

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Variations of Pacing in Simulated Rowing - Effects on
Physiological and Performance Variables**

**A thesis presented for the degree of Master of Science (Sport and
Exercise Science)**

Massey University, Auckland

Chris Lynch 16th July 2012

Abstract

Background: Observation of pacing strategies in competitive rowing show that a parabolic-shaped strategy of a fast-starting 500 m, a slowing over the middle 1000 m and an increase of pace in the final 500 m, is the common self-selected strategy. This typical pacing strategy is influenced by the tactical need for the rower or crew to row in water free of wake and to be in a position where competitors can be observed.

Previous work has suggested however that the fast start is also physiologically beneficial in causing a faster oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$) kinetic response, thereby reducing the initial oxygen deficit and the concomitant accumulation of fatiguing by-products.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of starting strategy on $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics and performance during 2000 m simulated rowing.

Methods: Six (n) trained rowers ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ 61.9 ± 4.2 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) performed a baseline 2000 m ergometer rowing trial using a Concept II rowing ergometer. From the baseline data, starting strategies were developed for the first 500 m. A fast-start ($107\% \pm 3.27\%$ mean overall velocity) and an even start strategy ($100\% \pm 1.78\%$ mean overall velocity) were developed. Rowers then completed trials using these starting strategies. All trials were carried out in a counterbalanced order. Performance variables and heart rate were downloaded from the ergometer. Physiological measures of $\dot{V}O_2$ were measured throughout exercise. Post-trial blood lactate was also measured. A general linear model with repeated measures was used to determine the effects on the relevant physiological and performance variables elicited by the starting strategy permutations across 100 m and 500 m sectors. A one-way ANOVA was used

to determine the effect on overall time and overall power as well as post-trial blood lactate values. Effect size was also determined by use of Cohens *d* values.

Results: No significant differences were found between trials for overall finishing time (mean \pm SD; baseline 409.5 ± 26.5 s, fast start 406.4 ± 32.7 s, even start 406.4 ± 27.1 s), mean work across 2000 m (baseline 329.1 ± 53.0 W, fast start 343.0 ± 68.0 W, even start 340.3 ± 57.9 W) or post-trial lactate (baseline 12.4 ± 3.7 mmol \cdot L⁻¹, fast start 12.2 ± 3.1 mmol \cdot L⁻¹, even start 14.0 ± 1.1 mmol \cdot L⁻¹). No significant differences were found in the $\dot{V}O_2$ response in the first and last quarter of the 2000 m trials but results show $\dot{V}O_2$ response was significantly greater for a fast start when compared to baseline for the second (Wilks' Lambda = .104, $F_{(2, 3)} = 12.923$, $p < .05$, multivariate eta squared = .896) and third quarters (Wilks' Lambda = .063, $F_{(2, 3)} = 22.378$, $p < .05$, multivariate eta squared = .937), respectively.

Conclusions: Whilst data indicate that variation on the starting strategy had relatively small effect on performance outcomes it did indicate that the rate at which $\dot{V}O_2$ increases is sensitive to the pattern of work rate imposition. The duration of rowing can vary between 5.8–7.4 min and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ is normally attained within the event therefore any benefit from the faster $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetic response in the second and third quarters is unlikely to have significant impact on performance outcomes.

Keywords: Pacing, pacing strategy, rowing, starting strategy

Declaration

This dissertation constitutes my own work and all material that is not my own is fully acknowledged. No part of this work has been submitted for assessment elsewhere.

Signed

Date 16th July 2012



Acknowledgements/Dedications

This work has been a labour (of love at times) and I would like to acknowledge and thank all those that in some way have contributed to it. I would like to specifically thank all those participants who volunteered their time and energy allowing me to collect the data I needed. Also thanks to Dave Rodger of the Auckland Regional Performance centre for rowing. Thank you to Kathy Hamilton for enrolment advice and thanks Dr Ajmol Ali and Dr Andrew Foskett for their time, patience and feedback, without which this work would be much the worse for. Thank you.

Contents

List of tables.....	9
List of figures.....	10
List of appendices	11
1.0 Introduction.....	12
1.1 Background	14
1.2 Aim.....	18
1.3 Hypotheses.....	18
2.0 Literature review	19
2.1 Rowing.....	19
2.1.1 Aerobic and ventilatory characteristics of rowers	20
2.1.2 Muscular characteristics of rowers	21
2.1.3 Metabolic cost of rowing	22
2.1.4 Anaerobic and aerobic contribution to rowing	23
2.1.5 Summary	25
2.2 Pacing strategies.....	25
2.2.1 Describing pacing strategy.....	26
2.2.2 Research paradigms of pacing strategy.....	27
2.2.3 Summary	29
2.3 Pacing strategies of rowing	30
2.3.1 Parabolic pace strategies	30
2.3.2 Pacing strategies in ergometer rowing.....	35
2.3.3 Summary	37
2.4 Even pace strategies in rowing	38

2.5 Starting strategies	42
2.5.1 Defining starting strategy	42
2.5.2 Starting strategies and performance outcomes	45
2.5.3 Summary	48
2.6 Physiological regulation of pacing	49
2.6.1 Peripheral fatigue	50
2.6.2 The Central Governor theory	52
2.6.3 Physiological responses to fast start strategies	54
2.6.4 Physiological rationale for the benefit of a fast start strategy	60
2.6.5 Summary	61
2.7 Overall summary	62
3.0 Method	65
3.1 Participants	65
3.2 Experimental overview	66
3.2.1 Research design and independent variables	67
3.2.2 Rowing ergometer	67
3.3 Procedures	68
3.3.1 Testing session 1	68
3.3.2 Progressive rowing ergometer test	70
3.3.3 Testing session 2	72
3.3.4 Testing session 3 and 4	73
3.4 Data analysis	74
4.0 Results	76
4.1 Subject characteristics	76
4.2 Performance variables	77

4.2.1 Overall time	77
4.2.2 Mean overall power	78
4.3 Pacing.....	80
4.3.1 Normalised mean velocity	80
4.3.2 Power distribution.....	81
4.3.3. Pacing strategy - baseline.....	83
4.3.4. Pacing strategy – even pace	84
4.3.5. Pacing strategy – fast pace	84
4.4 Physiological effects	85
4.4.1 Post trial blood lactate.....	85
4.4.2 Heart rate.....	85
4.4.3 Oxygen uptake response	86
5.0 Discussion	87
5.1 Performance outcomes.....	87
5.1.1 Pacing strategy	89
5.2 Physiological outcomes	89
5.2.1 Post-trial blood lactate and heart rate.....	90
5.3 Experimental limitations	91
5.4 Recommendations for further research	92
5.5 Practical recommendations	93
5.6 Conclusion	93
6.0 References	95
Appendices.....	103

List of tables

Table 1.1 Typical reverse J-shape strategy for on-water and ergometer performance (Garland, 2005).	15
Table 2.1 Typical reverse J-shape strategy for on-water performance (Garland, 2005).	31
Table 2.2 Typical reverse J-shape strategy for ergometer performance (Garland, 2005).	35
Table 3.1 Recommended damper level settings for category of rower (Hahn et al., 2000)	68
Table 3.2 Example of pacing splits used in progressive rowing ergometer test - lightweight rower with best 2000 m of 06:36:0 (min:s)- (Hahn et al., 2000)	71
Table 4.1 Participant completion of each trial	76
Table 4.2 Mean (SD) participant characteristics	76
Table 4.3 Sector comparison - baseline to even pace	82
Table 4.4 Sector comparison - baseline to fast pace	82
Table 4.5 Sector comparison – even pace to fast pace	83

List of figures

Figure 2.1 Example of U-shaped, reverse J-shaped and J-shaped pacing profiles during exercise (Abbiss & Laursen, 2008).	31
Figure 2.2 Schematic illustration of the experimental protocol used by Jones et al (2008).....	55
Figure 2.3 Schematic illustration of the experimental protocol used by Bailey et al. (2011).....	57
Figure 3.1 Sequence of trials	66
Figure 3.2 Schematic of pacing manipulation trials (testing sessions 3 and 4)	74
Figure 4.1 Mean overall time 2000 m ergometer rowing (n=6)	77
Figure 4.2 Mean overall time 2000 m ergometer rowing (n=5)* $P < 0.05$, baseline versus fast pace time trials.	78
Figure 4.3 Mean overall power 2000 m ergometer rowing (n=6)	79
Figure 4.4 Mean overall power 2000 m ergometer rowing (n=5) * $P < 0.05$, fast pace versus baseline time trials.	79
Figure 4.5 Normalised mean velocity 2000 m ergometer rowing	80
Figure 4.6 Power distribution 2000 m ergometer rowing (n=6).....	81
Figure 4.7 Pacing strategy as per mean power per 500 m sector in 2000 m ergometer rowing	84
Figure 4.8 Post-trial blood lactate - 2000 m ergometer rowing.....	85
Figure 4.9 Heart rate - 2000 m ergometer rowing	86
Figure 4.10 Oxygen uptake response - 2000 m ergometer rowing.....	86

List of appendices

Participant information sheet

Participant consent form

Health screening questionnaire