Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Total Technology Practice: Preliminary study for application in New Zealand schools

#### A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of

Master of Philosophy in Technology

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

#### JOHN A GAWITH

1999

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to identify the important generic elements of total technology practice and to develop a preliminary list of the important techniques, knowledge and actions used by technologists. The research was based on the hypothesis that in technology education in New Zealand schools, the important elements of technology practice could be represented in the form of a model that could be used to organise and communicate the elements and knowledge involved in total technology practice.

The research was undertaken with technologists working at Massey University and teachers involved in teaching technology. A product development research methodology was used to test ideas and develop a model of technology practice for use in New Zealand schools. The first phase of the research used the experience and knowledge of product development technologists to identify the important elements of technology practice and develop preliminary lists of techniques and knowledge involved in each element. A group of specialist technologists were used to verify these elements and identify detailed content.

This research showed that total technology practice can be structured using seven elements that together provide a simplified description of total technology practice. The elements of practice associated with the human context and goal of technology practice were identified as society, the work environment, and purposeful action. The elements technologists bring to the context were organisation, information, resource use, and an extensive knowledge of techniques and the skill, ingenuity and experience to apply and adapt techniques to specific contexts and problems.

Individual technologists were interviewed to identify the important practices and knowledge within their area of expertise. Technological knowledge was structured into a framework that reflected the way technologists broke complex systems into subsystems to solve problems and develop solutions.

The detailed model developed with the technologists was evaluated by groups of teachers using focus group techniques and a small survey. The study indicated teachers perceived the model as a useful tool for communicating knowledge and understanding of technology practice and for structuring teaching units in technology education.

This preliminary study indicated technology practice can be described in terms of seven elements and communicated in the form of a model. Technologists organise their knowledge into structures that facilitate application in practice. This structure and much of its knowledge can be made explicit and used to help students understand technological products and develop capability in their technology practice.

This study has identified a structure for technology practice and technological knowledge that is common to all seven technological areas and nine contexts identified in the New Zealand technology curriculum.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to the following people who contributed to the production of this thesis:

Emeritus Professor Mary Earle for supervising this project with patience, perseverance and a depth of knowledge and wisdom that I feel privileged to have experienced.

Emeritus Professor Richard Earle for his many enlightening comments, explanations and help with the research.

The teachers who participated willingly and provided invaluable feedback on the model.

The technologists at Massey University who gave their time and expertise to unravelling the practice of technology for application in schools.

My colleagues in the Consumer Technology Department for their willingness to discuss and tease out the elements of technology practice, particularly Aruna Shekar for her time and support and Rodney Adank for his help with graphical design.

My wife Barbara who gave me unqualified support and the careful criticism that helped immensely in getting my thoughts into words.

My son Thomas whose patience and support I have admired and appreciated.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABST	TRACT	ii
ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST	OF APPENDICES	viii
LIST	OF FIGURES	ix
LIST	OF TABLES	х
<u>CHAP</u>	TER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	DEFINITIONS	2
1.2	TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	5
1.3	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	6
1.4	THIS STUDY	7
CHAP	TER 2. MODELLING TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	9
0		
2.1	TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	9
2.2	GENERIC ELEMENTS OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	11
2.2.1	Society	12
2.2.2	Work Environment	14
2.2.3	Purposeful Action	15
2.2.4	Organisation	16
2.2.5	Information	17
2.2.6	Resources	18
2.2.7	Techniques	19
2.3	TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE STRUCTURES	20
2.4	TYPES OF TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	21
2.4.1	Components of Technological Knowledge	22
2.4.2	Creating Knowledge	26
2.5	KNOWLEDGE AND TECHNOLOGICAL CONCEPTS OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	29
2.5.1	Models of Technology Practice	30
CHAP	TER 3. RESEARCH METHOD	33
3.1	INTRODUCTION	33
3.2	THE PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH METHOD	34
3.3	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	37
3.3.1	Model Idea Generation	37
3.3.2	Model Ideas Screening	38
3.3.3	Model Concept Development	39
3.4	MODEL VERIFICATION BY TECHNOLOGISTS	40
3.4.1	Case Study Deconstruction	41
3.4.2	Construction of a Packaging Teaching Unit	41
3.4.3	Expert Panel Group	41
3.5	MODEL EVALUATION BY TEACHERS	42
3.5.1	Teacher Sample	42
3.5.2	Techniques Used in Model Evaluation	43
3.6	FINAL MODEL DETAILED DESIGN	45
3.7	REPORTING THE RESULTS	46

<u>CHAP</u>	TER 4. MODEL DEVELOPMENT BY PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT TECHNOLOGISTS	47
4.1	INTRODUCTION	47
4.2	MODEL IDEA GENERATION	47
4.2.1	Observing Technology Practice	47
4.2.2	Initial Model Ideas	51
4.3	MODEL IDEA SCREENING	53
4.4	MODEL CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT	55
4.4.1	Society	55
4.4.2	Work Environment	56
4.4.3	Purposeful Action	58
4.4.4	Organisation	60
4.4.5	Information	61
4.4.6	Resources	62
4.4.7	Techniques	64
4.4.8	Knowledge Development	66
4.5	CONCLUSION	67
<u>CHAP</u>	TER 5. MODEL VERIFICATION BY TECHNOLOGISTS	69
E 1		60
5.1	INTRODUCTION CASE STUDY: NEW ZEALAND DUADMACEUTICALS I TD	69
5.2	EXPERT DANIEL VALIDATION OF MODEL AND TECHNICIES	74
5.31	EXPERT FANEL: VALIDATION OF MODEL AND TECHNIQUES	74
5.3.1	Work Environment	74
5.3.2	Purposeful Astion	75
5.3.5	Organization	75
5.3.4	Vigalisation	76
5.3.5	Ritowiedge	70
5.3.0	Techniques	77
5.5.7	DACKACING TECHNOLOGY TEACHING LIMIT	70
5.4	FACKAGING TECHNOLOGY TEACHING UNIT	79
5.6	CONCLUSION	80
CHAP	TER 6. MODEL EVALUATION BY TEACHERS	87
61	INTRODUCTION	87
6.2	MODEL PRESENTATION	87
63	FOCUS GROUPS	88
631	Model Use In Schools	88
632	Relationship With the Technology Curriculum	88
633	Progression In School Curriculum	89
6.3.4	Visual Attributes	90
635	Model Application	90
6.3.6	Other Similar Resources	93
6.4	USER SURVEY	93
6.4.1	Understanding The Model	93
6.4.2	Communicating Technological Concepts	94
6.4.3	Application To The Curriculum	96
6.4.4	Use Of The Model By Teachers And Students	97
6.4.5	Use Of The Model For Curriculum Planning	99
6.4.6	Defects In The Model And Improvements	103

vi

6.4.7	Discussion and Conclusion	104
СНАР		106
UTIAF	TER 7. FINAL MODEL DETAILED DESIGN	100
71	INTERON	106
7.1	INTRODUCTION	100
721	Tool Kits/Techniques	106
722	Organisation	110
723	Resources	110
724	Information	111
73	INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEWS WITH TECHNOLOGISTS	112
7.4	COMMON TECHNOLOGICAL CONCEPTS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING	116
7.4.1	Systematic Process	116
7.4.2	Understanding The Context And Total System Involved	116
7.4.3	Problem Identification	117
7.4.4	Breaking A Problem Down	117
7.4.5	Organisation Of Knowledge Base	118
7.4.6	Information Acquisition	118
7.4.7	Idea Generation	119
7.4.8	Modelling / Simulating / Prototyping	120
7.4.9	Selection And Decision Making Involving Conflicting Constraints	120
7.4.10	Real World Variation And Unknown Variables	121
7.5	CONCLUSION	122
CHAP	TER 8. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	126
8.1	INTRODUCTION	126
8.2	MODELS OF TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	127
8.3	GENERIC ELEMENTS OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	128
8.4	THE TECHNIQUES, KNOWLEDGE AND ACTIONS INVOLVED IN THE ELEMENTS	129
8.4.1	Social / Cultural Context	130
8.4.2	The Model's Relationship To The New Zealand Curriculum	131
8.5	TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	134
8.5.1	Knowledge Of Subsystems	134
8.5.2	Knowledge Development	136
8.6	THE MODEL'S APPLICATION TO TECHNOLOGY TEACHING IN NEW ZEALAND SCH	IOOLS
		137

8.7 LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY8.8 CONCLUSION

SP

Bibliography 141 Appendices 147

vii

139

139

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 EXPERT PANEL DATA – INFORMATION GATHERING TECHNIQUES	147
APPENDIX 2 INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	149
APPENDIX 3 MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	151
APPENDIX 4 ELECTRONICS AND CONTROL INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX 5 PRODUCTION AND PROCESS TECHNOLOGY INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	154
APPENDIX 6 PRODUCTION OPERATIONS INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX 7 BIOTECHNOLOGY INTERVIEW ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX 8 TEACHER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE	
APPENDIX 9 TEACHER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	165
APPENDIX 10 TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE: WRITTEN RESPONSES	
APPENDIX 11 WRAPPING UP PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY	

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 2.1 DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.11
FIGURE 2.2 INTERACTION OF TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	.24
FIGURE 2.3 COMPONENTS OF TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	.26
FIGURE 2.4 THE INTERACTION OF MIND AND HAND	.27
FIGURE 2.5 SPIRAL EVOLUTION OF KNOWLEDGE CONVERSION AND SELF-TRANSCENDING	
Process	.28
FIGURE 2.6 TECHNOLOGICAL LEARNING MODEL	.32
FIGURE 3.1 DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE OF RESEARCH METHOD	.36
FIGURE 4.1 BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL AREAS.	.49
FIGURE 4.2 PRAXIS OF TECHNOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES	.50
FIGURE 4.3 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT WITHIN A COMPANY CONTEXT	.51
FIGURE 4.4 MODEL OF TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE: 1 <sup>st</sup> ITERATION	.52
FIGURE 4.5 MODEL OF TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE 2 <sup>ND</sup> ITERATION	.54
FIGURE 4.6 THE INFLUENCE OF PACEY'S THREE ASPECTS ON THE WORK ENVIRONMENT	.58
FIGURE 4.7 THE ATMOSPHERE THAT PERVADES TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.59
FIGURE 4.8 ORGANISATION IN TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.61
FIGURE 4.9 INFORMATION IN TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.62
FIGURE 4.10 RESOURCES IN TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.63
FIGURE 4.11 TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES IN TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.64
FIGURE 4.12 DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE	.67
FIGURE 4.13 MODEL OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	.68
FIGURE 5.1 MODEL OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	82
FIGURE 5.2TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE PLANNING SHEET	83
FIGURE 5.3PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS EXAMPLE	84
FIGURE 6.1 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF THE MODEL'S USEFULNESS IN COMMUNICATING	
TECHNOLOGICAL CONCEPTS	95
FIGURE 6.2 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF HOW OFTEN THE MODEL WOULD BE USED	97
FIGURE 6.3 WHEN TEACHERS WOULD USE THE MODEL	98
FIGURE 6.4 HOW TEACHERS WOULD USE THE MODEL	99
FIGURE 6.5 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF THE MODEL'S APPLICATION	102

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1 KNOWLEDGE MATRIX	25
TABLE 4.1 EXAMPLES OF SOCIETAL INFLUENCES ON TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE	56
TABLE 5.1 THE MODEL OF TOTAL TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE REORGANISED AS A VERTICAL	
TABLE	71
TABLE 5.2 CASE STUDY: NEW ZEALAND PHARMACEUTICALS	72
TABLE 5.3 COMPOSITION OF EXPERT PANEL	74
TABLE 5.4 EXPERT PANEL DISCUSSION PLANNER	74
TABLE 5.5 INFORMATION GATHERING TOOLS/TECHNIQUES IDENTIFIED BY THE EXPERT PANE	EL
	78
TABLE 5.6 PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY PLANNING SHEET	85
TABLE 6.1 TEACHERS UNDERSTANDING THE MODEL ELEMENTS	94
TABLE 6.2 TEACHER UNDERSTANDING OF MODEL ELEMENTS BY SCHOOL LEVEL	94
TABLE 6.3 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF HOW WELL THE MODEL INCORPORATES THE	
CURRICULUM	96
CURRICULUM	96 00
CURRICULUM	96 00 01
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08 11
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08 11
CURRICULUM  9    TABLE 6.4 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF MODEL'S USEFULNESS FOR TEACHING  10    TABLE 6.5 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF MODEL'S USEFULNESS TO STUDENTS  10    TABLE 7.1 TOOL KIT CATEGORIES  10    TABLE 7.2 DETAILED TOOL KITS FOR PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT  10    TABLE 7.3 KNOWLEDGE AREAS IMPORTANT IN TECHNOLOGY  11    TABLE 7.4 KNOWLEDGE INVOLVED IN PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE  11    TABLE 7.5 INTERVIEW QUESTIONS  11	96 00 01 07 08 11 12 12
CURRICULUM  9    TABLE 6.4 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF MODEL'S USEFULNESS FOR TEACHING  10    TABLE 6.5 TEACHER ASSESSMENT OF MODEL'S USEFULNESS TO STUDENTS  10    TABLE 7.1 TOOL KIT CATEGORIES  10    TABLE 7.2 DETAILED TOOL KITS FOR PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT  10    TABLE 7.3 KNOWLEDGE AREAS IMPORTANT IN TECHNOLOGY  11    TABLE 7.4 KNOWLEDGE INVOLVED IN PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY PRACTICE  11    TABLE 7.5 INTERVIEW QUESTIONS  11    TABLE 7.6 DESCRIPTIVE STATEMENTS, CONCEPTS AND TECHNIQUES FROM INTERVIEWS WITH	96 00 01 07 08 11 12 12 H
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08 11 12 12 H 14
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08 11 12 12 H 14 23
CURRICULUM	96 00 01 07 08 11 12 12 H 14 23 24

х