

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Floral Induction and Development in  
*Myosotidium hortensia* and  
*Phormium cookianum*

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Plant Biology  
at Massey University, New Zealand

John Creighton Harris  
2004

## Abstract

Little is known of the stimuli needed for flowering in two New Zealand endemic plants, *Myosotidium hortensia* and *Phormium cookianum*. These plants are widely recognised by the horticulture sector and the concerns of this thesis were to aid understanding of floral induction and development in the two species. Environmental stimuli were investigated by growing plants under factorial combinations of daylength and temperature in controlled growth rooms. The two daylengths used, termed long days (LD) and short days (SD), consisted of night / day periods of 8 / 16 h and 16 / 8 h respectively. Two night / day temperature regimes of 4 / 7°C and 18 / 24°C referred to as Cold and Warm respectively, were combined with the daylengths to make four treatments.

Floral induction in both species was unaffected by temperature or daylength, with approximately 50% of the *P. cookianum* flowering under all environmental treatments. *M. hortensia* did not flower. The absence of flowering seen in half of the *P. cookianum* plants was associated with a small size (fewer nodes at the commencement of the environmental treatments). Floral development in those plants that did flower was accelerated in *P. cookianum* by eight weeks growth under Cold compared with Warm treatment. Floral development of *P. cookianum* was further enhanced by four weeks treatment at Cold temperatures followed by transfer for four weeks at Warm temperatures. Vegetative growth was enhanced under Warm temperatures compared with Cold, in both *P. cookianum* and *M. hortensia*.

Hormonal floral stimuli were investigated by application of the gibberellin A<sub>3</sub>, followed by growth under Cold SD conditions. The proportion of plants flowering was increased by GA<sub>3</sub> in *P. cookianum*. GA<sub>3</sub>-treated *P. cookianum* flowered with fewer nodes as GA<sub>3</sub> concentration increased. In *M. hortensia*, GA<sub>3</sub> application did not cause flowering although stem elongation was increased.

A region of the *P. cookianum* *FLORICAULA / LEAFY* (*FLO / LFY*) homologue (*PFL*) mRNA was isolated by reverse transcriptase-PCR and sequenced, and shown to share strong sequence identity with other *FLO / LFY*-like genes. *PFL* mRNA

expression was compared with levels of actin mRNA using Real Time reverse transcriptase-PCR, performed using a LightCycler and the double stranded DNA binding dye SYBR Green 1. Upregulation of *PFL* mRNA at the meristem occurred over time, and increases coincided with changes in morphology from vegetative to inflorescence development. As predicted, greater *PFL* expression was observed in fans of larger size, these being the fans with greater likelihood of flowering.

## Acknowledgements

I am most grateful towards my supervisors Dr. John Clemens and Professor Paula Jameson, you have earned my most heartfelt thanks. You make a great team. Your faith, guidance, encouragement, and smiles helped me achieve all this.

Thanks to my lab colleagues for your patience, advice, and friendship. Jason for watching over me, Alexa and Angie for your help, and Elizabeth and Anu for your smiles. Thanks to the many friends I have made in the Institute, I will always remember.

To my parents Ed and June, you have always listened. I am thankful for your interest and encouragement.

I would like to acknowledge, through a subcontract from Crop & Food Research, the Public Good Science Fund. Also the Institute of Molecular BioSciences for contributions made towards research, conference travels and general living expenses, for which I am grateful.

# Table of contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Chapter 1.</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 The inductive pathways to flowering	1
1.1.0 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Photoperiod	2
1.1.2 Vernalisation	3
1.1.3 Gibberellic acid	4
1.2 Molecular mechanisms behind flowering: the interaction of the genome with the environment	6
1.2.0 Introduction	6
1.2.1 The molecular basis of the vernalisation pathway of floral induction	7
1.2.2 Molecular basis of the photoperiod pathway of floral induction	9
1.2.3 Molecular basis of the gibberellin pathway of floral induction	9
1.3 <i>LEAFY</i> , the floral meristem identity gene	10
1.3.0 Introduction	10
1.3.1 Mutant phenotype ( <i>lfy</i> )	10
1.3.2 Expression of <i>LEAFY</i>	11
1.3.3 Dicotyledonous <i>LEAFY</i> homologues	11
1.3.4 Monocotyledonous <i>FLO/LFY</i> homologues	12
1.3.4.1 <i>Oryza sativa</i> <i>FLORICAULA</i> / <i>LEAFY</i> ( <i>OSL</i> , <i>RFL</i> ) (Kyozyuka et al. 1998)	13
1.3.4.2 <i>Lolium temulentum</i> <i>FLORICAULA</i> / <i>LEAFY</i> ( <i>LtLFY</i> ) (Gocal et al. 2001)	14

1.3.4.3	<i>Zea mays</i> FLORICAULA / LEAFY (ZFL)	
	(Bomblies et al. 2003)	14
1.4	Species description	15
1.4.1	<i>Myosotidium hortensia</i>	15
1.4.2	<i>Phormium cookianum</i>	16
1.5	Floral Induction In Related Species	16
1.6	Aims And Objectives	16
<b>Chapter 2.</b>		
<b>Floral Induction and development in <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> and <i>Phormium cookianum</i></b>		
2.0	Introduction	20
2.1	Materials and methods	21
2.1.1	Plant material	21
2.1.2	Photoperiod and temperature treatments	21
2.1.3	Gibberellin applications	23
2.1.4	Sectioning of shoot apical meristems	24
2.1.5	Statistical analyses	25
2.2	Results	26
2.2.1	<i>Phormium cookianum</i>	26
2.2.1.1	Photoperiod and temperature experiments	26
2.2.1.1.1	Vegetative growth	26
2.2.1.1.2	Morphological changes at the meristem	28
2.2.1.1.3	Floral induction	31
2.2.1.1.4	Floral development	31
2.2.1.1.5	Floral induction and vegetative growth	32
2.2.1.1.6	Crossover experiment	33
2.2.1.1.7	Outdoor plants	36
2.2.1.2	Gibberellin experiment	37
2.2.1.3	Fan size and floral induction	37
2.2.2	<i>Myosotidium hortensia</i>	40
2.2.2.1	Photoperiod and temperature experiments	40
2.2.2.1.1	Vegetative growth	40

2.2.2.1.2	Morphological changes at the meristem	42
2.2.2.2	Gibberellin experiment	42
2.2.2.2.1	Vegetative growth	42
2.4	Discussion	45
<b>Chapter 3.</b>		
<b>Effects of gibberellin A<sub>3</sub> and size/age factors on <i>FLORICAULA</i> / <i>LEAFY</i> expression in <i>Phormium cookianum</i></b>		
3.0	Introduction	50
3.1	Materials and methods	51
3.1.1	<i>PFL</i> isolation and sequencing	51
3.1.1.1	RNA extraction	51
3.1.1.2	Reverse transcriptase reaction	52
3.1.1.3	Primer design	53
3.1.1.4	PCR reactions	53
3.1.1.5	Visualisation of PCR products and gel extraction	55
3.1.1.6	Sequencing of products	56
3.1.1.7	Actin as a positive control	56
3.1.2	<i>PFL</i> expression during floral induction and organogenesis following GA <sub>3</sub> application	56
3.1.2.1	Experimental treatments	56
3.1.2.2	RNA extraction	57
3.1.2.3	<i>PFL</i> and actin specific primers	57
3.1.2.4	Deoxynuclease treatment of RNA extractions and reverse transcriptase reaction	57
3.1.2.5	Real Time PCR reaction	59
3.2	Results	65
3.2.1	<i>PFL</i> and actin isolation and sequencing	65
3.2.2	Effects of GA <sub>3</sub> on floral induction	66
3.2.3	Expression levels of <i>PFL</i> during inflorescence development	66
3.3	Discussion	71



<b>Chapter 4.</b>	
<b>General Discussion</b>	75
<b>References</b>	78
<b>Appendix A: Statistical tests of significance for vegetative and floral growth in <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> and <i>Phormium cookianum</i></b>	82
<b>Appendix B: Statistical tests for homogeneity and normality of the residuals from vegetative growth data (Chapter 2).</b>	89
<b>Appendix C: Alignment of <i>Phormium cookianum</i> sequences with homologues</b>	108
<b>Appendix D: Primer sequences used in PCR and Real Time PCR reactions</b>	118

## List of figures

Figure 1.1:	A simplified model of the possible interactions between genes and pathways controlling flowering time in <i>Arabidopsis</i> (Perilleux and Bernier 2002).	8
Figure 1.2:	An experimental subject ( <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> )	17
Figure 1.3:	Flowering <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> (picture sourced from <a href="http://www.liddlewonder.co.nz">www.liddlewonder.co.nz</a> )	17
Figure 1.4:	An experimental subject ( <i>Phormium cookianum</i> )	18
Figure 1.5:	Flowering <i>Phormium cookianum</i> (Experimental subject)	18
Figure 2.1:	Effect of daylength and temperature treatments applied for 56 days on leaf growth in <i>Phormium cookianum</i> . Plants were transferred to a warm greenhouse on Day 56. Refer to Materials and Methods for treatment specifications.	27
Figure 2.2:	Microscopic study of the shoot apical meristem of <i>P. cookianum</i> plants after four weeks of daylength and temperature treatment. Subjects chosen to display the range in developmental stages, not representative of all meristems under a particular treatment. (A & B) Cold LD grown meristems. (C & D) Cold SD grown meristems.	29
Figure 2.3:	Microscopic study of the shoot apical meristem of <i>P. cookianum</i> plants after eight weeks of daylength and temperature treatment. Subjects chosen to display the range in developmental stages, not representative of all meristems under a particular treatment. (A) Cold LD grown meristem. (B) Cold SD grown meristem. (C) Warm LD grown meristem. (D) Warm SD grown meristems	30
Figure 2.4:	Effect of daylength within Warm temperature treatments applied for 56 days on leaf growth in flowering (F) and non-flowering (NF) plants of <i>Phormium cookianum</i> . Plants were transferred to a warm greenhouse on Day 56. Refer to Materials and Methods for treatment specifications.	34
Figure 2.5:	Effect of daylength within Cold temperature treatments applied for 56 days on leaf growth in flowering (F) and non-flowering (NF)	

	plants of <i>Phormium cookianum</i> . Plants were transferred to a warm greenhouse on Day 56. Refer to Materials and Methods for treatment specifications.	35
Figure 2.6:	Effect of GA <sub>3</sub> on the proportion of fans of <i>P. cookianum</i> flowering, and the number of flowers per inflorescence.	38
Figure 2.7:	The influence of node number on floral induction in <i>Phormium cookianum</i> plants grown under the four different environments of the photoperiod and temperature experiment (Section 2.2.1.1.1). See section 2.1.2 Photoperiod and temperature treatments, for conditions of treatment and growth.	39
Figure 2.8:	Effect of daylength and temperature treatments applied for 56 days on leaf growth in <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> . Plants were transferred to a warm greenhouse on Day 56. Refer to Materials and Methods for treatment specifications.	41
Figure 2.9:	Microscopic study of the shoot apical meristem of <i>M. hortensia</i> plants after eight weeks of daylength and temperature treatment.	43
Figure 2.10:	Internode elongation of <i>Myosotidium hortensia</i> treated with 100µg GA <sub>3</sub> (Extended internodes shown by arrows).	44
Figure 3.1:	Position of primers on the <i>Lolium temulentum</i> mRNA <i>LFY</i> sequence.	54
Figure 3.2:	Melting curve of actin and <i>PFL</i> products generated from genomic DNA contamination of RNA extractions. The peaks represent distinct drops in fluorescence as double stranded DNA products separate (or melt) at particular temperatures (T <sub>m</sub> ). The peak at 83°C represents the T <sub>m</sub> of actin primer dimers, 92°C the T <sub>m</sub> of product generated from RNA using actin specific primers, and 93°C the T <sub>m</sub> of product generated using cDNA and actin specific primers.	58
Figure 3.3:	Melting curve of <i>PFL</i> and actin primer dimers and PCR products generated from genomic DNA contamination in RNA samples. A) Melting peak of <i>PFL</i> primer dimers and <i>PFL</i> and actin product. B) Melting peak of actin primer dimers and <i>PFL</i> and actin product. Data was acquired at 84°C (vertical line) to avoid primer dimer fluorescence, as dimers melt below this temperature.	60

Figure 3.4:	Gel analysis of actin (435 base pairs ) and <i>PFL</i> (262 base pairs) products. Top lanes are, lane 1: 1 Kb <sup>+</sup> ladder, lanes 2 – 4: calibrator <i>PFL</i> product, lanes 5 – 7: calibrator actin product, lanes 8 – 17: examples of paired <i>PFL</i> and actin products of cDNA samples from different RNA extractions. Bottom lanes are, lane 1: 1 Kb <sup>+</sup> ladder, lanes 2 – 14 examples of pairs of alternating <i>PFL</i> and actin cDNA products.	62
Figure 3.5:	The increase in fluorescence as cDNA products are amplified exponentially used to determine the cycle number where fluorescence rises above background levels. Overlapping fluorescence levels are seen for <i>PFL</i> and actin products generated in triplicate from calibrator cDNA (A), cDNA generated from Time 3, large, GA <sub>3</sub> -treated RNA samples amplified with actin (B) or <i>PFL</i> (C) specific primers was also performed in triplicate.	63
Figure 3.6:	Efficiency of the Real Time PCR reactions for <i>PFL</i> (A) and actin (B) over a dilution series (CP = crossing point, cycle number)	64
Figure 3.7:	Proportion of plants flowering for fans of different sizes treated with EtOH (A) or GA <sub>3</sub> / EtOH (B). Refer to materials and methods for size definitions.	67
Figure 3.8:	Relative expression of <i>PFL</i> in different sized fans treated with EtOH control (A) or GA <sub>3</sub> /EtOH (B). See section 3.1.2 <i>PFL</i> expression during floral induction and organogenesis upon GA <sub>3</sub> application for treatment details.	68
Figure 3.9:	A representative vegetative meristem of large untreated-fans at Time 0 and Time 1.	69
Figure 3.10:	Sections of meristematic samples taken at Time 2 from large untreated-fans displaying varying degrees of floral development.	69
Figure 3.11:	Section of meristematic sample of a large untreated-fan, taken at Time 3, displaying advanced inflorescence development.	70
Figure B.1:	Residual plot of <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations taking blocking effects into consideration.	92

Figure B.2:	Normal probability plot of <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations taking blocking effects into consideration.	92
Figure B.3:	Residual plot of <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	93
Figure B.4:	Residual plot of logarithm transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	95
Figure B.5:	Normal probability plot of Logarithm transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	96
Figure B.6:	Analysis of Square Root transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Levene's test of variance homogeneity. D) Tests of Normality.	98
Figure B.7:	Linear regression model of logarithm transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data.	99
Figure B.8:	Analysis of Power transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Levene's test of variance homogeneity. D) Tests of Normality.	100
Figure B.9:	Analysis of <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Tests of Normality.	102
Figure B.10:	Residual plot of <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	103
Figure B.11:	Analysis of Logarithm transformed <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Levene's test of variance homogeneity. D) Tests of Normality.	105

Figure B.12:	Analysis of Square Root transformed <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Levene's test of variance homogeneity. D) Tests of Normality.	106
Figure B.13:	Analysis of Power transformed <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data. A) Residual plot. B) Normal Probability plot. C) Levene's test of variance homogeneity. D) Tests of Normality.	107
Figure C.1:	Comparison of partial Mitochondrial 26S rRNA homologues	108
Figure C.2:	Partial cDNA comparison of <i>FLO</i> / <i>LFY</i> homologues	110
Figure C.3:	Partial amino acid sequence comparison of <i>FLO</i> / <i>LFY</i> homologues	113
Figure C.4:	Partial cDNA comparison of actin homologues	115
Figure D.1:	Sequences of primers used to isolate <i>PFL</i> cDNA.	118
Figure D.2:	Sequences of primers used to isolate <i>P. cookianum</i> actin cDNA.	118
Figure D.3:	Sequences of <i>PFL</i> and actin specific primers used in Real Time reverse transcriptase-PCR	118

## List of tables

Table 2.1:	The effect of GA <sub>3</sub> applied to <i>P. cookianum</i> and node number on the proportion of plants flowering (Section 2.2.1.2.1). See section 2.1.3 Gibberellin applications, for conditions of treatment and growth.	39
Table 3.1:	Expected sizes of amplified <i>PFL</i> fragments (bp) from using different primer pair combinations.	54
Table A.1:	Main plot or 'between subjects' ANOVA	82
Table A.2:	Split plot or 'within subjects' ANOVA	82
Table A.3:	Linear contrasts	82
Table A.4:	Observed and expected frequencies of induction on treatment, Cold temperatures versus Warm	82
Table A.5:	Statistics for Table of treatment by induction, Cold temperatures versus Warm	83
Table A.6:	Observed and expected frequencies of induction on treatment, Short day versus Long day	83
Table A.7:	Statistics for Table of treatment by induction, Short day versus Long day	83
Table A.8:	Observed and expected frequencies of anthesis on temperature	83
Table A.9:	Statistics for table of treatment by anthesis	84
Table A.10:	T Test procedure for the number of flowers per plant under Cold and Warm temperatures	84
Table A.11:	T Test procedure for the node with the first floral branch under Cold and Warm temperatures	84
Table A.12:	T Test procedure for the number of floral axes per plant under Cold and Warm temperatures	85
Table A.13:	T Test procedure for the height of inflorescence bolt under Cold and Warm temperatures	85
Table A.14:	Main plot or 'between subjects' ANOVA	85
Table A.15:	Split plot or 'within subjects' ANOVA	85
Table A.16:	Linear contrasts	85
Table A.17:	T Test procedure for the number of flowers for transferred and Cold grown plants	86

Table A.18:	T Test procedure for the node with the first floral branch for transferred and Cold grown plants	86
Table A.19:	T Test procedure for the number of floral branches for transferred and Cold grown plants	86
Table A.20:	T Test procedure for the height of inflorescence for transferred and Cold grown plants	86
Table A.21:	Observed and expected frequencies of flowering in <i>P. cookianum</i> on GA <sub>3</sub> concentration	87
Table A.22:	Statistics for table of GA <sub>3</sub> concentration by induction	87
Table A.23:	Completely randomised design ANOVA	87
Table A.24:	Main plot or 'between subjects' ANOVA	87
Table A.25:	Split plot or 'within subjects' ANOVA	88
Table A.26:	Linear contrasts	88
Table B.1:	Tests for Normality performed on <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data residuals.	93
Table B.2:	Levene's Test for homogeneity of <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data variance, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	93
Table B.3:	Levene's Test for Homogeneity of logarithm transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data variance, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	95
Table B.4:	Tests for Normality performed on Logarithm transformed <i>P. cookianum</i> vegetative growth data residuals.	96
Table B.5:	Levene's Test for homogeneity of <i>M. hortensia</i> vegetative growth data variance, with the General Linear Model used to account for the observations not taking into account any effects blocking within the rooms may have had on growth.	103