

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**DRY MATTER PARTITIONING IN
Zantedeschia K. Spreng, AS INFLUENCED BY
TEMPERATURE AND PHOTOSYNTHETIC
PHOTON FLUX**

**Keith Allen Funnell
1993**

**A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree
of Doctorate of Philosophy in
Horticultural Science at
Massey University**

ABSTRACT

The *in vivo* and *in vitro* dry matter accumulation and partitioning in plants of the *Zantedeschia pentlandii*-like (Watson) Wittm. selection 'Best Gold' were described under a range of either temperature and photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) regimes, or sucrose concentrations, using plant growth analysis.

The initiation of tuber growth, as denoted by increases in both structural and starch dry weights, did not require an obligative environmental trigger.

Relative rates of dry matter accumulation (RGR_w) increased linearly with increasing temperature up to a maximum of 28 C, with maximum final total and tuber dry weight occurring between 21 and 26 C both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. The linear relationship between the relative rate of dry matter accumulation of the tuber (RGR_T) and temperature, indicated a PPF dependent base temperature for tuber growth between 4.8 and 6.1 C.

By principally altering dry matter partitioning, total dry matter accumulation was highly adaptive to PPF regime. The ability to alter the photosynthetic rate and the partitioning of the daily increment of dry matter into leaf area (LWP), resulted in greater values of the estimated final total plant dry matter under the low PPF regime ($348 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$), at temperatures less than 22 C. At temperatures greater than 19 C the estimated maximum total plant dry weight was either not influenced by PPF or was slightly greater under the high PPF regime ($694 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$). This ability to effectively utilize a low PPF regime indicates that this selection is shade tolerant. The optimum PPF for growth was found to be temperature dependent: estimated maximum total plant dry weight occurred under high PPF at 25 C, whereas the estimated maximum tuber dry weight occurred at 24.5 C under low PPF.

RGR_w was highly correlated with LWP. In contrast, only a poor correlation was determined between RGR_w , and either the efficiency of these leaves to produce additional dry matter, i.e., net assimilation rate (NAR), or starch concentration or soluble carbohydrate concentration. Photosynthetic rate was correlated with RGR_w , but not with RGR_T . While the photosynthetic process must be involved in contributing photoassimilates for tuber growth, it was suggested that the plant's response to dry matter partitioning into the leaf, i.e., LWP, and the tuber, i.e., TWP, had a greater influence in determining tuber growth than could be accounted for by the photosynthetic rate.

Mechanisms of acclimation under both PPF regime suggested that tuber growth was principally source limited. Source limitation was expressed either in terms of:

- 1) enhanced intersink competition for assimilates, as occurred under the low PPF regime, where enhanced leaf area development (LWP) was in direct competition with enhanced tuber growth (RGR_T). This was also confirmed in vitro where dry matter partitioning to the tuber was reduced under limited source strength.
- 2) efficiency of dry matter accumulation of leaf area present, as occurred under the high PPF regime, where large increases in RGR_T were correlated with increased NAR. This was also confirmed in vitro where increased source strength increased tuber dry weight.

However, in vitro experiments where source strength was controlled, illustrated that tuber growth was also potentially sink limited at temperatures both lower and higher than the optimum. At 31 C the sink limitation of tuber growth arose from more than the temperature-induced limitation on growth and respiration found at other sink limiting temperatures. At this temperature an additional form of sink limitation was evident where partitioning of dry matter towards the tuber was also restricted. It was suggested that this additional form of sink limitation may have arisen from high temperature inactivation of starch metabolising or sucrose unloading enzymes.

Application of the dry matter partitioning term TWP, provided a more sensitive measure of short term changes in partitioning than the conventionally used term, harvest index.

The optimum temperature range for growth was close to the average daily air temperature during the season for the sites of natural habitat of the suggested parent specie, *Zantedeschia pentlandii*. Similarly the shade tolerance status of this selection was paralleled by the diversity of PPF habitats it naturally occupies, as created by open grassland and forest margins. It was therefore suggested that *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' is well adapted to optimise growth under the temperature and PPF regimes of its natural habitat.

This study suggests that improvements in commercial yield of *Zantedeschia* tubers can be achieved in all regions of New Zealand through the use of protected cultivation with supplemental heating. However, unless using protected cultivation, the potential improvements in commercial tuber yields, through the application of shading, are only likely to be evident in warmer regions of New Zealand where growers utilize extended periods of cultivation and optimise leaf area duration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The completion of this thesis is a piece of independent research, but so many individuals have contributed either directly or indirectly. In particular, I would like to thank the following:

my supervisors Dr I.J. Warrington, Dr J.A. Plummer and Dr E.W. Hewett for their challenges, guidance and constructive criticism;

Dr D.J. Chalmers for his leadership and foresight as Head of Department of the then Department of Horticultural Science, to initiate the unique opportunity for me to study towards my PhD;

the management and staff of HortResearch (formally DSIR Plant Physiology Division, and DSIR Fruit and Trees) especially Dr D. Cohen, and the Department of Plant Science (formally the Department of Horticultural Science) for the provision of facilities and technical advice;

Massey University Research Fund (MURF), Massey University Agricultural Research Fund (MUARF), and The C. Alma Baker Trust who all provided various forms of financial contribution;

Dr J.M. Wilson for an endless supply of tubers and seed, as well as thought provoking discussion;

my family and friends who either provided moral support or without knowing it, suitable distractions that created some semblance of normality during a demanding few years of my life.

Parts of Sections 1, 3, 4 and 5 of this thesis have been published in the following;

Funnell, K.A. 1993. *Zantedeschia*, p. 683-739. In: A. De Hertogh and M. Le Nard (eds.). *The physiology of flower bulbs. A comprehensive treatise on the physiology and utilization of ornamental flowering bulbous and tuberous plants.* Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam.

Funnell, K.A., J.A. Plummer and I.J. Warrington. 1990. Temperature and light effects on tuber growth in calla lilies. Abstracts XXIII Intl. Hort. Congr., Firenze, Italy, 1990, no. 3261.

1.6	Control of flowering	17
1.6.1	General overview	17
1.6.2	Flowering process and terminology	17
1.6.3	Influence of internal factors	20
1.6.3.1	Rhizome and tuber size	20
1.6.3.2	Dormancy and floral induction	20
1.6.4	Influence of external factors	21
1.6.4.1	Temperature	21
1.6.4.2	Light	23
1.6.4.3	Nutrition	24
1.6.4.4	Chemical growth regulators	24
1.6.4.5	Air pollutants	26
1.6.5	Commercial forcing for pot and cut flower production	26
1.6.5.1	Rhizome and tuber storage	26
1.6.5.2	Pre-plant treatments	26
1.6.5.3	Planting	27
1.6.5.4	Height control	27
1.6.5.5	Forcing environment	28
1.6.5.6	Physiological disorders	28
1.6.5.7	Post-greenhouse handling and marketing	29
1.7	Diseases and insects	29
1.8	Miscellaneous physiological and biochemical studies	31
1.8.1	Spathe regreening	31
1.8.2	Tuber respiration	31
1.9	Concluding remarks	31
1.10	References	33
2	INTRODUCTORY OVERVIEW AND AIM OF THE CURRENT STUDY	41
2.1	Overview of study	41
2.2	Aim of this study	42
2.3	References	43

3	DRY MATTER ACCUMULATION AND LEAF LAMINA DEVELOPMENT OF <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE AND PHOTOSYNTHETIC PHOTON FLUX	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Materials and Methods	48
	3.2.1 Cultural	48
	3.2.2 Environmental	48
	3.2.3 Experimental	49
3.3	Results	54
	3.3.1 Overview and initial establishment	54
	3.3.2 Repetition of treatments over years	57
	3.3.3 Curve fitting of total plant dry weight	57
	3.3.4 Curve fitting of leaf area and dry weight	61
	3.3.5 Relationships between derived parameters	68
3.4	Discussion	70
	3.4.1 Conclusions	75
3.5	References	76
4	TUBER DRY MATTER ACCUMULATION OF <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE AND PHOTOSYNTHETIC PHOTON FLUX	82
4.1	Introduction	82
4.2	Materials and Methods	86
4.3	Results	89
	4.3.1 Overview	89
	4.3.2 Commencement of tuber growth	91
	4.3.3 Curve fitting of tuber growth	92
	4.3.4 Maximum tuber weight	96
	4.3.5 Base temperature for tuber growth	96

4.3.6	Tuber and leaf weight partitioning	97
4.3.7	Net assimilation rate	101
4.3.8	Relationships between derived parameters	102
4.4	Discussion	107
4.4.1	Conclusions	111
4.5	References	112
5	PHOTOSYNTHETIC ACTIVITY OF <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE AND PHOTOSYNTHETIC PHOTON FLUX	116
5.1	Introduction	116
5.2	Materials and Methods	119
5.2.1	Experimental	119
5.2.1.1	Photosynthesis as a function of leaf expansion	119
5.2.1.2	Photosynthetic rate as a function of duration from commencement of daily lighting	119
5.2.1.3	Photosynthetic rate as a function of photosynthetic photon flux	120
5.2.1.4	Photosynthesis during plant development	121
5.3	Results	122
5.3.1	Photosynthesis as a function of leaf expansion	122
5.3.2	Photosynthesis as a function of duration from commencement of daily lighting	124
5.3.3	Photosynthetic rate as a function of photosynthetic photon flux	125
5.3.4	Photosynthesis during plant development	129
5.3.5	Photosynthesis as a predictor of growth and yield	132
5.4	Discussion	133
5.5	References	139

6	CARBOHYDRATE CONCENTRATION OF <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE AND PHOTOSYNTHETIC PHOTON FLUX	145
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Materials and Methods	148
6.2.1	Cultural and environmental	148
6.2.2	Experimental	148
6.2.2.1	Determination of concentration of specific soluble carbohydrates	148
6.2.2.2	Starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration as a function of duration from commencement of daily lighting	149
6.2.2.3	Starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration during plant development	151
6.3	Results	155
6.3.1	Leaf and tuber soluble carbohydrate composition	155
6.3.2	Starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration as a function of duration from commencement of daily lighting	156
6.3.3	Starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration during plant development	157
6.3.3.1	Overview	157
6.3.3.2	Tuber starch concentration	158
6.3.3.3	Tuber soluble carbohydrate concentration	161
6.3.3.4	Leaf starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration	163
6.3.4	Carbohydrate and structural dry weight concentration as predictors of growth and yield	164
6.4	Discussion	166
6.4.1	Specific soluble carbohydrates and sample preparation	166
6.4.2	Diurnal and developmental changes in starch and soluble carbohydrate concentration	166
6.4.3	Tuber starch and structural dry weight changes with development	169
6.4.4	Carbohydrate and structural dry weight concentration as predictors of growth and yield	171
6.4.5	Conclusions	172
6.5	References	173

7	MANIPULATION OF IN VITRO SOURCE AND SINK STRENGTH, AND DRY MATTER PARTITIONING IN <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' . . .	180
7.1	Introduction	180
7.2	Materials and Methods	185
	7.2.1 Germination media, media transfer and sucrose concentrations . . .	185
	7.2.2 Manipulation of in vitro source and sink strengths	187
7.3	Results	189
	7.3.1 Germination media, media transfer and sucrose concentrations . . .	189
	7.3.1.1 Germination	189
	7.3.1.2 Dry matter accumulation and partitioning	189
	7.3.2 Manipulation of source and sink strengths	192
7.4	Discussion	199
	7.4.1 Conclusions	204
7.5	References	205
8	ECOLOGICAL AND HORTICULTURAL RELEVANCE, AND MECHANISMS OF CONTROL OF DRY MATTER ACCUMULATION AND PARTITIONING IN <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' - AN INTEGRATIVE DISCUSSION	212
8.1	Ecological relevance	212
8.2	Mechanisms of control	213
8.3	Horticultural relevance and consequences	218
8.4	References	221

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

α	apparent photosynthetic quantum yield
$\alpha_{W,A,L,Ls,T}$ or T_s	upper asymptote of factor under investigation
A	leaf area
$\beta_{W,A,L,Ls,T}$ or T_s	a measure of the starting size of the factor under investigation
BA	benzyl (1 <i>H</i> -purin-6-yl) amine
C	Celsius
cm	centimetre
cm ²	square centimetre
CE	controlled environment
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
DTEMP	day temperature
g	gram
GA ₃	gibberellic acid
GA ₄₊₇	gibberellin 4 and 7
h	hour
ha	hectare
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatograph
i.e.	(<i>id est</i>) that is
$k_{W,A,L,Ls,T}$ or T_s	rate constant of factor under investigation as a function of size
kg	kilogram
L	leaf weight
LAP	leaf area partitioning
LAR	leaf area ratio
LWP	leaf weight partitioning
LWR	leaf weight ratio
log _e	natural logarithm
Ls	leaf starch dry weight
LS	Linsmaier and Skoog organic additives
m	metre
m ²	square metre
m ³	cubic metre
mg	milligram
min	minute
ml	millilitre
M	molar
mm	millimetre
MS	Murashige and Skoog medium

n	number of observations in a sample
ng	nanogram
nm	nanometre
NAR	net assimilation rate
N.B.	(<i>nota bene</i>) note well
NTEMP	night temperature
P	probability
Pa	Pascal
pH	measure of acidity or alkalinity
P_{max}	maximum photosynthetic rate at saturating PPF
P_n	net photosynthetic rate
PPF	photosynthetic photon flux
ppm	parts per million
r	partial correlation
R	respiration rate
r^2	coefficient of determination
RGR	relative growth rate
RLAER	relative leaf area expansion rate
RLSWR	relative leaf starch weight rate
RLWR	relative leaf weight rate
RWP	root weight partitioning
s	second
SAS	Statistical Analysis System (statistical software)
s.e.	standard error of the mean
SLA	specific leaf area
str	dry weight of structural material (i.e., minus soluble sugars and starch)
t	time
t_c	time to commencement of tuber growth
T	tuber dry weight
$T_{\%}$	percentage tuber weight loss at the commencement of tuber growth
tanh	hyperbolic tangent
Ts	tuber starch dry weight
Tstr	dry weight of tuber structural material (i.e., minus soluble sugars and starch)
TWP	tuber weight partitioning
μl	microlitre
μm	micrometre
μmol	micromole
viz.	(<i>videlicet</i>) namely
v/v	volume (mix ratio)

W total plant dry weight

%LA percentage maximum leaf area

$_ \circ _ 'S$ angular distance on its meridian South of equator in degrees and minutes

δ mathematical notation for an interval

ΔP difference between photosynthetic rate under saturating PPF
and photosynthetic rate under the growth PPF

ns, *, **, *** unless otherwise stated, probability of a significant F value;
nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.10, 0.05, or 0.01, respectively

NOTES ON CITATION FORMAT

With a view to publishing this thesis as a series of scientific papers in journals such as those produced by the American Society for Horticultural Science (ASHS), the style of literature citation follows that recommended by ASHS. The citation system used therefore follows the Harvard system, and abbreviations for periodical titles are as suggested by ASHS.

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table 1.1	Descriptive features of the species and subspecies of <i>Zantedeschia</i> Spreng. 4
Table 1.2	Average daily maximum, minimum, mean air, and minimum soil (8.00 am, at 10 cm), temperatures (C) during the winter (June to July), for sites of natural habitat of <i>Zantedeschia aethiopica</i> , <i>Zantedeschia pentlandii</i> and <i>Zantedeschia rehmannii</i> 7
Table 1.3	Average daily maximum, minimum, mean air, and minimum soil (8.00 am, at 10 cm), temperatures (C) during the summer (October to February) for sites of natural habitat of <i>Zantedeschia aethiopica</i> , <i>Zantedeschia pentlandii</i> and <i>Zantedeschia rehmannii</i> 8
Table 3.1	Duration of growth (days) until attainment of 75% or more expansion of the first leaf of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold', at a range of temperatures, and high and low PPF regimes. 55
Table 3.2	Total plant relative growth rates ($RGR_w \pm$ standard error), and associated r^2 , for plants of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at day/night temperatures of 22/16 C, at high and low PPF, in two CE rooms over two years . 57
Table 3.3	Nonlinear least-squares parameter estimates, associated asymptotic standard error (s.e.), and mean square error values, from fitting the Gompertz function to \log_e transformed total plant dry weight data for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, and under high and low PPF regimes 59
Table 3.4	Nonlinear least-squares parameter estimates, associated asymptotic standard error (s.e.), and mean square error values, from fitting the logarithmic Gompertz function to \log_e transformed total plant leaf area data for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, and under high and low PPF regimes 63

Table 3.5	Nonlinear least-squares parameter estimates, associated asymptotic standard error (s.e.), and mean square error values, from fitting the logarithmic Gompertz function to \log_e transformed total plant leaf dry weight data for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, and under high and low PPF regimes	64
Table 3.6	Leaf area (cm^2) at inflection point of the fitted total plant dry weight Gompertz curve of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold'; grown at a range of temperatures, and high and low PPF regimes	65
Table 4.1	Parameters examined in the development of a mechanistic multiple regression model	88
Table 4.2	Nonlinear least-squares parameter estimates, associated asymptotic standard error (s.e.), and mean square error values, from fitting the Gompertz function to \log_e transformed tuber dry weight data for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, under high and low PPF regimes	94
Table 4.3	Partial correlation matrix between TWP, LWP, NAR and RGR_T at the inflection point of the Gompertz fit of tuber dry weight curves of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown under a range of environments . . .	103
Table 5.1	Correlation between photosynthetic rate (P_n) and relative growth rate of total plant weight (RGR_w) and tuber dry weight (RGR_T) of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown at six day/night temperatures and two PPF regimes, during two stages of development	132
Table 7.1	Dry matter accumulation and partitioning in seedlings of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Chromatella', as influenced by the presence of light or dark, and sucrose concentration in the growing medium	191
Table 7.2	Dry matter accumulation and partitioning in seedlings of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold', as influenced by temperature and sucrose concentration	193
Table 7.3	Dry matter accumulation within the shoot of seedlings of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold', as influenced by temperature and sucrose concentration	194

Table 7.4 Significance of trend analyses of increasing temperature and sucrose concentration on dry matter accumulation and partitioning in seedlings of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' 195

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE

Figure 1.1	Distribution of species in the genus <i>Zantedeschia</i> across the southern regions of Africa. (a) <i>Z. odorata</i> ■, <i>Z. jucunda</i> ●, and <i>Z. pentlandii</i> □, (b) <i>Z. rehmannii</i> □, (c) <i>Z. albomaculata</i> □, and (d) <i>Z. aethiopica</i> □. Adapted from Letty (1973); Anon (1989); Perry (1989)	6
Figure 1.2	Diagrammatic illustration of sympodial growth habit of a single primary shoot of <i>Zantedeschia</i>	19
Figure 1.3	Diagrammatic illustration of primary shoot of <i>Zantedeschia</i> , with secondary shoots arising from leaf axils	19
Figure 3.1	Examples of total plant dry weight (\log_e) as a function of time, for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold'. ↓ indicates day of transfer to treatments	54
Figure 3.2	Examples of relative growth rate (RGR_w) as a function of time, for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold'. ↓ indicates day of transfer to treatments	55
Figure 3.3	Maximum value of RGR_w as a function of temperature, for plants of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF regimes	56
Figure 3.4	Total plant dry weight (\log_e fitted Gompertz curves) for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under a high PPF regime. ↓ indicates day of transfer to treatments	58
Figure 3.5	Total plant dry weight (\log_e fitted Gompertz curves) for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under a low PPF regime. ↓ indicates day of transfer to treatments	58
Figure 3.6	\log_e maximum total plant dry weight (α_w) as a function of temperature, for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes. Fitted line for high PPF regime only	60
Figure 3.7	Interrelation between the rate of decline of RGR_w as a function of plant size (κ_w), and temperature, for <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes. Fitted line for low PPF only	61

- Figure 3.8** Fitted logarithmic Gompertz curves and mean data of total plant leaf area (\log_e) as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under a high PPF regime 62
- Figure 3.9** Fitted logarithmic Gompertz curves and mean data of total plant leaf area (\log_e) as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under a low PPF regime 62
- Figure 3.10** \log_e maximum total plant leaf area (α_A) as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes. Fitted line for high PPF regime only 65
- Figure 3.11** Parameter β_A as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes. Fitted line for low PPF only . . . 66
- Figure 3.12** Interrelation between the rate of decline of RLAER_s (κ_A) and temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes 67
- Figure 3.13** RGR_w as a function of RLAER, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under two PPF regimes and six temperatures 69
- Figure 3.14** RGR_w as a function of NAR, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under two PPF regimes and six temperatures 69
- Figure 3.15** RGR_w as a function of LAP, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under two PPF regimes and six temperatures 69
- Figure 3.16** RGR_w as a function of LWP, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under two PPF regimes and six temperatures 69
- Figure 4.1** Shoot, tuber, root and total dry weight per plant of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' plants grown at 25 C under high PPF. n=6 or 12 89
- Figure 4.2** Tuber dry weight (expressed as \log_e) of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' plants grown under high or low PPF at 16 or 28 C 90
- Figure 4.3** Time to commencement of tuber growth (t_c) as a function of temperature for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', grown under high and low PPF regimes . . 91

- Figure 4.4 Extent of tuber weight loss (T_*) at the time of commencement of tuber growth, as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', grown under high and low PPF regimes 92
- Figure 4.5 Fitted Gompertz curves and mean data points of \log_e tuber dry weight as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under high PPF regime 93
- Figure 4.6 Fitted Gompertz curves and mean data points of \log_e tuber dry weight as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' at a range of temperatures, under a low PPF regime 93
- Figure 4.7 \log_e maximum tuber dry weight (α_T) as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes 95
- Figure 4.8 RGR_T as a function of temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', grown under high and low PPF regimes. (N.B., line for low PPF excludes 28 C) 97
- Figure 4.9 Proportion of daily increment in total weight partitioned to the tuber (TWP) as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', at a range of temperatures, under a high PPF regime 98
- Figure 4.10 Proportion of daily increment in total weight partitioned to the tuber (TWP) as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', at a range of temperatures, under a low PPF regime 98
- Figure 4.11 Proportion of daily increment in total weight partitioned to the tuber (TWP), as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', grown under high and low PPF regimes at 13 or 28 C 99
- Figure 4.12 Proportion of daily increment in total weight partitioned to the tuber (TWP), as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes 100
- Figure 4.13 Proportion of daily increment in total weight partitioned to leaf (LWP) as a function of temperature, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' under high and low PPF regimes. (N.B., line for high PPF excludes 13 C) 101

- Figure 4.14** Net assimilation rate (NAR) as a function of temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold', grown under high and low PPF regimes. (N.B., line for high PPF regime excludes 13 C) 102
- Figure 4.15** Relative growth rate of the tuber (RGR_T) as a function of daily partitioning to the tuber (TWP) for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF regimes at all temperatures 104
- Figure 4.16** Relative growth rate of the tuber (RGR_T) as a function of daily partitioning to the leaf (LWP) under high and low PPF regimes, at all temperatures. Line is for low PPF regime at temperatures ≥ 16 C 104
- Figure 4.17** Relative growth rate of the tuber (RGR_T) as a function of net assimilation rate (NAR) under high and low PPF regimes, at all temperatures. Line is for high PPF regime at temperatures ≥ 16 C 105
- Figure 5.1** Net photosynthetic rate (P_n) as a function of individual leaf area expansion, at selected temperature and PPF regimes. Mean values \pm se., $n=6$, cubic spline fit. Arrows represent 75% maximum leaf area 122
- Figure 5.2** Photosynthetic rate (P_n) as a function of duration from commencement of daily lighting, at selected temperature regimes and high PPF. Mean values \pm se., $n=6$. Broken lines indicate limits of diurnal temperature changeovers 124
- Figure 5.3** Photosynthetic rate (P_n) per unit leaf area (a) and (b), and per unit leaf weight (c) and (d), as a function of photosynthetic photon flux (PPF), for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at day temperatures of 16, 22 and 28 C, under high (a) and (c), or low (b) and (d), PPF regimes. $n=6$ or 18, function = equation 5.1 125
- Figure 5.4** Maximum photosynthetic rate (P_{max}) per unit leaf area as a function of day temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF. Vertical bars = $2 \times$ standard error 126
- Figure 5.5** Maximum photosynthetic rate (P_{max}) per unit leaf weight as a function of day temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF. Vertical bars = $2 \times$ standard error 126

- Figure 5.6** Quantum yield (α) per unit leaf area as a function of day temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF. Vertical bars = 2 \times standard error 127
- Figure 5.7** Quantum yield (α) per unit leaf weight as a function of day temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF. Vertical bars = 2 \times standard error 127
- Figure 5.8** Difference (ΔP) between P_{\max} and P_{grow} per unit leaf area, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, under high and low PPF 128
- Figure 5.9** Difference (ΔP) between P_{\max} and P_{grow} per unit leaf weight, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at a range of temperatures, under high and low PPF 128
- Figure 5.10** Photosynthetic rate (P_n), per unit leaf area, of the most recently expanded leaf as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at a range of day/night temperatures under high and low PPF regimes. (a) 16/10 C (b) 22/10 C (c) 22/16 C (d) 28/16 C (e) 28/22 C (f) 28/28 C. Vertical bars = 2 \times standard error, arrows indicate commencement of tuber growth under high (H) and low (L) PPF 130
- Figure 5.11** Photosynthetic rate (P_n), per unit leaf weight, of the most recently expanded leaf as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at a range of day/night temperatures under high and low PPF regimes. (a) 16/10 C (b) 22/10 C (c) 22/16 (d) 28/16 C (e) 28/22 C (f) 28/28 C. Vertical bars = 2 \times standard error, arrows indicate commencement of tuber growth under high (H) and low (L) PPF 131
- Figure 6.1** Soluble carbohydrate concentration of mature leaves of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' determined either immediately after harvest (fresh) or after vacuum drying (dry). Mean values \pm se., n=3 155
- Figure 6.2** Soluble carbohydrate concentration of tubers of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' determined either immediately after harvest (fresh) or following vacuum drying (dry). Mean values \pm se., n=3 155

- Figure 6.3 Photosynthetic rate (P_n) and foliar carbohydrate concentration, as a function of duration of daily lighting, at 28/22 C under high PPF. Mean values \pm se., $n=3$. Broken lines indicate limits of diurnal environmental changeovers 156
- Figure 6.4 Tuber carbohydrate concentration as a function of duration of daily lighting at 28/22 C under high PPF. Mean values \pm se., $n=3$. Broken lines indicate limits of diurnal environmental changeovers 156
- Figure 6.5 Total, structural and starch tuber dry weight (\log_e), as a function of time, of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' plants grown at 25 C under high PPF. \downarrow indicates day of transfer to treatment environment 157
- Figure 6.6 Minimum tuber starch concentration as a function of temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF regimes. Mean values \pm se., $n=4$ 158
- Figure 6.7 Carbohydrate concentration of the tuber as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at three temperatures under high and low PPF regimes. (a) 13 C, high PPF (b) 13 C, low PPF (c) 19 C, high PPF (d) 19 C, low PPF (e) 25 C, high PPF (f) 25 C, low PPF. Mean values \pm se., $n=4$, \downarrow indicates commencement of tuber growth 159
- Figure 6.8 Tuber starch dry weight as a function of tuber structural dry weight. Low PPF before ($-\square-$), and both high and low PPF after ($-\circ-$), the commencement of tuber growth, for plants grown at three temperatures and two PPF regimes 160
- Figure 6.9 Relative growth rate (RGR) of tuber starch and tuber structural dry weight as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at three temperatures under high and low PPF regimes. (a) 19 C, high PPF (b) 19 C, low PPF (c) 25 C, high PPF (d) 25 C, low PPF 162
- Figure 6.10 Starch concentration of first leaf, as a function of temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF regimes. Mean values \pm se., $n=4$ 163

- Figure 6.11 Soluble carbohydrate concentration of first leaf, as a function of temperature, for plants of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown under high and low PPF regimes. Mean values \pm se., n=4 163
- Figure 6.12 Carbohydrate concentration of mature leaves as a function of time, for *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' grown at three temperatures under high and low PPF regimes. (a) 13 C, high PPF (b) 13 C, low PPF (c) 19 C, high PPF (d) 19 C, low PPF (e) 25 C, high PPF (f) 25 C, low PPF. Mean values \pm se., n=4, \downarrow indicates commencement of tuber growth 165
- Figure 7.1 Diagrammatic summary of germination and transfer treatment media used for seedlings of *Zantedeschia* 'Chromatella' 187
- Figure 7.2 Surface response curves illustrating the influence of increasing temperature and sucrose concentration on the dry matter accumulation and partitioning in seedlings of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold'. (a) Total dry weight, (b) Tuber dry weight, (c) Shoot dry weight, and (d) Proportion of total dry weight in the tuber 196
- Figure 7.3 Surface response curves illustrating the influence of increasing temperature and sucrose concentration on the number of (a) shoots and (b) leaves in seedlings of *Zantedeschia* 'Best Gold' 197

LIST OF PLATES

PAGES

Plate 1.1	Dissection of spathe to reveal complete separation of (m) male and (f) female flowers on spadix of the group 2 selection 'Best Gold' (right), compared with being interspersed on lower part of spadix in the group 1 specie <i>Z. aethiopica</i> (left). (a) region of dark pigmentation at the base of the spathe of 'Best Gold'	3
Plate 1.2	Flowering sized tuber of a group 2 selection indicating examples of (a) dominant bud, (b) developed axillary bud, and (c) undeveloped axillary bud	17
Plate 3.1	Harvested plant of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' illustrating components measured. (a) shoot (sheath leaves, petioles and apex, (b) exposed leaves, (c) tuber, (d) roots	50
Plate 7.1	Germinated seedling of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Chromatella' after being excised from the cotyledon	185
Plate 7.2	Seedlings of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Chromatella' after 29 weeks of cultivation in vitro at a range of sucrose concentrations in either the dark (upper) or light ($45 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ PPF) (lower)	190
Plate 7.3	Tubers from seedlings of <i>Zantedeschia</i> 'Best Gold' after 24 weeks of growth in vitro, at a range of temperatures and sucrose concentrations. N.B. shoots and roots removed	197