Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Councils' use of the RMA and LGA in Coastal Development Decisions: Towards Sustainability

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Resource and Environmental Planning

at Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Angela Maureen Bell 2009

#### **Abstract**

The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) gave councils a mandate and an obligation to promote sustainability. Along with this it also introduced an expectation that the environment will be better managed than previously. Evidence shows that the environment is continuing to be degraded. This is especially evident in the coastal environment where in the early 2000s there has been unprecedented development pressure. The addition of the Local Government Act in 2002 (LGA) has strengthened councils' sustainability mandate by stipulating a sustainable development approach and supporting processes and principles. Because the RMA and LGA have a similar sustainability directive there has been a push to utilise the compatible strengths that the LGA offers to enhance the outcomes achieved through RMA decisions, therefore, integrating and aligning the LGA and RMA.

This research used case studies, including interviews, to examine how councils use their RMA and LGA mandates in coastal development decisions. The research found that currently there is little integration of the LGA's requirements and sustainability direction in RMA coastal subdivision decisions. The case study analysis showed that using the principles and processes in the LGA and also a council's policies, strategies, and plans other than RMA documents would provide up to date policy direction and contextual information that would be useful for RMA decisions and could provide a more sustainable outcome if used.

The case studies identified a significant number of barriers to achieving sustainability through the RMA, including that much of the policy direction in RMA planning documents is not considered in deliberations. A number of these barriers are also likely to reduce attempts to integrate and align the LGA and RMA, unless they are addressed.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Investigation into a council's decisions can be uncomfortable even though it adds to a body of research and understanding about particular situations. I am therefore very appreciative of the council officers and elected members interviewed at Horowhenua District Council and Gisborne District Council for their co-operation and honesty.

Special thanks to my supervisor Christine Cheyne for her time, guidance, knowledge, and support throughout this research. It has been a long road to completion and the encouragement given by Christine is truly valued. My previous supervisors Meredith Gibbs and Ryan Walker, thank you for guiding my original ideas and thoughts into something tangible.

My family and friends, thank you for supporting me throughout this very long process and understanding why I couldn't enjoy spending long summer days with you. Special thanks to Hamish and Maureen.

#### **List of Acronyms and Abbreviations**

**AEE** Assessment of Environmental Effects

**ASCH** Area Sensitive to Coastal Hazards

**CDEM** Civil Defence and Emergency Management

GDC Gisborne District Council

GUCS Gisborne Urban Coastal Strategy

**HYS** Horowhenua Youth Strategy

**LGA** Local Government Act 2002

**LGNZ** Local Government New Zealand

**LIUDD** Low Impact Urban Design and Development

LTCCP Long Term Council Community Plan

MAF Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry

MfE Ministry for the Environment

MHWS Mean High Water Springs

**NIWA** National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research

NZBCSD New Zealand Business Council for Sustainable Development

NZCPS New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement

**OECD** Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PAS Positive Aging Strategy

PCE Parliamentary Commission for the Environment

**PUCM** Planning Under a Cooperative Mandate

PMA Protection Management Area

**SH** State Highway

**RPDLW** Proposed Regional Plan for Discharges to Land and Water, Waste

Management and Hazardous Substances

**RMA** Resource Management Act 1991

**RPS** Regional Policy Statement

**UNCED** United Nations Conference for Environment and Development

WCED World Commission on Environment and Development

### **Table of Contents**

| Abstract   |   | III      |      |                       |   |
|--|---|----------|------|-----------------------|---|
| Acknowledgments  List of Acronyms and Abbreviations  Table of Contents |   |          |      |                       |   |
|  |   |          | List | of Figures and Tables | X |
|  |   |          | Chap | oter 1: Introduction  |   |
| 1.1  | Introduction  | 1        |      |                       |   |
| 1.2  | Research Question                                     | 2        |      |                       |   |
|  | 1.2.1 Limitations                                     | 3        |      |                       |   |
| 1.3  | Key Concepts  | 3        |      |                       |   |
|  | 1.3.1 Sustainable Development                         | 3        |      |                       |   |
|  | 1.3.2 Degradation of the Coastal Environment          | 4        |      |                       |   |
|  | 1.3.3 RMA and LGA Working Together                    | 5        |      |                       |   |
| 1.4  | Thesis Outline  | 6        |      |                       |   |
| 1.5  | Conclusion  | 7        |      |                       |   |
| 1.6  | Postscript  | 7        |      |                       |   |
| Chap   | oter 2: Background                                    |          |      |                       |   |
| 2.1  | Introduction  | 8        |      |                       |   |
| 2.2  | The State of New Zealand's Environment                | 8        |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.2.1 Coastal Degradation                             | 10       |      |                       |   |
| 2.3  | Towards Sustainability in New Zealand                 | 11       |      |                       |   |
| 2.4  | Interpreting Sustainable Development                  | 12       |      |                       |   |
| 2.5  | The Resource Management Act 1991                      | 16       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.5.1 The Sustainable Management Purpose              | 16       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.5.2 Principles Underlying the RMA                   | 17       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.5.3 RMA Documents                                   | 18       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.5.4 The Resource Consent Process                    | 19       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.5.5 Barriers to Implementation of the RMA's Purpose | 21       |      |                       |   |
| 2.6  | The Local Government Act 2002                         | 23       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.6.1 The Sustainable Development Purpose             | 23       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.6.2 Principles Underlying the LGA                   | 25       |      |                       |   |
| 0.7  | 2.6.3 The LGA in Practice                             | 27       |      |                       |   |
| 2.7  | Integration of the RMA and LGA                        | 28       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.7.1 One Strategic Vision                            | 28       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.7.2 Consultation                                    | 29       |      |                       |   |
|  | 2.7.3 Decision-making                                 | 30       |      |                       |   |
| 2.8  | 2.7.4 Monitoring Conclusion                           | 31<br>31 |      |                       |   |
| ۷.٥  | CUTICIUSIUT   | ા ગ      |      |                       |   |

| Chap | oter 3: Methodology   |          |  |  |
|------|---|----------|--|--|
| 3.1  | Introduction  | 32       |  |  |
| 3.2  | Methodological Approach: Performance Based Assessment           |          |  |  |
| 3.3  | Case Study Research   | 35       |  |  |
|      | 3.3.1 The Number of Case Studies                                | 36       |  |  |
|      | 3.3.2 Criteria Used to Select Case Studies                      | 37       |  |  |
|      | 3.3.3 Interviews  | 39       |  |  |
| 3.4  | Data Collection   | 40       |  |  |
| 3.5  | Data Assessment   | 41       |  |  |
|      | 3.5.1 Qualitative Assessment and a Performance Approach         | 41       |  |  |
|      | 3.5.2 Analytical Framework                                      | 42       |  |  |
| 3.6  | Limitations   | 43       |  |  |
| 3.7  | Conclusion  | 44       |  |  |
| Chap | oter 4: Coastal Subdivision at Waitarere- Data Presentation and | Analysis |  |  |
| 4.1  | Introduction  | 45       |  |  |
| 4.2  | Justification for Case study Selection                          | 45       |  |  |
| 4.3  | Case Study Context  | 46       |  |  |
|      | 4.3.1 Overview  | 46       |  |  |
|      | 4.3.2 Consent Application Details                               | 48       |  |  |
|      | 4.3.3 Planning Considerations                                   | 49       |  |  |
|      | 4.3.4 Public Notification                                       | 50       |  |  |
| 4.4  | Data Presentation and Analysis                                  | 51       |  |  |
|      | 4.4.1 The Officer's Report                                      | 52       |  |  |
|      | 4.4.1.1 RMA Plans and Statutory Provisions                      | 52       |  |  |
|      | 4.4.1.2 Non-RMA Policy Documents and the LGA                    | 67       |  |  |
|      | 4.4.2 The Decision Report                                       | 75       |  |  |
| 4.5  | Conclusion  | 78       |  |  |
| Chap | oter 5: Coastal Subdivision at Tatapouri- Data Presentation and | Analysis |  |  |
| 5.1  | Introduction  | 80       |  |  |
| 5.2  | Justification for Case study Selection                          | 80       |  |  |
| 5.3  | Case study Context  | 81       |  |  |
|      | 5.3.1 Overview  | 81       |  |  |
|      | 5.3.2 Consent Application Details                               | 82       |  |  |
|      | 5.3.3 Planning Considerations                                   | 84       |  |  |
|      | 5.3.4 Public Notification                                       | 85       |  |  |
| 5.4  | Data Presentation and Analysis                                  | 86       |  |  |
|      | 5.4.1 The Officer's Report                                      | 87       |  |  |
|      | 5.4.1.1 RMA Statutory Provisions and Plans                      | 87       |  |  |
|      | 5.4.1.2 Non-RMA Documents and the LGA                           | 107      |  |  |
|      | 5.4.2 The Decision Report                                       | 114      |  |  |
| 5.5  | Conclusion  | 116      |  |  |
| Chap | oter 6: Analysis of Interviews                                  |          |  |  |
| 6.1  | Introduction  | 117      |  |  |
| 6.2  | Analysis  | 117      |  |  |
|      | 6.2.1 Approach to Assessing the Application                     | 117      |  |  |
|      | 6.2.2 Limitations of the District Plans                         | 120      |  |  |
|      | 6.2.3 Leadership and Political Commitment                       | 121      |  |  |
|      | 6.2.4 Understanding of the LGA and RMA                          | 124      |  |  |

| 6.3                             | Conclusion   | 126 |
|---------------------------------|--|-----|
| Chap                            | oter 7: Discussion   |     |
| 7.1                             | Introduction   | 127 |
| 7.2                             | Integration and Alignment of the LGA and RMA                   | 128 |
|                                 | 7.2.1 Strategic Vision   | 128 |
|                                 | 7.2.2 Using Policy Direction to Achieve the Sustainable Vision | 129 |
|                                 | 7.2.3 Consideration of LGA Responsibilities and Direction      | 130 |
| 7.3                             | Limitations to Considering and Applying Policy Guidance        | 132 |
|                                 | 7.3.1 Planning Practice  | 133 |
|                                 | 7.3.2 Weaknesses in Planning Documents                         | 135 |
|                                 | 7.3.3 Coastal Land Not Valued                                  | 136 |
|                                 | 7.3.4 Political Commitment                                     | 136 |
|                                 | 7.3.5 Local Government's Role Not Well Understood              | 137 |
| <b>7</b> 4                      | 7.3.6 Lack of Understanding of Sustainability                  | 138 |
| 7.4                             | Conclusion   | 138 |
| Chap                            | eter 8: Conclusion   | 140 |
| Refe                            | rences   | 143 |
| Appe                            | endices  |     |
| Appe                            | Appendix 1: Legislation  |     |
| Appendix 2: Ethics              |  | 165 |
| Appendix 3: Case Study Tables   |  |     |
| Appendix 4: Interview Questions |  |     |

## **List of Figures and Tables**

| Figure 2.1- | Weak Sustainable Development                   | 13  |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 2.2- | Strong Sustainable Development                 | 14  |
| Table 7.1-  | Limitations to achieving a sustainable outcome | 132 |