Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Acetaldehyde Metabolism by Wine Lactic Acid Bacteria and Its Oenological Implications

A Thesis Presented in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Microbiology

at

Massey University Palmerston North New Zealand

James Osborne March 2000

#### **Abstract**

Abstract

Acetaldehyde is one of the most important sensory carbonyl compounds formed during vinification. Excess acetaldehyde can adversely affect the flavour of wine and acetaldehyde plays a role in the colour development of red wines. Excess acetaldehyde is usually masked by the addition of sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) to the wine (SO<sub>2</sub> is also used as an antimicrobial and antioxidant agent in wine and acetaldehyde bound SO<sub>2</sub> is less effective in these roles). To date there has been no definitive study of the impact of wine LAB on free and bound acetaldehyde. Therefore, this study investigated the metabolism of free and bound acetaldehyde and its oenological implications.

A survey of 11 commercial malolactic starter cultures (mostly *Oenococcus oeni* strains) showed that 9 out of 11 were able to metabolise acetaldehyde (in a resting state) with the corresponding formation of ethanol and acetic acid as products. SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde was also metabolised by the two strains tested (*Lactobacillus buchneri* CUC-3 and *Oenococcus oeni* MCW). This is the first evidence that LAB can indeed catabolise SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde, therefore releasing free SO<sub>2</sub>.

During growth *Oenococcus oeni* EQ54 and *Oenococcus oeni* VFO were able to metabolise free acetaldehyde in wine at pH 3.3 and pH 3.6. In wine containing SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde, *Oenococcus oeni* EQ54 and *Oenococcus oeni* VFO were able to metabolise SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde at pH 3.6 after a period of sluggish growth. At pH 3.3 there was no metabolism of SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde by *Oenococcus oeni* EQ54 and *Oenococcus oeni* VFO during the incubation period.

Results from growth experiments showed that in broth there was inhibition of growth at 300 mg/L concentration of acetaldehyde for all strains. In wine, no significant inhibition or stimulation of the cultures examined was found at any acetaldehyde concentrations up to 300 mg/L.

In a simultaneous resting cell incubation of *Saccharomyces bayanus* Première Cuvée and *Oenococcus oeni* Loll1, acetaldehyde produced by the yeast was metabolised by the wine LAB.

The metabolism of acetaldehyde by wine LAB is expected to influence wine flavour as small amounts of ethanol and acetic acid are produced and acetaldehyde is removed. This removal of acetaldehyde by wine LAB suggests that less SO<sub>2</sub> will need to be added to the wine to mask excess acetaldehyde when malolactic fermentation is performed. Inhibition of wine LAB growth in broth by high levels of acetaldehyde suggests a role for acetaldehyde in stuck or sluggish MLF. Sluggish growth in wine containing SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde also suggests a possible role of SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde in stuck and sluggish MLF. This is due to the release of free SO<sub>2</sub> through the metabolism of the acetaldehyde moiety of SO<sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde.

#### Acknowledgements

There have been many people that have helped me through this past year and have enabled me to undertake and complete this little project. Firstly, I'd like to thank my Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. Without his continual support I never would have made it this far. I'd like to say a big thank you to Mum and Dad for supporting me through all these years of study. Thanks for all the support and for nodding politely and looking interested when I try to explain to you exactly what it is I do.

Thanks to all my friends who have been there for me and a big thank you to Paula, Janet and Sheralee for always sharing their lunch with me.

A big thank you to Ramon, my fellow researcher in the Wine Cellar. You have been a tremendous help to me during my research and it has been great having someone so knowledgeable just across the bench who is willing to help me out with any little problems. I wish you all the best for the completion of your PhD and for the future.

Thanks to the Institute of Molecular BioSciences for providing the facilities, equipment and consumables necessary for this research.

Last, but certainly not least, I'd like to thank my supervisors, Gordon Pilone and Shao-Quan Liu. Thanks Gordon for always being supportive and friendly and for the guidance which has kept me on track with my research. Enjoy your retirement next year! (although your new tractor-mowing venture is sure to keep you busy). Thanks Shao for your valuable input into this research and for your guidance in determining the direction of this research. Also, thanks for all the time you put in reviewing and editing the drafts of my thesis (I hope you didn't find it too boring!)

#### **Table of Contents**

| CHAP  | FER 1 INTRODUCTION TO THE THESIS  | 1  |
|-------|---|----|
| 1.1.  | WINEMAKING: MEANING BEHIND THE MADNESS                                  | 1  |
| 1.1   | 1.1. From the Vine to the Bottle  | 1  |
| 1.2.  | WINE MICROORGANISMS   | 2  |
| 1.2   | 2.1. Wine Yeast   | 2  |
|       | 2.2. Wine Lactic Acid Bacteria  |    |
|       | MALOLACTIC FERMENTATION   |    |
|       | 3.1. Deacidification of Wine  |    |
|       | 3.2. Microbial Stability  |    |
| 1     | 3.3. Flavour Modification   | 5  |
|       | RESEARCH AIMS   |    |
| CHAP  | TER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW   | 8  |
| 2.1.  | ACETALDEHYDE PRODUCTION IN WINE   | 8  |
| 2.    | 1.1. Acetaldehyde Formation by Yeast                                    | 8  |
| 2.    | 1.2. Acetaldehyde Production by Acetic Acid Bacteria                    | 9  |
| 2.    | 1.3. Acetaldehyde Production via Auto-oxidation of Ethanol and Phenolic |    |
| Ca    | ompounds  | 9  |
| 2.    | 1.4. Acetaldehyde Production by LAB                                     | 10 |
| 2.2.  | EFFECT OF ACETALDEHYDE ON THE CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES          | 6  |
| OF W  | 7INE  | 0  |
| 2.2   | 2.1. Effect of Acetaldehyde on Wine Colour                              | 10 |
| 2.2   | 2.2. Effect of Acetaldehyde on Wine Sensory Characteristics             | 11 |
| 2.2   | 2.3. Effect of Acetaldehyde on Sulphur Dioxide                          | 11 |
| 2.3.  | EFFECT OF ACETALDEHYDE ON WINE MICROORGANISMS                           | 12 |
| 2     | 3.1. Effect of Acetaldehyde on Wine Yeast                               | 12 |
| 2     | 3.2. Effect of Acetaldehyde on Wine LAB                                 | 13 |
| CHAP' | TER 3 GENERAL MATERIALS & METHODS                                       | 15 |
| 3.1.  | PREPARATION OF WINE LAB MEDIA   | 15 |
| 3.    | 1.1. Preparation of Apple MRS (AMRS) Broth/Agar                         | 15 |
| 3.    | 1.2. Preparation of 2X Basal Broth (BB)                                 | 15 |
| 3.    | 1.3. Preparation of Vegetable Juice Glucose (VJG) Broth/Agar            | 16 |
| 3.2.  | PREPARATION OF WINE YEAST MEDIA   | 16 |
| 3.2   | 2.1. Preparation of Yeast Media Broth (YM)                              | 16 |
| 3.2   | 2.2. Preparation of Grape Juice Broth (GJ)                              | 16 |
| 3.3.  | PREPARATION OF BUFFERS  | 17 |
| 3     | 3.1. Preparation of Tartrate Buffer (50 mM)                             | 17 |
| 3     | 3.2. Preparation of Phosphate Buffer (50 mM)                            | 17 |
| 3.4.  | CHEMICAL ANALYSIS   | 17 |
| 3.4   | 4.1. Determination of Free and Total Sulphur Dioxide                    | 17 |
| 3.4   | 4.2. Bradford Protein Assay   | 18 |

| 3.5. ENZ                              | YMATIC ANALYSIS   | 19             |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|
| 3.5.1.                                | Enzymatic Determination of Acetaldehyde                           | 19             |
| 3.5.2.                                | Enzymatic Determination of Ethanol                                |                |
| 3.5.3.                                | Enzymatic Determination of L-Malic acid                           | 21             |
| 3.5.4.                                | Enzymatic Determination of Acetic Acid                            |                |
|                                       | WEIGHT ANALYSIS   |                |
|                                       | IFICATION   |                |
|                                       | Media Preparation   |                |
|                                       | Culture Preparation   |                |
|                                       | Experimental Procedure  |                |
|                                       | 4 METABOLISM OF ACETALDEHYDE BY RESTING CELLS                     |                |
| Control of the Control of the Control | LAB   | 25             |
| 41 MF                                 | CABOLISM OF FREE ACETALDEHYDE BY RESTING CELLS                    | 25             |
|                                       | Materials and Methods   |                |
|                                       | Results   |                |
|                                       | ABOLISM OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE BOUND ACETALDEHYDE BY RESTING          | 2.0            |
|                                       | ABOLISM OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE BOUND ACETALDER TOE BY RESTING         | 22             |
|                                       | Materials And Methods   |                |
|                                       | Results   |                |
|                                       | CUSSION   |                |
|                                       |   |                |
|                                       | Metabolism of Free Acetaldehyde by Resting Cells                  |                |
|                                       | Metabolism of SO <sub>2</sub> Bound Acetaldehyde by Resting Cells | 3/             |
|                                       | 5 METABOLISM OF ACETALDEHYDE BY WINE LAB IN                       | 10             |
| WINE                                  |   | 40             |
| 5.1. MET                              | ABOLISM OF FREE ACETALDEHYDE IN WINE                              | 40             |
| 5.1.1.                                | Materials and Methods   | 40             |
| 5.1.2.                                | Results   | 41             |
| 5.2. MET                              | TABOLISM OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE BOUND ACETALDEHYDE BY WINE LA         | В              |
| IN WINE                               |   | 45             |
| 5.2.1.                                | Materials and Methods   | 45             |
| 5.2.2.                                | Results   | 45             |
| 5.3. DISC                             | CUSSION   | 49             |
| 5.3.1.                                | Vinification  | 49             |
| 5.3.2.                                | Metabolism of Free Acetaldehyde in Wine                           | 49             |
| 5.3.3.                                | Metabolism of Bound Acetaldehyde in Wine                          | 50             |
| CHAPTER                               | 6 EFFECT OF ACETALDEHYDE ON GROWTH                                |                |
| CHARAC                                | TENTANTOS OF THE TAN  | 53             |
|                                       | TERISTICS OF WINE LAB   | 55             |
| 6.1. GRO                              | OWTH IN VJG BROTH   |                |
|                                       |   | 53             |
| 6.1.1.                                | OWTH IN VJG BROTH   | 53<br>53       |
| 6.1.1.<br>6.1.2.                      | WTH IN VJG BROTH  | 53<br>53<br>55 |

| 6.2.2.   | Results   | 65         |
|----------|---|------------|
| 6.3. DIS | CUSSION   | 67         |
| 6.3.1.   | Growth in VJG Broth   | 67         |
| 6.3.2.   | Growth in Wine  | 69         |
| СНАРТЕ   | 7 SIMULTANEOUS INCUBATION OF RESTING CELLS OF                                       |            |
| YEAST A  | ND LAB  | 71         |
| 7.1. PRO | DUCTION OF ACETALDEHYDE BY RESTING CELLS OF YEAST                                   | 71         |
| 7.1.1.   | Materials and Methods   | 71         |
| 7.1.2.   | Results   | 71         |
| 7.2. SIM | ULTANEOUS INCUBATION USING RESTING CELLS OF YEAST AND WINE                          |            |
| LAB72    |   |            |
| 7.2.1.   | Materials and Methods   | 73         |
| 7.2.2.   | Results   | 7 <i>3</i> |
| 7.3. DIS | CUSSION   | 74         |
| 7.3.1.   | Production of Acetaldehyde by Resting Cells of Yeast                                | 74         |
| 7.3.2.   | ${\it Simultaneous\ Incubation\ Using\ Resting\ Cells\ of\ Yeast\ and\ Wine\ LAB}\$ | 75         |
| CHAPTER  | 8 ENZYME ACTIVITY   | 76         |
| 8.1.1.   | Materials and Methods   | 76         |
| 8.1.2.   | Results and Discussion.   | 77         |
| СНАРТЕН  | 9 GENERAL DISCUSSION  | 78         |
| СНАРТЕН  | R 10 SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK  | 82         |
| 10.1. S  | UMMARY  | 82         |
| 10.2. F  | UTURE WORK  | 83         |
| CHAPTER  | R 11 LITERATURE CITED   | 84         |

## **List of Figures**

| Figure 4.1.1 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol and acetic acid by                        |
|--|
| resting cells of Lb. hilgardii MHP in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C.                                    |
| Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde; ● acetic acid; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.2 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol and acetic acid by                        |
| resting cells of Lb. delbrueckii CUC-1 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C.                                |
| Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde; ● acetic acid; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.3 Changes in the concentrations of acetaldehyde and ethanol during a                              |
| resting cell experiment using Pd. damnosus CUC-4 in a tartrate buffer (pH                                    |
| 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol   |
| Figure 4.1.4 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of                       |
| O. oeni Lol11 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols;  |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |
| $\textbf{Figure 4.1.5} \ \textbf{Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of}$ |
| O. oeni 2001 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde;                                 |
| ▲ ethanol. 30  |
| $\textbf{Figure 4.1.6} \ \ \text{Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of}$ |
| O. oeni VFO in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde;                                  |
| ▲ ethanol. 30  |
| Figure 4.1.7 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of                       |
| O. oeni EQ54 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■   |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.8 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of                       |
| Lb. Buchneri CUC-3 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■   |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.9 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells of                       |
| O. oeni MCW in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■  |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.10 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells                         |
| of O. oeni ML34 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■  |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |
| Figure 4.1.11 Degradation of acetaldehyde and production of ethanol by resting cells                         |
| of Pd. sp 44.40 in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Symbols; ■  |
| acetaldehyde; ▲ ethanol  |

| Figure 4.2.1 Degradation of SO <sub>2</sub> bound acetaldehyde by resting cells of Lb. buchneri |
|---|
| CUC-3 and O. oeni MCW in a tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C. Dry weights                        |
| ranged from 3 to 6 mg. Symbols; ◆ MCW; ■ CUC-3; ▲ control                                       |
| Figure 4.3.1 Heterofermentation with acetaldehyde   |
| Figure 5.1.1 Growth and production of acetaldehyde by S. bayanus Première Cuvée                 |
| during alcoholic fermentation. Symbols; ▲ optical density (OD); ■                               |
| acetaldehyde  |
| Figure 5.1.2 Growth and metabolism of acetaldehyde and malic acid by O. oeni EQ54               |
| in a laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.3. Symbols; ▲ OD; ■ acetaldehyde; ●                       |
| malic acid  |
| Figure 5.1.3 Growth and metabolism of acetaldehyde and malic acid by O. oeni EQ54               |
| in a laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.6. Symbols; ▲ OD; ■ acetaldehyde; ●                       |
| malic acid  |
| Figure 5.1.4 Growth and metabolism of acetaldehyde and malic acid by O. oeni VFO                |
| in a laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.3. Symbols; ▲ OD; ■ acetaldehyde; ●                       |
| malic acid  |
| Figure 5.1.5 Growth and metabolism of acetaldehyde and malic acid by O. oeni VFO                |
| in a laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.6. Symbols; ▲ OD; ■ acetaldehyde, ●                       |
| malic acid  |
| Figure 5.1.6 Acetaldehyde concentration during alcoholic fermentation by S. bayanus             |
| Première Cuvée and MLF by O. oeni EQ54 in wine at pH 3.6  |
| Figure 5.2.1 Growth of O. oeni EQ54 and changes in acetaldehyde concentration in a              |
| laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.3 with sulphur dioxide added. Symbols; ▲                       |
| OD; acetaldehyde ■46  |
| Figure 5.2.2 Growth of O. oeni EQ54 and changes in acetaldehyde concentration in a              |
| laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.6 with sulphur dioxide added. Symbols; ▲                       |
| OD; ■ acetaldehyde  |
| Figure 5.2.3 Growth of O. oeni VFO and changes in acetaldehyde concentration in a               |
| laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.3 with sulphur dioxide added. Symbols; ▲                       |
| OD; ■ acetaldehyde47  |
| Figure 5.2.4 Growth of O. oeni VFO and changes in acetaldehyde concentration in a               |
| laboratory vinified wine at pH 3.6 with sulphur dioxide added. Symbols; ▲                       |
| OD; ■ acetaldehyde  |
| Figure 5.2.5 Changes in acetaldehyde concentration of a laboratory vinified wine at             |
| pH 3.3 and pH 3.6 (Uninoculated controls). Symbols; ▲ acetaldehyde at pH                        |
| 3.3, ■ acetaldehyde at pH 3.6   |

| Figure 6.1.1 Growth of O agri MCW in VIG broth at various acataldahyda   |
|--|
| Figure 6.1.1 Growth of O. oeni MCW in VJG broth at various acetaldehyde  |
| concentrations. Results are averages of three separate experiments. Symbols;   |
| <ul> <li>0 mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 50 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 100 mg/L</li> </ul>  |
| acetaldehyde; × 300 mg/L acetaldehyde; * 3000 mg/L acetaldehyde 57   |
| Figure 6.1.2 Maximum growth rates $(\mu_{max})$ of O. oeni MCW grown in VJG broth at   |
| various acetaldehyde concentrations  |
| Figure 6.1.3 Maximum growth rates $(\mu_{max})$ of Lb. delbruecki CUC-1 grown in VJG   |
| broth at various acetaldehyde concentrations   |
| Figure 6.1.4 Maximum growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ) of Pd. damnosus CUC-4 grown in VJG   |
| broth at various acetaldehyde concentrations   |
| Figure 6.1.5 Maximum growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ) of Lb. buchneri CUC-3 grown in VJG   |
| broth at various acetaldehyde concentrations   |
| Figure 6.1.6 Changes in the acetaldehyde concentration in a cell suspension of Pd.   |
| damnosus CUC-4 with glucose added at 1 g/L. Symbols; ■ acetaldehyde; ▲   |
| positive control (acetaldehyde only); ● negative control (cell suspension  |
| only)64  |
| Figure 6.2.1 Growth of O. oeni VFO in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde  |
|  |
| concentrations. Results are averages of three experiments. Symbols; • 50   |
| concentrations. Results are averages of three experiments. Symbols; ● 50 mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  |
|  |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; $\blacktriangle$ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; $\blacksquare$ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde. 66 Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at                                       |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| <ul> <li>mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde. 66</li> <li>Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ<sub>max</sub>) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations</li></ul> |
| <ul> <li>mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66</li> <li>Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ<sub>max</sub>) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations</li></ul>  |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde. 66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                          |
| mg/L acetaldehyde; ▲ 100 mg/L acetaldehyde; ■ 300 mg/L acetaldehyde.66  Figure 6.2.2 Maximum growth rates (μ <sub>max</sub> ) of O. oeni VFO grown in wine (pH 3.6) at various acetaldehyde concentrations                           |

## List of Tables

| <b>Table 4.1.1</b> Acetaldehyde utilisation and product formation by resting cells of wine |
|--|
| LAB in tartrate buffer (pH 3.6) at 30°C.   |
| Table 6.1.1 Growth rates of O. oeni MCW at different acetaldehyde concentrations           |
| 57   |
| Table 6.1.2 Initial and final acetaldehyde concentrations in VJG broth inoculated with     |
| O. oeni MCW59  |
| Table 6.1.3 Growth rates of Lb. delbrueckii CUC-1 at different acetaldehyde                |
| concentrations59   |
| Table 6.1.4 Initial and final acetaldehyde concentrations in VJG broth inoculated          |
| with Lb. delbruecki CUC-160  |
| Table 6.1.5 Growth rates of Pd. damnosus CUC-4 at different acetaldehyde                   |
| concentrations61   |
| Table 6.1.6 Initial and final acetaldehyde concentrations in VJG broth inoculated with     |
| Pd. damnosus CUC-462   |
| Table 6.1.7 Growth rates of Lb. buchneri CUC-3 at different acetaldehyde                   |
| concentrations62   |
| Table 6.1.8 Initial and final acetaldehyde concentrations in VJG broth inoculated with     |
| Lb. buchneri CUC-363   |
| Table 6.2.1 Growth rates of O. oeni VFO at different acetaldehyde concentrations           |
| 66   |
| Table 6.2.2 Initial and final acetaldehyde concentrations in wine (pH 3.6) inoculated      |
| with O. oeni VFO67   |