Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILISER FORMS AND RHIZOSPHERE PROCESSES ON THE PHOSPHORUS NUTRITION OF TEA (Camellia sinensis L.)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science at Massey University New Zealand

A. Kapila N. Zoysa

1997

To the Memory of

My late Parents

For their

Enormous love, care and guidance

ABSTRACT

The understanding of the phosphorus (P) nutrition of tea, has mainly derived from trials evaluating yield response to applied P fertilisers. The literature indicates that the fertiliser P requirement of tea is generally low (below 15 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), inspite of the generally high P fixing capacity of the Ultisols used for growing tea. Very little published information is available on the reactions of P fertilisers in tea soils and on the chemistry of P in the tea rhizosphere to explain this low P requirement of tea.

Because the tea soils are highly acidic (4.5 - 5.5) a locally mined, low cost, sparingly soluble phosphate rock (Eppawala phosphate rock, EPR) has been recommended as a P fertiliser for tea in Sri Lanka. But there is no experimental information available on its suitability for tea when compared to soluble P fertilisers.

The main objective of this thesis is to study the mechanisms involved in P utilisation from the tea rhizosphere, when both soluble (triple superphosphate) and sparingly soluble EPR fertilisers are used. An existing technique used to study rhizosphere processes of annual crops was modified to study the chemical processes involved in P utilisation from the rhizosphere of camellia plants, which are of the same family as tea. The depletion of soil and fertiliser P in slices of soil away from the rhizoplane were measured using a sequential chemical P fractionation procedure. The technique allowed isolation of soil slices at increasing distances from the rhizoplane and characterisation of the depletion pattern of soil P forms in the camellia rhizosphere. Subsequently this technique was used to study the rhizosphere processes in tea and other crops normally grown in association with tea.

A glasshouse study conducted to compare the mechanisms of P utilisation of tea (clone TRI 2025) with calliandra, Guinea grass and beans showed that all species depleted resin-P and NaOH-P_i in their rhizospheres. In contrast to other species, tea accumulated NaOH-P_o (organic-P) in the rhizosphere. All plant species acidified their rhizospheres and the magnitude of acidification is in the order of Guinea grass > bean and tea > calliandra. The higher acidification in the rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil caused more EPR dissolution near the roots.

Another glasshouse trial which examined the P utilisation efficiencies of tea clones showed that TRI 2023 and TRI 2025 had a higher external P efficiency than S 106 due to greater root surface area and P uptake per unit root surface area. But the internal efficiencies were not significantly different between the clones. All tea clones acidified the rhizosphere and the magnitude of acidification is of the order: TRI 2023 \rightarrow TRI 2025 \rightarrow S 106. The dissolution of EPR in the rhizosphere also followed the same order. All three clones accumulated NaOH-P_o in the rhizosphere.

Rhizosphere pH of tea (clone TRI 2025) decreased compared to the bulk soil, when N was supplied as the NH₄⁺ [(NH₄)₂SO₄] or the NH₄⁺ + NO₃⁻ [NH₄NO₃] form and it increased when N was supplied as the NO₃⁻ [Ca(NO₃)₂] form. The (NH₄)₂SO₄ treatment caused the highest dissolution of EPR in the rhizosphere, whereas the Ca(NO₃)₂ treatment showed the lowest in accordance with the magnitude of pH decline. Cation-anion balance in the plants showed that whatever form of N was applied, plants utilised more NO₃⁻ than NH₄⁺. High nitrification rates in the rhizosphere were probably responsible for this inspite of the addition of a nitrification inhibitor.

A glasshouse trial with young tea plants (TRI 3072) showed that the agronomic effectiveness of the sparingly soluble EPR was equal to or better than the readily soluble TSP (triple superphosphate) fertiliser. This was due to the high rate of EPR dissolution in the acid soil. About 75% of the applied EPR was dissolved in the soil during the 10 month period of the study. The results also showed that the borax soil P test used to predict the P requirement of tea, as currently used in Sri Lanka, was the best of the six soil P tests investigated. This test has the advantage of requiring only one calibration curve relating yield and soil P values in estates fertilised with both soluble and sparingly soluble PR fertilisers.

This thesis contributed new knowledge regarding P uptake processes in the rhizosphere of tea plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very pleased to appreciate the following people and the institutions for their contributions towards completing this thesis and my student life at Massey University.

My chief academic supervisor Dr P Loganathan for his worthy guidance, helpfulness, generosity and friendship throughout my life at Massey University. More importantly his constructive criticism, interest and patience at all stages of my thesis research and preparation. I admire his contribution.

Associate Professor M J Hedley, my second supervisor for his warm friendship and expert advise at all stages of my thesis research.

My third supervisor Dr S L Amarasiri (Director General - Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka) for his encouragement and support during my study period.

I am deeply indebted to Dr P Sivapalan (Ex. Director - Tea Research Institute of Sri Lanka) for the assistance he rendered for me to pursue my studies at Massey University.

Professor R W Tillman (Head - Soil Science Department, Massey University) and Associate Professor Paul Gregg for their help and encouragement at difficult times.

All fellow postgraduate students at the Soil Science Department of Massey for providing such a friendly environment to do my studies. Especially Messors Shivaraj Gurung, Steven Trolove, Andrew Mitchell, Richard Lovell, Brett Robinson and Dr Manoharan for their support.

The members of the Soils and Plant Nutrition Division of the Tea Research Institute of Sri Lanka for their valuable help in conducting glasshouse trials in Sri Lanka.

Mr Lance Currie and the laboratory technical staff for help in the laboratory and Mrs Denise Brunskill and Miss Marian Trembath for secretarial help.

Mr Malcolm Boag for his help in proof reading, and Miss Ashwini Loganathan for her assistance in cross-checking the list of references.

Dr Shiva Ganesh for his friendship and especially for his lectures on statistics.

Drs N S Bolan and David Scotter for their friendship, support and useful discussions.

Dr Nihal de Silva and the family for their love, affection and caring nature and especially for his ready assistance in solving statistical problems.

Mrs Dianne Reilly and all members of the International Students office for their enormous support to make my life easy in New Zealand.

Mr Palitha de Silva for his worthy advise and moral support at many difficult times and to his wife Kamini and children for their warm hospitality.

Mrs Antoiniette Koolaard for her help in preparing a fine drawing for my thesis.

Dr S Ganesalingam and his family for their support and friendship.

My gratitude is also due to

The Tea Research Institute of Sri Lanka for granting a scholarship to cover my living expenses in New Zealand.

The Ministry of External Relations and Trade of New Zealand for granting a tuition fee scholarship to study at Massey university.

The Vice Chancellor of Massey University for granting Graduate Research Award in 1994.

The Academic Council of Agriculture-Horticulture Faculty of Massey University for awarding the J A Anderson memorial postgraduate Scholarship.

To all the Sri Lankan friends at Palmerston North for their affection and care. Especially Messors Parakrama Aluvihare and Nandana Hewage for their support.

Drs Martin Mainnee and John Koolaard, and Mr Van Wichem and their families for their love and friendship. In one way or another they made my life in New Zealand pleasant and memorable.

Mrs Alexandra Cook and Margeret Humphrey with whom I was flatting for the last two years, for their pleasing qualities and constant support for my studies.

Finally and most importantly, to my loving wife Shyama and daughter Kaumadhi for their enormous love, moral support, encouragement and sharing the joy and sufferings during this period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABST	ΓRACT		ii
ACK	NOWLE	EDGEMENTS	iv
TABI	LE OF C	CONTENTS	vi
LIST	OF FIG	GURES	xii
LIST	OF TA	BLES	xviii
		CHAPTER 1	
		INTRODUCTION	
1.1	BACE	KGROUND	1
	1.2	OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS	3
		CHAPTER 2	
		LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	TEA	CULTIVATION IN SRI LANKA	5
	2.1.1	Economics and social impact of tea industry	7
2.2	CLIM	MATIC AND SOIL REQUIREMENTS OF TEA	10
	2.2.1 2.2.2	Climate Soils 2.2.2.1 Soil mineralogy and classification 2.2.2.2 Physico-chemical properties	10 12 12 12
23	SOIL	PHOSPHORUS	15
	2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4	The concept of plant-available P	15 17 20 21

2.4		SPHORUS NUTRITION OF TEA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE RI LANKA	22
	2.4.1	Chronology of P fertiliser use on tea in Sri Lanka	23
2.5	EPPA	WALA PHOSPHATE ROCK (EPR)	33
2.6	FACT	ORS AFFECTING P AVAILABILITY FROM PR	34
		Characteristics of PRs	34
		Soil properties	37
		Crop species	38
		Moisture	43
	2.6.5	Management practices	44
2.7	RESP	ONSE OF TEA PLANTS TO P FERTILISERS	44
2.8	ROOT	Γ-SOIL INTERFACE (RHIZOSPHERE)	49
		Rhizosphere acidification	51
		Relationship between cation-anion uptake and rhizosphere pH	54
	2.8.3		56
		2.8.3.1 pH	56
		2.8.3.2 Release of organic acids and their chelating action2.8.3.3 Phosphatase enzyme activity and mineralisation	57
		of organic-P	58
		2.8.3.4 Mycorrhizal association	59
2.9	SUM	MARY AND RESEARCH NEEDS	60
		CHAPTER 3	
		ECHNIQUE FOR STUDYING RHIZOSPHERE PROCESSES REE CROPS: SOIL PHOSPHORUS DEPLETION AROUND CAMELLIA (Camellia japonica L.) ROOTS	
3.1	INTR	ODUCTION	62
3.2	OBJE	CTIVES	63
3.3	MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS	64
	331	Soils	64
		Glasshouse trial	64

v	٦	٦	٦

		Field trial	67
		Plant and soil analysis Soil P fractionation	72 73
	3.3.3	Son F fractionation	73
3.4	RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSION	73
		Effect of P fertilisers on soil pH	73
		Effect of plant roots on soil pH Effect of P fertilisers on soil P fractions	75 76
		Effect of plant roots on soil P fractions	85
	3.4.5	Comparison of rhizosphere P depletion with P uptake processes	87
	3.4.6	Limitations of the RSC technique	89
3.5	CONC	CLUSIONS	91
		CHAPTER 4	
		EPPAWALA PHOSPHATE ROCK DISSOLUTION AND FRANSFORMATION IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF TEA Camellia sinensis L.) COMPARED TO OTHER SELECTED PLANT SPECIES	
4.1	INTR	ODUCTION	92
4.2	OBJE	CTIVES	93
4.3	MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS	94
*	4.3.1	Soil and plant sampling	94
	4.3.2	Plant and soil analysis	97
4.4	RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSION	102
	4.4.1	Effect of plant species and EPR on growth characteristics	102
	4.4.2 4.4.3	Effect of EPR fertiliser on soil pH Effect of plant roots on soil pH and EPR dissolution	102 106
	4.4.4	Effect of EPR addition and plant roots on soil P fractions	100
	4.4.5	Comparison of rhizosphere P depletion with plant P uptake	116
	4.4.6	External and internal efficiency of P utilisation	118
		4.4.6.1 External efficiency of P utilisation	118
		4.4.6.2 Internal efficiency of P utilisation	120
4.5	CONC	CLUSIONS	121

PHOSPHORUS UTILISATION EFFICIENCY AND DEPLETION OF PHOSPHATE FRACTIONS IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF THREE TEA (Camellia sinensis L.) CLONES

5.1	INTRODUCTION	122
5.2	OBJECTIVES	123
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	124
	5.3.1 Soil, plant and root sampling5.3.2 Plant and soil analysis	125 125
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	125
	 5.4.1 Dry matter yield, P and N concentration of tea clones 5.4.2 External and internal P utilisation efficiency of tea clones 5.4.3 Effect of P fertilisers and tea clones on soil pH 5.4.4 Effect of P fertilisers on soil P fractions 5.4.5 Effect of tea clones on soil P fractions 5.4.6 Comparison of rhizosphere P depletion with plant P uptake 	125 127 130 132 135 139
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	142
	CHAPTER 6	
	EFFECT OF FORMS OF NITROGEN SUPPLY ON MOBILISA OF PHOSPHORUS FROM EPPAWALA PHOSPHATE ROG AND ACIDIFICATION IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF TEA (Camellia sinensis L.)	CK
6.1	INTRODUCTION	144
6.2	OBJECTIVES	145
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	145
	6.3.1 Soil, plant and root sampling6.3.2 Soil and plant analysis	146 148

6.4	RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	148
	6.4.2 6.4.3 6.4.4	Effect of N forms on N and P uptake by tea Effect of N forms on pH and P fractions in bulk soil Effect of N forms on rhizosphere pH Effect of plant roots on P fractions in the soil Nutrient uptake and electroneutrality in plant tissues	148 150 154 155 163
6.5	CON	CLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	166
		CHAPTER 7	
		FATE AND EFFECTS OF PHOSPHATE FERTILISERS ON OSPHORUS AVAILABILITY TO TEA (<i>Camellia sinensis</i> L.) IN A HIGHLY ACIDIC ULTISOL IN SRI LANKA	
7.1	INTR	ODUCTION	167
7.2	OBJE	CTIVES	169
7.3	MAT	ERIALS AND METHODS	169
		Plant and soil analyses Relative agronomic effectiveness (RAE)	171 173
7.4	RESU	ILTS AND DISCUSSION	175
	7.4.1 7.4.2 7.4.3 7.4.4 7.4.5 7.4.6 7.4.7 7.4.8 7.4.9	EPR dissolution in soil Effect of P fertilisers on soil pH Effect of forms and rates of P fertilisers on soil P fractions Effect of P fertilisers on soil test extractable P Effect of P fertilisers on growth and P uptake of tea plants Relative agronomic effectiveness (RAE) of EPR Relationship between dry matter yield and P uptake by plants Relationship between dry matter yield and leaf P concentration Relationship between soil extractable-P and dry matter yield	175 179 179 189 197 201 205 205 208
7.5	CONC	CLUSIONS	219

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1	AN OVERVIEW	221
8.2	A TECHNIQUE FOR STUDYING RHIZOSPHERE PROCESSES IN TREE CROPS	223
8.3	PHOSPHORUS CHEMISTRY IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF TEA AND ASSOCIATED CROPS	223
8.4	CLONAL VARIABILITY IN P UTILISATION	224
8.5	EFFECT OF FORMS OF NITROGEN SUPPLY ON MOBILISATION OF P FROM EPR	225
8.6	AGRONOMIC EFFECTIVENESS OF EPR ON TEA	226
8.7	FUTURE RESEARCH AND RECOMMENDATIONS	228
REFE	CRENCES	230

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Tea growing areas of Sri Lanka	6
Figure 2.2	The area of cultivated tea land and tea production in Sri Lanka	8
Figure 2.3	Proton (H ⁺) and hydroxyl (OH) generation during uptake and assimilation of different forms of N into amino acids and subsequent dissociation of amino acids (Bolan et al., 1991)	53
	CHAPTER 3	
Figure 3.1	Schematic representation of Root Study Container (RSC) technique used in the (a) glasshouse and in the (b) field trials	66
Figure 3.2	Bottom view of the root mats formed above the polyester mesh in root study containers (RSCs)	68
Figure 3.3	Front view of the piston microtome	69
Figure 3.4	Root Study Container (RSC) modified for field situation	7 0
Figure 3.5	A root study container buried near a mature camellia tree in the field	71
Figure 3.6	Effect of P fertiliser forms on soil pH $(0.01\ M\ CaCl_2)$ in camellia rhizosphere in the glasshouse and the field trials (a) without plants - glasshouse trial (b) with plants - glasshouse trial and (c) with plants - field trial. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05	74
Figure 3.7	Effect of soil pH (0.01 M CaCl ₂), distance from rhizoplane and method of P extraction (with and without resin) on NCPR-P dissolution in the (a) glasshouse and (b) field trials. Vertical lines correspond to standard errors of means	77
Figure 3.8	Effect of P fertiliser forms on resin-P in camellia rhizosphere in the glasshouse and in the field trials (a) with plants - glasshouse trial and (b) with plants - field trial. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p < 0.05 and N represents statistically nonsignificant at p < 0.05	80

Figure 3.9	Effect of P fertiliser forms on NaOH- P_i in camellia rhizosphere in the glasshouse and in the field trials (a) with plants - glasshouse trial (b) with plants - field trial. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05	81
Figure 3.10	Effect of P fertiliser forms on H_2SO_4 - P_i in camellia rhizosphere in the glasshouse and in the field trials (a) with plants - glasshouse trial and (b) with plants - field trial. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05	82
Figure 3.11	Effect of P fertiliser forms on NaOH-P _o in camellia rhizosphere in the glasshouse and in the field trials (a) with plants - glasshouse trial and (b) with plants - field trial. Vertical bars corresponds to Lsd at p <0.05 and N represents statistically not significant at p <0.05	83
	CHAPTER 4	
Figreu 4.1	The arrangement of plant species in the glasshouse experiment (A - Guinea grass, B - Calliandra, C - Bean and D - Tea)	96
Figure 4.2	Root mats of formed on the polyester mesh for Guinea grass in EPR and control treatments	98
Figure 4.3	Root mats of formed on the polyester mesh for bean in EPR and control treatments	99
Figure 4.4	Root mats of formed on the polyester mesh for calliandra in EPR and control treatments	100
Figure 4.5	Root mats of formed on the polyester mesh for tea in EPR and control treatments	101
Figure 4.6	Effect of plant species on rhizosphere pH (1 : $2.5 \text{ w/w H}_2\text{O}$) of (a) control and (b) EPR fertilised soils. Vertical bars and N represent Lsd at p < 0.05 and treatments that are not statistically significant at p < 0.05 respectively	104
Figure 4.7	Effect of plant species on EPR dissolution in the rhizosphere. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means	105
Figure 4.8	Effect of plant species on resin-P in the rhizosphere of (a) control (no EPR added) and (b) EPR fertilised soils. Vertical bars and N represent Lsd at p <0.05 and treatments that are not statistically significant at p <0.05 respectively	111

Figure 4.9	Effect of plant species on NaOH- P_i in the rhizosphere of (a) control (no EPR added) and (b) EPR fertilised soils. Vertical bars and N represent Lsd at p <0.05 and treatments that are not statistically significant at p <0.05 respectively	112
Figure 4.10	Effect of plant species on H_2SO_4 - P_i in the rhizosphere of (a) control (no EPR added) and (b) EPR fertilised soils. Vertical bars and N represent Lsd at p <0.05 and treatments that are not statistically significant at p <0.05 respectively	113
Figure 4.11	Effect of plant species on NaOH-P ₀ in the rhizosphere of (a) control (no EPR added) and (b) EPR fertilised soils. Vertical bars and N represent Lsd at p < 0.05 and treatments that are not statistically significant at p < 0.05 respectively	114
	CHAPTER 5	
Figure 5.1	Effect of (a) P uptake per unit surface area and (b) total root surface area on P uptake by tea clones	128
Figure 5.2	Effect of tea clones on rhizosphere soil pH in (a) Control (b) EPR and (c) TSP treatments. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p < 0.05 and N represents treatments are not statistically significant at p < 0.05	129
Figure 5.3	Effect of clonal differences on EPR dissolution in the rhizosphere. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means	131
Figure 5.4	Effect of tea clones on resin-P in soil with (a) control (b) EPR and (c) TSP treatments. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 and N represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05	136
Figure 5.5	Effect of tea clones on NaOH- P_i in soil with (a) control (b) EPR and (c) TSP treatments. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 and N represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05	137
Figure 5.6	Effect of tea clones on H_2SO_4 - P_i in soil with (a) control (b) EPR and (c) TSP treatments. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 and N represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05.	138
Figure 5.7	Effect of tea clones on NaOH-P _o in soil with (a) control (b) EPR and (c) TSP treatments. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 and N represents treatments are not statistically significant at p <0.05	140

Figure 6.1	Plant growth system in the glasshouse	147
Figure 6.2	Effect of nitrogen forms on P dissolution from EPR. (Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means)	151
Figure 6.3	Effect of nitrogen forms on soil pH (a) with plants and (b) without plants. (Vertical bars represent Lsd for treatment means at $p < 0.05$)	152
Figure 6.4	Effect of nitrogen forms on resin-P (a) with and (b) without plants. Vertical bars represent Lsd for treatment means at $p < 0.05$	157
Figure 6.5	Effect of nitrogen forms on NaOH-P _i in soil (a) with and (b) without plants. Vertical bars represent Lsd for treatment means at $p < 0.05$	158
Figure 6.6	Effect of nitrogen forms on H_2SO_4 - P_i in soil (a) with and (b) without plants Vertical bars represent Lsd for treatment means at p <0.05	159
Figure 6.7	Effect of nitrogen forms on NaOH-P $_{o}$ in soil (a) with and (b) without plants. The N shows that treatments are not statistically significantly different at p <0.05	160
	CHAPTER 7	
Figure 7.1	Tea plants growing in pots in the glasshouse study	172
Figure 7.2	Effect of time and EPR fertiliser rate of addition on P dissolution in soil with and without plants. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p < 0.05	177
Figure 7.3	Effect of EPR and TSP on soil pH (H_2O) after 5 and 10 months of application (a) with and (b) without tea plants. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 and NS represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05	180
Figure 7.4	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on resin-P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 for main effects of P sources and NS represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05	183

Figure 7.5	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on NaOH-P _i fraction in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 for main effects of P sources in (a) and interaction effects of P sources • rates in (b) respectively	184
Figure 7.6	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on NaOH-P $_{o}$ fraction in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants. Vertical bars correspond to Lsd at p <0.05 for main effects of P sources and NS represents treatments not statistically significant at p <0.05	185
Figure 7.7	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on H ₂ SO ₄ -P _i fraction in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants. Vertical bars correspond to interaction (P sources • rates) Lsd at p <0.05	186
Figure 7.8	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on Resin-P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	190
Figure 7.9	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on Olsen-P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	191
Figure 7.10	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on Borax extractable-P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	192
Figure 7.11	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on Bray-1 P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	193
Figure 7.12	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on citric acid extractable -P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	194
Figure 7.13	Effect of EPR and TSP fertiliser rates on malic acid extractable -P in soil (a) with and (b) without tea plants	195
Figure 7.14	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and the rates of EPR and TSP at 5 and 10 months after application to the soil	199
Figure 7.15	Relationship between shoot P uptake and the rates of EPR and TSP at 5 and 10 months after application to the soil	200
Figure 7.16	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and P uptake by tea plants at 5 and 10 months after P fertiliser application	206
Figure 7.17	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and P concentration in the first mature leaf for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	207
Figure 7.18	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and resin-P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	209

Figure 7.19	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and Olsen-P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	210
Figure 7.20	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and borax-P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	211
Figure 7.21	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and Bray-1 P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	212
Figure 7.22	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and citric acid extractable P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	213
Figure 7.23	Relationship between shoot dry matter yield and malic acid extractable P for tea plants treated with EPR and TSP fertiliser	214

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Average productivity of tea in major tea growing countries (Kelegama et al., 1995)	9
Table 2.2	The profitability of tea cultivation in some tea growing countries (Kelegama et al., 1995)	11
Table 2.3	Mineralogy and classification of tea soils of the world	13
Table 2.4	Chemical properties of some major tea-growing soils of the world	14
Table 2.5	The chemical nature of the various soil P fractions (Hedley et al., 1982b)	18
Table 2.6	Phosphorus fractions (µg P g ⁻¹ soil) in tea soils of different countries determined according to the method of Chang and Jackson (1957)	19
Table 2.7	Mean dry matter distribution and nutrient removal by 100 kg processed tea and ¹ per ha yr ⁻¹ of land in Sri Lanka (after Eden, 1949)	24
Table 2.8	Comparison of P removal by different agricultural and tree crops	25
Table 2.9	Chronology of P fertiliser recommendations for tea in Sri Lanka	26
Table 2.10	The fertiliser mixtures used for tea nurseries in Sri Lanka	31
Table 2.11	Chemical analysis of the primary apatite and aluminous- ferruginous-siliceous phosphate matrix of Eppawala phosphate rock deposit (Dahanayake et al., 1995)	35
Table 2.12	Examples of previous research conducted on P utilisation from phosphate rocks by different plant species	39
Table 2.13	Circulation of phosphorus in tea plants yielding 5050 kg processed tea ha ⁻¹ in a three year pruning cycle (calculated from the data of Willson, 1969)	46

Table 2.14	Effect of P sources on processed tea yield (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) in South India (Ranganathan, 1971-1980)	48
	CHAPTER 3	
Table 3.1	The physico-chemical characteristics of the experimental soil	65
Table 3.2	Estimated and predicted H ⁺ consumption for dissolution of NCPR fertiliser in the rhizosphere and bulk soil in the glasshouse and the field trials	78
Table 3.3	P-fractions in unfertilised bulk soil (mean of soil slices 3 - 8 mm from rhizoplane) and % recovery of added P fertiliser in the various P fractions in the glasshouse and the field trials	79
Table 3.4	Comparison of phosphorus depletion from soil in the lower compartment of RSC with plant P uptake in the glasshouse trial (values represent the mean of 5 replicates)	88
Table 3.5	Effect of P fertiliser forms on root growth within 1 mm of the mesh and P depletion in the field trial (values represent the mean of 5 replicates)	90
	CHAPTER 4	
Table 4.1	Selected physico-chemical properties of the soil used in the study	95
Table 4.2	Comparison of plant dry matter yield and shoot: root weight ratio and P concentration of tea with those of other plant species	103
Table 4.3	The total acid production by roots of different plant species treated with EPR into the rhizosphere of the lower compartment	107
Table 4.4	The P fractions in the control treatment and % recovery of added P from EPR in the bulk soil	110
Table 4.5	Comparison of P depletion by different plant species from soil in the lower compartment of RSC with plant P uptake	117

Table 4.6	Comparison of external and internal efficiencies of P in plants with and without added P fertiliser	119		
CHAPTER 5				
Table 5.1	Dry matter yield, N and P concentration in shoots and roots, and P utilisation efficiencies of three tea clones	126		
Table 5.2	The comparison of observed acidity release in the rhizosphere of tea clones in EPR treated soil and the predicted acid release based on EPR dissolution	133		
Table 5.3	P fractions in the control (no P fertiliser added) and % recovery ¹ of added P from EPR and TSP treated bulk soil (3 - 5 mm) after 56 days of plant growth	134		
Table 5.4	Comparison of observed P depletion in the rhizosphere with predicted P depletion estimated from plant P uptake	141		
CHAPTER 6				
Table 6.1	Effect of N forms on plant shoot and root dry matter ¹ , shoot : root ratio, tissue N, P concentrations and uptake by tea plants	149		
Table 6.2	Phosphorus fractions in control soil (without EPR) and % recovery of added EPR-P in bulk soils (3 - 5 mm) for various N treatments	153		
Table 6.3	The observed acid release by tea roots based on pH changes and the predicted acid production based on EPR dissolution in the lower compartment of RSC	156		
Table 6.4	Comparison of observed P depletion in the soil in the lower compartment of RSC with predicted P depletion calculated from plant P uptake	162		
Table 6.5	Effect of different N forms and assumed ratios of NH_4^+ : NO_3^- uptake on the net release of H^+ to the rhizosphere (0 - 3 mm) by tea roots	164		

Table 7.1	Selected properties of the soil (Rhodustult) used	170
Table 7.2	Summary of soil P tests used	174
Table 7.3	The H ⁺ consumption for EPR dissolution in soil with and without tea plants	178
Table 7.4	The % recovery ¹ of added P in soil P fractions in the unfertilised (control) and EPR and TSP treated soils at the end of the trial	181
Table 7.5	Effect of EPR and TSP fertilisers and their rates on shoot dry matter yield and P uptake	198
Table 7.6	Calculated P requirement for 95% of maximum dry matter yield	202
Table 7.7	The agronomic effectiveness of EPR relative to TSP calculated from empirical relationships	203
Table 7.8	Correlation matrix for P extraction methods for all rates of (a) EPR and (b) TSP fertilisers	215
Table 7.9	Correlation matrix for P extraction methods for rates 0, 10 and 20 kg P ha ⁻¹ of (a) EPR and (b) TSP fertilisers	216
Table 7.10	The critical levels of plant available-P required to be in soil to obtain 95% of the maximum dry matter yield in tea plants	217