

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Enhanced Surveillance of Potentially Foodborne Enteric Disease within a New Zealand Public Health Service**

**Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Master of Veterinary Studies in Public Health**

**At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand**

**Tui Louise Shadbolt  
2009**



**Disclaimer:**

**This report has been completed by Tui Shadbolt on behalf of the MidCentral Public Health Service for the benefit of the New Zealand Food Safety Authority (NZFSA).**

**Neither MidCentral Public Health Service nor their staff involved in this project assume any legal liability or responsibility for use of this report or its contents by others.**



## **Acknowledgements**

This project was commissioned and funded by the New Zealand Food Safety Authority under contract number (FDI/232/2005).

Many thanks are owed to those who have supported this project with key pieces of information and knowledge.

**Steering Group:** Dr Donald Campbell, Dr Alison Roberts, Dr Kerry Sexton, Dr Jill McKenzie, Dr Craig Thornley, Dr Patrick O'Connor, Peter Wood, Dianne Morrison.

**Institute of Environmental Science and Research:** Ruth Pirie, Carol Kliem, Donald Perterkin, Liza Lopez, Dr Rosemary Whyte, Dr Shanika Perera, Dr Maurice Wilson.

**The Massey EpiCentre & Hopkirk Research Institute:** Professor Nigel French, Dr Simon Spencer, Dr Deborah Prattley, Dr Naomi Cogger, Dr Jackie Benschop, Dr Petra Mullner, Dr Mark Stevenson, Jim Learmonth, Dr Ann Midwinter, Dr Julie Collins-Emerson, Sarah Moore and Lyn Rogers.

### **The Massey Masters in Veterinary Public Health Students 2007 – 2009**

**MidCentral Public Health Service:** Jane Rivers, Jo Bliss, Brett Munro, Chris Bland, Kaye Castell, Pricilla Wilson, Martin Macmaster, Kathryn Knightbridge, Margaret Tunbridge, Bruce Butters, Carolyn Rickard, Carole Booth, Dr Juliet Rumball-Smith, Sharon Vera and Dr Robert Holdaway.

**Other Public Health Services,** Health Protection Officers, Technical Officers and EpiSurv Coordinators throughout New Zealand who have provided me great morale support and graciously responded to requests for information.

#### **Others:**

Kim Leighton who doesn't know who I am, but whose similar work undertaken in Perth was extremely helpful; and Erin Holmes who kindly provided me with a copy of her work on mandatory disease notification which provided a rich source of references. An extra special thank you to Dr Jill McKenzie and Dr Donald Campbell who not only sat on the steering group for this project but also spent time providing great feedback and support during the writing up of the final report which forms the basis of this thesis.

It has to be said that my supervisors Dr Nigel French and Dr Deborah Prattley deserve medals for their support, understanding and perseverance on getting such a distracted student to the finish line.

#### **Personal Comment:**

There are many people who have shown me great patience, support and understanding through what can only be described as a humungous learning curve, during which I have had both very cloudy and great light bulb moments. Working on this project and simultaneously on the "Campylobacteriosis in the Manawatu" project has given me the opportunity to work with, and get to know, some amazing and clever people from all over the world, who have given generously of their time when I have lost my way, and whom I hope to continue to count among my friends for many years to come.

Thanks and gratitude are owed to my good friends: Jane who gave me inspiration and practical advice and Amiria (who we lost) for her laughter and aroha during my academic journey.

I am most grateful to my husband Dean and my mother Gill who have provided for our daughters Kate and Maggie great love and necessary provisions during the many absences I have taken from our family in the last three years. I am so proud of Maggie and Kate and how they have adapted to changes my study has brought to them.

Most of all I look forward (with the end of this project) to becoming a less distracted Mum and a more enlightened Health Protection Officer.

## Glossary

ARPH	Auckland Regional Public Health
CDC	Centres for Disease Control and Prevention
CMP	<i>Campylobacter</i> in the Manawatu project
Common enteric diseases	Salmonellosis, Yersiniosis, Cryptosporidiosis, Giardiasis, Campylobacteriosis
CRF	EpiSurv Case Report Form
DHB	District Health Boards
EARS	ESR's Early Aberration Reporting System
ECC	Early Child Care Centre
EHO	Environmental Health Officer
EpiSurv	New Zealand's Notifiable Disease Database
ESR	Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited
FBI	Foodborne Illness
FDA	United States Food and Drug Administration
GP	General Medical Practitioner
MCPHS	MidCentral Public Health Service
MoH	Ministry of Health
MOoH	Medical Officer of Health
NHI	National Health Index number
NZDep 06	New Zealand Deprivation Index 2006
NZFSA	New Zealand Food Safety Authority
PHS	Public Health Service
RPH	Regional Public Health (Greater Wellington Region)
TLA	Territorial Local Authority
TO	Technical Officer
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

## Table of Contents

Abstract .....	11
1. Introduction and literature review .....	13
1.1 Public health surveillance .....	13
1.1.1 Passive surveillance .....	14
1.1.2 Active surveillance.....	15
1.1.3 Sentinel surveillance .....	16
1.1.4 Molecular subtyping.....	17
1.1.5 Data quality .....	19
1.2 Foodborne and waterborne enteric disease .....	20
1.2.1 <i>Campylobacter</i> .....	22
1.2.2 <i>Giardia</i> .....	23
1.2.3 <i>Cryptosporidium</i> .....	24
1.2.4 <i>Salmonella</i> .....	26
1.2.5 <i>Yersinia</i> .....	27
1.3 Underreporting of enteric diseases.....	27
1.4 New Zealand's notifiable disease surveillance system .....	29
1.4.1 The burden of common enteric diseases in New Zealand.....	35
1.5 Summary .....	37
2. Background and preparation for enhanced surveillance of common enteric disease ...	39
2.1 Introduction .....	39
2.2 Methods.....	41
2.3 Preparation for trial initiatives .....	44
2.4 Internal review .....	44
2.4.1 Methods.....	44
2.4.2 Results and discussion .....	45
2.5 Review of PHS systems for common enteric disease surveillance.....	45
2.5.1 Methods.....	45
2.5.2 Results .....	45
3. Postal questionnaire trial for notified cases of cryptosporidiosis, giardiasis, salmonellosis and yersiniosis .....	50
3.1 Introduction .....	50
3.2 Methods.....	50
3.2.1 Developing a postal questionnaire .....	50
3.2.2 Administration of postal questionnaire .....	50
3.2.3 Data analysis .....	51
3.3 Results .....	56
3.3.1 Developing a postal questionnaire .....	56
3.3.2 Postal questionnaire return rate.....	58
3.3.3 Postal questionnaire completeness.....	61
3.3.4 Postal questionnaire timeliness .....	63
3.3.5 Identification of need for further public health action .....	63
3.4 Discussion .....	64
4. Telephone interview trial for campylobacteriosis cases .....	68
4.1 Introduction .....	68
4.2 Methods.....	68
4.2.1 System of telephone interview .....	68
4.2.2 Administration of telephone interview .....	69
4.2.3 Data analysis .....	69

4.3 Results .....	72
4.3.1 Telephone interview contact rate.....	72
4.3.2 Telephone interview completeness rates .....	72
4.3.3 Telephone interview timeliness .....	74
4.4 Discussion.....	74
5. Spatial analysis of cases contacted by telephone interview or postal questionnaire .....	76
5.1 Introduction .....	76
5.2 Methods .....	76
5.3 Results of spatial analysis.....	77
5.4 Discussion.....	79
6. Evaluation of postal questionnaire and telephone interview data using a WHO framework.....	81
6.1 Completeness.....	81
6.2 Timeliness.....	82
6.3 Usefulness.....	82
6.4 Representativeness .....	84
6.5 Usefulness in identifying alerts .....	85
6.6 Simplicity and acceptability .....	86
7. Assessing the ESR early aberration reporting system as a PHS tool .....	88
7.1 Introduction .....	88
7.2 Methods .....	89
7.3 Results .....	90
7.3.1 Campylobacteriosis .....	90
7.3.2 Cryptosporidiosis.....	91
7.3.3 Giardiasis.....	91
7.3.4 Salmonellosis.....	91
7.3.5 Yersiniosis .....	91
7.3.6 Qualitative findings .....	92
7.4 Discussion.....	93
8. Conclusions .....	94
9. Recommendations .....	96
10. References .....	98
11. Appendices .....	106
11.1 RPH's pre-screen questionnaire adapted for use as a postal questionnaire during the trial .....	107
11.2 Cover letter and questionnaires used during the trial .....	108
11.3 Protocols for entering data and the trigger tree .....	117
11.4 Draft two page telephone pre screening form in use by MCPHS .....	123
11.5 EpiSurv enteric disease case report form .....	125

## List of Tables

Table 1: Total case numbers for notifiable common enteric diseases in NZ for 2006 and 2007 .....	36
Table 2: Results of survey of NZ PHS identifying data collection methods for notified cases of common enteric disease .....	48
Table 3: Fields selected to run custom quarterly reports and used for final analysis of data gathered during the trial .....	51
Table 4: Qualitative analysis of 27 enteric disease surveillance questionnaires for use either by phone, in person or via a postal service.....	56
Table 5: Analysis of data to measure completeness of data in Episurv collected from cryptosporidiosis, giardiasis, salmonellosis and yersiniosis cases notified between 1 July 2007 and 30 June 2008 identified as contacted for NZ, MCPHS and a similar size PHS.....	62
Table 6: Analysis to measure completeness of data in Episurv from cryptosporidiosis, giardiasis, salmonellosis and yersiniosis cases notified between 1 July 2007 and 30 June 2008 identified as .....	62
Table 7: Triggers hit by postal questionnaires returned from notified cases of giardiasis, cryptosporidiosis, yersiniosis, and salmonellosis in the MCPHS region during the trial .....	63
Table 8: EpiSurv fields selected to run custom quarterly reports and used for final analysis of data gathered during the trial .....	70
Table 9: Analysis to measure completeness of data in EpiSurv from campylobacteriosis cases notified between 1 July 2007 and 30 June 2008 identified as contacted for MCPHS, a similar size PHS and NZ.....	73
Table 10: Analysis to measure completeness of data in EpiSurv from campylobacteriosis cases notified between 1 July 2007 and 30 June 2008 identified as not contacted for MCPHS, a similar size PHS and NZ.....	73
Table 11: Flags raised in the EARS reporting system over the period of the monitored period 28/11/06 to 1/07/08.....	92

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Weekly consultation rates for influenza-like illness in New Zealand in 2006, 2007 and 2008 .....	16
Figure 2: World Health Organization diagram showing components of disease surveillance and response systems (World Health Organization, 2006a) .....	20
Figure 3: Principle elements of the faecal-oral route (World Health Organization, 1997).....	21
Figure 4: Burden of illness pyramid and steps each case must achieve before being notified with estimated case numbers (Allos, et al., 2004; Lake, et al., 2007).....	28
Figure 5: An example of the ESR EARS system. Alerts are based on historical data for the region.....	30
Figure 6: The New Zealand Notifiable disease system including the direct laboratory notification path introduced on 18th December 2007 (Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, 2008) .....	32
Figure 7: notifications of common enteric diseases reported in NZ for 2006 and 2007 compared to all other notifiable diseases.....	36
Figure 8: The geographical area covered by the MCPHS. The horizontal line above Otaki indicates the geographical cut off for disease notifications .....	42
Figure 9: Geographical location of New Zealand Public Health Units.....	47
Figure 10: Response rate of postal questionnaire and compared with expected response rate by deprivation .....	58
Figure 11: Distribution of NZDep 06 index quintiles in the MidCentral DHB population.....	59
Figure 12: Distribution of NZDep 06 index quintiles for cryptosporidiosis, giardiasis, salmonellosis and yersiniosis .....	59
Figure 13: Response rate of postal questionnaire and compared with expected response rate for rural/urban locality.....	60
Figure 14: Geographical spread of postal questionnaires returned during the trial period the larger circles indicate multiple cases within the meshblock .....	77
Figure 15: Geographical spread of telephone interviews undertaken during the trial period .....	78
Figure 16: Geographical spread of non responders (postal questionnaires) during the trial period.....	79
Figure 17 An example of the ESR EARS system. Alerts are based on historical data for the region.....	89

## Abstract

An enhanced notified enteric disease surveillance trial began on 1 July 2007 and continued until 30 June 2008. The aim of the trial was to measure the quality, timeliness and completeness of data collected and submitted by a regional Public Health Service (PHS) to the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR), via the national disease database (EpiSurv) for notified cases of enteric diseases. The trial evaluated two different methods of data collection: postal questionnaires and telephone interviews.

Telephone interview techniques were used to improve the contact rate, timeliness and completeness of data gathered from all notified cases of campylobacteriosis in the Manawatu, Horowhenua and Tararua regions. The target set for the project was to achieve a 95% contact rate with 90% full completion of all EpiSurv data fields. For all notified cases of campylobacteriosis a 97% contact rate was achieved in a time frame of between zero to 20 days (three day median) and completeness of all the EpiSurv case report fields ranged between 96 – 100% in the final data. Prior to the commencement of the study, between 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005, MidCentral PHS (MCPHS) made contact with around 58% of all notified cases of campylobacteriosis and 77% of all other notified enteric disease cases<sup>1</sup>.

A short pre-screen mail questionnaire, with reply-paid envelope, was sent to all notified cases of cryptosporidiosis, giardiasis, salmonellosis and yersiniosis in the MCPHS regions. EpiSurv case report fields were completed using information supplied in the returned questionnaires. Return rate, timeliness, and completeness were compared with the telephone interview group. Fifty three percent of cases we attempted to contact via mail questionnaire responded within two to 63 days (six day median) and completeness of all the EpiSurv case report fields ranged between 81 – 100%.

In addition, we monitored the newly introduced ESR Early Aberration Reporting System (EARS) flags for increased levels of disease compared to historical disease rates, and assessed its usefulness as a tool to identify potential outbreaks in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Contact rates for the 2005 to 2006 period were not comparable as MCPHS had enhanced it's data collection methods for campylobacteriosis in June 2006 to support the *Campylobacter* in the Manawatu project

region. While no outbreaks that had not already been identified by PHS staff were found by monitoring the EARS system, EARS has become an important tool in the MCPHS for comparing our rates of disease with bordering PHSs. EARS also provided a good quick reference tool for media enquiries and the graphs produced in EARS have been well utilised as visual aids for training and seminars presented during the trial period.

The results of the surveillance trial initiatives were compared to the rest of New Zealand (NZ) over the same time frame and with a comparable, medium-sized, PHS. While the results of the telephone interviews from the MCPHS trial were close to the comparable PHS, they were significantly higher than for the rest of NZ. The postal questionnaires achieved a lower contact rate than the comparable PHS but similar to the rest of NZ. However, the quality of data gathered in the returned MCPHS postal questionnaire was significantly higher in most fields. Additional analysis was undertaken which indicated that those cases living in higher deprivation and rural areas were less likely to respond to a postal questionnaire. An over-representation of common enteric disease notifications from rural areas in the MCPHS was also highlighted by our research.

This trial has shown the effectiveness of utilising telephone interviews and telemarketing techniques for gathering timely and complete data for human enteric disease surveillance within the MCPHS. It has also demonstrated that a short pre-screen questionnaire can be effective in collecting good quality data needed to complete the standard EpiSurv case report form.