

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Studies on *Brucella ovis* infection in deer

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Veterinary Clinical Science
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Anne Lisa Ridler
2002

Abstract

Brucella ovis was first identified in the New Zealand farmed deer population in 1996 but little was known about the disease in deer. These experiments were undertaken to investigate the epidemiology, pathophysiology and diagnosis of *B. ovis* infection in deer. In addition, *B. ovis* isolates from commercial rams and stags were strain typed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

Transmission of infection was demonstrated from infected rams to stags grazing in the same paddock, suggesting that the initial source of infection for deer in New Zealand was likely to have been from rams. Transmission between stags did not occur after shifting non-infected stags into paddocks immediately vacated by infected stags, or after grazing non-infected stags in a paddock adjacent to infected stags over a five and a half month period. This suggests that the risk of transmission of *B. ovis* by the environment or indirect deer to deer contact is low. Stags became infected with *B. ovis* after experimental inoculation of the conjunctival, nasal and rectal mucous membranes. Behavioural observations identified that stags in all-male groups interact by mounting, sniffing the prepuce and perineum and spraying fluid from an extruded penis, which are considered high risk for the transmission of *B. ovis*.

It was established that while stags are initially as susceptible to *B. ovis* infection as rams the majority of stags stop shedding *B. ovis* in semen within 11 months of infection, suggesting resolution of infection. In contrast, all rams remained infected with *B. ovis* and shed the organism in semen for at least 21 months.

During the *B. ovis* shedding phase of infection, the majority of stags produced semen that had poor sperm motility and morphology and contained large numbers of leukocytes and cellular debris. However, following cessation of shedding stags produced semen that had good sperm motility and morphology, although leukocytes were still present.

The sensitivity of the commercially available serological tests at detecting infection in deer was 100% during the early stages of the disease but after 60 to 100 days of infection, their sensitivity decreased to 30 to 70%. In contrast, the sensitivity in rams

over a 630-day period was 100%. Detection of lesions of epididymitis by scrotal palpation of stags was an insensitive method of diagnosing infection.

Stags infected with *B. ovis* developed lesions in the epididymes, seminal vesicles and ampullae similar to those reported in rams. In the early stages of the infection, lesions in stags were severe but in more chronic infections the lesions were mild.

Vaginal inoculation of hinds immediately prior to mating resulted in no measurable adverse effects on reproduction, suggesting the disease is of little significance in hinds. Stags that mated vaginally-infected hinds became infected, demonstrating venereal transmission of the organism.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of *B. ovis* isolates revealed the presence of two strain types of *B. ovis* in the New Zealand farmed sheep and deer populations. Cervine isolates from two naturally-occurring cases of *B. ovis* in stags were different strain types. This confirmed that the two cases were unrelated, again highlighting the importance of rams in the epidemiology of this disease in deer.

Acknowledgements

I was fortunate to have an excellent supervisory team while undertaking this research. Their wealth of knowledge and experience, their “not a problem” attitude whenever I required assistance, their rapid turn-around times on work submitted for comments and their support were very much appreciated. I wish to thank my co-supervisors, Dr. Kevin Stafford and Dr. Peter Wilson, for their ideas, advice and support. In particular I would like to thank my chief supervisor, Dr. Dave West, for his enthusiasm, kindness and support. As a supervisor, a colleague and a mentor Dave is outstanding and it has been a privilege working with him.

I am grateful to The New Zealand Deer Farmer’s Association, the New Zealand Game Industry Board, the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, the Massey University Research Fund and the IVABS Research Fund, all of whom provided funding for this project.

Graham McCool and Robin Whitson looked after the deer and rams respectively and I would like to thank them for their help. Thanks to Mark Collett for undertaking the histological examination, Dr. Stan Fenwick for his input and Dr. Tim Parkinson for his assistance with semen evaluation. Nigel Perkins and Dean Burnham both assisted me with statistics and this was much appreciated.

For their assistance with sample collection I would like to thank Nick Deane, Mahmud Fathalla, Paul Kenyon, Sally Mannering, Ann Mogg, Jo Wrigley and the final year vet students from 1999, 2000 and 2001. In particular I wish to thank Geoff Purchas for his assistance.

I would like to thank Kylie Walker and Magda Gwozdoz for help with microbiology and Jan Schrama for preparing the microbiological media. Thank you to Megan Leyland for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis instruction, letting me plagiarise her method and assisting me with sampling “lilacs”. Thanks to Roz Power for the use of her microscope and for letting me spin.

In 1999 and 2000, five Dutch students on placement from Wageningen University undertook the stag behavioural studies outlined in Chapter 4. Many thanks to Paul Stoutjeskijk, Harro Timmerman, Lars Gorisse and Willem de Klein. In particular, thanks to Bart Tas for his observational work, his help with shifting stags twice weekly (even if it meant getting out of bed with a hangover at 7am) and for being a mate.

All the serological work undertaken in these experiments was carried out at AgriQuality Serology and I would like to thank Gail Ross and Jo Drake for their work and help. The deer were slaughtered at Venison Packers in Feilding and I would like to thank Ray Pratt, Simon Wisnowski and the meat-workers for their cooperation during sample collection.

Thanks to Peter Anderson, Ben Davidson, Trish Moffat and Chris Townsend for tracking down *B. ovis* isolates from commercial rams for PFGE analysis..

Finally, a big thank-you to my family and friends for their support during this project. Hopefully I was not too much of a pain but I'm sure I had my moments!

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of contents	vi
List of figures	ix
List of tables	xiv
List of tables in appendices	xvii
Chapter 1 - Literature review	1
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 Epidemiology.....	2
1.3 Pathophysiology of infection in rams.....	7
1.4 Pathophysiology of infection in ewes.....	15
1.5 Serodiagnosis.....	24
1.6 Prevalence and economic importance of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in sheep...	32
1.7 Control of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in sheep.....	34
1.8 Background to the New Zealand deer industry.....	39
1.9 Isolation of <i>B. ovis</i> from deer.....	40
1.10 Prevalence and geographical distribution of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in deer in New Zealand.....	43
1.11 Objectives of the present study.....	47
Chapter 2 - Materials and methods	48
2.1 Introduction.....	49
2.2 Animals.....	49
2.3 Sample collection.....	50
2.4 Microbiology.....	52
2.5 Serology.....	58
2.6 Histology.....	59

Chapter 3 - Pulsed field gel electrophoresis of <i>B. ovis</i> isolates.....	60
3.1 Introduction	61
3.2 Materials and methods.....	62
3.3 Results.....	66
3.4 Discussion.....	70
3.5 Conclusions.....	71
Chapter 4 - Transmission of <i>B. ovis</i> infection.....	72
4.1 Introduction.....	73
4.2 Transmission of <i>B. ovis</i> from rams to stags.....	73
4.3 Transmission of <i>B. ovis</i> between stags by successive grazing or adjacent-paddock grazing.....	86
4.4 Routes of transmission of <i>B. ovis</i> between stags	94
4.5 Observations of the sexual behaviour of groups of stags and rams.....	105
4.6 General discussion.....	114
4.7 Conclusions.....	116
Chapter 5 - A longitudinal study of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in stags and rams.....	117
5.1 Introduction.....	118
5.2 Materials and methods.....	119
5.3 Results.....	121
5.4 Discussion.....	143
5.5 Conclusions.....	148
Chapter 6 - Effects of <i>B. ovis</i> infection on the semen characteristics of stags.....	149
6.1 Introduction.....	150
6.2 Materials and methods.....	151
6.3 Results.....	154
6.4 Discussion.....	167
6.5 Conclusions.....	171

Chapter 7 - Effects of <i>B. ovis</i> infection on the reproductive performance of hinds, and venereal transmission to stags.....	172
7.1 Introduction.....	173
7.2 Materials and methods.....	174
7.3 Results.....	179
7.4 Discussion.....	187
7.5 Conclusions.....	192
Chapter 8 - General discussion	193
8.1 Introduction.....	194
8.2 National prevalence of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in deer.....	194
8.3 Strain types of <i>B. ovis</i> identified by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis...	195
8.4 Transmission.....	195
8.5 Effects of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in deer.....	198
8.6 Diagnosis of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in deer.....	200
8.7 Management of <i>B. ovis</i> infection in deer.....	206
Appendix 1 Raw serological data for experimental deer and rams.....	208
Appendix 2 The New Zealand <i>B. ovis</i> voluntary accreditation scheme for sheep.....	219
References.....	221

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1.5.1 Test result measured on a continuous scale showing a bimodal normal distribution of values for healthy and diseased animals.	26
Figure 1.10.1 Map of New Zealand showing geographical regions and number of deer per region.	46
Figure 2.1 Circular, glistening grey <i>B. ovis</i> colonies growing on selective media.	54
Figure 3.1 Regional map of New Zealand showing the approximate location of farms from which field isolates of <i>B. ovis</i> were obtained.	64
Figure 3.2 DNA band patterns resulting from pulsed field gel electrophoresis of <i>B. ovis</i> isolates from rams and stags in New Zealand.	67
Figure 3.3 Schematic diagram of DNA bands of <i>B. ovis</i> isolates resulting from pulsed field gel electrophoresis.	68
Figure 3.4 Schematic diagram (dendrogram) outlining the degree of similarity between <i>B. ovis</i> isolates.	69
Figure 4.2.1 Timeline of events for an experiment investigating the transmission of <i>B. ovis</i> from infected rams to non-infected stags.	74
Figure 4.2.2 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT scores from sera collected from six rams following intravenous inoculation on Day -50.	77
Figure 4.2.3 <i>B. ovis</i> ELISA titres from sera collected from six rams following intravenous inoculation on Day -50.	77
Figure 4.2.4 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT scores from sera collected from six stags following introduction to six infected rams on Day 0.	79

- Figure 4.2.5** Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of *B. ovis* isolates from five stags that became infected with *B. ovis* by contact with infected. 79
- Figure 4.2.6** Bilateral enlargement of the tails of the epididymes of Stag 1 (left) compared with normal tails of the epididymes of Stag 5 (right). 81
- Figure 4.2.7** Foci of caseous material within the tails of the epididymes from Stag 2. 81
- Figure 4.2.8** Prominent lymphocytic infiltration into the lamina propria of the ampulla of Stag 1. 82
- Figure 4.2.9** Generalised lymphocytic infiltration and a lymphoid follicle in the lamina propria of the seminal vesicle from Stag 4. 82
- Figure 4.2.10** A spermatic granuloma in the tail of the left epididymis of Stag 2. 83
- Figure 4.2.11** Intra-epithelial cysts in the tail of the right epididymis of Stag 4. 83
- Figure 4.3.1** Timeline of events for an experiment investigating the transmission of *B. ovis* between stags by successive grazing or adjacent-paddock grazing. 87
- Figure 4.3.2** Schematic diagram of paddocks and deer groups used to determine transmission of *B. ovis* between stags by successive grazing of the same paddock, or by adjacent-paddock grazing. 89
- Figure 4.3.3** Six stags artificially infected with *B. ovis* grazing in a paddock adjacent to six non-infected stags. 89
- Figure 4.3.4** *B. ovis* CFT scores for six stags artificially infected by intravenous inoculation on Day -49. 91
- Figure 4.3.5** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for six stags artificially infected by intravenous inoculation on Day -49. 91

- Figure 4.4.1** *B. ovis* CFT scores for yearling stags artificially infected with *B. ovis* by inoculation of either the conjunctival, nasal, oral or rectal mucous membranes. 99
- Figure 4.4.2** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for yearling stags artificially infected with *B. ovis* by inoculation of either the conjunctival, nasal, oral or rectal mucous membranes. 100
- Figure 4.5.1** “Low mounting” behaviour between stags. 109
- Figure 4.5.2** A stag sniffing or licking the preputial region of another stag. 109
- Figure 5.1** Timeline of events for an experiment investigating the establishment, persistence and serological responses of *B. ovis* infection in stags and rams. 119
- Figure 5.2** *B. ovis* CFT scores from sera collected from two stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that shed *B. ovis* in semen for 630 days sampling period. 127
- Figure 5.3** *B. ovis* CFT scores from sera collected from six rams intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that shed *B. ovis* in semen for 630 days. 127
- Figure 5.4** *B. ovis* ELISA titres from sera collected from two stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that shed *B. ovis* in semen for 630 days. 128
- Figure 5.5** *B. ovis* ELISA titres from sera collected from six rams intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that shed *B. ovis* in semen for 630 days 128
- Figure 5.6** *B. ovis* CFT scores for four stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that stopped shedding the organism in semen 103- 263 days after inoculation. 130
- Figure 5.7** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for four stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that stopped shedding the organism in semen 103-263 days after inoculation. 130
- Figure 5.8** *B. ovis* CFT scores for six stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that stopped shedding the organism in semen 263-342 days after inoculation. 132

- Figure 5.9** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for six stags intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that stopped shedding the organism in semen 263-342 days after inoculation. **132**
- Figure 5.10** *B. ovis* CFT scores for three stags that became infected by mating vaginally-infected hinds about Day 0. **134**
- Figure 5.11** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for three stags that became infected by mating vaginally-infected hinds about Day 0. **134**
- Figure 5.12** *B. ovis* CFT scores for two stags and two rams intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that did not have *B. ovis* isolated from semen at any sampling time. **136**
- Figure 5.12** *B. ovis* ELISA titres for two stags and two rams intravenously inoculated on Day 0 that did not have *B. ovis* isolated from semen at any sampling time. **136**
- Figure 5.14** Sensitivity of the *B. ovis* CFT, ELISA, and CFT and ELISA read in parallel when used to test sera from 15 stags artificially infected with *B. ovis* on Day 0 that shed the organism in semen for variable lengths of time after infection. **138**
- Figure 5.15** Sensitivity of the *B. ovis* CFT, ELISA, and CFT and ELISA read in parallel when used to test sera from six rams artificially infected with *B. ovis* on Day 0 that shed the organism in semen throughout the experiment. **138**
- Figure 6.1** Timeline of events for an experiment investigating the effects of *B. ovis* infection on the semen quality of red deer stags. **151**
- Figure 6.2** Purulent material in the semen of stag 803 (bottom left) and stag 855 (bottom right) that had been artificially infected with *B. ovis* 83 days previously, compared with normal semen from a non-infected stag, number 858 (top). **157**
- Figure 6.3** Detached sperm heads in a smear of semen collected from stag 827. **157**

Figure 7.1 Timeline of events for an experiment investigating the effects of vaginal *B. ovis* infection on the reproductive performance of hinds, and venereal transmission to stags. **174**

Figure 7.2 The percentage of hinds artificially infected with an intra-vaginal inoculation of *B. ovis* on Days 0 and 19 with positive, suspicious or negative serum *B. ovis* CFT titres at variable times after infection. **181**

Figure 7.3 The percentage of hinds artificially infected with an intra-vaginal inoculation of *B. ovis* on Days 0 and 19 with positive, suspicious or negative serum *B. ovis* ELISA titres at variable times after infection. **181**

List of tables

	Page
Table 1.2.1 Experimental infection of rams with <i>B. ovis</i> by a variety of routes.	6
Table 1.3.1 Time in weeks to seroconversion and positive <i>B. ovis</i> semen culture for rams artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> .	8
Table 1.3.2 Number (and percentage) of bacteriological isolations of <i>B. ovis</i> from reproductive organs of rams variable times after establishment of infection.	14
Table 1.3.3 Number (and percentage) of bacteriological isolations of <i>B. ovis</i> from body organs of rams variable times after establishment of infection.	14
Table 1.4.1 Experimental infection of ewes with <i>B. ovis</i> .	17
Table 1.10.1 A comparison of total deer numbers as at 30 June 2000, number of serum samples from deer tested for <i>B. ovis</i> infection, number of seropositive samples, numbers of properties tested and number of properties on which seropositive serum samples were collected, by regions of New Zealand.	45
Table 3.2.1 Electrophoresis lane, animal species, region (and island) and year of isolation for isolates of <i>B. ovis</i> analysed by PFGE.	63
Table 4.2.1 <i>B. ovis</i> culture from the semen and prepuce of six experimentally infected rams following intravenous inoculation on Day -50.	77
Table 4.2.2 <i>B. ovis</i> culture from the semen and reproductive organs of six stags following introduction to six infected rams on Day 0.	78
Table 4.3.1 <i>B. ovis</i> culture from the semen from six stags artificially infected by intravenous inoculation on Day -49.	92

- Table 4.4.1** *B. ovis* culture from the semen, epididymes, seminal vesicles and ampullae of yearling stags artificially inoculated with *B. ovis* by either the conjunctival, nasal, oral or rectal mucus membranes. 101
- Table 4.4.2** Histological examination of the epididymes, seminal vesicles and ampullae of stags slaughtered 65 days after artificial infection of *B. ovis* by inoculation of either the conjunctival, nasal, oral or rectal mucus membranes. 102
- Table 4.5.1** Number and frequency (n and f) of high and low mounting behaviour, preputial sniffing, anal sniffing and spraying fluid from an erect penis observed in groups of rams and stags 111
- Table 5.1** *B. ovis* culture from the semen of 14 stags (Group A) artificially infected with *B. ovis* by intravenous inoculation on Day 0. 122
- Table 5.2** *B. ovis* culture from the semen of three stags infected with *B. ovis* by mating vaginally infected hinds on approximately Day 0. 123
- Table 5.3** *B. ovis* culture from the semen of eight rams artificially infected with *B. ovis* by intravenous inoculation on Day 0. 124
- Table 5.4** *B. ovis* culture from the epididymes, seminal vesicles, ampullae and urinary bladder of two stags and six rams infected with *B. ovis* by intravenous inoculation. 125
- Table 5.5** Presence of histological lesions in the epididymes, seminal vesicles and ampullae of 17 stags and eight rams infected with *B. ovis*. 142
- Table 6.1** Semen characteristics of 12 stags infected with *B. ovis* and six non-infected stags of the same age. 156
- Table 6.2** Motility of sperm in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding 159

- Table 6.3** Percentage of morphologically normal sperm in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding. 161
- Table 6.4** Percentage of sperm with major morphological abnormalities in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding. 162
- Table 6.5** Percentage of sperm with minor morphological abnormalities in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding. 163
- Table 6.6** Percentage of sperm with detached heads in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding. 164
- Table 6.7** Relative number of leukocytes in semen from stags while shedding *B. ovis* in semen and following cessation of shedding. 166
- Table 7.1** Number of hinds impregnated in each of three oestrous cycles by five 14-month-old stags, and number of non-pregnant hinds. 180
- Table 7.2** Histological examination of tissues collected from hinds that received an intra-vaginal inoculation of *B. ovis* on Day 0 and Day 19. 183
- Table 7.3** Tissue samples cultured for *B. ovis* from hinds that received an intra-vaginal inoculation of *B. ovis* on Day 0 and Day 19. 184
- Table 7.4** CFT and ELISA titre ranges for calves whose dams were artificially infected with an intra-vaginal inoculation of *B. ovis* at mating. 186
- Table 7.5** CFT and ELISA titres from sera collected from five stags 55 and 77 days after introduction to hinds that were artificially infected with *B. ovis* by intra-vaginal inoculation, and isolation of *B. ovis* from the semen of these stags. 187

List of tables in appendices

	Page
Table A1.1 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT and ELISA titres from sera from six rams artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Section 4.2).	209
Table A1.2 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from six stags that were in contact with six <i>B. ovis</i> -infected rams from Day 0 to Day 131 (refer Section 4.2).	209
Table A1.3 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from six stags that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Section 4.3).	210
Table A1.4 <i>B. ovis</i> ELISA titres from sera from six stags that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Section 4.3).	210
Table A1.5 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT and ELISA titres from sera from 16 stags that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> on Day 0 by inoculation of either the conjunctival (C), nasal (N), oral (O) or rectal (R) mucous membranes (refer Section 4.5).	211
Table A1.6 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from 14 stags that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Chapter 5).	212
Table A1.7 <i>B. ovis</i> ELISA titres from sera from 14 stags that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Chapter 5).	213
Table A1.8 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from eight rams artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Chapter 5).	214
Table A1.9 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from eight rams artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by intravenous inoculation on Day 0 (refer Chapter 5).	214
Table A1.10 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from three stags that became infected on approximately Day 0 by mating hinds that had received a vaginal inoculation of <i>B. ovis</i> (refer Chapters 5 and 7).	215
Table A1.11 <i>B. ovis</i> ELISA titres from sera from three stags that became infected on approximately Day 0 by mating hinds that had received a vaginal inoculation of <i>B. ovis</i> (refer Chapters 5 and 7).	215
Table A1.12 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT titres from sera from 30 hinds that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by vaginal inoculation on Days 0 and 19 (refer Chapter 7).	216
Table A1.13 <i>B. ovis</i> ELISA titres from sera from 30 hinds that were artificially infected with <i>B. ovis</i> by vaginal inoculation on Days 0 and 19 (refer Chapter 7).	217
Table A.1.14 <i>B. ovis</i> CFT and ELISA titres from sera collected from 15 calves whose dams received an intravaginal inoculation of <i>B. ovis</i> on Day 0 and 19 of mating (refer Chapter 7).	218