Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MARKET RESEARCH AND BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Marketing

at Massey University, New Zealand.

Ganeshasundaram Raguragavan

2001

ABSTRACT

Over recent years, academics and practitioners alike have been taking a growing interest in the evaluation of market research activities. Discussions of the relationship between market research and business performance emphasise a logical link between useful information and good decisions. While many marketers agree with this logic, and think that market research and business performance are positively related, the sole empirical study conducted so far did not confirm this. A possible reason for the lack of confirmation is that different types of market research have different effects on business performance.

This study tested two hypotheses: that the type of research makes no difference to the usefulness of research projects as evaluated by the managers; and that business performance is unaffected by the type of research companies predominantly employ. These hypotheses were empirically tested by assessing the usefulness of the different types of market research projects, and by assessing whether the company performance is affected by the type of research employed by the company. Two substantive issues arise from these assessments: the classification of projects, and of companies, into types, and the evaluation, by type, of usefulness and business performance.

Market research projects conducted by the surveyed companies, were classified as "decision research" or "background research", based on the purpose for which each project was undertaken and how it was used. The companies were then classified on the basis of the type of research they predominantly commissioned.

The assessment of usefulness indicated that background research is carried out much more often than decision research, yet is regarded as less useful by managers. The assessment of company performance suggested that companies carrying out more decision research perform better than those that place more emphasis on background research. This evidence, if replicated, would justify a re-orientation from background research to decision research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study could not have been completed without the guidance, help and support of many people. I owe a great debt of gratitude to my supervisors at Massey University, New Zealand, Associate Professor Tony Lewis and Dr Zane Kearns, for their wisdom and considerable assistance at all stages of this project, particularly during some personal ordeals. Their personal ethos was paramount in establishing a publication stream from research undertaken for this thesis. Special thanks are extended to my former co-supervisor, Mr Don Esslemont, who has provided boundless enthusiasm and unwavering support for my study.

I also would like to acknowledge and thank the following people for their continued assistance and encouragement in completing this thesis. In particular, I wish to thank:

- 1. The Marketing Department at Massey University, in particular Professor Phil Gendall, for research funding and the use of department resources.
- The Massey University Research Services, in particular Mr Don Brown, for preparing and administering confidentiality agreements with participating organisations.
- 3. The Chief Executives and management of participating organisations for their trust in my research endeavours, and in particular the assistance of senior managers and staff who so willingly provided me with the information needed to complete this study. Without their time and willingness to participate, this thesis would not exist

I acknowledge the support of my family during the course of this project. This study could not have been carried out without the support of my wife, Jananee. My two young children, Aarahnan and Aarohanan, also played their part by helping me to maintain my humour and sense of perspective during this project. Finally, I am indebted to my mother and posthumously, my father, for their continuous positive support and instilling a sprit of continuance and a value for education. It is therefore appropriate that this study should be dedicated to them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

									Page
ABSTRAC	T								i
ACKNOW	LEDGE	MENTS							ii
TABLE O	F CONT	ENTS							iii
LIST OF T	ABLES	•							viii
LIST OF F	IGURE	S							x
LIST OF A	PPEND	DICES							xi
OVERVIE	w of T	HE STU	ΟY						01
1	INTRO	DUCTION	1						01
2	OBJE	CTIVES O	F THE	STUD	Υ				02
3	RESE	ARCH ME	THOD						04
4	OUTLI	NE OF TH	HE THE	SIS					05
PART I									08
1.1	INFOF ACTIV	RMATION 'ITY	USE	AND	M	ARKET	RES	SEARCH	08
	1.1.1	Informat	ion Env	/ironm	ent				09
	1.1.2	Market F	Researc	ch Defi	ned				12
	1.1.3	Types of	Marke	t Rese	arc	h			16
	1.1.4	Variable	s Unde	rlying l	Mar	ket Rese	earch	Use	20
		1.1.4.1	Inform	nationa	ıl Ch	naracteri	stics		20
		1.1.4.2	Organ	isation	nal (Characte	ristics	5	23
		1.1.4.3	Enviro	nmen	tal (Characte	ristics	;	26
	1.1.5	Logical Researc	_	ents	in	Suppor	t of	Market	28
		1.1.5.1	The M	larketir	ng C	Concept			28
		1.1.5.2	Marke	t Orier	ntati	on			32

			1.1.5.3	Overview of Logical Arguments in Support of Market Research Use	35
		1.1.6	Reserva Relation	itions on Market Research-performance ship	36
		1.1.7		al Research Into Market Research- ance Relationship	38
		1.1.8	Section	Summary	39
	1.2	PERF	ORMANC	E MEASUREMENT	41
		1.2.1	Measure	ement of Market Research Usefulness	41
			1.2.1.1	Overall Usefulness	41
			1.2.1.2	Market Understanding	42
			1.2.1.3	Actionable	43
			1.2.1.4	Value	43
			1.2.1.5	Influence of Managers' Involvement on Project Assessment	44
		1.2.2	Measure	ement of Business Performance	45
			1.2.2.1	Profitability Measures of Performance	47
			1.2.2.2	Growth As A Measure of Performance	49
			1.2.2.3	Influence Firm Size and Market Research Expenditure on Business Performance	50
			1.2.2.4	Self-assessed and Subjective Performance Measures	51
		1.2.3	Section	Summary	54
PAR	T II - R	RESEA	RCH MET	THOD	57
	2.1	INTRO	DUCTION	N	57
	2.2	OBJE	CTIVES		57
	2.3	SAMP	LE		58
		2.3.1	Sample	Size and Response Rate	58
		2.3.2	Non-Res	spondents	59
		2.3.3	Sample	Characteristics	60
		2.3.4	People		60

2.	4	PROC	EDURE		61
		2.4.1	Rapport	Development	62
		2.4.2	Confide	ntiality	62
		2.4.3	In-depth	Interviews and Gathering the Data	63
		2.4.4	Initial Question	uestionnaire Design and Piloting the nnaire	63
		2.4.5	Final Qu	estionnaire Administration	66
2.	5	DATA	REDUCT	ION TECHNIQUES	67
		2.5.1	Principa	l Components Analysis	67
		2.5.2	Cluster	Analysis	70
2.0	6	LIMITA	TIONS		73
2.	7	SUMM	ARY		74
PART III	I - Cl	_ASSIF	FICATIO	N OF PROJECTS AND COMPANIES	76
3.	1	CLASS	SIFICATIO	ON OF MARKET RESEARCH	77
		3.1.1	Validatio	n of the Research Use Dimensions	79
			3.1.1.1	Measures of Market Research Use Dimensions	80
			3.1.1.2	Classification of Research Type by Cluster Solution	96
3.2	2	CLASS	SIFICATIO	ON OF COMPANIES	99
		3.2.1	Classific	ation of Companies by Research Type	99
		3.2.2	Validatio	n of Company Classification	100
			3.2.2.1	Classification of Companies by Cluster Solution	100
			3.2.2.2	Classification of Companies by Q-type Factor Solution	103
3.3	3	SUMM	ARY		106

PART IV -	PROJE	CT AND	BUSINESS PERFORMANCE	108
4.1	PROJ	ECT PERF	FORMANCE	108
	4.1.1	Introduct	ion	109
	4.1.2	Measure	ment of Market Research Usefulness	110
	4.1.3	Use of C	ontrol Variable - Involvement	110
	4.1.4	Project R	Ratings	112
		4.1.4.1	Distribution of Responses	112
		4.1.4.2	Ratings	113
	4.1.5	Relations Results	ship Between Variables - Multivariate	116
		4.1.5.1	Dimensionality of the Project Ratings	117
		4.1.5.2	Data Reduction	118
	4.1.6	Section S	Summary	119
4.2	BUSIN	NESS PER	FORMANCE	121
	4.2.1	Introduct	ion	121
	4.2.2	Measure	ment of Business Performance	122
	4.2.3	Use of C	ontrol Variables - Size and Expenditure	123
		4.2.3.1	Firm Size Effect	123
		4.2.3.2	Market Research Expenditure Effect	125
	4.2.4	Performa	nce Ratings	127
		4.2.4.1	Distribution of Responses	127
		4.2.4.2	Ratings	128
	4.2.5	Relations Results	ship Between Variables - Multivariate	132
		4.2.7.1	Dimensionality of the Performance Ratings	132
		4.2.7.2	Data Reduction	133
	4.28	Section S	Summary	136

PART V -	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	138
5.1	OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT	138
5.2	SUMMARY OF RESEARCH METHODS	139
5.3	SUMMARY OF RESEARCH RESULTS	141
5.4	CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESEARCH	144
5.5	MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS	146
5.6	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY AND AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	147
5.7	FINAL REMARKS	148
		4.40
REFEREN	ICES	149
APPENDICES		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Sample Size and Response Rate	59
Table 2.2	Characteristics of Non-respondents Compared to the Sample	60
Table 3.1	Details of Projects Collected	78
Table 3.2	Variables Measuring Managers' Attitudes Towards Types of Market Research Use	80
Table 3.3	Analysis of Agglomeration Coefficient for Clusters of Variables	97
Table 3.4	Clusters of Variables Measuring Type of Market Research Use	98
Table 3.5	Analysis of Agglomeration Coefficient for Clusters of Companies	101
Table 3.6	Comparison of Results From Project-based and Cluster Classification Procedures	103
Table 3.7	Q-type Solution - Total Variance Explained	104
Table 3.8	Rotated Q-type Factor Matrix	105
Table 3.9	Comparison of Results From Project-based and Q-type Classification Procedures	106
Table 4.1	Details of Projects Collected and Analysed	110
Table 4.2	Level of Involvement by Research Type	111
Table 4.3	Mean Scores of Ratings by Level of Involvement in Market Research Projects	112
Table 4.4	Distribution of Responses by Research Type	113
Table 4.5	Mean Project Ratings by Type of Research	114
Table 4.6	Overall Usefulness by Research Type	114
Table 4.7	Market Understanding by Research Type	115
Table 4.8	Actionable by Research Type	115
Table 4.9	Value by Research Type	116

Table 4.10	Usefulness by Type of Research - Multvariate Manova Results	117		
Table 4.11	Correlations Between Rating Variables	117		
Table 4.12	Total Variance Explained - Measures of Project Usefulness	118		
Table 4.13	Unrotated Factor Matrix	119		
Table 4.14	Mean Factor Scores by Type of Research			
Table 4.15	Firm Size by Type of Companies			
Table 4.16	Ratings by Firm Size	124		
Table 4.17	Market Research Expenditure by Type of Companies	126		
Table 4.18	Ratings by Market Research Expenditure	126		
Table 4.19	Distribution of Responses by Type of Companies	127		
Table 4.20	Mean Performance Ratings by Type of Companies	129		
Table 4.21	Overall Performance by Type of Companies	129		
Table 4.22	Sales GrowthType of Companies	130		
Table 4.23	Return of Total Assets by Type of Companies	131		
Table 4.24	Return of Total Sales by Type of Companies	131		
Table 4.25	Business Performance by Type of Companies - Multvariate Manova Results	132		
Table 4.26	Correlations Between Measurement Variables	133		
Table 4.27	Total Variance Explained (Company Performance)	134		
Table 4.28	Three-factor Matrix	134		
Table 4.29	Mean Factor Scores by Type of Companies	135		
Table 5.1	Ranking Types of Research on Usefulness Dimensions	142		
Table 5.2	Ranking Types of Companies on Business Performance Dimensions	144		

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Clusters of Variables Using Ward's Method	97
Figure 2.2	Clusters of Companies Using Ward's Method	102

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 2.1	Sample Copy of the Initial Letter
Appendix 2.2	Details of Companies that Refused to Take part in the Project
Appendix 2.3	Sample Copy of the Personal Letter Addressed to the Respondents
Appendix 2.4	New Zealand Market Research Society's Code of Ethics
Appendix 2.5	Sample Copy of the Confidentiality Agreement
Appendix 2.6	Variables Measuring Market Research Use in Organisations
Appendix 2.7	Variables Measuring Business Performance
Appendix 2.8	Variables Measuring Usefulness of Market Research Projects
Appendix 2.9	Sample Copy of the Questionnaire and the Cover Letter
Appendix 3.1	Table of Frequencies for Variables Measuring Research Use Dimensions
Appendix 3.2	Details Results of the Variable Cluster Solution
Appendix 3.3	Details Results of the Cluster Solution
Appendix 3.4	Details Results of the Q-type Factor Solution
Appendix 3.5	Comparison of Results - Subjective and Objective Classifications
Appendix 4.1	Results of Level of Involvement in Research Projects
Appendix4.2	Details of Cross-tabulations, Chi-square test and anova results
Appendix 4.3	Manova results, Correlations table and Paired sample test
Appendix 4.4	Full Details of the Reliability, KMO, Bartlett tests and the Results of the Factor Solution - Measures of Usefulness
Appendix 4.5	Results of the Effect of Firm Size on Performance Measures
Appendix 4.6	Details Results of the Level of Market Research Expenditure on Performance Measures
Appendix4.7	Details of Cross-tabulations, Chi-square test and anova results
Appendix 4.8	Manova results, Correlations table and Paired sample test
Appendix 4.9	Details of the Reliability, KMO, Bartlett tests and the Results of the Factor Solution - Measures of Business Performance