Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

CHANGES IN INHIBITOR LEVELS, STOMATAL APERTURE, AND GROWTH OF

PISUM SATIVUM L. SUBJECTED TO WILTING STRESS CYCLES DURING

DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENTAL STAGES.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Horticultural Science

at

Massey University

IAN DAVID IVEY
1974

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

1.*	(a)	L give permission for my thesis, entitled
		'Changes in Inhibitor Levels, Stomatal Aperture, and
		Growth of Pisum sativum L. Subjected to Wilting Stress
		Cycles During Different Developmental Stages
		to be made available to readers in the Library under the conditions determined by the Librarian.
	(b)	I agree to my thesis, if asked for by another institution, being sent away on temporary loan under conditions determined by the Librarian
	(c)	I also agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.
2. *		I do not wish my thesis, entitled
		to be made available to readers or to be sent to other institutions without my written consent within the next two years.
		Signed 900 sey
		Date 5th August, 1974.
*		Strike out the sentence or phrase which does not apply.
rrl r	1	
The Li Massev	Univer	Sity
		orth, N.Z.
P	pyright ace belo aent ado	of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must sign their name in w to show that they recognise this. They are asked to add their less.
Name a	and Ado	Hress D. (
		Date
•••••••	••••••	
•••••••••		

ACKNOVLEDGENENTS

The author would like to thank his supervisors: Professor J.A. Veale for suggestion of the topic and continued interest and assistance; Dr D.J. Woolley for many useful suggestions and assistance. Thanks are also due to the staff of the Plant Physiology Division of the New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, in particular Mr I.J. Warrington for liason; Mr M. Beardsell for assistance with the pressure bomb; Dr D. Cohen for useful discussions. As well, the assistance with procedural work given by Messrs H.S. Park, D.S. Tustin, and laboratory assistants B Wilcox and A Watson; the provision of Commelina communis seed by Professor T.A. Mansfield of the University of Lancaster; the helpfulness of the Massey University Department of Botany and Zoology staff and all others whose assistance was sought; is gratefully acknowledged. The prompt typing by Mrs Wicherts and printing of figures by the Massey University Printery are also acknowledged.

Finally, the author would like to express his gratitude to the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries for providing the award which made this study possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter			Page				
	ΛCK	nowledgements					
	ABS	TRACT					
I	REV	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE					
	Α.	INTRODUCTION	1				
	В.	THE EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS ON VARIOUS PARAMETERS OF PLANT GROWTH AND YIELD	2				
		(1) ROOT GROWTH					
		(2) EXTENSION GROWTH, APICAL DOMINANCE, AND TILLERING	3				
		(3) LEAF DEVELOPMENT	4				
		(4) FLOWERING AND FRUIT DEVELOPMENT	4				
		(5) DISCUSSION	5				
	С.	THE EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS ON PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROCESSES IN PLANTS	6				
		(1) CELLULAR DEVELOPMENT	6				
		(2) STOMATA	7				
		(3) PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND TRANSLOCATION	9				
		(4) PROTEIN SYNTHESIS, ENZYME ACTIVITY, AND METABOLITE SYNTHESIS	14				
		(5) NUCLEIC ACIDS	16				
		(6) TRANSPORT	18				
		(7) DISCUSSION	20				
	D.	WATER STRESS AND PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS	20				
		(1) THE GROWTH REGULATORS INVOLVED AND CHANGES IN THEIR ACTIVITY IN RESPONSE TO PLANT WATER STRESS	20				
		(a) <u>Inhibitors</u>	20				
		(i) Introduction	20				
		(ii) Chemistry of ABA and related Inhibitors	21				

Chapter						Page
				(iii)	Biosynthesis and Metabolism of Abscisic Acid	23
				(iv)	Distribution of ABA	25
				(v)	Discussion	25
			(b)	Cytoki	nins	26
			(c)	Auxins	1	26
			(d)	Gibber	ellins	27
			(e)	Ethyle	ne	27
			(f)	Discus	sion	27
		(2)	WITH		ATION OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AJOR PLANT PROCESSES AFFECTED BY SS	28
			(a)	Stonat	<u>a</u>	28
			(b)	Water	and Ionic Relations	31
			(c)	Photos	ynthesis and Transport	33
			(d)	Cell G	rowth and Extension	35
					c Processes and Nucleic Acid ure and Function	36
			(f)	Intera	ctions Between Growth Regulators	38
	E.	THE	EFFEC	TS OF	WATER STRESS ON THE WHOLE PLANT	39
II	RAT	IONAL	E FOR	R EXPER	IMENTAL WORK	42
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS					44
	Λ	INTR	ODUCI	NOI		44
	B.	EXPE	RIMEN	ITAL DE	SIGN	44
		(1)	PREI	JIMINAR	Y EXPERIMENTS	44
		(2)	MAIN	EXPER	IMENT	45
	С.	OBSE MERI		ONS ON	THE STATUS OF THE APICAL	47
	Д.	STOM	ATAT.	TMPRES	STONS	49

Chapter		9	Page
	E.	MEASUREMENTS OF PLANT WATER STATUS	51
		(1) LEAF WATER DEFICIT	51
		(2) WATER POTENTIAL	55
	F.	EXTRACTION AND PURIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR AUXINS AND INHIBITORS	57
	G.	BIOASSAY PROCEDURES	60
		(1) TRITICUM COLEOPTILE	60
		(2) COMMELINA COMMUNIS BIOASSAY	62
		(3) AVENA COLEOPTILE BIOASSAY	66
	н.	PROCEDURE FOR GAS-LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPH (G.L.C.)	68
	I.	FINAL YIELD ANALYSIS	71
IV	RES	ULTS	72
	A.	INTRODUCTION	72
	₿.	STONATAL APERTURE	74
	C.	WATER STATUS DETERMINATIONS	75
		(1) LEAF WATER DEFICIT	75
		(2) PRESSURE BOMB	75
	D.	BIOASSAY DETERMINATIONS	76
		(1) WHEAT COLEOPTILE	76
		(2) COMMELINA COMMUNIS BIOASSAY	83
		(3) AVENA COLEOPTILE BIOASSAY	84
	Ε.	G.L.C. DETERMINATIONS	84
	F.	FINAL YIELD DATA	86
V	DIS	CUSSION	
	Α.	INHIBITOR LEVELS IN PEAS SUBJECTED TO WATER STRESS CYCLES	89
	В.	EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS ON STOMATA	91
	C.	EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS ON THE PHYSIOLOGY AND YIELD OF PEA PLANTS	102

Chapter		Page
	(1) CHANGES IN APICAL DOMINANCE	102
	(2) SENESCENCE	105
	(3) YIELD OF HAULM AND PEAS	106
	D. WHAT ROLE DO ENDOGENOUS PLANT HORMONES PLAY IN RESPONSES TO WILTING STRESS CYCLES	107
VI	FINAL COMMENT	116
	APPENDIX I	118
	APPENDIX II	120
	APPENDIX III	133
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	139

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Schene proposed by Charles-Edwards (1971) illustrating some of the major factors contributing to the water and CO2 diffusion pathways between the air and the leaf.	11
2	The chemical structure of abscisic acid and related inhibitors	22
3	Observations on the status of the apical meristem of Pisun sativum L.	48
4	Stomatal impressions of the abaxial epidermis of Pisum sativum made with plastic glue.	50
5	Stocker's determination. Time course for water uptake by mature pea leaves.	54
6	Summary of extraction procedure for auxins and inhibitors.	59
7	Apparatus for coleoptile cutting.	61
8	Rotating wheel for colcoptile bioassays	61
9	Standard curve for wheat coleoptile response to abscisic acid.	63
10	Apparatus used for <u>Commelina communis</u> epidermal strip bioassay.	65
11	Standard curve illustrating the response of stomata in epidermal strips of <u>Commelina communis</u> to abscisic acid.	67
12	Standard curve for Avena coleoptile response to IAA.	67
13	Wilted and unwilted plants from the flowering wilting stress cycle.	73
14	Trends in water potential, water deficit, stomatal aperture, and inhibitor levels in the first mature leaf of pea plants subjected to a wilting cycle during the pre-flowering stage.	77
15	Trends in water potential, water deficit, stomatal aperture, and inhibitor levels in the first nature leaf of pea plants subjected to a wilting cycle during the early flowering stage.	78
16	Trends in water potential, water deficit, stomatal aperture, and inhibitor levels in the first mature leaf of pea plants subjected to a wilting cycle during the flat pod stage.	79

17	Trends in water potential, water deficit, stomatal aperture, and inhibitor levels in the first nature leaf of pea plants subjected to a wilting cycle during the pod swelling stage.	80
18	A comparison of trends and values for inhibitor levels as determined by the wheat coleoptile, and <u>Commelina</u> communis epidermal strip, bioassays.	82
19	Determination of the prescence of abscisic acid in the purified acidic ether fraction from extracts of peatissue, using gas-liquid chromatography.	85
20	Theoretical scheme for the association of growth regulators with processes affected by water stress.	115
21	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the first nature leaf of pea plants taken during the pre-flowering stress cycle.	120
22	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the apical tissues of pea plants taken during the pre-flowering stress cycle.	121
23	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the first nature leaf of pea plants taken during the early flowering stress cycle.	122
24	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chronatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the first nature leaf of pea plants taken during the stress cycle at the flat pod stage.	123
25	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the first mature leaf of pea plants taken during the stress cycle at the pod swell stage.	124
26	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the basic ether fractions from extracts of the first mature leaf of pea plants taken during the pre-flowering stress cycle.	125
27	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the basic ether fractions from extracts of the first nature leaf of peas taken during the early flowering cycle.	126
28	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the basic ether fractions from extracts of the first nature leaf of pea plants taken during the flat pod stress cycle.	127

29	Growth response of wheat coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the basic ether fractions from extracts of the first mature leaf of pea plants taken during the pod swell stress cycle.	128
30	Growth response of <u>Avena</u> coleoptiles to chromatograph sections of the acidic ether fractions from extracts of the first mature leaf of pea plants.	129
31	Response of stomata in <u>Commelina communis</u> epidermal strips to eluates of Rf 5 - 7 from chromatographs of the acidic ether fraction from extracts of the first mature leaf of pea plants taken during water stress cycles.	130
32	Trends in the dry matter content of the first mature pea	131

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
I	The effect of repeated applications of Selley's Plastic Glue on the stomatal aperture in the same leaf on two different plants.	51.
II	The use of different pea leaf sections to determine leaf water deficit by Stocker's method.	56
III	The effect of water stress on the development of water deficits in the first mature pea leaf and the leaf immediately below it as determined using Stocker's method.	56
IV	The effects of water stress, applied at different stages in the pea life cycle, on some parameters examined in the final yield analysis.	87
V	Final yield data (not shown in Table IV) for pea plants stressed at different stages of growth.	132
VI	Critical factors for allowances for one-way (balanced) division into groups 1% risk (Link and Wallace, 1952).	134

ABSTRACT

Plants of <u>Pisum sativum</u> L., grown under controlled environmental conditions, were subjected to wilting stress cycles at different developmental stages and analyzed for changes in inhibitor levels, stomatal aperture, water status, and effects on final yield.

As leaf water potential decreased past a critical level, stomatal aperture decreased markedly and, at the same time, inhibitor levels increased rapidly. The maximum inhibitor levels attained, as determined by several different methods of assessment, approximately halved with each later wilting cycle, whilst the degree of stomatal closure was approximately the same for each cycle. During the recovery phase, plant water status recovered to normal 24 hours after rewatering. At this time inhibitor levels had decreased markedly and, in the later cycles, had apparently declined to normal levels. However stomatal aperture had only recovered slightly at this point in all cycles and by 4 days after rewatering stomata had generally regained normal apertures.

Results of the final yield analysis were confounded somewhat by the shooting of basal buds, particularly on plants subjected to wilting cycles during the preflowering and flowering stages, and a possible explanation for this lateral growth is discussed. However the pod swelling stage was more sensitive to water stress than other stages.

Changes in inhibitor levels alone, did not appear to be related directly to stomatal responses or any sensitivity of particular growth stages. Some possible reasons for these observations are presented on the basis of evidence available in the literature.