

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

***Electroencephalographic responses of calves to the noxious sensory input of slaughter by ventral neck incision and its modulation with non-penetrative captive bolt stunning***

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of:

Doctor of Philosophy

In

Physiology

Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

Troy John Gibson

2009



11<sup>th</sup> May 2009

### Declaration of regulatory compliance

This is to certify that the work performed in the Doctoral Thesis entitled "*Electroencephalographic responses of calves to the noxious sensory input of slaughter by ventral neck incision and its modulation with non-penetrative captive bolt stunning*" in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand:

- Is the original work of the candidate, except where cited in text, diagrams and/or in the acknowledgements.
- That the text, excluding appendices does not exceed 100,000 words.
- All the ethical requirements applicable to the study have been complied with as required by Massey University and relevant legislation.

Animal Ethical Authorisation codes: Protocol no. 04/86 and 06/61.

Candidate: Troy J. Gibson

Chief Supervisor: Dr Craig Johnson

Signature:



Signature:

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> May 2009

Date:



11<sup>th</sup> May 2009

Candidate's Declaration

This certifies that the research carried out for my Doctoral thesis entitled "*Electroencephalographic responses of calves to the noxious sensory input of slaughter by ventral neck incision and its modulation with non-penetrative captive bolt stunning*", in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand is my own work except where cited in text, diagrams and/or in the acknowledgements and that the thesis material has not been used in part or whole for any other qualification.

Candidate: Troy J. Gibson

Signature:



Date: 11<sup>th</sup> May 2009



11<sup>th</sup> May 2009

Supervisor's Declaration

This certifies that the research carried out for the Doctoral thesis entitled "*Electroencephalographic responses of calves to the noxious sensory input of slaughter by ventral neck incision and its modulation with non-penetrative captive bolt stunning*", was done by Troy J. Gibson in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. The thesis material has not been used in part or whole for any other qualification, and I confirm that the candidate has pursued the course of study in accordance with the requirements of the Massey University regulations.

Chief Supervisor: Dr Craig Johnson

Signature:

Date:





**Massey University**

**Declaration Confirming Content of Digital Version of Thesis**

I confirm that the content of the digital version of this thesis

**Title:** *Electroencephalographic responses of calves to the noxious sensory input of slaughter by ventral neck incision and its modulation with non-penetrative captive bolt stunning*

is the final amended version following the examination process and is identical to this hard bound paper copy.

**Student's Name:** Troy J. Gibson

**Student's Signature:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Troy Gibson".

**Date:** 11<sup>th</sup> May 2009



## ABSTRACT

Slaughter by ventral neck incision (VNI) is performed on some animals without prior stunning in New Zealand and other countries. A single incision with a razor sharp blade is made in the ventral aspect of the neck, sectioning both carotid arteries and jugular veins, though, not the vertebral arteries. There are a number of potential welfare concerns surrounding slaughter by VNI including pain due to the incision, which may lead to distress during the time before loss of consciousness. The aims of this thesis were to identify cortical responses indicative of noxious stimulation due to slaughter by VNI using analysis of the electroencephalogram (EEG) power spectrum and to investigate the effects of non-penetrative captive bolt (NPCB) stunning on these cortical responses.

The studies utilised adaptations of a minimal anaesthesia model, which has been validated in a range of mammalian species. Surgical dehorning was used as a validation technique for this methodology in cattle and demonstrated a 'typical' EEG response to noxious stimulation. Cattle slaughtered by VNI without prior stunning produced specific responses in the EEG that strongly indicated responses to noxious stimulation. Causation was investigated in cattle where blood flow through the brain remained intact during neck tissue incision (NTI) or the major blood vessels of the neck were isolated and transected independently of other neck tissues (BVT). The response to neck incision in intact animals was principally due to the noxious sensory input due to incision of neck tissues and not mainly as a result of loss of blood flow through the brain. NPCB stunning produced states of cortical activity that were incompatible with the maintenance of sensibility and pain perception. Experimental examination of the time to onset of undoubted insensibility was attempted in cattle subsequent to a pilot study in sheep. The generation of somatosensory-evoked potentials was problematic in cattle.

The conclusions of this thesis are that incision of neck tissues during slaughter without prior stunning constitutes a substantial noxious stimulus. Were an animal conscious, this stimulus would be perceived as painful until the onset of hypoxia-induced insensibility. This would represent a significant compromise to animal welfare.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis could not have been possible without the help, expertise, support and encouragement of my supervisors: Dr Craig Johnson, Professor David Mellor, Professor Kevin Stafford and Dr Geoff Barnes.

I am grateful to the C Alma Baker Trust for the award of C Alma Baker Trust Postgraduate Scholarship. The Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry of New Zealand and the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs of the United Kingdom jointly funded the work presented in this thesis.

Special thanks goes to all the people that have assisted me during my experimental work, it was often cold, it was often wet, it was sometimes dark but because of the team it was always fun. Dr Jo Murrell for all your expert help with experimentation, dinner parties and neuro drinks. Sheryl Mitchinson and Corrin Hulls for all your hard work and friendship during the course of my studies. I would also like to thank the following for their help in experimental work and helpful discussion: Leanne McCracken, Kavitha Kongara, Nicki Grint, Zoe Matthews, Dr Paul Chambers and Des Waters. Thank you to my office mates: Amanda McIlhone, Megan McGregor and Laureline Maynier for all the helpful discussion, fun and for putting up with my untidy desk. Thank you Tamara Diesch for translating obscure German theses.

I am indebted to a number of people that have helped me during my studies: Neil Ward for his help with experimentation and computers, Mike Hogan for your advice and use of post-mortem room and equipment. Members of: the Massey University Large Animal Teaching Unit; the Small Animal Production Unit; the Deer Research Unit; and Dairy Unit 1. Especially their managers: Robin Whitson, Debbie Chesterfield and Martin Chesterfield. Dr Alistair Johnstone for your histological expertise, Alan Nutman for helpful discussion, and Allain Scott for your support during my studies. Many thanks to my stock agent Sam Jones, able to find suitable stock within a moments notice.

Thank you to my friends and family for all your love and support during the course of my studies. My parents John and Yvonne Gibson for your encouragement and support. I am very lucky to have parents like you, thanks. Finally my biggest thanks goes to my loving wife Willow for your love, encouragement, support and understanding.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS.....</b>	<b>XVII</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 SLAUGHTER WITHOUT STUNNING.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2 RESEARCH FOCUS .....	3
1.2.1 <i>Primary focus</i> .....	3
1.2.2 <i>Secondary foci</i> .....	3
1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS .....	4
1.4 REFERENCES .....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 ANIMAL WELFARE.....	7
2.2 SOME REFLECTIONS ON THE ETHICS OF SLAUGHTER .....	9
2.2.1 <i>The Ethics of Slaughter Based Research</i> .....	10
2.3 JEWISH AND MUSLIM SLAUGHTER BACKGROUND.....	11
2.3.1 <i>Jewish Slaughter</i> .....	11
2.3.2 <i>Muslim Slaughter</i> .....	15
2.4 EMERGENCY SLAUGHTER.....	17
2.5 NEW ZEALAND LEGISLATION CONCERNING THE SLAUGHTER OF ANIMALS WITHOUT PRIOR STUNNING.....	18
2.6 PHYSIOLOGY OF PAIN .....	21
2.6.1 <i>Measurement of Pain in Livestock</i> .....	23
2.7 ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM AND EVOKED POTENTIALS .....	24
2.7.1 <i>Electroencephalogram</i> .....	25
2.7.1.1 Recording of the EEG .....	26
2.7.1.2 Interpretation of Noxious Sensory Input with the EEG .....	29
2.7.1.3 Minimal Anaesthesia Model.....	34
2.7.2 <i>Evoked Potentials</i> .....	35
2.7.2.1 Interpretation of the Evoked Potential Waveform .....	37
2.8 TIME TO INSENSIBILITY .....	38
2.9 BLOOD SUPPLY TO THE BOVINE BRAIN .....	43
2.9.1 <i>Vertebral Arteries during Slaughter in Cattle</i> .....	46
2.10 PAIN DURING SLAUGHTER .....	48
2.11 REFERENCES .....	51
<b>CHAPTER 3 VALIDATION OF THE ACUTE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSES OF CALVES TO NOXIOUS STIMULUS WITH SCOOP DEHORNING .....</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1 ABSTRACT.....	66
3.2 INTRODUCTION .....	67
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	69
3.3.1 <i>Animals</i> .....	69
3.3.2 <i>Anaesthesia</i> .....	69
3.3.3 <i>EEG and ECG Recording</i> .....	70
3.3.4 <i>Experimental Procedure</i> .....	71

3.3.5 EEG and ECG Analysis.....	72
3.3.6 Statistical Analysis.....	72
3.4 RESULTS.....	73
3.5 DISCUSSION.....	77
3.6 REFERENCES.....	80
<b>CHAPTER 4 ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSES OF CALVES TO SLAUGHTER BY VENTRAL NECK INCISION WITHOUT PRIOR STUNNING.....</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1 ABSTRACT.....	86
4.2 INTRODUCTION.....	87
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	89
4.3.1 Animals.....	89
4.3.2 Anaesthesia.....	89
4.3.3 EEG and ECG Recording.....	90
4.3.4 Experimental Procedure.....	90
4.3.5 EEG and ECG Analysis.....	92
4.3.6 Histopathology.....	93
4.3.7 Statistical Analysis.....	93
4.4 RESULTS.....	94
4.5 DISCUSSION.....	101
4.6 REFERENCES.....	105
<b>CHAPTER 5 COMPONENTS OF ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSES TO SLAUGHTER: EFFECTS OF CUTTING NECK TISSUES COMPARED TO MAJOR BLOOD VESSELS.....</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1 ABSTRACT.....	110
5.2 INTRODUCTION.....	111
5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	112
5.3.1 Animals.....	112
5.3.2 Anaesthesia, EEG and ECG.....	112
5.3.3 Experimental Procedure.....	113
5.3.4 Data Analysis.....	115
5.3.5 Statistics.....	116
5.4 RESULTS.....	117
5.4.1 EEG Power Spectra Indices.....	117
5.4.2 Compressed Spectral Arrays in Individuals.....	120
5.4.3 Blood Pressure Responses.....	121
5.5 DISCUSSION.....	123
5.6 REFERENCES.....	127
<b>CHAPTER 6 ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSE TO CONCUSSIVE NON- PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING IN CATTLE.....</b>	<b>131</b>
6.1 ABSTRACT.....	132
6.2 INTRODUCTION.....	133
6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	135
6.3.1 Animals.....	135
6.3.2 Anaesthesia.....	135
6.3.3 EEG and ECG Recording.....	136
6.3.4 Experimental Procedure.....	136
6.3.5 Data and Statistical Analysis.....	137
6.4 RESULTS.....	139
6.5 DISCUSSION.....	144
6.6 REFERENCES.....	147
<b>CHAPTER 7 AMELIORATION OF ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSES TO SLAUGHTER BY NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION IN HALOTHANE ANAESTHETISED CALVES.....</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1 ABSTRACT.....	152
7.2 INTRODUCTION.....	153
7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	154

7.3.1 Animals.....	154
7.3.2 Anaesthesia and Instrumentation.....	154
7.3.3 Experimental Procedure.....	155
7.3.4 Data Analysis and Statistics.....	156
7.4 RESULTS.....	157
7.5 DISCUSSION.....	163
7.6 REFERENCES.....	166
<b>CHAPTER 8 INHERENT VARIABILITY OF ACUTE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHIC RESPONSES TO NOXIOUS SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULI IN CALVES.....</b>	<b>169</b>
8.1 ABSTRACT.....	170
8.2 INTRODUCTION.....	171
8.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	172
8.3.1 Animals.....	172
8.3.2 Anaesthesia.....	173
8.3.3 EEG, ECG and Arterial Blood Pressure Recoding.....	173
8.3.4 Experimental Procedure.....	174
8.3.5 Data and Statistical Analysis.....	175
8.4 RESULTS.....	175
8.5 DISCUSSION.....	181
8.6 REFERENCES.....	183
<b>CHAPTER 9 PILOT STUDY TO DEVELOP A METHOD OF GENERATING AND RECORDING SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS WITHOUT SURGICALLY IMPLANTED CORTICAL ELECTRODES.....</b>	<b>185</b>
9.1 ABSTRACT.....	186
9.2 INTRODUCTION.....	187
9.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	188
9.3.1 Animals.....	188
9.3.2 Anaesthesia.....	188
9.3.3 EEG and SEP Recording.....	188
9.3.4 Experimental Procedure.....	189
9.4 RESULTS.....	190
9.5 DISCUSSION.....	193
9.6 REFERENCES.....	195
<b>CHAPTER 10 INVESTIGATION OF CORTICAL FUNCTION WITH THE USE OF SOMATOSENSORY-EVOKED POTENTIALS IN RESPONSE TO VENTRAL NECK INCISION OR NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING IN CALVES.....</b>	<b>197</b>
10.1 ABSTRACT.....	198
10.2 INTRODUCTION.....	199
10.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	200
10.3.1 Animals.....	200
10.3.2 Anaesthesia and Blood Pressure.....	201
10.3.3 Superficial Peroneal Nerve Preparation.....	201
10.3.4 EEG and SEP.....	202
10.3.5 Experimental Procedure.....	203
10.3.6 Interruption of EEG and SEP Waveforms.....	203
10.3.7 Statistics.....	204
10.4 RESULTS.....	206
10.4.1 SEP.....	206
10.4.2 Spontaneous EEG.....	208
10.4.3 Blood Pressure.....	210
10.5 DISCUSSION.....	212
10.6 REFERENCES.....	214
<b>CHAPTER 11 GENERAL DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>217</b>
11.1 NOXIOUSNESS OF SLAUGHTER.....	218
11.2 MODULATION OF THE EEG RESPONSES TO VNI WITH NPCB STUNNING.....	219
11.3 CORTICAL FUNCTION AFTER NPCB STUNNING OR VNI SLAUGHTER.....	220

11.4 EXPERIMENTAL LIMITATIONS .....	221
11.5 FUTURE WORK.....	225
11.6 FINAL CONCLUSION.....	227
11.7 REFERENCES .....	228
<b>APPENDIX 1 EEG SPECTRAL ANALYSIS PROGRAMME CODE .....</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2 STUNNING POSITION IN CATTLE .....</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3 PUBLISHED SCIENTIFIC PEER-REVIEWED PAPERS .....</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4 SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS.....</b>	<b>277</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1. FLOW CHART DETAILING THE IMPORTANT STEPS IN THE RECORDING AND ANALYSIS OF THE EEG, FROM A SUBJECT, INCLUDING AMPLIFICATION, ANALOGUE FILTERING, ANALOGUE-TO-DIGITAL CONVERSION AND COMPUTER-BASED ACQUISITION TO DIFFERENT FORMS OF EEG ANALYSIS.....	28
FIGURE 2.2. DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF THE EEG POWER SPECTRUM AND THE FOUR SINGLE DESCRIPTORS USED IN ANALYSIS. A: MEDIAN FREQUENCY (F50); B: 95% SPECTRAL EDGE FREQUENCY (F95); C: TOTAL EEG POWER (PTOT); AND D: FREQUENCY BAND ANALYSIS.....	31
FIGURE 2.3. COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM THE EEG OF A ANAESTHETISED CALF, WITH TIME (SECONDS) ALONG THE X-AXIS, FREQUENCY (HZ) ALONG THE R-AXIS AND POWER ( $\mu\text{V}^2$ ) ON THE Y-AXIS. ....	32
FIGURE 2.4. FLOW CHART DETAILING THE MAJOR STEPS IN THE RECORDING AND ANALYSIS OF THE SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS, FROM SUBJECT, AMPLIFICATION, ANALOGUE FILTERING, ANALOGUE-TO-DIGITAL CONVERSION, TRIGGERING, WAVEFORM SUMMATION AND INTERPRETATION.....	36
FIGURE 2.5. EXAMPLE OF A SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIAL (SEP) WAVEFORM AND THE MEASUREMENT OF LATENCY (MSEC) AND AMPLITUDE ( $\mu\text{V}$ ). THIS EVOKED POTENTIAL REPRESENTS THE SUMMATION OF 32 REPETITIONS. ....	37
FIGURE 2.6. DIAGRAMMATIC ILLUSTRATION OF THE BLOOD SUPPLY TO THE BRAIN IN CATTLE AND SHEEP. ADAPTED FROM BALDWIN (1971); BLACKMORE AND DELANY (1988).....	45
FIGURE 3.1. DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN FOR SCOOP DEHORNING ALONE (DH) OR SCOOP DEHORNING WITH LIDOCAINE RING BLOCK (DH+LA) GROUPS OVER TIME (MINUTES) FROM INDUCTION OF ANAESTHESIA (0 MINUTES) TO RECOVERY (45 MINUTES). ....	71
FIGURE 3.2. MEDIAN FREQUENCY (F50) (MEAN) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER DEHORNING IN CALVES DEHORND WITH (—) (DH+LA) OR WITHOUT (—) A LIDOCAINE RING BLOCK (DH) (A = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT BETWEEN TREATMENT GROUPS, $P<0.01$ ; B = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM PRE-TREATMENT DH, $P<0.01$ ), 0 SECONDS = TIME POINT OF DEHORNING. ....	74
FIGURE 3.3. MEAN 95% SPECTRAL EDGE FREQUENCY (F95) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER DEHORNING IN CALVES DEHORND WITH (—) (DH+LA) OR WITHOUT (—) A LIDOCAINE RING BLOCK (DH) (A = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT BETWEEN TREATMENT GROUPS, $P<0.01$ ; B = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM PRE-TREATMENT DH, $P<0.01$ ), 0 SECONDS = TIME POINT OF DEHORNING. ....	74
FIGURE 3.4. MEAN TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER DEHORNING IN CALVES DEHORND WITH (—) (DH+LA) OR WITHOUT (—) A LIDOCAINE RING BLOCK (DH) (A = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT BETWEEN TREATMENT GROUPS, $P<0.01$ ; B = VALUES SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM PRE-TREATMENT DH, $P<0.01$ ), 0 SECONDS = TIME POINT OF DEHORNING.....	75
FIGURE 4.1. DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN MINUTES FOR VENTRAL NECK INCISION (GROUP VNI) AND SHAM INCISION (GROUP SI). ANAESTHESIA OCCURRED AT 0 MINUTES AND VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AT 25 MINUTES. ....	91

FIGURE 4.2. MEAN 95% SPECTRAL EDGE FREQUENCY (F95) FOLLOWING VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AT 0 SECONDS. ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) FROM THE RIGHT (—) AND FROM THE LEFT (—) OF THE CRANIUM. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT RIGHT (P<0.05), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (P<0.05). .....95

FIGURE 4.3. MEAN TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) FOLLOWING VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) 0 SECONDS. EEG FROM THE RIGHT (—) AND FROM THE LEFT (—) OF THE CRANIUM. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT RIGHT (P<0.05), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (P<0.05). .....95

FIGURE 4.4. MEDIAN FREQUENCY (F50) (MEAN) FOLLOWING VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AT 0 SECONDS. ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) FROM THE RIGHT (—) AND FROM THE LEFT (—) OF THE CRANIUM. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT RIGHT (P<0.05), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (P<0.05). .....96

FIGURE 4.5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS OVER TIME (SECONDS) AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI). THE SPONTANEOUS TRACES WERE VISUALLY INSPECTED AND WERE EITHER CLASSIFIED AS: VNI ARTEFACT, ACTIVE EEG, TRANSITIONAL EEG, HALF EEG, AND ISOELECTRIC EEG. R = EEG RECORDED FROM THE RIGHT AND L = EEG RECORDED FROM THE LEFT HAND SIDE OF THE CRANIUM. THE TIME DURATION IN SECONDS ARE DISPLAYED ON THE INDIVIDUAL BARS; CLEAR GAPS WAS OUT OF RANGE.....96

FIGURE 4.6. THE COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM CALF 4 LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE, REPRESENTING A TYPICAL ARRAY IN RESPONSE TO VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AT 0 SECONDS. ....97

FIGURE 4.7. MEAN PTOT FOLLOWING APPLICATION OF A NON-NOXIOUS SHAM INCISION (SI) AT 0 SECONDS. ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) FROM THE RIGHT (—) AND FROM THE LEFT (—) OF THE CRANIUM. ....97

FIGURE 4.8. MEAN  $\pm$  STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN (—), FEMORAL ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG) BEFORE AND AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI). A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES (P<0.05). .....98

FIGURE 5.1. DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IN MINUTES FOR NECK TISSUE INCISION (NTI) AND BLOOD VESSEL TRANSECTION (BVT). ANAESTHESIA INDUCTION OCCURRED AT 0 MINUTES, AND THE TIMES TO NECK INCISION AND VESSEL TRANSECTION ARE INDICATED. LIKEWISE THE TIMING OF HEPARINIZATION AND PENTOBARBITONE EUTHANASIA ARE INDICATED WITH VERTICAL GREY BLOCKS. .... 115

FIGURE 5.2. PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN MEDIAN FREQUENCY (F50) OF THE EEG FOLLOWING AND NECK TISSUE INCISION (NTI) (—) AND BLOOD VESSEL TRANSECTION (—) (BVT) AT 0 SECONDS IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS, WITH MEAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE OVERLAID FOR NTI (—) AND BVT (—). DATA ARE DISPLAYED AS 10-POINT MOVING AVERAGES. .... 118

FIGURE 5.3. PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN 95% SPECTRAL EDGE FREQUENCY (F95) OF THE EEG FOLLOWING NECK TISSUE INCISION (—) (NVI) AND BLOOD VESSEL TRANSECTION (—) (BVT) AT 0 SECONDS IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS, WITH MEAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE OVERLAID FOR NTI (—) AND BVT (—). DATA ARE DISPLAYED AS 10-POINT MOVING AVERAGES. .... 118

FIGURE 5.4. PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE EEG POWER SPECTRUM OF THE EEG FOLLOWING AND NECK TISSUE INCISION (—) (NTI) AND BLOOD VESSEL TRANSECTION (—) (BVT) AT 0 SECONDS IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS, WITH MEAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE OVERLAID FOR NTI (—) AND BVT (—). DATA ARE DISPLAYED AS 10-POINT MOVING AVERAGES. .... 119

FIGURE 5.5. AN EXAMPLE OF THE TYPICAL RESPONSE TO NECK TISSUE INCISION (NTI) ON THE COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM CALF 3 LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. NECK TISSUE INCISION OCCURRED AT 0 SECONDS..... 120

FIGURE 5.6. AN EXAMPLE OF THE TYPICAL RESPONSE TO THE TRANSECTION OF THE MAJOR BLOOD VESSELS (BVT) SUPPLYING THE BRAIN ON THE COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM CALF 1 RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. TRANSECTION OF BLOOD VESSELS OCCURRED AT 0 SECONDS. ....	121
FIGURE 5.7. MEAN CHANGES IN BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG) FOLLOWING NECK TISSUE INCISION (—) (NTI) AND TRANSECTION OF BLOOD VESSELS (—) (BVT) AT 0 SECONDS (— ± SEM). A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TREATMENTS, P<0.001. ....	122
FIGURE 6.1. DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN WITH INDUCTION AT 0 MINUTES AND NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING AT 25 MINUTES (MARKED WITH A VERTICAL GREY BLOCK). ....	137
FIGURE 6.2. EEG TRACE FROM A CALVE SHOWING THE COURSE OF CHANGES FROM ACTIVE EEG (A), TO TRANSITIONAL EEG (B) AND TO AN ISOELECTRIC EEG (C). ....	138
FIGURE 6.3. MEAN CHANGES TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING ONLY; SIMPLE RESPONSE (N=4). RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (—), LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (—). (A) = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES PTOT (P<0.05) RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE.....	140
FIGURE 6.4. MEAN CHANGES TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING ONLY; BIPHASIC RESPONSE (N=5). RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (—), LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (—). (A) = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES PTOT (P<0.05) RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE.....	140
FIGURE 6.5. EXAMPLE OF THE COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM A CALF WITH A SIMPLE RESPONSE AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING AT 0 SECONDS. ....	141
FIGURE 6.6. EXAMPLE OF THE COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM A CALF WITH A BIPHASIC RESPONSE AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING AT 0 SECONDS. ....	141
FIGURE 6.7. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS OVER TIME (SECONDS). VISUALLY EXAMINED AND CLASSED AS EITHER, ACTIVE, TRANSITIONAL, CYCLIC EEG AND ISOELECTRIC. R REPRESENTS EEG RECORDED FROM THE RIGHT AND L THE EEG RECORDED FROM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CRANIUM. TIME DURATIONS IN SECONDS IS DISPLAYED ON THE INDIVIDUAL BARS; CLEAR GAPS REPRESENT PERIODS WHERE THE EEG WAS OUT OF RANGE. ....	142
FIGURE 6.8. CHANGES IN MEAN FEMORAL ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG) BEFORE AND AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING (— ±SEM). (A) = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES (P<0.05). THE ARROW DENOTES THE APPLICATION OF NPCB STUN. ....	142
FIGURE 7.1. DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN. ANAESTHESIA WAS INDUCED AT 0 MINUTES, FOLLOWING WHICH ANAESTHESIA WAS STABILIZED. A 10-MINUTE PRE-TREATMENT RECORDED WAS TAKEN; THIS WAS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDED BY VENTRAL NECK INCISION WITH NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (VNI+NPCB) 5 SECONDS AFTER (MARKED WITH A VERTICAL GREY BLOCK). THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) WAS RECORDED FOR A FURTHER 10 MINUTES FOLLOWING TREATMENT. ....	156
FIGURE 7.2. MEAN CHANGES IN TOTAL POWER (PTOT) OF THE ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) BEFORE AND AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION FOLLOWED 5 SECONDS LATER BY NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (VNI+NPCB). RIGHT (—) AND LEFT (—) CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (P<0.05), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PER-TREATMENT VALUES LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE (P<0.05).....	158
FIGURE 7.3. A TYPICAL EXAMPLE OF A COMPRESSED SPECTRAL ARRAY FROM AN INDIVIDUAL CALF BEFORE AND AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION FOLLOWED 5-SECONDS LATTER BY NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (VNI+NPCB). ....	159

FIGURE 7.4. AN EXAMPLE OF THE SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) OF AN INDIVIDUAL CALF BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AND NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING, WITH INDIVIDUAL POWER SPECTRUMS FOR TWO-SECOND EPOCHS DURING (A) PRE-TREATMENT ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM, (B) VNI ARTEFACT, AND (C) TRANSITIONAL ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM AFTER NPCB STUNNING. ARROWS REPRESENT THE VNI AND NPCB..... 159

FIGURE 7.5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS OVER TIME (SECONDS) AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION FOLLOWED 5 SECONDS LATER BY NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (VNI+NPCB). THE SPONTANEOUS TRACES WERE VISUALLY INSPECTED AND WERE EITHER CLASSIFIED AS: VNI ARTEFACT, ACTIVE EEG, TRANSITIONAL EEG, CYCLIC HALF, AND ISOELECTRIC WAVEFORM. ARROWS REPRESENT TIME POINT OF VNI AND NPCB. R REPRESENTS EEG RECORDED FROM THE RIGHT AND L THE EEG RECORDED FROM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CRANIUM. TIME DURATIONS IN SECONDS ARE DISPLAYED ON THE INDIVIDUAL BARS; CLEAR GAPS REPRESENT PERIODS WHERE THE EEG RECORDING WAS OUT OF RANGE..... 161

FIGURE 7.6. MEAN  $\pm$  STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN (—) CHANGES IN FEMORAL ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG) BEFORE AND AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION FOLLOWED 5 SECONDS LATER WITH A NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUN (VNI+NPCB). (A) = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES ( $P<0.05$ ). SHORT DASHES REPRESENT VNI, LONG DASHES REPRESENT NPCB..... 161

FIGURE 8.1. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN, AFTER INDUCTION OF ANAESTHESIA AT 0 MINUTES, SHOWING STABILISATION OF ANAESTHESIA, INSTRUMENTATION, PRE-TREATMENT RECORDING, THE 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS (GREY VERTICAL BOX) AND THE POST-TREATMENT RECORDING..... 174

FIGURE 8.2. INTERVAL PLOTS SHOWING THE MEDIANS AND 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF THE INITIAL EEG RESPONSE (RIGHT AND LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERES): DATA SUMMED ( $\Sigma$ ) FROM 30 SECONDS BEFORE AND AFTER APPLICATION OF A 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS TO THE LEFT SHOULDER OF CALVES. F50 (A), F95 (B) AND PTOT (C)..... 177

FIGURE 8.3. MEAN CHANGES IN MEDIAN FREQUENCY (F50) AFTER A 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS APPLIED AT 0 SECONDS TO THE LEFT SHOULDER OF CALVES. RIGHT (—) AND LEFT (—) CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ ), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ ). ..... 178

FIGURE 8.4. MEAN CHANGES IN 95% SPECTRAL EDGE FREQUENCY (F95) AFTER A 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS APPLIED AT 0 SECONDS TO THE LEFT SHOULDER OF CALVES. RIGHT (—) AND LEFT (—) CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ ), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ )..... 178

FIGURE 8.5. MEAN CHANGES IN TOTAL POWER (PTOT) AFTER A 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS APPLIED AT 0 MINUTES TO THE LEFT SHOULDER OF CALVES. RIGHT (—) AND LEFT (—) CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE. A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, RIGHT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ ), B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRE-TREATMENT VALUES, LEFT CEREBRAL HEMISPHERE ( $P<0.05$ )..... 179

FIGURE 9.1. MEAN SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS (—)  $\pm$  SEM (—), RECORDED IN RESPONSE TO A 10-VOLT ELECTRICAL STIMULUS TO THE EXPOSED SUPERFICIAL PERONEAL NERVE OF EWE 2, AT HALOTHANE VAPORISER SETTINGS OF 1% (CONNECTED AND DISCONNECTED), 1.7%, 2.1% AND 2.3%, AND AT 0.5% AFTER 15-MINUTE RECOVERY, AND FOLLOWING EUTHANASIA WITH PENTOBARBITONE. THE ELECTRICAL STIMULUS WAS DELIVERED AT 0 MILLISECONDS WITH THE STIMULUS ARTEFACT REMOVED FOR CLARITY. PEAKS ARE DESIGNATED EITHER P (POSITIVE DEFLECTION) OR N (NEGATIVE DEFLECTION), AND THE SUBSCRIPT NUMBER IS THE TIME IN MILLISECONDS AFTER STIMULATION..... 192

FIGURE 10.1. SUPERFICIAL PERONEAL NERVE PREPERATION. A: THE EXPOSED SUPERFICIAL PERONEAL NERVE LAYED OVER TWO STIMULATING ELECTRODES (POSITIVE DISTAL AND NEGATIVE PROXIMAL). A SURGICAL SWAP, LOCATED PROXIMALLY, WAS SOAKED IN HARTMANN'S SOLUTION, WAS EARTHED AND ACTED AS THE NERVE BATH. B: THE FINISHED PREPERATION WITH MOISTEND SWAPS PREVENTING DEYDRATION..... 202

FIGURE 10.2. DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN FOR BOTH VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) AND NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING GROUPS. CALVES IN BOTH GROUPS WERE ANAESTHETIZED AT 0 MINUTES. TREATMENTS OCCURRED APPROXIMATELY 115 MINUTES FROM INDUCTION OF ANESTHESIA. .... 205

FIGURE 10.3. EXAMPLES OF SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS (SEP) FROM TWO CALVES AFTER EITHER VENTRAL NECK INCISION SLAUGHTER (CALF 8 VNI GROUP) OR NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE STUNNING (CALF 7 NPCB GROUP). THESE WERE THE ONLY DATA SETS WHERE PRE-TREATMENT SEPs WERE ABLE TO BE GENERATED. EACH WAVEFORM IS THE SUMMATION OF 32 TRACES. THERE WAS A STIMULUS DELAY OF 100 MS WITH THE STIMULUS BEING DELIVERED AT 0 MINUTES. .... 207

FIGURE 10.4. CHANGES IN THE CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI). THE TRACES WERE VISUALLY INSPECTED AND WERE CLASSIFIED AS: VNI ARTEFACT, ACTIVE EEG, TRANSITIONAL EEG, CYCLIC HALF ACTIVITY, ISOELECTRIC WAVEFORM AND HIGH AMPLITUDE HIGH FREQUENCY HAHF. R REPRESENTS EEG RECORDED FROM THE RIGHT AND L THE EEG RECORDED FROM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CRANIUM. THE TIME DURATIONS IN SECONDS ARE DISPLAYED ON THE INDIVIDUAL BARS; CLEAR GAPS REPRESENT PERIODS WHERE THE EEG RECORDING WAS OUT OF RANGE. .... 209

FIGURE 10.5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SPONTANEOUS ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAM (EEG) IN INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (NPCB). THE TRACES WERE VISUALLY INSPECTED AND WERE CLASSIFIED AS: ACTIVE EEG, TRANSITIONAL EEG, CYCLIC HALF ACTIVITY AND ISOELECTRIC WAVEFORMS. R REPRESENTS EEG RECORDED FROM THE RIGHT AND L THE EEG RECORDED FROM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CRANIUM. THE TIME DURATIONS IN SECONDS ARE DISPLAYED ON THE INDIVIDUAL BARS; CLEAR GAPS REPRESENT PERIODS WHERE THE EEG RECORDING WAS OUT OF RANGE. .... 210

FIGURE 10.6. MEAN BLOOD PRESSURE AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) (—) AND NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING ONLY (—). CALF 9 FROM THE VNI GROUP HAD ONE ONLY CARTIOD CUT (—). A = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRETREATMENT VALUES IN THE VNI GROUP. B = SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FROM PRETREATMENT VALUES IN THE NPCB STUNNING GROUP..... 211



# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1. STUDIES INTO THE EFFECTS OF EXPERIMENTAL PAIN IN HUMANS AND ANIMALS ON EEG FREQUENCY BAND POWER. ....	33
TABLE 2.2. MAJOR STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE TIME TO INSENSIBILITY AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI) SLAUGHTER WITHOUT PRIOR STUNNING IN VARIOUS SPECIES AND THE PARAMETER REPORTED IN PUBLICATIONS. ....	39
TABLE 2.3. STUDIES OF THE TIME TO INSENSIBILITY IN CATTLE WITH DIFFERENT STUNNING TECHNIQUES: PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (PCB); NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB); ELECTRICAL STUNNING. THE PARAMETER USED AND TIME TO INSENSIBILITY ARE INDICATED. ....	41
TABLE 2.4. STUDIES OF THE TIME TO INSENSIBILITY IN SHEEP WITH DIFFERENT STUNNING TECHNIQUES: PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (PCB); NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB); ELECTRICAL STUNNING. THE PARAMETER USED AND TIME TO INSENSIBILITY ARE INDICATED. ....	42
TABLE 2.5. STUDIES OF THE TIME TO INSENSIBILITY IN POULTRY WITH DIFFERENT STUNNING TECHNIQUES, PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (PCB) AND NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB). THE PARAMETER USED AND TIME TO INSENSIBILITY ARE INDICATED. ....	42
TABLE 3.1. MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN OF HEART RATE (BEATS PER MINUTE) AS A PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL PRE-TREATMENTS AT TIME POINTS AFTER THE START OF DEHORNING FOR SCOOP DEHORNING ALONE (DH) OR SCOOP DEHORNING WITH LIDOCAINE RING BLOCK (DH+LA) GROUPS. ....	76
TABLE 4.1. MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN, CHANGES IN HEART (BEATS PER MINUTE) AT TIME POINTS AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI). ....	99
TABLE 4.2. HISTOLOGY RESULTS FOR CALVES AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION (VNI). SECTIONS TAKEN FROM OBEX, SPINAL CORD, PONS, CEREBELLUM, MIDBRAIN, THALAMUS, INSULA AND SOMATOSENSORY CORTEX. CHATTER = PROCESSING ARTEFACT. ....	100
TABLE 6.1. MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN OF HEART RATE (BEATS PER MINUTE) AT INDIVIDUAL TIME POINTS AFTER NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT (NPCB) STUNNING. ....	143
TABLE 7.1. MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN, CHANGES IN HEART (BEATS PER MINUTE) AT TIME POINTS AFTER VENTRAL NECK INCISION FOLLOWED 5 SECONDS LATER BY NON-PENETRATIVE CAPTIVE BOLT STUNNING (VNI+NPCB) OF CALVES. ....	162
TABLE 8.1 MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD ERROR OF THE MEAN (SEM), CHANGES IN HEART RATE (BEATS PER MINUTE (BPM)) AND BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG) AT DIFFERENT TIME POINTS AFTER A 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS. A = PRE-TREATMENT VALUE, B = 70-VOLT SUPRAMAXIMAL ELECTRICAL STIMULUS. ....	180



## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AEP	Auditory evoked potentials
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
bpm	beats per minute
BSE	Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
BVT	Major neck blood vessel transection
CJD	Creutzfeldt-jakob disease
CNS	Central nervous system
CI	Confidence interval
DH	Dehorned only
DH+LA	Dehorned plus lidocaine ring block
ECG	Electrocardiogram/electrocardiographic
ECoG	Electrocorticogram
EEG	Electroencephalogram/electroencephalographic
EMG	Electromyogram / electromyographic
EP	Evoked potential
F50	Median frequency
F95	95% Spectral edge frequency
FFT	Fast Fourier Transformation
FE'HAL	End-tidal halothane partial pressure
fMRI	Functional magnetic resonance imaging
HALF	High amplitude low frequency
HAHF	High amplitude high frequency
H&E	Hematoxylin and Eosin
HPA	Hypothalamic-pituitary adrenocortical axis
I.M	Intramuscular
NPCB	Non-penetrative captive bolt
NTI	Neck tissue incision with intact blood circulation through the brain
PET	Positron emission tomography
Ptot	Total power of the electroencephalogram
SAM	Sympathetic adrenomedullary system

SD	Standard deviation
SEM	Standard error of the mean
SEP	Somatosensory evoked potentials
SI	Sham incision
TBI	Traumatic brain injury
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VEP	Visual evoked potentials
VNI	Ventral neck incision