Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Effects of ewe age on offspring development and performance

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Animal Science

at Massey University, Turitea, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Maria Fernanda Pereira Loureiro 2014

Thesis supervisors

Professor P. R. Kenyon

Professor H. T. Blair

Dr. S. J. Pain

Loureiro, M. F. P. (2014). Effects of ewe age on offspring development and performance. Doctor of Philosophy in Animal Science, Massey University, Palmerston North.

In New Zealand only approximately 30% of ewe-lambs are bred each year despite the advantages this practice can offer. Farmers have indicated a reason for not breeding ewe-lambs is that the offspring born to ewe-lambs are typically smaller and lighter to at least weaning. However, there is a lack of information on the post-weaning performance of ewe-lamb progeny in New Zealand. The objective of this thesis was to examine the effects of maternal age (ewe-lamb dams vs. adult ewe dams) on the performance of singleton and twin progeny and also the growth of their progeny to weaning. Progeny born to these two dam age classes were monitored to approximately 3.5 years of age. The results of this thesis have demonstrated that the growth and therefore live weight of offspring born to ewe-lamb dams was in general lower compared with those born to adult ewe dams, especially in twins. However, results also show that there is little impact of maternal age on offspring reproductive and lactational performance. Interestingly, there was a reversal of the influence on grand-offspring birthweight, whereby lambs with ewe-lamb granddams were actually heavier at birth. The lighter live weight of ewe-lamb progeny without negative effects on performance may even suggest these animals are more efficient; however, longer-term studies would be required to confirm this. In conclusion, these results indicate farmers can utilise progeny born to ewe-lamb dams without a negative impact on production, and in fact there may be a positive effect on production efficiency.

Acknowledgements

This has been a long journey: a new country, new people, new lifestyle...a new life. This PhD has been a rollercoaster of emotions. I have had heaps of fun moments and quite a few not so fun moments also. One of the most valuable things that I will take away with me after all these years at Massey is the real friendship that I have made.

To start with, I would like to thanks my supervisors, Professor Paul Kenyon, Professor Hugh Blair and Dr. Sarah Pain for the opportunity to work with such a great team. I would also like to thank you for the patience, support, advice and guidance during this journey. Thanks for being an inspiration for me and I have learnt so much during this time.

I am very thankful to Dr. Neville Jopson, Dr. Matthew Perrot, Associate Professor Nicolas Lopez Villalobos, Associate Professor Shinichi Nakagawa, Professor Patrick Morel, Wendy Bain, Dr Chris Rogers, Dr Charlotte Bolwell, Dr Elizabeth (Liz) Duncan, Associate Professor Paul Greewood and Bill Johns for all their help with this thesis. Also I am very grateful for the IVABS staff for all their help, their time spent data collecting on the farm and especially the good chats: Dean Burham, Geoff Purchas, Natalia Martin, Kim Fraser, Evelyn Lupton, Eugene, Saritha Gills and Mike Hogan. Debbie Hill and Kristen Story, I really appreciate your dedication and assistance with the administrative work.

There are numerous others that I had the opportunity to work with and learn new approaches and techniques from: Dr Rebecca Hickson, Dr Rene Corner-Thomas, Dr Penny Back, Chris Spark, Dr Angela Hartman, Nicola Moffatt, Dr Nicola Schreurs, Dr Keren Dittmer, Dr Rao Dukkipati and many others.

My sincere thanks to my friends and office mates: Amy Paten, Lydia Cranston, Lisanne Fermin, Gaby Gronqvist, Javier Roca, Dannie van der Linden, Christian Sauermann, Sharini Sinhadipathige, Doris Adeyinka, Rajesh Sharma, Santosh Sahu, Asmad Kari, Fraser Mulvaney, Kruno Bojanic, Sashwati Ambatkar and Kaylyn Corlett.

This work was funded by grants from Gravida (National Centre for Growth and Development) and Beef and Lamb New Zealand and a Ph.D. stipend from the Gravida (National Centre for Growth and Development) and Helen A Akers.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my mother Fátima and my brothers Juliano and João Gustavo for all their support during these years of long distance and for all your comprehension and love. Obrigada por me apoiarem sempre, apesar da saudade.

My very special thanks to George Ricketts my friend, my partner, my love and now my husband. I could not have finished this journey without your patience, your friendly words and motivation. Thanks for being part of my life. Love you and Nina.

Table of contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	V
Table of contents	vii
List of tables	X
List of figures	xiv
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
References	6
Chapter 2	7
Literature Review	7
The sheep industry – a New Zealand perspective	9
Sheep breeding practice	10
References	26
Chapter 3	33
Do fetuses from primiparous one-year-old ewes differ from	n those of multiparous
mature ewes?	33
Abstract	35
Introduction	36
Materials and Methods	37
Results	38
Discussion	40
Acknowledgements	41
Reference	41
Chapter 4	43
Effect of dam age and on the growth and body composi	ition of singleton male
offspring to about 12 months of age	43
Abstract	45
Introduction	46
Materials and Methods	47
Results	49

Table of Contents

Discussion	56
Conclusions	57
References	58
Chapter 5	61
Comparison of abdominal and mammary gland composition of fer	nales born to
ewe-lambs or mature dams	61
Abstract	63
Introduction	64
Materials and Methods	66
Results	74
Discussion	79
Conclusions	81
References	82
Chapter 6	85
Singleton female offspring born to adult ewes are heavier than t	those born to
ewe-lambs but their reproduction and milk production are unaffected	
Abstract	87
Introduction	88
Materials and Methods	88
Results	94
Discussion	98
Acknowledgements	100
References	101
Chapter 7	103
Twin female offspring born to ewe-lambs have lower growth	performance
compared with those born to adult ewes	_
Abstract	105
Introduction	106
Materials and Methods	107
Results	113
Discussion	123
Conclusions	124
References	125

Chapter 8	127
The impact of having either a ewe-lamb dam or a	mature dam on the reproductive
performance of singleton and twin female offsprin	g127
Abstract	129
Introduction	130
Materials and Methods	131
Results	137
Discussion	153
Conclusions	156
References	157
Chapter 9	159
The influence of maternal age on skeletal muse	cle development and growth of
female sheep offspring	159
Abstract	161
Introduction	163
Materials and Methods	165
Results	181
Discussion	186
Conclusions	188
References	189
Chapter 10	193
General Discussion and Conclusion	193
Introduction	195
Implications of thesis findings	199
Potential limitations of the studies	199
Future considerations	201
Concluding statement	203
References	204
Annendices	205

List of tables

Table 1. Comparison of ovulation and pregnancy/conception rates of ewe-lambs and mature ewes. 15
Table 2. Comparison of number of lambs born per ewe, lamb survival rates and lambs weaned per ewe bred between ewe-lamb and mature ewe. 16
Table 3. Mean (± standard error) measurements of dressed carcass weight, soft tissue depth at the 12th rib (GR), liver weight, omental fat and mammary weight, gravid uterus weight (analysed with and without fetal body weight as a covariate), total placentome and empty caruncle number and occupancy rate for primiparous ewe-lambs and multiparous mature ewes.
Table 4. Mean (± standard deviation) measurements of foetal body weight, hind-leg length, head length and brain weight at d145 for foetuses from either primiparous ewe-lambs or multiparous mature ewes
Table 5. Birthweight (kg), crown-rump length (CRL), thoracic girth (TG1), fore-leg length and hind-leg length of ram lambs born to either ewe-lamb dams (ELP) or adult ewes (AEP). Data are presented as least square mean (± standard error)
Table 6. The effect of being born to either ewe-lambs (ELP) or adult ewes (AEP) on liveweight gain per day during the period of birth (d1) to d322. Data are presented as least square mean (± standard error).
Table 7. Live weight (kg), carcass weight, thoracic girth (TG322), dressing out percentage (DO%), liver, heart, spleen, kidneys, lungs, adrenal glands, visceral fat and rumen/visceral weights at 322 days of age of ram lambs born to either ewe-lamb dams (ELP) or adult ewes (AEP). Data are presented as least square mean (± standard error).
Table 8. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measurement of left hind-leg area, bone mineral content (BMC), areal bone mineral density (aBMD), fat mass, lean mass, total composition, fat percentage and BMC:lean ratio at slaughter (d322) of ram lambs born to either ewe-lamb dams (ELP) or adult ewes (AEP). Data are presented as least square mean (± standard error).
Table 9. Abdominal and mammary gland CT scan parameters at Scan 01 (seven days prior to breeding), Scan 02 (average day 84 of gestation) and Scan 03 (average day 23 post-lambing) for ewe-lamb progeny (ELP) and adult ewe progeny (AEP). Data presented are least square means ± standard error
Table 10. The slope (a) and back-transformed intercept (b) of log_{10} transformed allometric for abdominal fat mobilisation relative to total fat and carcass weight and mammary gland fat mobilisation relative to the live weight for ewe-lamb progeny (ELP) and adult ewe progeny (AEP).
Table 11. The effect of dam age [born to either primiparous ewe-lambs (ELP) or multiparous adult ewes (AEP)] on birthweight, crown-rump length (CRL), thoracic

girth (TG), fore-leg length and hind-leg length of lambs. Data presented are least square means \pm standard error. Different letters within rows indicate means that significantly differ ($P < 0.05$)
Table 12. The effect of dam age [born to either primiparous ewe-lambs (ELP) or multiparous adult ewes (AEP)] and birth rank on G_{2a} live weight at birth (D1), D49 and D91 and G_{2b} live weight at birth, D50 and D105. Data presented are least square means \pm standard error. Different letters within row sections indicate values that significantly differ ($P < 0.05$).
Table 13. Accumulated milk, lactose, crude protein and fat yield (%) over 42 days of lactation of lambs born to either primiparous ewe-lambs (ELP, $n=12$) or multiparous adult ewes (AEP, $n=12$). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error98
Table 14. Effect of being born to ewe-lamb dams or adult ewe dams on female progeny singleton and twin born on crown-rump length (CRL) and thoracic girth (TG) measurement at birth
Table 15. Live weight (kg) from birth (d1) to 550 days of age (d550) of female progeny born to singleton and twin ewe-lamb dams or adult ewe dams. Table shows the least square means \pm standard error and number (n) of female offspring weighed
Table 16. Body condition score (BCS), backfat, eye muscle area (EMA) and faecal egg count (FEC) of singleton and twin female progeny born to ewe-lamb dams or adult ewes. Table shows the least square means \pm standard error
Table 17. Incidence of puberty attainment at 236 days of age (d236) of singleton and twin female progeny born to ewe-lamb dams or adult ewe dams. Table shows live weight at the day of teaser introduction (d236) as least square means \pm standard error, and the percentage of offspring that reached puberty (\pm 95% confidence interval) 119
Table 18. Faecal egg count (FEC) at d257 of singleton and twin female progeny born to ewe-lamb dams or adult ewes. FEC values shown are the square root transformation of strongyloides egg/g faeces) and \log_{10} plus 25 of nematodirus egg/g faeces \pm standard error (back transformed values for each are shown in parenthesis)
Table 19. Morphometric measurement of singleton and twin female progeny born to ewe-lambs dams or adult ewes at 409 (d409) and 550 days of age (d550). Table shows number (n) of female offspring measured and the least square means \pm standard error.
Table 20. Number of lambs born and weaned at the first (2011) and second (2012) parity of ewes born to either ewe-lamb or adult ewe dams
Table 21. Live weights (kg) of ewes born to ewe-lambs or adult ewe dams, or born as a singleton or twin, carrying 0, 1 or >1 fetus during their first gestation (2011). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error
Table 22. Live weight (kg) during their second gestation (2012) of ewes born to ewe-lambs or adult ewe dams, or as singleton or twin, carrying 0, 1 or more than one

fetus in their second gestation (2012). Data presented are least square means ± standard error
Table 23. Live weights (kg) outside the gestation periods of ewes born to ewe-lamb or adult ewe dams, or born as a singleton or twin. Data presented are least square means ± standard error
Table 24. Body condition score (BCS) of ewes born to ewe-lamb or adult ewe dams or singletons or twins. Data presented are least square means \pm standard error
Table 25. Effect of being born to ewe-lamb or adult ewe dams and as either a singleton or twin on reproductive performance at first parity (2011), the number of corpora lutea (CL) per ewe, back-transformed percentage of ewes displaying at least one CL, breeding activity (percentage of ewes marked at the first cycle - 5 days post CIDR), percentage of fetus at scanning, percentage of lambs born and percentage of lambs weaned (± 95% confidence interval)
Table 26. Effect of being born to ewe-lamb or adult ewe dams and as either a singleton or twin on reproductive performance at second parity (2012). Table presents breeding activity (percentage of ewes marked at the first cycle - 5 days post CIDR), percentage of fetus at scanning, percentage of lambs born and percentage of lambs weaned (± 95% confidence interval)
Table 27. The effect of granddam age (primiparous ewe-lamb (EL) or multiparous adult ewe (AE)) and dam birth rank on first parity singleton and twin offspring born in 2011: live weight at birth (W1), 54 days post birth (W54) and weaning (W100). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error
Table 28. The effect of granddam age (primiparous ewe-lambs (EL) or multiparous adult ewes (AE)) and dam birth rank on second parity singleton and twin offspring born in 2012: live weight at birth (W1), 57 days post birth (W57) and weaning (W99). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error
Table 29. First (2011) and second (2012) parity ewe production efficiency of ewes born to ewe-lamb dams or adult ewe dams or born as singletons or twins. Ewe production efficiency calculated as kg of lamb weaned/ewe metabolic weight at breeding (d573 and d914 in 2011 and 2012 lambings respectively) and kg of lamb weaned/ewe metabolic weight at weaning (d800 and d1166 in 2011 and 2012 lambings respectively). Data presented are least square means ± standard error
Table 30. Selected genes, forward and reverse primer sequences, amplicon length (bp), annealing temperature (°C), qPCR efficiency value and concentration for fetal and adult muscle qPCR analysis
Table 31. Thermal cycling parameters of RT-qPCR of fetal muscle samples for growth hormone receptor (GR-r), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-I) and insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-II).
Table 32. Thermal cycling parameters of RT-qPCR of fetal muscle samples for insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 (IGFBP3) and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 5 (IGFBP5)

Table 33. Thermal cycling parameters of RT-qPCR of adult muscle samples for growth
hormone receptor (GR-r), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-I), insulin-like growth
factor 2 (IGF-II), insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 (IGFBP3) and insulin-like
growth factor-binding protein 5 (IGFBP5)
8
Table 34. Fetal muscle fibre numbers for myosin heavy chain fast (MHC Fast), myosin
heavy chain slow (MCH Slow) and total fibre number (Total) of fetuses from ewe-lamb
dams and adult ewes. Table shows the least square means \pm standard error
dams and addit ewes. Table shows the least square means ± standard error102
Table 35. Adult muscle fibre numbers for myosin heavy chain fast (MHC Fast), myosin
heavy chain slow (MHC Slow) and total fibre number (Total) of male and female
fetuses from ewe-lamb dams and adult ewes. Table shows the least square means \pm
standard error
T.1. 4.
Table 36. Gene expression values for GH-r, IGF-I, IGF-II, IGFBP3 and IGFBP5, in
fetal sheep semitendinosus muscle (± 95% confidence interval)
Table 37. Gene expression values for GH-r, IGF-I, IGF-II, IGFBP3 and IGFBP5 in
adult sheep semitendinosus muscle (± 95% confidence interval)

List of figures

Figure 1 . Study designs and generated chapters; (A) illustrates the study with offspring born in 2007, (B) illustrates the study with offspring born in 2009
Figure 2 . Live weight from birth (d1) to d322 of lambs born to ewe-lambs \bullet (ELP) or adult ewes \circ (AEP). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error. * indicate values differ significantly ($P < 0.05$) and \dagger indicates a tendency ($P = 0.06$)51
Figure 3. Typical image captured by CT and examples of image dissecting for analysis: Scan 01 = the start point (first image of the heart); Scan 02 = the mid-point of abdomen (approximately between the 3 rd and the 4 th lumbar vertebrae); Scan 03 = the caudal point (approximately at the 1 st caudal vertebrae). Image a, e and i show the original raw CT image; b, f and j are images a, e and i dissected to show subcutaneous fat; c, g and k are images a, e and i dissected to show carcass and intermuscular fat; d, h and 1 are images a, e and i dissected to show internal fat
Figure 4. Typical images captured by CT of mammary gland: a. Scan 01 (seven days prior to breeding); b. image a dissected to show only the mammary gland; c. Scan 02 (average day 84 of gestation); d. image c dissected to show only the mammary gland; e. Scan 03 (average day 23 post-lambing); f. image e dissected to show only the mammary gland
Figure 5 . Plot of log_{10} subcutaneous fat (logSubFat) and log_{10} carcass weight (logCWT)
Figure 6. Live weights from birth (d0) to d1198 of offspring born to ewe-lambs (ELP, closed circles) or adult ewes (AEP, open circles). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error. Stars indicate values that significantly differ ($P < 0.05$)91
Figure 7. Relative positions of body measures taken on sheep; I. A: head length; B: head width and C: rump width; II. D: fore-leg length; E: body length; F: thoracic girth; G: hind-leg length
Figure 8. A.: Live weights from birth (d1) to d550 of female offspring born to ewe-lambs (ELP; □) and adult ewes (AEP; ■); B. : Live weights from birth (d1) to d550 of female offspring born as singletons (\blacklozenge) or twins (\diamondsuit); C. : Live weights from birth (d1) to d550 of female offspring: ELP-singleton (\blacktriangledown), ELP-twins (\bigcirc), AEP-singleton (\blacktriangledown) or AEP-twins (\triangle). Data presented are Least Square Means \pm standard error. * indicates time points at which the interaction between dam age and birth rank was significantly different ($P < 0.05$).
Figure 9. A: Live weights from day 573 of age (d573) to d1166 of ewe-lamb progeny (ELP) (\bigcirc) and adult ewe progeny (AEP) (\bigcirc); B : Live weights from d573 to d1166 of ewes born as singletons (\bigcirc) or twin (\bigcirc); C : Live weights from d573 to d1166 of ELP-singleton (\bigcirc), ELP-twin (\bigcirc), AEP-singleton (\bigvee) or AEP-twin (\triangle). Data presented are least square means \pm standard error.