Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF A RELIABLE AND VALID NETBALL INTERMITTENT ACTIVITY TEST

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Sport and Exercise Science

> at Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

### HELEN JOANNE RYAN

2009

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present investigation was to identify the exercise intensity of netball match play in order to assist in the development of a Netball Intermittent Activity Test (NIAT). A further aim was to assess the criterion validity and the testretest reliability of the NIAT. Eleven female netball players ( $21.4 \pm 3.1$  years,  $1.73 \pm$ 0.06 m,  $69.3 \pm 5.3$  kg and  $48.4 \pm 4.9$  ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> mean  $\pm$  SD, age, height, body mass and  $VO_{2max}$ , respectively) volunteered to participate in the study. Heart rate data was recorded for all participants from at least two full 60 minute games during Premier Club competition. Individual maximum heart rate values were acquired for all subjects from the performance of the Multistage Fitness Test, and used to transform heart rate recordings into percent maximum heart rate (%HR<sub>max</sub>). Patterns in %HR<sub>max</sub> were used to indicate positional grouping when developing the NIAT from time motion analysis data. Subjects performed two trials of the NIAT separated by at least seven days. Physiological and performance markers were measured systematically throughout the NIAT. Exercise intensity as denoted by %HR<sub>max</sub> significantly decreased from the first half of match play to the second half (90.4  $\pm$  2.7% v 88.3  $\pm$ 2.8%; p<0.05). Significant differences (p<0.05) were observed between positional groups and led to the grouping of Defence (D), Centre Court (CC), and Attack (A) players for NIAT performance. Comparisons of %HR<sub>max</sub> between match play and NIAT performance indicated that the NIAT had good criterion validity for D (match Mdn = 92.52% vs. NIAT Mdn = 86.27%, p>0.05) and A (match Mdn = 86.95% vs. NIAT Mdn = 82.93%, p>0.05) players, but that %HR<sub>max</sub> during the NIAT (Mdn =79.70%) was significantly lower than match play (Mdn = 89.70%) for CC group (p<0.05). Measures of 5 m sprint performance  $(1.27 \pm 0.06 \text{ s v } 1.25 \pm 0.06 \text{ s; } \text{p}>0.05;$ r=0.66, p<0.001), vertical jump height (29.12 ± 4.17 cm v 28.82 ± 3.60 cm; p>0.05; r=0.91, p<0.001), circuit time (107.49 ± 3.22 s v 107.89 ± 4.27 s; p>0.05; r=0.72, p>0.001) and %HR<sub>max</sub> (82.56 ± 4.66% v 81.03 ± 4.13%; p>0.05; r=0.82, p<0.001) for NIAT1 vs. NIAT2 indicated good test-retest reliability. These data suggest that netball players experience a reduction in exercise intensity over the duration of a game, with exercise intensity being related to on-court position. Whilst the NIAT appears to be a repeatable activity pattern, it is not a good simulation of physiological strain for all positional groups. More work is required in order to create a netball simulation that is both reliable and valid for all players.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research project would not have been possible were it not for the time and effort contributed by the participants and local netball infrastructure. In particular I am indebted to:

> Netball North Harbour Rangitoto Netball Club Commodores Netball Club Shore Rovers Netball Club

For the support and guidance they have given me during this research I would like to acknowledge my supervisors:

#### **Dr. Andrew Foskett**

#### Dr. Alan Walmsley

Finally I would like to thank my family and numerous friends who have been patient and gone above and beyond in helping me through this process.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PAGE

| Abstract          | i    |
|-------------------|------|
| Acknowledgements  | ii   |
| Table of Contents | iii  |
| List of Figures   | vii  |
| List of Tables    | viii |

| CHAPTER I |     | INTRODUCTION |   |
|-----------|-----|--------------|---|
|           | 1.1 | Hypotheses   | 3 |

| CHAPTER II |     | REVIEW OF LITERATURE          | 4  |
|------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|
|            | 2.1 | Introduction                  | 4  |
|            | 2.2 | Physiological Demands of      |    |
|            |     | Intermittent Running Exercise | 4  |
|            |     | 2.2.1 Distance Covered        | 5  |
|            |     | 2.2.2 Speed and Intensity of  |    |
|            |     | Player Activity               | 7  |
|            |     | 2.2.3 Energy Requirements     | 10 |
|            | 2.3 | Physiological Demands of      |    |
|            |     | Netball                       | 15 |

|     | 2.3.1 Positional Patterns      | 16 |
|-----|--------------------------------|----|
|     | 2.3.2 Physical Characteristics | 17 |
|     | 2.3.3 Maximal Oxygen Uptake    | 18 |
|     | 2.3.4 Leg Power                | 19 |
|     | 2.3.5 Activity Patterns        | 20 |
| 2.4 | Models for Simulating High     |    |
|     | Intensity Exercise             | 25 |
|     | 2.4.1 Laboratory Based Models  | 25 |
|     | 2.4.2 Shuttle Running          |    |
|     | Simulations                    | 30 |
| 2.5 | Reliability and Validity       | 38 |
| 2.6 | Summary                        | 39 |
|     | 2.6.1 Assumptions of the Study | 40 |
|     |                                |    |
|     | METHODOLOGY                    | 41 |
| 3.1 | Introduction                   | 41 |
| 3.2 | Subject Characteristics        | 41 |
| 3.3 | Preliminary Measurements       | 42 |
|     | 3.3.1 Physical Characteristics | 42 |
|     | 3.3.2 Maximal Oxygen Uptake    |    |
|     | and Maximum Heart Rate         | 42 |

### V

CHAPTER III

| 3.4 | Game Data                     | 43 |
|-----|-------------------------------|----|
| 3.5 | Netball Intermittent Activity |    |
|     | Test                          | 44 |
|     | 3.5.1 Familiarisation         | 44 |
|     | 3.5.2 Main Trials             | 45 |
| 3.6 | Facilities                    | 48 |
| 3.7 | Statistical Analysis          | 49 |

| CHAPTER IV |     | RESULTS                  | 52 |
|------------|-----|--------------------------|----|
|            | 4.1 | Match Data               | 52 |
|            |     | 4.1.1 Playing Quarter    | 52 |
|            |     | 4.1.2 Positional Group   | 53 |
|            | 4.2 | NIAT Criterion Validity  | 56 |
|            | 4.3 | NIAT Reliability         | 57 |
|            |     | 4.3.1 Sprint Time        | 57 |
|            |     | 4.3.2 Jump Height        | 57 |
|            |     | 4.3.3 Circuit Time       | 58 |
|            |     | 4.3.4 Percent Heart Rate |    |
|            |     | Maximum                  | 59 |

| CHAPTER V |     | DISCUSSION                   | 61 |
|-----------|-----|------------------------------|----|
|           | 5.1 | Match Data                   | 61 |
|           |     | 5.1.1 Playing Quarter        | 63 |
|           |     | 5.1.2 Positional Group       | 65 |
|           | 5.2 | Protocol Validity            | 70 |
|           | 5.3 | Protocol Reliability         | 76 |
|           |     | 5.3.1 Sprint Time            | 76 |
|           |     | 5.3.2 Jump Height            | 78 |
|           |     | 5.3.3 Circuit Time           | 79 |
|           |     | 5.3.4 Percent Heart Rate     |    |
|           |     | Maximum                      | 81 |
|           | 5.4 | Conclusion                   | 83 |
|           | 5.5 | Limitations of the Study and |    |
|           |     | Recommendations for Future   |    |
|           |     | Research                     | 84 |

REFERENCES 87

# APPENDICES

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Title  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3.1    | Diagrammatical Representation of the NIAT                      | 46   |
| 3.2    | Diagrammatical Representation of the Skill Circuit             | 47   |
|        | within the NIAT  |      |
| 3.3    | Photograph of a Participant Completing Skill                   | 48   |
|        | Circuit during NIAT  |      |
| 4.1    | Mean $%$ HR <sub>max</sub> for the Four Quarters of Match Play | 52   |
| 4.2    | Comparison of Mean % HR <sub>max</sub> between Match           |      |
|        | Halves   | 53   |
| 4.3    | Mean %HR <sub>max</sub> during Match Play for the Different    |      |
|        | Positional Groups  | 54   |
| 4.4    | Median % time in Heart Rate Zone by Positional                 | 55   |
|        | Group  |      |
| 4.5    | Median % HR <sub>max</sub> for Positional Groups in Match      | 56   |
|        | and NIAT   |      |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1   | Heart Rate Intensity Zones                                   | 24   |
| 3.1   | Activity Patterns During Skill Section of the NIAT           | 45   |
|       | Protocol by Positional Variation                             |      |
| 4.1   | Median $\dot{VO}_{2max}$ and $HR_{max}$ values by positional | 53   |
|       | group  |      |
| 4.2   | Mean NIAT performance times (± standard                      |      |
|       | deviations)  | 58   |
| 4.3   | Pearson's correlation $(r)$ , intra-class correlation        |      |
|       | coefficients (ICC), standard error of measurement            |      |
|       | (SEM), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), and                |      |
|       | coefficient of variation (CV) for NIAT performance           | 60   |
| 4.4   | Absolute limits of agreement (LOA) for NIAT                  |      |
|       | performance  | 60   |