

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**UNDERSTOREY EFFECTS ON  
PHOSPHORUS FERTILISER RESPONSE  
OF SECOND-ROTATION *Pinus radiata***

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science  
at Massey University,  
Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.**



**Massey University**

**A. Arivin Rivaie**

**2004**

*To the Memory of*

*My Late Parents (Pak dan Ibu)*

*For their enormous love, care and guidance*

# Abstract

The current silvicultural regimes of *Pinus radiata* plantations in New Zealand with wider initial tree spacings have created the potential for increased growth of understorey vegetation. A consequence of this is that the response of *P. radiata* to P fertiliser is expected to be more influenced by the interaction between the P fertiliser, the tree and the understorey vegetation than was the case in the past.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the influence of different rates of a soluble and a sparingly-soluble P fertiliser (Triple superphosphate and Ben-Geurier phosphate rock) and weed control, and their interactions, on soil P chemistry and the growth and P uptake of 4-5-year-old second-rotation *P. radiata* on an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka forest) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith forest).

The results showed that the application of P fertilisers had no effect on *P. radiata* growth at both field trial sites two years after this treatment, although it increased radiata needle P concentration. However, at both sites, the understorey vegetation removal treatment increased tree diameter at breast height and basal area. At the highly P-deficient (Bray-2 P  $4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) Kaweka forest, the presence of understorey (bracken fern and some manuka) reduced resin-P<sub>i</sub> and Olsen P concentrations, but at the moderate P fertility (Bray-2 P  $13 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) Kinleith forest, the understorey (Himalayan honeysuckle, buddleia and some toetoe) increased Bray-2 P, resin-P<sub>i</sub>, and Olsen P concentrations.

A glasshouse study on *P. radiata* seedlings was conducted to test the hypothesis that when ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) is grown with *P. radiata*, it increases radiata needle P concentration, while when broom (*Cytisus scoparius* L.) is grown with *P. radiata*, it has no effect. The acid phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere of *P. radiata* was higher when radiata was grown with broom than that when it was grown with ryegrass. This is consistent with the higher P concentration in needles of radiata grown with broom than that of radiata grown with ryegrass, in the absence of P fertiliser addition. However, when P fertiliser was added (50 and  $100 \mu\text{g P g}^{-1}$  soil) the needle P concentration of radiata grown with broom was lower than that when radiata was grown with ryegrass.

## Acknowledgements

I am very pleased to appreciate the following people and the institutions for their contributions towards completing this thesis and my student life at Massey University.

First, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my chief supervisor, Dr P Loganathan and my co-supervisor, Professor Russ W Tillman (Director - Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University) for their guidance, regular meetings to discuss and correct my thesis, expert advice, invaluable helpfulness, enthusiasm, friendship, and encouragement since the beginning until the completion of this thesis. I would like to also thank Dr Tim W Payn (Manager, Centre for Sustainable Forest Management of New Zealand, Forest Research Ltd., Rotorua), my third supervisor, for his expert advice, friendship and encouragement.

Foremost, I am grateful to Professor M J Hedley (Head – Soil Science Group, Massey University) for his continuous help since the initial correspondence with Massey University, suggestions, encouragement and warm friendship.

My sincere thanks are addressed due to the NZAID for awarding me the scholarship. To Ms Sylvia Hooker, Ms Susan M Flynn, Ms Diane Riley and Mr Charles Chua (International Student Office, Massey University) for their enormous support, useful advice, warm friendship and hospitality. My sincere thanks are also given to the Indonesian Centre for Estate Crops Research and Development (ICECRD), Ministry of Agriculture, Bogor, Indonesia, particularly to Ir Hasnam MSc PhD (Ex. Director) and Dr David Allorerung (Director) for the admittance to pursue my Ph.D programme at the Massey University.

I wish to address my special thanks to Mr Lance Currie (the laboratory manager) for allowing me the full use of the excellent facilities available in the lab and sorting out funding issues. To Bob Toes, Ian Furkert, Ross Wallace and Iynka Loganathan for their help with analytical procedures, assistance with field work, and friendship, which made my field and laboratory works become a memorable one. To Glenys Wallace, Ann West,

James Hanly and Mike Bretherton for their help with analytical procedures and computer knowledge. To Denise Brunskill, Irene Manley, Moira Hubbard and Hera Kennedy for their secretarial help and hospitality. To Asoka Senarath for his expert advice and help with soil profile description at the field trial sites.

I am grateful to the staff at the soil group of Forest Institute Ltd., Rotorua, for their help and friendship during the time I have spent in Rotorua. Particularly, to Mr Doug Graham for his advice, help and friendship, and to his wife Angela, for her friendship and hospitality. To Dr Malcolm Skinner, Dr Peter Beets and Dr Roger L Parfitt (Landcare Research) for their advice, suggestions and friendship. To Pan Pac Forest Products Ltd. and Carter Holt Harvey Ltd. for allowing access to the field installations at Kaweka Forest and Kinleith Forest, respectively. Particularly, to Mr Brian Garnett (Pan Pac Forest Products Ltd.) for his help with field work, friendship and suggestions. To Mr Robert Thompson for proof reading my thesis and his friendship.

I am also grateful to for Ir. Hasyim Azhari MM, Dr Fauzi Isa, Indra Murty Surbakti MSc, Meidyah Indresawari MSc, Marvel Yan, Yosia Pratama and Adrian Mulyadi BBS for their encouragement and warm friendship; and all those whom I failed to mention and who helped me in one way or another.

I wish to address my sincere thanks to Drs Hi. M. Thabrani Daud and Hj. Nur Almah (Bak dan Mak) and Dr Sapta Nirwandar family for their enormous support and encouragement, especially through their short visit to Palmerston North.

Finally, heartfelt thanks go to my beloved wife Sri Imawati, my beloved daughter Arvina Utami, my beloved son A. Arvanza Rivaie, and to my whole families whose love, understanding and constant encouragement; have greatly helped me in the completion of this thesis.

Almighty and Everlasting God may bless and bestow to all the persons mentioned above.

A. Arivin Rivaie

Palmerston North, December 2004

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	ii
<b>Table of Contents</b>	iv
<b>List of Tables</b>	xi
<b>List of Figures</b>	xiv
<b>List of Plates</b>	xxi
<b>Appendices</b>	xxii

### Chapter 1

#### Introduction

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	4

### Chapter 2

#### Literature Review

2.1 Growth rate of <i>P. radiata</i>	5
2.2 Growth rate of understorey vegetation	6
2.3 P cycle in <i>P. radiata</i> forests	10
2.3.1 Introduction	10
2.3.2 Phosphorus content of above-ground biomass	12

2.3.2.1	<i>P. radiata</i> biomass P content	13
2.3.2.2	Understorey biomass P content	18
2.4	Phosphorus forms in forest soils	19
2.4.1	Inorganic P	20
2.4.2	Organic P	21
2.5	Soil P availability to <i>P. radiata</i> trees	22
2.5.1	Phosphorus availability in the rhizosphere of <i>P. radiata</i> trees	23
2.6	Phosphorus deficiency in New Zealand	26
2.7	Phosphate fertiliser requirement of <i>P. radiata</i> in New Zealand	28
2.8	Phosphate decision support system (PDSS)	30
2.9	Plant interference	33
2.9.1	Negative interference (antagonism)	34
2.9.2	Positive interference (synergism)	35
2.9.3	Experimental techniques in studying plant interference	37
2.10	The role of understorey vegetation in nutrient cycling under forest stands	40
2.10.1	Nitrogen supply by understorey legumes	41
2.10.2	Nutrient storage and release by understorey vegetation	42
2.11	Understorey vegetation effect on P availability to tree crops	43
2.12	Understorey vegetation effect on P availability to <i>P. radiata</i>	45
2.13	Conclusions	47



## Chapter 3

### **Effect of P Fertilisers and Weed Control on Second-rotation *P. radiata* Growth and P Uptake - The Fate of P Fertilisers Applied to Soils**

3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Objectives	52
3.3	Materials and methods	53
3.3.1	Site description	53
3.3.2	Experimental design and treatments	62
3.3.3	Soil sampling	65
3.3.4	Chemical analysis	65
3.3.5	BGPR dissolution in laboratory incubated soils	69
3.3.6	BGPR dissolution in field soils	71
3.3.7	Statistical analysis	71
3.4	Results and discussion	71
3.4.1	Soil P fractions	71
3.4.1.1	Resin-P <sub>i</sub>	71
3.4.1.2	NaOH-P <sub>i</sub>	76
3.4.1.3	NaOH-P <sub>o</sub>	79
3.4.1.4	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -P <sub>i</sub>	81
3.4.1.5	Residual-P	85
3.4.2	Comparison of the fertiliser P contribution to the different soil P fractions	87
3.4.3	Plant-available soil P	88
3.4.3.1	Bray-2 P	88
3.4.3.2	Olsen P	93
3.4.4	Downward movement of fertiliser P	100

3.5	Conclusions	105
-----	-------------	-----

## Chapter 4

### **Effect of P Fertilisers and Weed Control on Second-rotation *P. radiata* - Needle P Concentration and Tree Growth**

4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Objectives	109
4.3	Materials and methods	108
4.3.1	Trial design and conduct	108
4.3.2	Foliage sampling and tree growth measurement	108
4.3.3	Chemical analysis	109
4.3.4	Statistical analysis	110
4.4	Results and discussion	111
4.4.1	Needle P concentration	111
4.4.2	Relationship between needle P concentrations and soil P concentrations	114
4.4.3	Needle N concentration	119
4.4.4	Plant growth	122
4.4.4.1	Height	122
4.4.4.2	Diameter at breast height (DBH)	124
4.4.4.3	Basal area (BA)	126
4.5	Conclusions	127

## Chapter 5

**Synergistic and Antagonistic Effects of Broom  
and Ryegrass on P Nutrition of *P. radiata*  
Seedlings - Soil P Chemistry**

5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Objectives	130
5.3	Materials and methods	130
5.3.1	Experimental design and treatments	130
5.3.2	Planting and maintenance of trial	133
5.3.3	Measuring plant-available P in soils <i>in situ</i> with resin membrane	135
5.3.4	Plant sampling	147
5.3.5	Soil sampling	148
5.3.6	Chemical analysis	149
5.3.7	Acid phosphatase enzyme activity	151
5.3.8	Statistical analysis	151
5.4	Results and discussion	152
5.4.1	Soil pH	152
5.4.2	Phosphatase activity	156
5.4.3	Soil P fractions	159
5.4.3.1	Resin-P <sub>i</sub>	159
5.4.3.2	NaOH-P <sub>i</sub>	162
5.4.3.3	NaOH-P <sub>o</sub>	166
5.4.3.4	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -P <sub>i</sub>	170
5.4.3.5	Residual-P	173
5.4.4	Proportion of fertiliser P in the different soil P fractions	175
5.4.5	Soil plant-available P	177
5.4.5.1	Bray-2 P	177

5.4.5.2 Resin- $P_i$ ( <i>in situ</i> measurement)	180
5.5 Conclusions	182

## Chapter 6

### **Synergistic and Antagonistic Effects of Broom and Ryegrass on P Nutrition of *P. radiata* Seedlings – Growth and P Nutrition**

6.1 Introduction	184
6.2 Objectives	185
6.3 Materials and Methods	185
6.3.1 Trial design and conduct	185
6.3.2 Harvesting	185
6.3.3 Statistical analysis	186
6.4 Results and discussion	186
6.4.1 P concentration in plants	186
6.4.1.1 Radiata	186
6.4.1.2 Broom	190
6.4.1.3 Ryegrass	191
6.4.2 Relationship between plant P concentration and soil P concentration	193
6.4.2.1 Radiata	193
6.4.2.2 Broom	196
6.4.2.3 Ryegrass	198
6.4.3 Plant growth	200
6.4.3.1 Radiata	200
6.4.3.2 Broom	205
6.4.3.3 Ryegrass	210

6.4.4 Recovery of fertiliser P in soil and plants	215
6.5 Conclusions	216

## Chapter 7

### **Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Study**

7.1 Need for the study	219
7.2 Objectives of the study	220
7.3 Field trials	220
7.4 Glasshouse trial	223
7.5 Overall conclusions	225
7.6 Future Research	227
<b>References</b>	229
<b>Appendices</b>	262

## List of Tables

### Chapter 2

Table 2.1	Needle P concentration of 3-4-year-old <i>P. radiata</i> grown with different weed species in a Pumice Soil at Rotorua, New Zealand	46
-----------	---	----

### Chapter 3

Table 3.1	Selected properties of the soils (0-10 cm depth below the litter layer) at the Kaweka (Allophanic Soil) and Kinleith (Pumice Soil) forests	59
Table 3.2	Chemical characteristics of the P fertilisers used for the field trials	62
Table 3.3	Effect of interaction between P fertiliser rates and weed control on resin-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 1 year of treatment application (2001) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	75
Table 3.4	Percent dissolution of BGPR after 66 days of incubation in an Allophanic Soil and a Pumice Soil in a laboratory	85
Table 3.5	Correlation matrix for Bray-2 P concentrations and P fraction concentrations for all rates of TSP and BGPR after 2 years of treatment application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) in a field trial	92
Table 3.6	Correlation matrix for Bray-2 P and P fractions for all rates of (a) TSP and (b) BGPR after 2 years of treatment application (2002) in a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in a field trial	93
Table 3.7	Correlation matrix for Olsen P concentrations and P fraction concentrations for all rates of (a) TSP and (b) BGPR after 2 years of treatment application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) in a field trial	96
Table 3.8	Correlation matrix for Olsen P concentrations and P fraction concentrations for all rates of (a) TSP and (b) BGPR after 2 years of treatment application (2002) in a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in a field trial	97

Table 3.9	Effect of interaction between P fertiliser rates and weed control on Olsen P concentrations after 2 years of treatment application (2002) in a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in a field trial	100
Table 3.10	Bray-2 P concentrations in the soil profiles after 2 years of application of 200 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	101
Table 3.11	Olsen P concentrations in the soil profiles after 2 years of application of 200 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	102

## Chapter 4

Table 4.1	Needle P concentrations (%) after 29 months of P fertiliser application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	110
-----------	---	-----

## Chapter 5

Table 5.1	Properties of an Allophanic Soil prior to planting in a glasshouse trial	133
Table 5.2	Phosphate fractions in an Allophanic Soil prior to planting in a glasshouse trial	133
Table 5.3	Resin-extractable N (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N and NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N) and P after 3, 6, and 15 days of incubation time in an Allophanic Soil in a laboratory	137
Table 5.4	Ammonium extracted by Na <sup>+</sup> -saturated resin and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> extracted by HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -saturated resin after 3 days of incubation time in an Allophanic Soil in a laboratory	142
Table 5.5	P extracted by HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -saturated resin strip and Bray-2 P after 3 days of incubation time in an Allophanic Soil in a laboratory	144
Table 5.6	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combinations on pH in bulk and rhizosphere soil after 54 weeks growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	152
Table 5.7	Main effect of TSP fertiliser on the NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	162

Table 5.8	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combinations on NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	163
Table 5.9	Main effect of TSP fertiliser on NaOH-P <sub>o</sub> concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	166
Table 5.10	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combinations on NaOH-P <sub>o</sub> concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	168
Table 5.11	The % recovery of added P in each of the P fractions in the bulk and the rhizosphere soils averaged over all plants after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	176
Table 5.12	Correlation matrix for Bray-2 P and P fractions for all rates of TSP fertiliser and plant combinations after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	179

## Chapter 6

Table 6.1	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combination interaction on P concentration (%) in radiata seedlings after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	188
Table 6.2	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates on P concentration (%) in broom after 54 weeks of broom growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	191
Table 6.3	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates and plant combinations on P concentration (%) in ryegrass after 54 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	192
Table 6.4	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates and plant combinations on shoot, root and total dry matter weights (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) of radiata seedlings after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	201
Table 6.5	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates on shoot, root, and total dry matter yields (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) of broom after 54 weeks of broom growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	206



Table 6.6	Phosphorus uptake by radiata, broom and ryegrass (mg P pot <sup>-1</sup> ) for each TSP rate and plant combination after 54 weeks of radiata and broom growth and 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	209
Table 6.7	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates on shoot, root, and total dry matter yield (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ) of ryegrass after 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	211
Table 6.8	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates on N concentration (%) in ryegrass shoots after 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	212
Table 6.9	The % recovery of added fertiliser P in an Allophanic Soil (P fractions) <sup>1</sup> and plants after 54 weeks of plant growth in a glasshouse	216

# List of Figures

## Chapter 2

Figure 2.1	The above-ground biomass of <i>P. radiata</i> with increasing age	6
Figure 2.2	Above-ground biomass of understorey vegetation and <i>P. radiata</i> with increasing stand age	7
Figure 2.3	Above-ground biomass of understorey and Douglas-fir with increasing stand age	8
Figure 2.4	Above-ground biomass of understorey and <i>Eucalyptus diversicolor</i> F. Muell. stands with increasing stand age	9
Figure 2.5	The P cycle in a <i>P. radiata</i> forest stand	11
Figure 2.6	The total P content of <i>P. radiata</i> with increasing stand age	13
Figure 2.7	The net annual P uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) in the above-ground components of intensively managed <i>P. radiata</i> for selected growth periods	14
Figure 2.8	The P content of tree components as a percentage of total P content in the above-ground components of 5-year-old first rotation <i>P. radiata</i>	15
Figure 2.9	The P content of tree components as a percentage of total P content in the above-ground components of 8-year-old first rotation <i>P. radiata</i>	16
Figure 2.10	The P content of 29-year-old <i>P. radiata</i> tree components	17
Figure 2.11	Phosphorus-deficient radiata pine (tree in the middle), with narrow crown characteristic and needle fusion	27
Figure 2.12	The foliar P response to P fertiliser rates	31
Figure 2.13	The conceptual model for the PDSS	32

### Chapter 3

Figure 3.1	The layout of the trial at the Kaweka forest	63
Figure 3.2	The layout of the trial at the Kinleith forest	64
Figure 3.3	Effect of P fertiliser rates on resin-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 1 and 2 years of P application (2001 and 2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	72
Figure 3.4	Effect of weed control on resin-P <sub>i</sub> concentration after 1 and 2 years of weed control treatment (2001 and 2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	74
Figure 3.5	Effect of interaction between P fertiliser rates and weed control on resin-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 1 year of treatment application (2001) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	76
Figure 3.6	Effect of P fertiliser rates on NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	78
Figure 3.7	Effect of P fertiliser rates on NaOH-P <sub>o</sub> concentrations after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	80
Figure 3.8	Effect of P fertiliser rates on H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	82
Figure 3.9	Effect of P fertiliser rates on residual-P concentrations after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	86
Figure 3.10	Comparison of the changes in P concentrations in different soil P fractions with increase in TSP and BGPR rates after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	87
Figure 3.11	Effect of P fertiliser rates on Bray-2 P after 1 and 2 years of P application (2001 and 2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	89

Figure 3.12	Effect of weed control on Bray-2 P concentrations after 1 and 2 years of weed control treatment (2001 and 2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	91
Figure 3.13	Effect of P fertiliser rates on Olsen P concentrations after 2 years of P application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	95
Figure 3.14	Effect of weed control on Olsen P concentrations after 2 years of weed control application (2002) in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	99
Figure 3.15	Distribution of Bray-2 P concentrations in the soil profiles after 2 years of application of 200 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	103
Figure 3.16	Distribution of Olsen P concentrations in the soil profiles after 2 years of application of 200 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	104

## Chapter 4

Figure 4.1	Changes in needle P concentrations with increasing rates of TSP and BGPR after 29 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in a field trial	112
Figure 4.2	Relationships between needle P concentrations and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests (Bray-2 P, Olsen P, resin-P <sub>i</sub> , and NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations) after 29 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) in a field trial	116
Figure 4.3	Relationships between needle P concentrations and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests (Bray-2 P, Olsen P, resin-P <sub>i</sub> , and NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentrations) after 29 months of treatment application in a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in a field trial	117
Figure 4.4	Relationships between needle P concentrations and the H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -P <sub>i</sub> concentrations after 29 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	119

Figure 4.5	Effect of weed control on needle N concentration after 29 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	120
Figure 4.6	Effect of weed control on tree height after 24 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	122
Figure 4.7	Effect of weed control on DBH after 24 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	125
Figure 4.8	Effect of weed control on BA after 24 months of treatment application in an Allophanic Soil (Kaweka) and a Pumice Soil (Kinleith) in field trials	127

## Chapter 5

Figure 5.1	Plant combination in trays in the glasshouse trial	131
Figure 5.2	P-extracted from $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ by anion resin membranes saturated with $\text{Cl}^-$ and $\text{HCO}_3^-$ after 24 hours in a laboratory	139
Figure 5.3	Cumulative P eluted after shaking P-saturated resin membranes number of times with NaCl in a laboratory	140
Figure 5.4	P retained on $\text{HCO}_3^-$ -saturated resin strips after different times of contact with Kaweka soil treated with TSP at three rates in a laboratory	146
Figure 5.5	Bray-2 P after different times of incubation in Kaweka soil treated with TSP at three rates in a laboratory	146
Figure 5.6	Effect of TSP the fertiliser rate and plant combinations on soil pH (1 : 2.5 w/w soil to water ratio) after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	154
Figure 5.7	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates on acid phosphatase activity in soil (mean of plant combinations, and rhizosphere and bulk soils) after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	156
Figure 5.8	Acid phosphatase enzyme activity in rhizosphere and bulk soils under different plant combinations after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	158

Figure 5.9	Effect of plant combinations on resin- $P_i$ concentration ( $\sqrt{Y}$ transformed data) after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	161
Figure 5.10	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates and plant combinations on NaOH- $P_i$ concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	164
Figure 5.11	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate on NaOH- $P_i$ concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil under grass and broom when they were grown alone (compartment 1) in a glasshouse	165
Figure 5.12	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combinations on NaOH- $P_o$ concentration after 54 weeks growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	169
Figure 5.13	Effect of TSP fertiliser rates and plant combinations on $H_2SO_4$ - $P_i$ concentration after 54 weeks growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse ( $\log_e Y$ transformed data)	172
Figure 5.14	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate on residual-P concentrations after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	173
Figure 5.15	Effect of plant combinations on the residual-P concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	174
Figure 5.16	Comparison of the change in P concentration in the different soil P fractions with an increase in TSP fertiliser rate in (a) the bulk soil, and (b) the rhizosphere soil, averaged over all treatments after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	175
Figure 5.17	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combinations on Bray-2 P concentrations after 54 weeks growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse ( $\log_e Y$ transformed data)	178
Figure 5.18	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate on resin-P concentration after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse ( $\sqrt{Y}$ transformed data)	180
Figure 5.19	Effect of plant combinations on resin- $P_i$ concentrations after 54 weeks of plant growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse ( $\sqrt{Y}$ transformed data)	181

## Chapter 6

Figure 6.1	Effect of TSP fertiliser rate and plant combination on N concentration (%) in new (a) and old shoot (b) needles of radiata seedlings after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	189
Figure 6.2	Relationship between new shoot needle P concentration of radiata seedlings and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	194
Figure 6.3	Relationship between old shoot needle P concentration of radiata seedlings and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	195
Figure 6.4	Relationship between broom shoot P concentration and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 54 weeks of broom growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	197
Figure 6.5	Relationship between ryegrass shoot P concentration and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	199
Figure 6.6	Relationship between radiata shoot yield and new and old shoot needle P concentration of radiata seedlings after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	204
Figure 6.7	Relationship between total dry matter yield of radiata seedlings and P extracted by different soil P tests after 54 weeks of radiata growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse trial	205
Figure 6.8	Relationship between total broom dry matter and P extracted by different soil P tests after 54 weeks of broom growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	208
Figure 6.9	Relationship between P uptake by broom and NaOH-P <sub>i</sub> concentration in the rhizosphere soil after 54 weeks of broom growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse	210

- Figure 6.10 Relationship between total ryegrass dry matter and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse 214
- Figure 6.11 Relationship between P uptake by ryegrass and P extracted by different plant-available soil P tests after 42 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil in a glasshouse 215



## List of Plates

<b>Plate 3.1</b>	<b>Soil profile at the Kaweka forest (an Allophanic Soil)</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Plate 3.2</b>	<b>Soil profile at the Kinleith forest (a Pumice Soil)</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Plate 3.3</b>	<b>Understorey species at the Kaweka forest</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Plate 3.4</b>	<b>Understorey species at the Kinleith forest</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Plate 5.1</b>	<b>The glasshouse trial layout (after 26 weeks of radiata and broom growth and after 18 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil)</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Plate 5.2</b>	<b>The glasshouse trial showing selected treatments after 26 weeks of radiata and broom growth and after 18 weeks of ryegrass growth in an Allophanic Soil</b>	<b>133</b>