

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **A BUSINESS TAKING THE SOCIAL INITIATIVE: THE CARTER HOLT HARVEY TASMAN CASE**

---

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of :

Master of Management

Massey University  
Wellington  
New Zealand

Mary Ashby  
2005

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The generous support given by my employer made it possible to attend the 2003 annual conference of the New Zealand Sustainable Business Network and, in the process, to meet two people who made this study possible – Allan Watson and Helen Jenkins from Carter Holt Harvey Tasman. I am indebted to Allan and Helen for their interest and support, and to the senior management team at Carter Holt Harvey Tasman for approving this project and assisting with funding.

I would also like to acknowledge the encouragement, inspiration and guidance given by my two supervisors, Dr Martin Perry and Associate-Professor Andrea McIlroy. Their scholarly insights and special input made this a challenging and rewarding intellectual journey.

I would like to thank my colleagues in the Department of Management and Enterprise Development at Massey University who helped to sustain my interest in this study, lent me books, offered advice and understanding. I owe special thanks to Kate Lewis for her support as a mentor and encouragement as a friend.

I would also like to thank the participants in this research who generously gave of their time and views. Special thanks are due to a number of people – those who guided me around the mill and explained parts of the production process; a past director of the Tasman Pulp and Paper Company Ltd who gave an interesting historical account of the workplace; and a senior executive from Carter Holt Harvey's head office who met with me in Wellington to discuss the study's findings. This was an interesting and exciting project to work on, and it was the input of all who participated that made it so.

Special thanks are also due to Lisa Brown, Editor, *the Kawerau Voice*, who regularly sent copies of the local newspaper. The *Kawerau Voice* was a valuable source of information for the study, and Lisa's help much appreciated.

On a different plane, I would like to thank my husband, Rob, and other members of my family for their patience and love, and for supporting me always.

# ABSTRACT

This thesis explores the workplace of a sustainable award-winning company in a small New Zealand town. Its special focus is on investigating how employees perceive the firm's exercising of social responsibility in relation to its various communities and, in particular, its support of social initiatives.

Qualitative methods were used and multiple sources of evidence drawn on, in order to allow a broad range of historical, attitudinal and behavioural issues to be analysed in this single case study. The results demonstrated that employees tended not to view their employer's social initiatives in isolation, but to adopt a much broader focus that took into account other aspects of organisational life. Consequently, some employees were critical of the extent of support given the external community, while others (particularly those involved in social initiatives) saw room for expansion of the firm's support. Key factors found to be influencing the way in which employees' perceived the firm's support of social initiatives were the employer's workplace performance, the strong family-oriented workplace culture, and individuals' concerns for the local community. Business outcomes perceived to arise from employees' involvement in the firm's environmental and community initiatives included more satisfied and professionally-skilled employees with a higher personal profile, and a significantly enhanced reputation for the firm. The employer was thought to be mostly concerned with the latter outcome, and to be largely unaware of the potential for human resource benefits to simultaneously be accrued as employees engaged in social initiatives.

Areas identified for future research include how the skills and attributes acquired during the participation experience may impact on individual performance and affect team productivity; how employee forums established to promote management-employee collaboration on social initiatives may contribute towards the simultaneous development of more trusting and fruitful relationships, and improved interpersonal skills; and how both the separation and sharing of social and cultural values may influence the evolution of business-community relationships, and the nature of social initiatives supported by firms as they interact with their local communities.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Table of Contents .....	iii
Tables and Figures .....	vi
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Purpose of study .....	2
1.3 Research questions.....	3
1.4 Research objectives .....	3
1.5 Justification for the study.....	4
1.6 Outline of the thesis.....	5
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Recent trends and their impact on the NZ workplace .....	6
2.3 Evolution of the concept of corporate social responsibility .....	13
2.4 Theories, concepts and tensions emerging in the CSR debate .....	17
2.4.1 Stakeholder-firm interactions .....	18
2.4.2 Building the 'business case' for engaging in social initiatives.....	21
2.4.3 How firms are exercising their social responsibilities .....	23
2.5 Perceptions of corporate social responsibility .....	25
2.5.1 Corporate reputation and employer attractiveness.....	29
2.6 Summary.....	33
<b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN .....</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	34
3.2 Selection of case study organisation .....	34
3.2.1 Introduction to CHH Tasman .....	35
3.2.2 The local community .....	37
3.2.3 Corporate-stakeholder relationships .....	38
3.3 Selection of research method.....	39
3.4 Theoretical perspective.....	40
3.4.1 Critical realism .....	41
3.5 Selection of a single case study.....	46
3.6 Case study procedures .....	47
3.6.1 The development, testing and refinement of prior theory .....	47
3.7 Data collection .....	49
3.7.1 Sample population .....	50
3.7.2 Interviews.....	51
3.7.3 Case study protocol .....	52
3.7.4 Case study database and chain of evidence .....	54
3.8 Case study analysis procedres.....	54

3.9	Limitations of case study research.....	55
3.10	Ethical considerations.....	56
3.11	Conclusion .....	58
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA .....</b>		<b>59</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	59
4.2	Objectives of the analysis.....	59
4.3	Case study participants .....	60
4.4	Key factors influencing the workplace .....	62
4.4.1	A highly dynamic environment of change.....	62
4.4.2	CHH Tasman and local employment.....	64
4.4.3	Corporate culture and the “Tasman Whanau” .....	66
4.5	Significance of employer’s social performance for new employees .....	68
4.6	Employees’ awareness of social policies and initiatives .....	72
4.7	Communication and consultation processes in place.....	75
4.7.1	Methods used to inform employees of social initiatives supported .....	75
4.7.2	Employer-employee consultation on social initiatives and other issues.....	76
4.7.3	Company-community consultation on social issues.....	79
4.8	Employee involvement in community initiatives.....	80
4.8.1	Recruitment of employee volunteers .....	80
4.8.2	Perceived barriers to involvement .....	83
4.8.3	Recognised outcomes of involvement for employees .....	84
4.9	Perceived purpose and outcomes of the company’s investment in social initiatives.....	88
4.9.1	Company’s performance versus its competitors.....	88
4.9.2	Perceived purpose of investment in social initiatives .....	90
4.9.3	Perceived outcomes of investment in social initiatives .....	92
4.9.4	Social initiatives and their influence on employees .....	93
4.10	Issues perceived as needing to be addressed.....	96
4.11	Conclusion .....	96
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION.....</b>		<b>98</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	98
5.2	Key contextual factors .....	99
5.2.1	The employer-employee relationship.....	100
5.2.2	The workplace culture .....	104
5.2.3	Employees’ concerns for the community.....	106
5.3	Awareness of and attitudes towards the employer’s social initiatives.....	108
5.3.1	The perspective of prospective employees.....	108
5.3.2	The perspective of current employees .....	109
5.3.3	Attitudes towards the promotion of social initiatives/performance .....	110
5.4	Communication and consultation on social policies and initiatives.....	112
5.4.1	External and internal communication .....	112
5.4.2	Internal consultation on social initiatives.....	113
5.4.3	External consultation on social initiatives.....	115
5.4.4	Recruitment of employee volunteers and barriers to involvement .....	118
5.5	Outcomes of the participation experience for employees .....	119
5.6	Perceived purpose and outcomes of investment in social initiatives for the employer.....	121
5.6.1	Perceived purpose of investment in social initiatives .....	121

5.6.2	Perceived outcomes of investment in social initiatives for the employer .....	123
5.7	Conclusion .....	124

## **CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS..... 125**

6.1	Introduction.....	125
6.2	Conclusions in relation to the research objectives .....	125
6.2.1	Awareness of and attitudes towards the employer's social initiatives .....	125
6.2.2	Communication and consultation on social policies and initiatives .....	127
6.2.3	Outcomes of participation for employees/barriers to involvement .....	128
6.2.4	Perceived purpose and outcomes of the firm's support of social initiatives .....	130
6.3	Limitations of the case study .....	131
6.4	Implications of the study for research and practice.....	132
6.5	Areas for future research .....	133
6.6	Recommendations .....	134
6.7	In Conclusion.....	135

## **REFERENCES..... 136**

## **APPENDICES**

I	Interview Schedule.....	147
II	Conceptual Framework.....	149
III	Information Sheet .....	150
IV	Consent Form .....	152

# TABLES AND FIGURES

## TABLES

4.1	Participants by Duration of Employment, Gender and Role.....	61
4.2	Social initiatives supported by the Tasman Pulp and Paper Company .....	69
4.3	Corporate social initiatives as identified by participants .....	73
4.4	Participants involved in initiatives by gender and role.....	82
4.5	Outcomes associated with the participation experience .....	84

## FIGURES

2.1	Carroll's Pyramid of Global Corporate Society Responsibility and Performance .....	14
3.1	The Kawerau site shared by Carter Holt Harvey Tasman and Norske Skog Tasman ....	36
3.2	Map of the Bay of Plenty region showing the location of Kawerau.....	37
3.3	A critical realist view of causation.....	44
5.1	Key factors influencing employees' perceptions of their employer's social initiatives from a realist perspective.....	100
5.2	Proposed structure for managing the flow of information and the process of information and the process of consultation between internal and external stakeholders .....	117