Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Learning Experience in Dynamic and Non-Dynamic Curriculum Sequencing Systems

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Information Technology

at Massey University

Norliza Katuk

2012

Abstract

This PhD thesis presents a series of interrelated studies about computer-based learning experience with a focus on a dynamic curriculum sequencing system (DCSS). A DCSS is an adaptive computer-based system that organises learning material dynamically, based on the learners' learning parameters such as prior knowledge, learning styles and preferences. The learning experience refers to the learners' cognitive engagement during their interactions with computer-based systems. It is important to note that the learning experience discussed here is reviewed in the context of the flow theory. Many previous studies have claimed that learners' psychological well-being and future use of computer-based learning are correlated with their learning experiences. Hence, this thesis provides some empirical evidence about the DCSS learning experience to complement the existing literature in the area of computer-based learning.

The thesis intends to achieve two main objectives. First, it aims to identify whether or not the DCSS learning experience is significantly different in comparison to the non-DCSS (i.e., a recommendation system). Additionally, it intends to examine whether the DCSS and the non-DCSS learning experiences change over time. It also develops and validates a new technique that can improve the DCSS learning experience, known as a skill-challenge balancing (SCB) technique. In order to achieve the first objective, two experimental studies were conducted using two types of computer-based systems (i.e., the DCSS and the non-DCSS) for teaching 'Computer Networks'. The self-reporting technique was employed to measure the learning experiences in both studies. For the second objective, the software analysis and design tasks were performed to visualize the SCB technique conceptually and technically. It was followed by an experimental study that validates the new technique using the same methodological approach as in the first two studies.

The first two experimental studies suggested that the DCSS and the non-DCSS gave the learners different learning experiences. These studies further identified the learners' cognitive states showing some of them suffered from boredom and anxiety in particular learning conditions. The findings of these studies emphasized that there

is a need for a novel approach to maintain learning experience in computer-based learning. For this reason, this thesis also proposes a new learning experience monitoring technique (i.e., the SCB) considering some underlying principles from the flow theory. This technique was empirically validated to be effective in improving the DCSS learning experience.

As computer-based learning is an essential tool in current higher educational settings, the outcomes of this thesis are discussed in relation to adaptive design of computer-based learning and human-computer interaction.

Contents

Abstract	ii
Contents	iv
List of Figures	_vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Abbreviations	ix
Preface	X
Acknowledgements	xi
Declaration	 xii
Overview of the Thesis	1 1
SECTION I: DEVELOPMENT OF THEORETICAL FOUNDATION	6
CHAPTER 2: RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	7
Overview of the Chapter	7
2.1. Motivation for this Study	7
2.2. Research Questions	9
2.3. Research Framework	_14
2.3.1. Phase I: Understand the role of an optimal learning experience in computer-based	17
2.3.2. Phase II: Understand the role of a Dynamic Curriculum Sequencing System (DCSS) with	1/ 1 17
2.3.3. Phase III: Understand learning experiences and learning outcomes in the DCSS	1/ 17
2.3.4. Phase IV: Understand progressive learning experiences and cognitive load in the DCSS	18
2.3.5. Phase V: Inclusion of learning experience in the design of a DCSS	18
2.4. Summary	_18
CHAPTER 3: RELATED LITERATURE	_19
Overview of the Chapter	19
3.1. Learners' Experiences in Computer-based Learning	19
3.2. The Importance of an Optimal Learning Experience	_26
3.3. Techniques to Achieve an Optimal Learning Experience	_29
3.4. Summary	_ 31
SECTION II: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A DCSS	_33
CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF IT-TUTOR: A DCSS	_34
Overview of the Chapter	34
4.1. Curriculum Sequencing Systems (CSS)	34

4.1.1. An Overview to Curriculum Sequencing Systems (CSS)	34
4.1.2. Dynamic Curriculum Sequencing Systems (DCSS)	37
4.2. IT-TUTOR: An Experimental DCSS	_ 42
4.2.1. The Architecture and Components of I1-Tutor	4Z
4.2.3. Evaluation of IT-Tutor	1 3
4.3. Summary	49
·	
SECTION III: ANALYSIS OF THE DCSS LEARNING EXPERIENCE EVOLUTION	_51
CHAPTER 5: A STUDY OF THE DCSS LEARNING EXPERIENCE	_52
Overview of the Chapter	52
5.1. Learning Experience in the DCSS	53
5.2. Experiment 1: A Study about Learning Experience in the DCSS	55
5.2.1. Method	55
5.2.2. Results	62
5.2.3. Discussions	69 70
5.5. Summary	_ 70
CHAPTER 6: COGNITIVE LOAD AND PROGRESSIVE EVALUATION OF LEARNING	Ç
IN THE DCSS	72
Overview of the Chapter	73
6.1. Progressive Learning Experience	73
6.2. Cognitive Load in Computer-based Learning	74
6.3. Experiment 2: A Study on Progressive Learning Experience and Cognitive Load in the	
DCSS	75
6.3.1. Method	76
6.3.2. Results	78
6.3.3. Discussions	83
6.4. Summary	_ 85
SECTION IV: IMPROVEMENT OF THE DCSS LEARNING EXPERIENCE	_86
CHAPTER 7: INTEGRATION OF THE FLOW THEORY IN THE DESIGN OF DCSS	_ 87
Overview of the Chapter	87
7.1 The Flow Theory and Adaptive Computer-based Learning Systems	_0/
7.2 The Skill-Challenge Balancing (SCB) Technique for Adaptive Computer-hased Learning	00 na89
7.2.1. Introduction to the Skill-Challenge Balancing (SCB) Technique	89
7.2.2. The Components of Skill-Challenge Balancing (SCB) Technique	92
7.2.3. Prototype Development of IT-Tutor with the Skill-Challenge Balancing (SCB) Techniqu	e_95
7.3. Experiment 3: An Evaluation of the Skill-Challenge Balancing (SCB) Technique	_97
7.3.1. Evaluation Method	97
7.3.2. Results	100
7.5.3. DISCUSSIONS	100
7.4. Summary	_107
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS	108
8.1. Review of the Thesis Objectives	_108
8.2. Summary of the Thesis	_110
8.3. Contributions	_112
8.4. Limitations	_115
8.5. Future work	_116

References	117
Appendix A: Summary of Literature	128
Appendix B: Materials for the Usability Evaluation	133
Appendix C: Materials for Experiment 1	136
Appendix D: NASA-TLX Example	142
Appendix E: Learning Contents of IT-Tutor	145
Appendix F: IT-Tutor Algorithm & Rules	167
Appendix G: IT-Tutor Screenshots	173
Appendix H: Raw Data	182
Appendix I: Ethics Document	196

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The overall structure of the thesis	2
Figure 2.1: Learning states based on the <i>flow theory</i>	13
Figure 2.2: Research framework	15
Figure 3.1: Engagement Mode (EM) model by Sharafi et al. (2006)	22
Figure 3.2: Process Model of Engagement (O'Brien & Toms, 2008)	23
Figure 3.3: Changes of cognitive states based on flow theory	24
Figure 3.4: The importance of optimal learning experience	28
Figure 4.1: Intelligent Tutoring Systems by Brusilovsky & Maybury (2002)	35
Figure 4.2: A generic architecture of DCSS	
Figure 4.3: Flow of process in DCSS	
Figure 4.4: A generic flow of learners' learning process in DCSS	41
Figure 4.5: The components and architecture of IT-Tutor	
Figure 4.6: Screenshots of some IT-Tutor interfaces	
Figure 4.7: The structure of learning objects (Morales & Agüera, 2002)	45
Figure 4.8: The structure of a module in IT-Tutor	46
Figure 4.9: Dynamic Sequencing Approach (DSA) in IT-Tutor	47
Figure 5.1: Procedure for conducting the experiment	61
Figure 5.2: Types of learning experience using discriminant function	67
Figure 6.1: Procedure for conducting Experiment 2	78
Figure 7.1: The SCB technique learning process	92
Figure 7.2: Integration of the SCB technique in the DCSS architecture	93
Figure 7.3: Anxiety and boredom buttons for adjustment of learning experience	94
Figure 7.4: The "anxiety" button in the IT-Tutor interface	95
Figure 7.5: The "boredom" button in the IT-Tutor interface	96
Figure 7.6: The rules for performing the SCB technique	96
Figure 7.7: The coverage of lesson in Experiment 3	
Figure 7.8: Types of the SCB buttons used by learners	104
Figure 7.9: The "flow buttons" usage according to the two stages of tutorial	105
Figure 7.10: The SCB usage according to learners' prior knowledge	106

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Milestone of the research in this thesis 16
Table 3.1: Definition of optimal learning experience
Table 3.2: Related methods/approaches towards achieving flow
Table 4.1: Learning parameters used to achieve adaptive learning
Table 4.2: The means (s.d.) for the e-learning usability questionnaire (n=5)
Table 5.1: The features of the DCSS and the non-DCSS
Table 5.2: The means and mean ranks for performance tests 62
Table 5.3: The means and mean ranks for the learning experience questionnaire
Table 5.4: Classification of learners based on the score of the post-learning quiz using univariate cluster analysis 64
Table 5.5: The means and mean ranks for DCSS learning experience based on different types of learners
Table 5.6: The means and mean ranks for non-DCSS learning experience based on different types of learners
Table 5.7: Learners with different types of experience based on discriminant function analysis 67
Table 5.8: Correlation of learning experience based on the discriminant function analysis
Table 5.9: Frequencies of the types of learning experience against types of learners
Table 5.10: Feature analysis of DCSS and non-DCSS based on the Experiment 1's findings70
Table 6.1: Simplified learning experience questionnaire 76
Table 6.2: Descriptions of NASA-TLX subscales (Hart & Staveland, 1988)77
Table 6.3: The means for individual dimensions of progressive learning experience
Table 6.4: The means and mean ranks for the learning experience in the three stages
Table 6.5: Learners' background
Table 6.6: The means and mean ranks for the IT-students learning experience
Table 6.7: The means and mean ranks for unweighted NASA-TLX scores
Table 6.8: The correlation coefficients for the mental demand and other NASA-TLX subscales83
Table 6.9: Summary of results for Experiment 2
Table 7.1: The means and mean ranks for the individual learning experience dimensions 101
Table 7.2: The means and mean ranks for the cognitive load question
Table 7.3: Number of learners according to their background of knowledge 103
Table 7.4: The means and mean ranks for IT-Tutor with SCB learning experience 103
Table 7.5: The means and mean ranks for IT-Tutor without SCB learning experience

List of Abbreviations

Acronyms	Full Names
AI	Assessment Items
CLT	Cognitive Load Theory
CS	Computer Science
CSS	Curriculum Sequencing Systems
DCSS	Dynamic Curriculum Sequencing Systems
DM	Domain Model
DSA	Dynamic Sequencing Approach
EM	Engagement Model
IC	Instructional Contents
IIMS	Institute of Information and Mathematical Sciences
IT	Information Technology
ITS	Intelligent Tutoring Systems
K-S	Kolmogorov-Smirnov
LO	Learning Object
LOR	Learning Object Repository
LTM	Long Term Memory
NASA-TLX	NASA Task Load Index
QoE	Quality of Experience
RQ	Research Questions
SCB	Skill-Challenge Balancing
SCSS	Static Curriculum Sequencing Systems
SE	Sequencing Engine
SM	Student Model

Preface

This thesis presents interrelated studies about learning experiences in the dynamic curriculum sequencing system (DCSS). It primarily aims to uncover knowledge about the importance of maintaining an optimal level of computer-based learning experience. The thesis intends to offer a technique that improves the learning experience following a psychological concept known as the flow theory.

The implementation of the thesis is divided into four sections. Section I introduces the readers to the theoretical framework that guides the overall execution of the thesis. It also emphasises the importance of the optimal learning experience and techniques to achieve it through an extensive review of secondary evidence from literature.

Section II aims to explain basic DCSS concepts including the common components of the systems and existing examples of DCSS. This section also describes the design and development tasks of a DCSS named IT-Tutor. At the end of this section, a study that evaluates the usability of IT-Tutor is presented. The system has been used as the main learning tool for the empirical studies in this thesis.

Section III describes two empirical studies to investigate the DCSS learning experience which are evaluated from multiple perspectives. Firstly, it comprises of a study which intends to measure the learning experience in a DCSS with a non-DCSS. Secondly, it predicts the learners' cognitive states while engaging with computer-based learning tasks. Thirdly, this section attempts to understand how the learning experience progresses from the beginning of an interaction with the computer-based learning towards the end. Finally, it describes the cognitive loads that the computer-based systems may impose on the learners and its relationship with the learners' learning experiences.

Section IV proposes a technique to improve the DCSS learning experience which is fundamentally based on the flow theory, known as the skill-challenge balancing (SCB) technique. This section also presents an empirical study that evaluates the effectiveness of the proposed method in enhancing the DCSS learning experience.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I am very grateful to be given the opportunity to pursue a degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Thanks to God for giving me the strength to deal with the unexpected challenges during the journey of this doctoral study.

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Hokyoung Ryu and Dr. Ruili Wang the primary supervisors of my doctoral study at Massey University. The first two years of the study were carried out in the Institute of Information and Mathematical Science (IIMS), where Dr. Hokyoung Ryu was the primary supervisor, and later in the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT), where Dr. Ruili Wang was the primary supervisor. The same goes for the other co-supervisors, Dr. David Parsons and Dr. Brian Whitworth. They gave me full support and guidance in the entire process of completing the thesis.

I am heartily thankful to my best friend and my husband, Azwan Azmi whose patience, encouragement, and support; enabled me to complete the thesis. I pray to God to bestow him with a doctoral degree as well for the effort and faith he puts in his study. He deserves it too. To our son, Adam, you are the sunshine that always makes mom and dad happy. May you grow up to be a good person and successful in your life.

I would also like to thank my fellow friends: Azlina, Tingting, Lornie and Ramesh for their time in listening to my problems, difficulties and joy during the period of the study. I strongly believe that all of you will also complete your doctoral study successfully soon and will be doing well in your future career.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Malaysia Ministry of Higher Education and Universiti Utara Malaysia for undertaking this doctoral study.

Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone who had contributed to this thesis in any way either directly or indirectly. Your support and assistance are really appreciated.

Declaration

I declare that the works written in this thesis have been fully prepared and executed myself with supervision given by Dr. Hokyoung Ryu and Dr. Ruili Wang. The doctoral study was started in October 2008 and completed in December 2011. The first two years of the study were carried out in the Institute of Information and Mathematical Science (IIMS), where Dr. Hokyoung Ryu was the primary supervisor, and later in the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT), where Dr. Ruili Wang was the primary supervisor. The following publications were published from the studies reported in this thesis. The information about the publications and its corresponding chapters are presented in the following table:

Information about the publications	Corresponding Chapters
Katuk, N. & Ryu, H. (2010). Finding an optimal learning path in dynamic curriculum sequencing with flow experience, The 2010 International Conference on Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics (ICCAIE) 5-8 Dec. 2010, pp.227-232	Chapter 5
Katuk, N. & Ryu, H. (2011). Does a Longer Usage Mean Flow Experience? An Evaluation of Learning Experience with Curriculum Sequencing Systems (CSS), 2011 Sixth IEEE International Symposium on Electronic Design, Test and Application (DELTA) 17-19 Jan. 2011, pp.13-18	Chapter 5
Katuk, N., Wang, R., Ryu, H., & Parsons, D. (2011). The Dynamics of Computer-based Learning Experience. The Proceedings of the IIMS Postgraduate Conference, 26 October 2011, Massey University, New Zealand	Chapter 6
Katuk, N., Wang, R., & Ryu, H. (2011). Enhancement of Learning Experience Using Skill- Challenge Balancing Approach. In D. Wang & M. Reynolds (Eds.), <i>Lecture Notes in</i> <i>Computer Science</i> , (Vol. 7106, pp. 707-716): Springer Berlin / Heidelberg	Chapter 7

I also declare that the following papers were published during the early period of the doctoral study as preliminary works towards a more specialised research study; however, these papers were not very closely relevant with the theme of the thesis.

Information about the publications
Katuk, N., Sarrafzadeh, A., & Dadgostar, F. (2009) "Effective ways of encouraging teachers to design and use
ITS: feature analysis of intelligent tutoring systems authoring tools," International Conference on Innovations
in Information Technology, 2009 (IIT '09) 15-17 Dec. 2009, pp.100-104
Katuk, N. & Ryu, H. (2010). Seeing is believing? Rehearsing Mayer's multimedia effects in intelligent tutoring
systems. In the Proceedings of the 11th International Conference of the NZ Chapter of the ACM Special Interest
Group on Human-Computer Interaction (CHINZ '10). ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 25-28