

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Pilot scale Pyrolyser: Compliance and Mechanistic Modeling

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

Master of Engineering

In

Chemical and Process Engineering

At Massey University, Palmerston North

New Zealand

Nadeem Salahaddin Abdul Caco

2017

Preface

A pyrolysis reactor was built in a previous project by Bridges et al (2013). The reactor is cylindrical in geometry, with a height of 1000 mm and an internal diameter of 750 mm, it stands vertically. There is a 900 mm tall and 100 mm in diameter perforated core in the center of the reactor. At the base, a combustion chamber provides the hot gases required for heating. The hot gases produced travel up and around the reactor through an annulus region of 11 mm. Heat from the gases is transferred to the reactor wall and then to the wood-chips inside. As drying and pyrolysis reactions occur, gases flow in the same direction as the heat towards the perforated core at the center. Hot pyrolysis gases then flow downwards towards the combustion chamber where they are partially combusted before flowing around the reactor and out the flue stack. This project aimed at mathematically modeling this reactor and also improving the way emissions are released so that it complies with EPA air quality standards.

A mathematical model of an 'open source' pilot-scale pyrolysis reactor was produced to predict the product yield, carbon foot-print, biochar quality and the time taken to achieve complete pyrolysis. A non-equilibrium thermodynamic approach was used which allowed for the use of COMSOL Multi-Physics to solve the model. The Finite Element Method (FEM) was used to solve the system of equations. Pyrolysis kinetics are complex and no single model has yet been widely accepted, therefore simplifications were necessary in this model so that a reasonable solution time could be achieved while producing acceptable results. The model profile of the centre temperature closely followed that of the experimental results and thus the model was considered valid.

In addition, modifications were made to the original design of the pyrolyser in order to improve emissions compliance and improve operations of the pyrolysis. It was important to manage fugitive emissions and completely combust any volatile vapours that would be released into the atmosphere while controlling the operating parameters. In order to achieve this, the following were implemented:

- 1) The combustion chamber was sealed completely so that no fugitive emissions can escape while limiting the ingress of oxygen.

- 2) A secondary blower was installed in order to better control the oxygen supply to the burners.
- 3) The original steel lid, which warped during pyrolysis runs resulting in gaseous leaks, was replaced with a more rigid ceramic lid that doesn't effectively expand when heated.
- 4) Two 3.4 kW burners were added to the single 3.4 kW burner flare. This gives a total power of 10.2 kW, which is estimated to be enough to completely burn all gaseous products leaving the system.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Jim Jones for offering me the opportunity to undertake this master's project and also for his immense patience and understanding, helpful criticism, advice and engagement throughout the project.

Furthermore, I would like to thank my secondary supervisor Dr. Georg Ripberger for all the time and energy he has invested which helped in the successful completion of the project.

I would also like to thank Professor John Bronlund for his advice and guidance and also for allowing me the use of his COMSOL Multi-Physics license, for which without the project would not have been possible.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the following people for their help and support throughout the duration of the project; Dr. Gonzalo Martinez Hermosilla, Mr. John Edwards and Mr. Ian Thomas.

Nomenclature

Symbol	Description	Unit	Typical Value
A	Pre-exponential factor	s^{-1}	-
a	Gas volume fraction	-	-
A,B,C	Constants used for calculation of thermal conductivity	$W m^{-1} K^{-1}$	-
A_l	Liquid contact area of sample	m^2	-
A_w	Water adsorption Coefficient	-	-
c	Concentration	$mol m^{-3}$	-
C_p	Specific heat	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	-
C_{po}	Heat capacity of dry wood	$kJ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	-
c_{sat}	Saturated volumetric moisture content	%	-
D	Diffusivity co-efficient	$m^2 s^{-1}$	-
d	Diameter	m	-
ΔT	Temperature difference between the surface and hot gases ($T_{ext}-T$)	K	-
D_p	Particle diameter	m	-
D_w	Water diffusivity	$m^2 s^{-1}$	-
E	Activation Energy	$kJ mol^{-1}$	-
F	Force	N	-
f_c	Fixed carbon content	wt. %	-
G	Specific gravity	-	1.54
g	Acceleration due to gravity	$m s^{-2}$	9.81
G_b	Specific gravity	-	-
h	Heat transfer coefficient	$W m^{-2} s^{-1}$	-
H	Enthalpy of reactions	$kJ kg^{-1}$	-
I	Identity matrix	-	-
J	Reaction rate	$mol m^{-3} s^{-1}$	-
k	Kinetic constant	s^{-1}	-
K	Permeability	m^2	-
m	Mass	kg	-
M	Molecular weight	$kg mol^{-1}$	-
MC	Moisture content	wt. %	0-30%
MC_{fsp}	Moisture content at saturation	%	-
M_{fsp}	Fiber Saturation point	-	-
M_i	Initial mass of sample	kg	-
M_t	mass of sample at time, t	kg	-
P	Pressure	Pa, $kg m^{-1} s^{-2}$	101325
Pr	Prandtl Number	-	-

Q	Heat generation	$W m^{-3}$	-
q	Heat flux	$W m^{-2}$	-
Q_{br}	Mass generation (adsorption/desorption)	$kg m^{-3} s^{-1}$	-
R	Ideal gas constant	$J mol^{-1} K^{-1}$	8.314
Ra	Rayleigh number	-	-
Re	Reynold's number	-	-
Sm	Shrinkage of wood	%	-
So	Shrinkage from wet wood to oven dry	%	-
T	Temperature	K	-
u	Darcy velocity	$m s^{-1}$	-
U	Averaged velocity	$m s^{-1}$	-
v	Rate of volatilisation	$mol m^{-3} s^{-1}$	-
VM	Volatile Matter	wt. %	-
x	Final moisture content of wood	%	-
y	Product yield	wt. %	-
Y_{fc}	Fixed carbon yield	%	-

Greek Letters

∇	Differential operator given in Cartesian co-ordinates	-
Ω	Coefficient of thermal expansion	K^{-1}
α	Thermal diffusivity	$m^2 s^{-1}$
ε	emissivity	-
η	Reaction progress variable	-
κ	Thermal conductivity	$W m^{-1} K^{-1}$
μ	Dynamic Viscosity	Pa s
ρ	Density	$kg m^{-3}$
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	$5.67 \times 10^{-8} W m^{-2} K^{-4}$
τ	Turtuosity	-
φ	Porosity	-

Subscript

b	biomass
bed	packed bed of wood chips
c	char
conv	convection
eff	effective
ext	external

fiber	wood fiber
g	non-condensable gases
G	gas phase
i	species
in	inside
L	liquid phase
lw	liquid water
max	maximum
min	minimum
o	outside
P	particle
rs	surface-to-void radiation
rv	surface-to-surface radiation
T	tar
vw	water vapour
w	wood

Table of Contents

Preface	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Nomenclature	iv
Figures.....	viii
Tables	x
1. Literature review.....	11
1.1. Applications.....	11
1.2. Physical and chemical characteristics of wood.....	12
2.2.1. Physical properties.....	13
2.2.2. Chemical properties.....	14
2.2.3. Properties dependent on moisture content	15
2.2.4. Thermal properties	16
1.3. Pyrolysis	18
1.3.1. Pyrolysis gases.....	18
1.3.2. Char properties & yield	19
1.3.3. Inorganic material (Ash).....	22
1.4. Previous pyrolysis modeling work	22
1.4.1. Overview	22
1.4.2. Drying of wet wood particles	24
1.4.3. Pyrolysis chemical reaction kinetics.....	24
1.4.4. Numerical methods.....	27
2. Reactor design & compliance	29
2.1. Original flare system	30
2.2. New flare design and operation.....	34
2.3. Fugitive emissions	37
2.3.1. Fire cement	40
2.3.2. Ventilation holes in combustion chamber.....	41
2.3.3. Ceramic/synthetic wool insulation	42
4. Mathematical description of wood pyrolysis.....	43
4.1. Conceptual model	44
4.2. Assumptions.....	49
4.3. Pyrolysis kinetics	50
4.3.1. Conservation of mass.....	52

4.3.2.	Conservation of momentum	56
4.3.3.	Conservation of energy	61
4.6.	Material properties	67
Permeability	68	
Thermal conductivity	69	
Specific heat	71	
4.7.	Summary	72
5.	Model implementation in COMSOL Multi-Physics	73
6.	Modeling results & discussion	78
6.1.	Comparison of theoretical model results with experimental results	78
6.1.1.	Biochar yield and quality	81
6.1.2.	Modification of Fantozzi Kinetics	84
6.1.3.	Sensitivity analysis of decomposition reaction properties	88
7.	Conclusions and future research	90
8.	References	92
9.	Appendix	96
9.1.	Appendix A	96
Dimensions of the reactor	96	
Dimensions of perforated core	96	
Volume of reactor	96	
Mass of wood chips	96	
Porosity	97	
Average dimensions of wood chip:	97	
9.2.	Appendix B	98
9.3.	Appendix C	100
9.4.	Appendix D	105

Figures

FIGURE 1-1: PHYSICAL STRUCTURE OF WOOD (WOODSTAIRS, 2012)	13
FIGURE 1-2: STRUCTURE OF CELLULOSE	14
FIGURE 1-3: KINETIC MODEL PROPOSED BY SHAFIZADEH AND CHIN IN (SHAFIZADEH AND CHIN, 1977)	23
FIGURE 1-4: SIMPLIFIED SCHEME FOR BIOMASS PYROLYSIS AS DERIVED FROM (DI BLASI, 1996)	27

FIGURE 2-1: BATCH PYROLYSIS REACTOR DESIGNED AND BUILT BY BRIDGES (2013) DURING A RUN WITH 70 KG OF WOOD CHIPS.	29
FIGURE 2-2: SCHEMATIC OF THE ORIGINAL FLARE.....	31
FIGURE 2-3: FLUE GAS EMISSIONS CONCENTRATIONS FROM THE PYROLYSIS REACTOR.	33
FIGURE 2-4: SCHEMATIC OF THE PYROLYSIS SYSTEM WITH THE NEW FLARE.....	35
FIGURE 2-5: PLAN VIEW OF THE FLARE SHOWING THE ANGLE OF THE BURNERS, ARRANGED IN A TRIANGULAR PATTERN AROUND THE FLUE STACK.....	36
FIGURE 2-6: ELEVATION VIEW OF THE FLARE SHOWING THE ANGLE OF BURNERS RELATIVE TO FLUE STACK ..	36
FIGURE 2-7: ORIGINAL STEEL LID.....	37
FIGURE 2-8: FUGITIVE EMISSIONS SEEN DURING A PYROLYSIS RUN WITH 70 KG OF WOOD CHIPS	38
FIGURE 2-9: SAND SEAL ALONG THE PERIMETER OF THE REACTOR LID	39
FIGURE 2-10: 3D REPRESENTATION OF THE LID MODIFICATION PROCESS. IN THE TOP RIGHT FIGURE, THE BOTTOM SHEET METAL AND INSULATION ARE REMOVED. THE SECOND IMAGE ON THE TOP-RIGHT SHOWS SPOKES BEING WELDED IN BETWEEN THE OUTER FLUE CYLINDER AND INNER WALL OF THE LID. THE BOTTOM IMAGE SHOWS THE COMPLETED LID AFTER CEMENT HAS BEEN POURED IN.	40
FIGURE 2-11: SCHEMATIC OF COMBUSTION CHAMBER	41
FIGURE 2-12: NEW CERAMIC ROPE SEAL AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER COMPARED TO THE OLD	42
FIGURE 4-1: DRYING OF BIOMASS, ASSUMING ONLY FREE LIQUID WATER EXISTS IN THE WOOD	45
FIGURE 4-2: PYROLYSIS PROGRESS AS IT MOVES FROM THE WALL OF THE REACTOR TOWARDS THE CENTRE (RIGHT TO LEFT)	46
FIGURE 4-3: CROSS-SECTIONAL SCHEMATIC OF THE PYROLYSIS REACTOR. THE FORCED DRAFT BURNERS IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER PROVIDE HEAT. THE HOT GASES FLOW UP THROUGH THE ANNULUS AND OUT THE FLUE. THE UPDRAFT DRAWS SECONDARY AIR THROUGH THE BASE OF THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER. AS HEAT TRAVELS THROUGH THE PYROLYSIS CHAMBER WALLS, THE BIOMASS THERMALLY DEGRADES. THE VOLATILES MOVE TOWARDS THE CENTRE PERFORATED ZONE THROUGH CYCLES OF CONDENSATION AND RE-VOLATILISATION. VOLATILES THEN ENTER THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER AND ARE PARTIALLY COMBUSTED BY IGNITION FROM THE BURNERS AND THE SECONDARY AIR. PARTIAL COMBUSTION IS ENSURED BY DESIGNING THE SECONDARY AIR VENTS AND TO LIMIT SUPPLY. THE DASHED LINE SHOWS THE BOUNDARY OF THE MODEL DEVELOPED IN THIS WORK.....	47
FIGURE 4-4: SCHEMATIC OF THE HEAT TRANSFER MECHANISMS OCCURRING AT THE WALLS OF THE REACTOR (THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE CONVECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER). HERE THE GREEN DASHED ARROWS REPRESENT HEAT TRANSFER VIA CONDUCTION. CURVED DOTTED ARROWS IN ORANGE REPRESENT HEAT TRANSFER VIA RADIATION. GREY DOTTED-DASHED LINE REPRESENT SCATTERING RADIATION COMING OFF FROM THE WOOD PARTICLES. ONCE VOLATILES FORM, SUBSEQUENT HEAT TRANSFER IS MOSTLY BY CONVECTION AND RADIATION.	48
FIGURE 4-5: THE GEOMETRY OF HEAT TRANSFER IN A PARTICLE WITHOUT FLOWING FLUIDS. A' AND B' ARE BLACK BODY SURFACES AND A AND B REPRESENT THE VOID SPACE IN BETWEEN. C-C' IS THE PERPENDICULAR DIRECTION TO HEAT FLOW.	70
FIGURE 5-1: GEOMETRY OF THE MODEL DERIVED FROM THE PILOT SCALE REACTOR GEOMETRY. THE BLUE LINES REPRESENT THE OUTFLOW OF THE HEAT AND MASS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND THE ORANGE LINES REPRESENT THE INWARD HEAT SOURCE. THE GREY AREA IS WHERE THE POROUS MEDIA WAS ASSIGNED TO AND ALL THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS OF HEAT, MASS AND MOMENTUM AND THE REACTION KINETICS.	73
FIGURE 5-2: OVERALL MESH OF THE ENTIRE AXISYMMETRIC GEOMETRY	75
FIGURE 5-3: REFINED MESHING ACROSS THE PERFORATED CORE BOUNDARY, AS THIS IS WHERE THE MOST RAPID CHANGE OCCURS	76
FIGURE 6-1: VALIDATION OF CENTRE TEMPERATURES AT A WALL TEMPERATURE OF 650°C SHOWING COMPARISON BETWEEN FANTOZZI KINETICS FOR POPLAR, TUNED POPLAR PARAMETER PER TABLE 6-1.	

THE WALL TEMPERATURE USED IN THE MODEL IS THE EXPERIMENTAL TEMPERATURE MEASURED AT THE BOTTOM OF THE STACK. THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA WAS COLLECTED AS DESCRIBED IN APPENDIX C. 80

FIGURE 6-2: CENTRE TEMPERATURE PROFILE FOR DIFFERENT WALL TEMPERATURES (400-700°C)..... 82

FIGURE 6-3: RE-PRODUCED GRAPH OF RUN 3 FROM BRIDGES (BRIDGES, 2013) 85

FIGURE 6-4: EFFECT OF EXOTHERMICITY ON TEMPERATURE PROFILE COMPARED TO RUN 3 FROM BRIDGES WORK (BRIDGES, 2013). (2ND = SECONDARY, RXN = REACTIONS). NOTE THE MODEL-FLUE TEMPERATURE IS THAT OF THE BOTTOM OF THE CYLINDER. THERE IS A 200°C (SECTION 4) DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE BOTTOM AND TOP, THUS THE FLUE TEMPERATURE OF THE MODEL IS COMPARABLE TO THAT OF RHONDA’S EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED FLUE TEMPERATURE OF ~500°C. 87

FIGURE 0-1: CARMEN-KOZENY RELATIONSHIP FOR ESTIMATION OF PERMEABILITY OF PACKED BED OF POROUS MATERIAL..... 97

Tables

TABLE 1-1: ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION OF CARBONIZED CHAR FOR VARIOUS FEED-STOCKS (ANTAL AND GRØNLI, 2003)..... 21

TABLE 2-1: AUTO-IGNITION TEMPERATURES OF THE FLAMMABLE GASES FOUND IN THE PYROLYSIS FLUE STREAM. GAS CONCENTRATIONS BELOW THE LOWER LIMIT ARE TOO LEAN TO IGNITE AND GAS CONCENTRATIONS IN THE UPPER LIMIT ARE TOO RICH (*NIST CHEMISTRY WEBBOOK*, 2016)..... 32

TABLE 2-2: SHORT-TERM NEW ZEALAND WORK PLACE CO EXPOSURE LIMITS..... 33

TABLE 4.4-1: CONSTANT VALUES USED IN THE MODEL. HERE H IS THE HEAT OF REACTION, A IS THE ARRHENIUS PRE-EXPONENTIAL CONSTANT AND E IS THE ACTIVATION ENERGY (E.G. SOLID PHASE = WOOD, CHAR AND GAS PHASE = TAR, GASES, WATER VAPOUR). 51

TABLE 4-2: MATERIAL PROPERTIES USED IN THIS MODEL 68

TABLE 6-1: THERMO-PHYSICAL PARAMETERS USED IN THE MODEL..... 78

TABLE 6-6-2: BIOCHAR YIELDS PREDICTED BY THE MODEL FOR A RANGE OF PEAK TREATMENT TEMPERATURES 81

TABLE 6-3: NEW KINETIC REACTION PARAMETERS USED FOR THE EFFECT OF DECOMPOSITION REACTION STUDY..... 88

TABLE 6-4: EFFECTS OF KINETIC PARAMETERS ON BIOCHAR YIELD 89