vs angle using 16 layers.	94
6.22	Hit ratio vs angle, kernel 36x36.	94
6.23	False detection rate vs angle, kernel 36x36.	95
6.24	The classifier 36x36 with various correction factors.	96
6.25	The hit rate for classifier 36x36 with limited range of features.	97

6.26 Detecting rotated faces using PEFs	97
6.27 Converting Haar-like feature maintaining the proportional area	98
6.28 Comparative ROC curve for upright and tilted cascades for the CMU-MIT database.	99
6.29 Some results for the tilted cascade converted from OpenCV.	99
7.1 Method overview	103
7.2 Grey scale samples of digits 9.	110
7.3 A sample of the working algorithm	111
7.4 Merging positive hits with Moments Invariants	112
7.5 An example of contrast stretching	113
7.6 Computing the moments for an approximation of a circular area	117
7.7 The Concentric Discs Moment Invariants approach (CDMI)	117
7.8 Test image from Gonzalez and Woods (2002).	118
7.9 Concentric disc area approximation	121
7.10 Angles estimated for faces	123
7.11 Face detection using CDMI.	124
7.12 The false detection rate versus the number of weak classifiers (1000 FERET samples)	125
7.13 The false detection rate versus the number of weak classifiers (2000 FERET samples)	126
8.1 The CMDI for handwritten digit recognition	130
8.2 Computing $12 \eta_s$ per square area.	131
8.3 AdaBoost trained with sub-sets of the negative set	132
8.4 ROC curves for each classifier group, Ada-88- ψ -N.	137
8.5 ROC curves for each classifier group, η -84-N.	139
8.6 Number of errors caused by wrong choices between classifiers η -84-N.	140
8.7 Compared misclassifications for the three η and ψ feature types.	141
8.8 Distribution of the feature type usage per class for Ada-88- ψ -N.	142
8.9 Distribution of the feature type usage per class for η -84-N.	142
8.10 Examples of misclassifications for η with 84 features	143
8.11 Intersection of two competing classifiers for an unknown character.	143
8.12 Hypothetical handwritten digits showing a gradual transition between certain digits.	144
9.1 The proposed hardware platform.	147
9.2 Percentage of sub-windows per layer.	148
9.3 Features per layer for $Sub = 1000000$, $f_0 = 3$ and $C = 10$	149
9.4 % of the features computed up to layer x	150

9.5	The detector using multiple cascades in one slave node.	150
9.6	Time distribution for concurrent cascades running on one processor.	151
9.7	Frame rate for concurrent classifiers running on one processor.	151
9.8	Percentage of the total weak classifiers computed up to layer n.	152
9.9	Frame rate for concurrent classifiers running on one processor.	152
9.10	Time to broadcast a frame.	154
9.11	A mobile cluster.	155
9.12	Parallelisation scenario: runtime and communication times.	156
9.13	Speedups with different number of cascades and processors	158
A.1	SAT for 26.5°	165

List of Tables

4.1	The training parameters for the classifiers.	59
4.2	Testing images: number of occluded frames and non-occluded frames.	61
4.3	Hit rates (%) for the sets of images using different classifiers.	61
5.1	The kernel sizes for each cascade.	69
5.2	Hit ratios and false positives for the first test set (test set A).	72
5.3	Comparing the cascades for different angles using a rotated test set (test set B).	72
6.1	Performance of tilted cascades.	98
7.1	Runtimes for moment invariants computation.	110
7.2	Variances for contrast stretching.	114
7.3	11 moments computed over a square area for image in figure 7.8.	119
7.4	11 moments computed over an approximation of a circular area.	120
7.5	Variances for the approximation of concentric disc features from 1 to 0.5 in diameter.	120
7.6	Variances for the actual concentric disc areas.	121
8.1	Results reported on the MNIST database.	129
8.2	Training errors for four feature sets.	135
8.3	Overall Confusion Matrix for Ada-88- ψ -N.	136
8.4	Test errors for feature type ψ_n (90 concurrent classifiers) for the 10000 digits MNIST test set.	136
8.5	Overall Confusion Matrix for η with 84 features (η -84-N).	138
8.6	Test errors for feature type η_{pq} (90 concurrent classifiers) for the 10000 digits MNIST test set.	138
9.1	Results for the broadcasting times and SAT runtimes.	155
C.1	CH-11- ψ : false detection rate (%) per classifier.	179
C.2	CH-88- ψ : false detection rate (%) per classifier.	180

C.3	Overall Confusion Matrix for Ada-11- ψ -50.	180
C.4	Overall Confusion Matrix for Ada-88- ψ -50.	180
C.5	ψ -Ada-88-N: hit rate (%) per classifier.	181
C.6	ψ -Ada-88-N: false detection rate (%).	181
C.7	$\eta - 84 - N$: hit rate (%) per classifier.	182
C.8	$\eta - 84 - N$: false detection rate (%).	182
C.9	Number of errors caused by wrong choices between classifiers for η feature type, 84 features, N stages	183

List of Notations

A_{disc}	Sum of the pixels within a disc	116
A_{square}	Sum of the pixels within a square or rectangular area ..	115
α_t	Factor associated to the error of h_t	19
β_t	Factor associated to the error of h_t	20
C_n	Positional factor for moment invariants	113
$D_t(i)$	Weight of sample i at round t	19
η_{pq}	Normalised central moment	104
ε	Efficiency	153
f	Scaling factor for a kernel	25
$f(x)$	number of features computed up to layer x	148
f_s	Serial fraction of an algorithm	31
F	False detection rate	148
γ	Exponential factor for normalised central moments	104
H	Height of an image	24
$h_t(x_i)$	Weak classifier function	19
$i(x, y)$	Image pixel at (x, y)	16
$\bar{i}(x, y)$	Image resulting from a contrast stretching operation ..	26
$I(x, y)$	Summed-area Table element at (x, y)	17
$I_r(x, y)$	Rotated SAT element	18
m_{pq}	2D geometric moment of order pq	104
$m_{pq}(x, y)$	SAT element for order pq	107
\bar{m}_{pq}	Moment invariant with contrast stretching	113
μ	Mean (statistics)	26
μ_{pq}	Central moment	104
M	Width of a kernel	24
N	Height of a kernel	24
pt_n	Element of a SAT	115
$P(A B)$	Conditional probability of A, given B	133
ϕ_n	Hu's moment invariants	105
ψ_n	Flessner's moment invariants	107

s	Scale factor	16
Sub	Number of sub-windows in an image given s and t	147
$S(x)$	Number of sub-windows assessed by x layers of a cascade	148
σ	Variance (statistics)	26
t	Translation factor	24
T_m	Runtime on a multiple processor system	31
T_s	Runtime on a single processor system	31
θ	Direction of an object given by 2_{nd} order moments	122
V	Feature value	16
V_{normal}, V_{tilted}	Haar-like feature values	84
w_n	Constants that define a Haar-like feature	16
W	Width of an image	24
x_i	Feature array	19
\bar{x}	Ratio between first p order and zeroth order moments ..	104
y_i	Class array	19
\bar{y}	Ratio between first q order and zeroth order moments ..	104

Abstract

This thesis studies rapid object detection, focusing on feature-based methods. Firstly, modifications of training and detection of the Viola-Jones method are made to improve performance and overcome some of the current limitations such as rotation, occlusion and articulation. New classifiers produced by training and by converting existing classifiers are tested in face detection and hand detection.

Secondly, the nature of invariant features in terms of the computational complexity, discrimination power and invariance to rotation and scaling are discussed. A new feature extraction method called Concentric Discs Moment Invariants (CDMI) is developed based on moment invariants and summed-area tables. The dimensionality of this set of features can be increased by using additional concentric discs, rather than using higher order moments. The CDMI set has useful properties, such as speed, rotation invariance, scaling invariance, and rapid contrast stretching can be easily implemented. The results of experiments with face detection shows a clear improvement in accuracy and performance of the CDMI method compared to the standard moment invariants method. Both the CDMI and its variant, using central moments from concentric squares, are used to assess the strength of the method applied to hand-written digits recognition.

Finally, the parallelisation of the detection algorithm is discussed. A new model for the specific case of the Viola-Jones method is proposed and tested experimentally. This model takes advantage of the structure of classifiers and of the multi-resolution approach associated with the detection method. The model shows that high speedups can be achieved by broadcasting frames and carrying out the computation of one or more cascades in each node.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. Martin Johnson and Dr. Chris Messom for their support and for providing an interesting and motivating environment for research.

I have also to thank my PhD colleagues who in the last few years shared the challenging activities related to research, in particular Farhad Dadgostar (with whom I share the authorship of two papers), and Chao Fan. Other colleagues in the institute that also have been actively discussing research issues and sharing ideas include Samuel Alexander and Tony Meyer. Thanks to Ravi Chemudugunta (with whom I share the authorship of one paper) for his collaboration in the mini-cluster project and for his UDP protocol for broadcasting frames.

This research would not be possible without the full support of the institute's head of department. I would like to thank Prof. Robert Mckibbin and Massey University for the opportunity to carry out the PhD project while working at IIMS. I also would like to thank the support and encouragement from Dr. Napoleon Reyes, Dr. Houssein Sarrafzadeh, Prof. Ken Hawick, Dr. Heath James, Dr. Chris Scoggings and all my colleagues at IIMS.

Thanks to my wife and my three children. The finalisation of my thesis was only possible with their full support and understanding.