Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The effect of public communication campaigns on family communication and behaviour.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Business Studies in Communication

Management, at Massey University, Palmerston North

Kane Hopkins 2004

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Margie Comrie and Frank Sligo for their patience and ability to refrain from using cuss words at me. Their ability to keep sane through this process demonstrates the level of professionalism, knowledge and quality of teaching these two remarkable people have.

Thanks to all the families who let me into their homes and indulged my 'need to know' while providing an endless supply of coffee and biscuits and also to the staff of the Auckland Regional Council and Clean Up New Zealand.

Thanks to my parents for helping me in so many ways to become 'the little son that could'. My biggest thanks must go to Anna Finn, who had to endure my frustrations and tantrums. You are quite possibly the most loving, encouraging person on the planet.

Lastly, this work is dedicated to Robyn Logan who supported and looked after me throughout the journey. Never forgotten.

Abstract

This thesis examines how mass communicated messages from a public communication campaign affect interpersonal communication within families. It also considers how interpersonal communication among family members flows on to affect behaviours in the family. The study uses McDevitt and Chaffee's five-stage sequence of behavioural activation within families to examine two proenvironmental campaigns: the Big Clean Up and Clean Up NZ.

Seven families exposed to the messages of the communication campaigns were interviewed to gain an understanding of what communication process occurred as a result of these interventions. "Downward" (parent to child), and "upward" (child to parent) flows of communication were examined.

The results suggest that communication among family members has a substantial bearing on a family's behavioural response to campaign messages. However, the person who engenders the discussions also plays a major role in the success or otherwise of the communication outcomes. The campaign messages had different effects on children than they did on parents. There were no significant behaviour changes in families where the parent was the initial message receiver; however where the child provided the initial intervention behaviour changes tended to occur. While children received new information, and developed new behaviours based on the campaign messages, parents tended to have existing beliefs and patterns of behaviour endorsed.

The relationship between interpersonal family communication, campaign messages and changes in behaviour has implications for communicators developing campaigns that aim to change behaviour.

Contents

Acknowle	edgements	i
Abstract.		ii
Chapter '	1: Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose and context of the research	2
1.2	Research objectives	3
1.3	A background to the campaigns	5
1.3.	1 Campaign 1: The Big Clean Up	5
1.3.	2 Campaign 2: Clean Up New Zealand	7
1.3.	3 Shared elements between the two campaigns	9
1.3.	The use of McDevitt and Chaffee's model	10
1.4	Overview of the thesis	13
Chapter 2	2: Literature Review	14
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Mass communication: a campaign overview	16
2.2.1 Mass media's effect on interpersonal communication		20
2.3	Communication models and campaign theory	21
2.4	Message effect	25
2.5	Communication within families	26
2.6	Family rituals and communication	34
2.7	Pro-environmental messages: relationships with attitude and behaviour change	35
2.8	The knowledge-behaviour gap in public communication campaigns	41
Chapter 3	3: Methodology	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Campaign selection	48
3.3	Selection of participants	49
3.4	Ethical considerations	51
3.5	Pilot study	53
3.6	Method of data collection	54
Chapter 4	1: Results	58
4.1 Int	roduction	58
4.2	Interviews: The Big Clean Up	58
4.2.	1 Prior behaviours	59
4.2.	2 Theme 1: Individual response	61
4.2.	Theme 2: Interpersonal communication	62
4.2.	Theme 3: Expectations for civic competence	65

4.2	2.5 Theme 4: Reciprocal influence	67
4.2	2.6 Theme 5: Civic participation	68
4.3 In	terviews: Clean Up New Zealand	69
4.3	3.1 Prior behaviours	70
4.3	3.2 Theme 1: Individual response	72
4.3	3.3 Theme 2: Interpersonal communication	74
4.3	3.4 Theme 3: Expectations for civic competence	76
4.3	3.5 Theme 4: Reciprocal influence	78
4.3	3.6 Theme 5: Civic participation	79
Chapter	5: Discussion	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	The role of communication activities	82
5.3	Communication orientation	84
5.4	Upward communication	86
5.5	Downward communication	89
5.6	The role of the five-step model in family communication	94
Chapter	6: Conclusions	96
6.1	Introduction	96
6.2	Key findings	97
6.2	2.1 Application of the five-stage sequence for family activation	97
6.2	2.2 Interpersonal family communication relating campaign messages	98
6.2	2.3 Intergenerational learning	99
6.3	Limitations	100
6.3	3.1 Number of Campaigns	100
6.3	3.2 Selection and participation	101
6.4	Directions for further research	102
Referen	ces	103
Append	ix 1	121
Chara	acteristics of research participants	121
Append	ix 2	122
Interv	iew guides.	122