



# Draft Genome Assemblies of Two *Cryptosporidium hominis* Isolates from New Zealand

 M. A. Knox,<sup>a</sup> J. C. Garcia-R,<sup>a</sup> D. T. S. Hayman<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health Laboratory, Hopkirk Research Institute, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

**ABSTRACT** *Cryptosporidium hominis* is a protozoan parasite that causes gastrointestinal disease in humans worldwide. Here, we report on draft whole-genome sequences of two clinical isolates of *C. hominis* that were purified from patients with cryptosporidiosis in New Zealand.

The protozoan parasite *Cryptosporidium* is an important cause of diarrheal disease in humans and animals, with life-threatening effects in children and immunocompromised people. *Cryptosporidium hominis* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* are the most common species causing disease in humans worldwide. *C. hominis* is predominantly a human pathogen, and thus, transmission is mainly anthroponotic, while that of *C. parvum* is mainly zoonotic (1). The objective of this work was to sequence human isolates of *C. hominis* from New Zealand, providing the opportunity to compare New Zealand isolates with those found elsewhere.

The most common *C. hominis* subtype in human cases in New Zealand is IbA10G2 (2). We sequenced two human isolates of *C. hominis* at the Hopkirk Institute, Massey University (Palmerston North, New Zealand). This subtype is found globally and has been related to both outbreaks and sporadic infections in industrialized nations (3, 4). Oocysts were semi-purified from stool samples using a Ficoll gradient method (5) and were further purified by immunomagnetic separation using a Dynabeads GC-Combo kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania). DNA was extracted with the Quick-DNA fecal/soil microbe miniprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), and libraries were prepared using a Nextera XT library

**TABLE 1** Genome statistics from SPAdes and subsequent companion analyses

Parameter	Value for:	
	Isolate 1	Isolate 2
GenBank accession no.	JAGGCV010000000	JAGGCU010000000
BioSample accession no.	SAMN18394528	SAMN18394529
SRA accession no.	SRX10463636	SRX10463637
BioProject accession no.	PRJNA716090	PRJNA716090
No. of contigs	38	43
Total genome size (Mb)	9.070	9.061
Coverage (×)	58.6	53.6
$N_{50}$ (kb)	635.9	539.6
Size of largest contig (Mb)	1.028	0.878
No. of genes	3,840	3,838
Gene density (genes/Mb)	416.93	417.18
No. of coding genes	3,781	3,780
No. of pseudogenes	44	43
No. of genes with function	2,331	2,331
No. of pseudogenes with function	23	22
No. of noncoding genes	59	58
No. of genes with multiple coding sequences	4	2
Overall GC content (%)	30.12	30.13
Coding GC content (%)	31.75	31.76

**Citation** Knox MA, Garcia-R JC, Hayman DTS. 2021. Draft genome assemblies of two *Cryptosporidium hominis* isolates from New Zealand. Microbiol Resour Announc 10: e00363-21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00363-21>.

**Editor** Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

**Copyright** © 2021 Knox et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to M. A. Knox, [m.knox@massey.ac.nz](mailto:m.knox@massey.ac.nz).

**Received** 7 April 2021

**Accepted** 27 May 2021

**Published** 1 July 2021

preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) before Illumina HiSeq 2 × 150-bp sequencing. Totals of 719.5 and 675.8 Mb, representing 2.4 million and 2.3 million reads, respectively, were obtained from the sequencing for isolate 1 and isolate 2, respectively. The quality of the reads was examined using FastQC (6). Reads were mapped against a reference *C. hominis* isolate (GenBank accession number [CXWB00000000.1](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw292)) using Bowtie 2 v.2.4.1 (7), and consensus sequences were generated using BCFtools (8). Reads were then *de novo* assembled using SPAdes v.3.15.0 (9) with the --trusted-contigs flag directed to Bowtie 2 consensus sequences. Descriptions of the resulting draft genome assemblies are summarized in Table 1. To further assess genome quality, we undertook annotation of each genome with the online tool Companion (10) (<http://companion.gla.ac.uk>) using *C. hominis* TU502 (GenBank accession number [GCA\\_00006425.2](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw292)) (11) as a reference. Resulting genome statistics are shown in Table 1.

**Data availability.** Accession numbers are available in Table 1.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support from the New Zealand Ministry of Health (J.C.G.-R. and D.T.S.H.) and Royal Society Te Apārangi (MAU1701) (D.T.S.H. and M.A.K.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

We declare no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Feltus DC, Giddings CW, Schneck BL, Monson T, Warshauer D, McEvoy JM. 2006. Evidence supporting zoonotic transmission of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Wisconsin. *J Clin Microbiol* 44:4303–4308. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01067-06>.
2. Garcia-R JC, Pita AB, Velathanthiri N, French NP, Hayman DTS. 2020. Species and genotypes causing human cryptosporidiosis in New Zealand. *Parasitol Res* 119:2317–2326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06729-w>.
3. Chalmers RM, Hadfield SJ, Jackson CJ, Elwin K, Xiao L, Hunter P. 2008. Geographic linkage and variation in *Cryptosporidium hominis*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:496–498. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1403.071320>.
4. Segura R, Prim N, Montemayor M, Valls ME, Muñoz C. 2015. Predominant virulent IbA10G2 subtype of *Cryptosporidium hominis* in human isolates in Barcelona: a five-year study. *PLoS One* 10:e0121753. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121753>.
5. Meloni BP, Thompson RCA. 1996. Simplified methods for obtaining purified oocysts from mice and for growing *Cryptosporidium parvum* in vitro. *J Parasitol* 82:757–762. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3283888>.
6. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom. <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc>.
7. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9:357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>.
8. Danecek P, McCarthy SA. 2017. BCFtools/csq: haplotype-aware variant consequences. *Bioinformatics* 33:2037–2039. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx100>.
9. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prijibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 19:455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>.
10. Steinbiss S, Silva-Franco F, Brunk B, Foth B, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman M, Otto TD. 2016. Companion: a web server for annotation and analysis of parasite genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:W29–W34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw292>.
11. Xu P, Widmer G, Wang Y, Ozaki LS, Alves JM, Serrano MG, Puiu D, Manque P, Akiyoshi D, Mackey AJ, Pearson WR, Dear PH, Bankier AT, Peterson DL, Abrahamsen MS, Kapur V, Tzipori S, Buck GA. 2004. The genome of *Cryptosporidium hominis*. *Nature* 431:1107–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02977>.