Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Farmers' willingness to participate and pay for, and agricultural extension officers' disposition to communicate weather index-based insurance scheme in Ghana: The case of the Upper East Region

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

of

## **Masters of AgriCommerce**

at Massey University, Manawatu Campus, Palmerston North, New Zealand.



Joseph Ayetewene Adjabui

2018

## **ABSTRACT**

Ghana's agriculture is rain-fed, and drought causes reductions in farm household food supply, and income especially in the Upper East Region. Due to the impact of drought on farm household livelihood, weather index-based insurance (WII) scheme for drought was introduced to cushion farmers from the impact of drought events in the region. Previous studies claimed that the demand for agricultural insurance including WII is affected by factors such as farmers' risk profiles and management strategies in place, socio-demographic, farm characteristic, institutional, and knowledge factors. Little is known about the impact of these factors on demand for the WII scheme in the region. This study attempts to assess farmers' willingness to participate and pay for the scheme, and agricultural extension officers' disposition to communicate information regarding the scheme to farmers in the Upper East Region of Ghana.

Through two surveys data on 200 farmers': risk profiles and management strategies; knowledge and attitude towards WII; and willingness to pay (WTP) for WII using a double-bounded contingent valuation technique, were collected. Data on 90 extension officers' knowledge and attitude towards WII and socio-demographic factors were also collected. Farmers' willingness to participate and WTP was analysed with a Heckman two-stage regression model. Agricultural extension officers' knowledge about the WII scheme in the region was analysed with a probit regression model.

The results revealed that the most frequent and important risk events were pest or diseases outbreak, drought and erratic rainfall. The most frequently used risk management strategies farm/crop diversification and use of improved crop varieties negatively impacted on the adoption of the WII. Few farmers were aware of WII, and of those who knew few understood the concept. Farmers had an indifferent attitude towards WII because they had little information. The WTP for WII was between 7.5% and 12.5% premium rates of maize production per acre (GH¢714). Being a landowning farmer, maize income, attitude score, drought index, and access to credit, positively influenced willingness to participate in WII; total crops income negatively influenced farmers. Farm/crop diversification, drought index, knowledge about the WII, and the bid price, negatively influenced farmers' WTP for the scheme; attitude scores positively influenced farmers' WTP. Most extension officers knew about WII, but few understood the concept of WII, hence were most likely not to communicate information regarding the scheme to farmers in the region effectively. In general, extension officers had an indifferent attitude towards WII. The number of insurance related training sessions attended by an extension officer positively influenced their knowledge about WII.

It was recommended that the insurance provider/s (GAIP) in collaboration with the Departments of Agriculture should organise regular district or regional sensitisation and or training programmes for farmers and extension officers to improve their knowledge about the scheme for adoption and dissemination of information about the scheme, respectively. The minimisation of basis risk, as well as improving the effectiveness of the scheme by the GAIP could contribute to a favourable attitude towards the scheme by farmers.

**KEY WORDS:** Willingness to participate, willingness to pay, risks, risk management strategies, knowledge of weather index insurance, attitude towards weather index insurance

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my profound gratitude to the following for their invaluable contributions in various ways towards the successful completion of my master's programme, Masters of Agricommerce at Massey University, New Zealand:

First and foremost, I am grateful to the Almighty God for His continuous Favours, Blessings and Grace upon my life throughout my stay in Palmerston North, New Zealand. Holy indeed is your name.

My gratitude goes to the New Zealand Government through its Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade (MFAT) for the scholarship to stay and study in this peaceful and beautiful country towards the development of my country, Ghana.

I am most grateful to my supervisors, Associate Professor Peter Tozer, Associate Professor of Farm Business Management, and Dr David Gray, Senior Lecturer in Farm Management, for your time, commitments and productive feedback on this research.

To all the staff of the International Students Support Office of Massey University (Sylvia Hooker, Jamie Hooper, Logan Tate, Saba Azeem, Tian Yang, and Dianne Reilly), you are the BEST in New Zealand. Thanks for being there all the time to help students out.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my former programme coordinator, Iona McCarthy for your advice concerning courses/papers selection, and for helping me find wonderful supervisors. I am most grateful.

To all the lecturers and students of the Masters of AgriCommerce programme who have in one way or the other contributed to the successful completion of my masters' programme, thank you so much.

I would like to acknowledge the staff of the Bolgatanga Department of Agriculture, and the Bolgatanga Municipal Assembly, who have in diverse ways made it possible for me to come this far.

Last and not the least, to all who were involved but have not been mentioned, I am most grateful for your support. God bless you all, and God bless Ghana.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS	STRAC	т		i
ACI	KNOW	LED(	GEMENTS	. iii
TAE	BLE OF	CON	NTENTS	. iv
CHA	APTER	ONE	E - INTRODUCTION	1
1	1	Bacl	kground	1
1	2	Prol	olem statement	5
1	3	Rese	earch aim	6
1	4	Rese	earch questions	7
1	5	Rese	earch objectives	7
1	6	Orga	anisation of the thesis	7
CHA	APTER	TW	O - OVERVIEW OF THE REPUBLIC OF GHANA	8
2	2.1	Geo	graphic location	8
2	2.2	The	population of Ghana	8
2	2.3	Agro	o-ecological zones	9
2	2.4	Clim	nate, vegetation, and soils	9
2	2.5	The	agricultural sector and its contribution to GDP	11
	2.5.2	1	Farming systems and land use	11
	2.5.2	2	The agriculture sub-sectors	12
	2.	5.2.1	The crop sub-sector	12
2	2.6	Ove	rview of the Upper East Region	13
	2.6.2	1	Geographic location	13
	2.6.2	2	Population	14
	2.6.3	3	Climate (rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity)	14
	2.6.4	4	Vegetation, soil, and drainage	15
	2.6.5	5	Agriculture in the region	15
CHA	APTER	THR	EE - LITERATURE REVIEW	17
3	3.1	Intro	oduction	17
3	3.2	Defi	nition of terms	17
	3.2.2	1	Attitude	17
3	3.3	Risk	s in agriculture	17
	3.3.2	1	Types and sources of risk in agriculture	18
	3.3.2	2	Agricultural risks in developing countries (some parts of Africa)	21
3	3.4	Agri	cultural risk management strategies	22

3	.4.1	Agricultural risk management strategies in Developing Countries (Africa)	22
3.5	Agrio	cultural crop insurance	24
3	.5.1	Indemnity- based crop insurance	25
3	.5.2	Index-based crop insurance	25
	3.5.2.1	The operations of a WII contract	26
	3.5.2.2	WII contract for drought in India and parts of Africa	28
	3.5.2.3	WII contract design for drought in Ghana	28
3.6	Farm	ners' awareness of WII schemes	28
3.7	Farm	ners' demand for, and willingness to participate in WII schemes	30
3.8	Farm	ners' attitudes towards WII schemes	33
3.9	Facto	ors influencing farmers' willingness to participate and pay for WII schemes	34
3	.9.1	Farmers' socio-demographic factors	34
3	.9.2	Farm characteristics	40
3	.9.3	Institutional factors	41
3	.9.4	Knowledge factors	43
3.10	) Agrid	cultural extension officers' knowledge and attitudes towards agricultural insurance	243
3	.10.1	Extension officers' knowledge about agricultural insurance schemes	44
3	.10.2	Agricultural extension officers' attitude towards agricultural insurance	45
СНАРТ	TER FOU	R - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	46
4.1	Intro	duction	46
4.2	Rese	arch study area	46
4.3	Rese	arch strategy or design	47
4.4	Surv	ey design	48
4	.4.1	Farmer survey design	48
	4.4.1.1	Socio-demographic, farm characteristics and institutional factors	48
	4.4.1.2	Farmers' risk profiles and risk management strategies	49
	4.4.1.3	Farmers' knowledge about, and attitude towards, WII scheme	49
	4.4.1.4	Farmers' attitude towards WII scheme	49
	4.4.1.5	Farmers' willingness to participate and pay for WII scheme	50
4	.4.2	Agricultural extension officer survey design	51
	4.4.2.1	Socio-demographic factors	51
	4.4.2.2	Agricultural extension officers' knowledge about WII scheme	52
	4.4.2.3	Agricultural extension officers' attitude towards WII scheme	52
4.5	Sami	pling and sample size	52

4.6	Data	collection	53
4.7	Data	analysis	54
4	.7.1	Farmer survey	54
	4.7.1.1	Descriptive statistics	54
	4.7.1.2	Farmers' risk profiles, and risk management strategies	55
	4.7.1.3	Farmers' knowledge about WII scheme	55
	4.7.1.4	Farmers' attitude towards WII scheme	56
	4.7.1.5	Farmers' willingness to participate and pay for WII scheme	58
4	.7.2	Agricultural extension officer survey	65
	4.7.2.1	Descriptive statistics	66
	4.7.2.2	Agricultural extension officers' knowledge about WII scheme	66
	4.7.2.3	Agricultural extension officers' attitude towards the WII scheme	66
	4.7.2.4	Factors affecting agricultural extension officers' Knowledge about WII	68
4.8	Ethi	cal consideration	68
СНАРТ	TER FIVE	- RESULTS	69
5.1	Intro	oduction	69
5.2	Farn	ner survey	69
5	.2.1	Descriptive statistics	69
	5.2.1.1	Socio-demographic factors	69
	5.2.1.2	Farm characteristic factors	74
	5.2.1.3	Institutional factors	75
5	.2.2	The respondents' risk profiles and risk management strategies	78
5	.2.3	The respondents' knowledge about, and attitude towards WII scheme	81
	5.2.3.1	The respondents' knowledge about WII scheme	81
	5.2.3.2	The respondents' attitude towards the WII scheme	84
5	.2.4	The respondents' willingness to pay for WII scheme	88
	5.2.4.1	The respondents' willingness to participate in the WII scheme	88
	5.2.4.2	The respondents' willingness to pay for the WII scheme	91
5.3	Exte	nsion officer survey	94
5	.3.1	Descriptive statistics	94
5	.3.2	Extension officers' knowledge about, and attitudes towards WII scheme	95
5	.3.3	Extension officers' knowledge about WII scheme	96
5	.3.4	Agricultural extension officers' attitude towards the WII scheme	98
5	.3.5	The factors affecting the officers' knowledge about WII in the region	100

CHAPTER SIX - DISCUSSION	102
6.1 Introduction	102
6.2 Farmer survey	102
6.2.1 The respondents' risk profiles and risk management strategies	102
6.2.1.1 The respondents' risk profiles	102
6.2.1.2 The respondents' risk management strategies	104
6.2.2 The respondents' knowledge about, and the attitude towards WII scheme	106
6.2.2.1 The respondents' knowledge about the WII scheme	106
6.2.2.2 The respondents' attitude towards the WII scheme	108
6.2.3 The respondents' willingness to participate and pay for WII scheme	109
6.2.3.1 The respondents' willingness to participate in WII scheme	109
6.2.3.2 The respondents' willingness to pay for the WII scheme	115
6.3 Agricultural extension officer survey	117
6.3.1 The agricultural extension officers' knowledge about, and the attitude toward	s, the
WII scheme	118
6.3.1.1 The agricultural extension officers' knowledge about the WII scheme	118
6.3.1.2 The agricultural extension officers' attitude towards the WII scheme	119
6.3.2 The determinants of the extension officers' knowledge about the WII scheme	119
CHAPTER SEVEN - CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	121
7.1 Conclusion	121
7.2 Recommendations	125
7.3 Areas for future research	127
7.4 Limitations of the study	127
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	139
APPENDIX 1 INFORMATION SHEET	139
APPENDIX 2 PARTICIPANT CONSENT FORM - INDIVIDUAL	142
APPENDIX 3 FARMER SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	143
APPENDIX 4 EXTENSION OFFICER SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	151
List of Figures	
Figure 2.1 Map of Ghana	
Figure 2.2 Agricultural sub-sectors contribution to GDP	
Figure 3.1 Indemnity schedule for a standard unit contract	

Figure 4.1 Map of the Upper East Region with study areas indicated	47
Figure 5.1 Reasons for some respondents' inability to access extension services	77
Figure 5.2 Reasons for belonging to FBOs in the region	78
Figure 5.3 Important risks to farmers in the region	79
Figure 5.4 The respondents' important risk management strategies	81
Figure 5.5 WII awareness levels of the respondents	83
Figure 5.6 The respondents' attitude towards WII scheme	85
Figure 5.7 Response to first ten attitudinal statements by respondents in the region	87
Figure 5.8 Response to last ten attitudinal statements by respondents in the region	87
Figure 5.9 The respondents' probability of selecting a Yes	
Figure 5.10 Scores to the first ten attitudinal statements by the officers	100
Figure 5.11 Scores to the last ten attitudinal statements by the officers	100
List of Tables	
Table 2.1 Rainfall distribution by agro-ecological zones	9
Table 2.2 Regional Rainfall Data in mm (2001-2009)	
Table 2.3 The vegetation across Ghana	
Table 2.4 Production of selected food crops in Ghana (000MT)	
Table 3.1 Indemnity payments for different rainfall level	
Table 4.1 The original, and converted attitudinal statements for the respondents	
Table 4.2 Explanatory variables and the expected signs for the regression models	
Table 4.3 The original, and converted attitudinal statements for the officers	
Table 4.4 Explanatory variables and the expected signs for the probit model	
Table 5.1 The municipalities, operational areas and respondents in the study	
Table 5.2 The gender of the surveyed respondents	
Table 5.3 The marital status of the respondents in the survey	
Table 5.4 Age categories of the respondents in the region	
Table 5.5 Educational status of the surveyed respondents in the region	
Table 5.6 Main occupations of the surveyed respondents in the region	
Table 5.7 Proportion of respondents with other income sources in the region	
Table 5.8 Respondents with working household members in the region	
Table 5.9 The land tenure system of the respondents in the region	
Table 5.10 Crops cultivated by the respondents in the region	
Table 5.11 Farm diversification status of the respondents in the region	
Table 5.12 Farm activities respondents are engaged in, in the region	
Table 5.13 Access to credit by the respondents in the region	
Table 5.14 Sources of credit for crop production by the respondents in the region	
Table 5.15 Access to extension services by respondents in the region	
Table 5.16 The respondents' membership of FBOs	
Table 5.17 The risk profiles of the respondents in the region	
Table 5.18 The risk management strategies of the respondents in the region	
Table 5.19 The respondents' knowledge about insurance types in the region	
Table 5.20 The respondents' sources of information about the WII scheme	
Table 5.21 The respondents' response to 12 WII questions	
Table 5.22 The respondents' attitudinal scores in the region	
Table 5.23 Respondents' willingness to participate in the WII scheme	
Table 5.24 Respondents' reasons for willing to, and not to, participate in the WII	
1 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Table 5.25 Crops for insurance coverage against drought in the region	90
Table 5.26 The respondents' reasons for insurance coverage against drought	90
Table 5.27 Estimated outcome of the probit regression model	91
Table 5.28 The distribution of the respondents' maximum WTP	92
Table 5.29 Estimated outcome of the interval regression model	93
Table 5.30 Surveyed staff of the various Departments of Agriculture in the region	94
Table 5.31 Educational statuses of agricultural extension officers	95
Table 5.32 Insurance types known by agricultural extension officers	96
Table 5.33 Agricultural extension officers' sources of knowledge about WII	96
Table 5.34 Agricultural extension officers' response to the 12 WII questions	97
Table 5.35 Agricultural extension officers' attitudinal scores	99
Table 5.36 Probit regression estimates of knowledge of WII	101