

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.



**MASSEY UNIVERSITY**  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCES  
TE WĀHANGA PŪTAIAO

# **The effect of silver ion-implantation of stainless steel on bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation**

**Mehak Dhillon**

**2012**

# **The effect of silver ion-implantation of stainless steel on bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for a**

**Master of Science in**

**Microbiology**

**at Massey University, Palmerston North,**

**New Zealand.**

**Mehak Dhillon**

**2012**

## ABSTRACT

Biofilms comprise an assembly of microbial communities attached to a surface and enclosed in a polysaccharide matrix. Biofilms are a problem in dairy manufacturing plants where they cause biofouling of the stainless steel surface, resulting in product contamination and the need to shorten manufacturing runs for frequent cleaning. The equipment has to be thoroughly cleaned at regular intervals to remove biofilms. Clean-In-Place (CIP) procedures used in dairy manufacturing plants are not effective enough to remove all the biofilm cells as the extracellular polysaccharide (EPS), an integral part of a biofilm, reduces the penetration of cleaning agents. One possible alternative method to control biofilm growth involves surface modification of the stainless steel by implanting silver ions to prevent the attachment of viable bacteria that would otherwise form biofilms.

Stainless steel coupons, implanted with  $1 \times 10^{16}$  silver ions per  $\text{cm}^2$ , and control stainless steel coupons were tested for the attachment of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in various media for up to 30 minutes. Biofilm formation and EPS production for up to 24 hours was studied on the silver-implanted and control coupons in whole milk, skimmed milk and whey. It was found that there was higher attachment (0.49 and 0.18 log CFU per  $\text{cm}^2$  of *S. thermophilus* and *P. fluorescens*, respectively) on the stainless steel coupons than on the silver-implanted coupons in saline. In the presence of milk and whey, the difference in the attachment of bacteria on the two coupons reduced. Biofilm studies showed that the number of bacteria colonising both types of coupons was not statistically significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). While the Live/Dead<sup>®</sup> BacLight<sup>™</sup> Bacterial Viability stain showed that there were a few dead cells on the silver-implanted surfaces, scanning electron micrographs showed that the bacteria attached to a conditioning layer formed by the milk and whey proteins. Furthermore, both bacteria produced EPS, which, along with the conditioning film, might have masked the effect of silver ions from bacteria, resulting in similar numbers of bacteria present on the test and control coupons. Thus, due to the shielding effect of EPS and the conditioning film, the silver-implanted surfaces may be of limited practical value in the dairy industry.

## **LIST OF PRESENTATIONS**

Dhillon, M., Flint, S. & Lindsay, D. (2011, November). Silver ions implanted on stainless steel bacteria inhibit bacterial adhesion, *New Zealand Microbiological Society Conference*, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to my chief supervisor A/Pro Steve Flint for his unflagging support and guidance. This thesis would not have been possible without his insightful observations and advice. He taught me how to face the challenges of research and was always positive and encouraging.

I am also thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Denise Lindsay (Fonterra Research Centre, Palmerston North) for her helpful discussions, expert guidance and new ideas throughout this project.

I am also grateful to Dr. John Kennedy and Dr. Vivian Fang at the Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences for providing me with the silver ion-implanted coupons and for thorough discussion of the results.

I owe many thanks to Dr. Barbara Kuhn-Sherlock for discussing statistics of the study and providing me with her expert guidance.

I would like to acknowledge Massey University Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health for providing an excellent laboratory. Many thanks to Ann-Marie Jackson, Julia Stevenson, Judy Farrand-Collins and Anthony Wade for providing me technical advice and resources to complete my work.

Special thanks to Doug Hopcroft (Manawatu Microscopy Imaging Centre) who provided expert assistance for scanning electron microscopy.

Thanks must also go to all the students in the Microbiology suite, in particular Ben Somerton and Kenneth Teh, who were always keen to discuss my project and clear a few doubts.

I am indebted to the Ministry of Science and Innovation and Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited for supporting this study.

Finally, I would thank my friends and family for constantly encouraging and supporting me.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>LIST OF PRESENTATIONS</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	v
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	4
<b>2.1 Biofilms</b>	5
<b>2.1.1 Biofilms</b>	5
<b>2.1.2 Formation of biofilms</b>	5
<b>2.1.2.1 Conditioning of the surface</b>	5
<b>2.1.2.2 Adhesion of cells</b>	6
<b>2.1.2.3 Formation of a microcolony</b>	6
<b>2.1.2.4 Biofilm formation and maturation</b>	7
<b>2.1.2.5 Detachment and dispersal of cells</b>	7
<b>2.1.3 Ecological advantages of biofilm formation</b>	7
<b>2.2 Biofilms in the dairy industry</b>	8
<b>2.2.1 Process biofilms</b>	8
<b>2.2.2 Effects of biofilms on dairy manufacturing plants</b>	9
<b>2.3. Factors affecting bacterial attachment to surfaces</b>	9
<b>2.3.1 Hydrophobicity of the substratum</b>	10
<b>2.3.2 Topography of the surface</b>	11
<b>2.3.3 Properties of the bacterial cell surface</b>	11
<b>2.4 Treatments for controlling biofilms</b>	12
<b>2.4.1 Cleaning</b>	12
<b>2.4.2 Alternative control strategies</b>	14
<b>2.4.3 Surface modification</b>	15

2.4.3.1	Surface coatings	15
2.4.3.1.1	Silver-based coatings	16
2.4.3.2	Ion implantation	19
2.5	Conclusions	20
2.6	Aim of this study	20
<b>CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>		21
3.1	Bacterial isolates	22
3.2	Growth and maintenance of isolates	22
3.3	Ion implantation	22
3.4	Adhesion studies	26
3.4.1	CDC Biofilm reactor	26
3.4.2	Preparation of bacterial suspension	28
3.4.3	Media used	28
3.4.4	Exposure of coupons to suspension	29
3.4.5	Plating and enumeration	29
3.5	Cleaning	29
3.6	Microscopy	30
3.6.1	Epifluorescence microscopy	30
3.6.2	Scanning electron microscopy	30
3.7	EPS quantification	31
3.8	Statistical analysis	32
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS</b>		33
4.1	Attachment studies	34
4.2	Biofilm studies	36
4.2.1	Biofilm formation in whole milk	36
4.2.2	Biofilm formation in skimmed milk	38
4.2.3	Biofilm formation in whey	40
4.3	EPS quantification	42
4.3.1	Dextran standard curve	42
4.3.2	EPS production in whole milk	44
4.3.3	EPS production in skimmed milk	46
4.3.4	EPS production in whey	48

<b>4.4</b>	<b>Microscopy</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>4.4.1</b>	<b>Epifluorescence microscopy</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>4.4.2</b>	<b>Scanning electron microscopy</b>	<b>53</b>
 <b>CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION</b>		<b>55</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Future work</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>64</b>
 <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>		<b>65</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>75</b>

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

%	percentage
°C	degree celsius
μl	microlitre
μg	microgram
Ag	silver
Al <sup>2+</sup>	aluminium ion
Co <sup>2+</sup>	cobalt ion
cm	centimetre
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	copper ion
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> K <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	tri-potassium citrate dihydrate
CaCl <sub>2</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	calcium chloride dihydrate
CDC	centre for disease control
CIP	clean-in-place
CFU	colony forming units
DLC	diamond-like carbon
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
EPS	extracellular polysaccharide
<i>g</i>	acceleration due to gravity
g	gram
g/l	gram per litre
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	sulphuric acid
keV	kiloelectronvolt
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	potassium dihydrogen phosphate
KOH	potassium hydroxide
L	litre
LPS	lipopolysaccharides

m	metre
M	molar
mbar	millibar
mm	millimetre
Mg	milligram
ml	millilitre
mM	millimolar
MgCl <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	magnesium chloride hexahydrate
MoS <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup>	molybdenum disulphide ion
N <sup>+</sup>	nitrogen ion
Nm	nanometre
Na <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O(CO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	sodium citrate dihydrate
Ni	nickel
O <sup>+</sup>	oxygen ion
O.D.	optical density
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	lead ion
PTFE	polytetrafluoroethylene
R <sub>a</sub>	average roughness
Rpm	revolutions per minute
SAS	statistical analysis system
SiF <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	silicon trifluoride ion
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SS	stainless steel
TiC	titanium carbide
TSB	tryptic soy broth
UHT	ultra-high temperature
USFDA	United States food and drug administration

UV

ultraviolet

v/v

volume by volume

Zn<sup>2+</sup>

zinc ion

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Description of an ion implanter.	24
1.2 The coupon holder with the coupon fixed on it using a carbon tape.	25
1.3 CDC biofilm reactor used for the experiments in the study.	27
4.1 Attachment of <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) on silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel coupons (SS) in different media after exposure of the coupons for 30 minutes.	35
4.2 The number of cells of <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) on the silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel coupons (SS) during biofilm formation in whole milk at different time intervals	37
4.3 The number of cells of <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) on the silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel coupons (SS) during biofilm formation in skimmed milk at different time intervals.	39
4.4 The number of cells of <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) on the silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel coupons (SS) during biofilm formation in whey at different time intervals.	41
4.5 Calibration curve for the detection of EPS.	43
4.6 Amount of EPS produced per cm <sup>2</sup> by <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) in whole milk, on silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel (SS) coupons at different time intervals	45

4.7	Amount of EPS per cm <sup>2</sup> produced by <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B) in skimmed milk, on silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel (SS) coupons at different time intervals.	47
4.8	Amount of EPS per cm <sup>2</sup> produced by <i>S. thermophilus</i> (A) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (B), in the whey, on silver-implanted (Ag) and stainless steel (SS) coupons at different time intervals.	49
4.9	Images of silver-implanted (Ag-implanted) and stainless steel coupons, exposed to <i>S. thermophilus</i> for 24 hours in the whole milk, stained with the Live/Dead <sup>®</sup> BacLight <sup>™</sup> Bacterial Viability stain.	51
4.10	Images of silver-implanted (Ag-implanted) and stainless steel coupons, exposed to <i>P. fluorescens</i> for 24 hours in the whole milk, stained with the Live/Dead <sup>®</sup> BacLight <sup>™</sup> Bacterial Viability stain.	52
4.11	Images of stainless steel (right) and silver-implanted (left) coupons with biofilms of <i>S. thermophilus</i> (top) and <i>P. fluorescens</i> (middle) after 24 hours. The bottom two images show the surfaces of stainless steel (right) and silver-implanted (left) coupons when observed under SEM at a tilt of 65°.	54