Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Reproductive biology of *Diadegma semiclausum* Hellen (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

> Master of Science (MSc) in Plant Protection



at Massey University Palmerston North, New Zealand

Diwas Khatri

2011

Abstract

The ichneumonid Diadegma semiclausum Hellen has been recorded in many parts of the world as an important parasitoid of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linnaeus), a serious pest of brassica vegetable crops worldwide. Some aspects of reproductive biology were studied in controlled laboratory conditions of $21\pm1^{\circ}$ C, 16:8 h (light:dark) and 50-60% RH. Diadegma semiclausum adults emerge only during the photophase. It has a protandrous emergence pattern because the male developmental time is shorter than the female. Most males emerge in the first half of the photophase whereas females emerge during the second half. Both males and females become sexually mature in <12 h after emergence. When paired with 3-d-old virgin mates, more newly emerged females (<12-h-old) mate, compared to newly emerged males. Females, immediately after eclosion (<1-h-old), do not carry mature eggs in their ovaries, and hence this is a strong synovigenic species. Maternal age affects the egg load, which reaches the maximum by 8 d after emergence. Egg resorption occurs in host deprived females and the number of mature eggs declines with age when >20 d. Females can mature eggs without a food supply, suggesting that it is an autogenous species. Host and plant cues do not enhance the initiation of the egg maturation process in newly emerged females. The longevity of adults D. semiclausum is immensely affected by food availability. Sugar fed individuals live 15-20 fold longer than those not provided with food or water after emergence. Females live longer than males. Body size does not affect the longevity of males, but large females live longer than small females, in the absence of food. A single mating does not affect the longevity of either males or females. Egg laying reduces the longevity of the females. Males show active courtship behaviour. Mating success increases with an increasing mate age. Female age is more important than male age, for mating success. Body size does not affect mating success. Neither age nor body size affects the premating and mating periods. Adults mainly mate during the photophase. Repeated mating occurs in continuously paired males and females. A single male can repeatedly mate the same female up to 5 times during 16 h of pairing. Mating duration and mating intervals decrease non-linearly in subsequent matings, after the first mating.

Females oviposit during the photophase. More eggs are laid in the first few oviposition bouts. More fertilised eggs are deposited in the first two oviposition bouts.

Host stage affects body size and developmental time of offspring. The developmental period of the parasitoid is the longest if the host is parasitied at the second instar. Males developing in the third and fourth instars and females in the third instar DBM larvae have the largest developmental periods. The host stage does not affect the sex ratio, parasitism rate and emergence rate. The sex ratio is highly male-biased. Parasitoids produce more female offspring, at higher host density conditions. Parasitism rate is inversely related to the host density. Fecundity is greater at a higher host density. At the density of 30 hosts, a *D. semiclausum* female can parasitise > 600 larvae in her lifetime. Longevity, host larvae mortality, parasitoid emergence rate and egg load at death, are not affected by host density. The parasitism rate reduces with the increase of the female's age. The sex ratio becomes increasingly male-biased, with the increase of age in parasitisng females.

Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Professor Qiao Wang, for his help, advice and encouragement throughout my study. Qiao has always been available when I have needed help and his support and enthusiasm has been much appreciated.

I also want to thank Dr Xiong Zhao He, who helped me in many ways, particularly in statistical analysis and going through the manuscript.

I am grateful to New Zealand's International Aid and Development Agency (NZAID) for providing me with an MSc Scholarship at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. I am equally thankful to the New Zealand Plant Protection Society (Incorporated) for providing me with their support, through a travel grant, which allowed me to present these papers.

My thanks go to Solar Produce Farm, Palmerston North for allowing me to enter their property and collect pests and parasitoids.

To my departmental colleagues: I owe special thanks for their valuable time, ideas and useful comments throughout my study. Thanks to Anand Yadav, Casey Flay, Jin Xu, Rashmi Kant, Muhammad Shakeel and Abdul Hanan. In addition, I have received support from a number of other people including Jatin Saroy, Kay Kitchen, James Salter, Olive Pimental, Sylvia Hooker, Denise Stewart and Glenys Gilligan.

My friends from Nepal, who are in the Palmerston North, have made my stay in New Zealand, simply awesome. My thanks to Tribhuvan Shrestha, Bhoj Kshatri, Bikram Pandey, Sunit Adhikari, Tikajung Thapa and their families. Thanks also to Rajiv Maharjan, Abilash Thapa and Kamal Koirala, for the enjoyable times we have had together.

The love and support I receive from my wife, Renuka Basnet, my parents, brothers and other family members, are always an inspiration to me and I express my deepest respect to them all.

I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved parents

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Role of Natural Enemies in DBM Management	2
1.3	Relevance of the Study	3
1.4	Aim and Objectives	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Parasitoids as Potential Biocontrol Agents of DBM	6
2.2	Diadegma Species Attacking DBM	7
2.3	Host Range of <i>Diadegma</i> Species	8
2.4	Diadegma semiclausum and Its Role in DBM Management	8
2.4.1	Important Biological Characteristics	8
2.4.2	Use in Biological Control	8
2.4.3	Pest Control and Parasitism	8
2.5	Identification and Taxonomy of D. semiclausum	9
2.6	Developmental Stages of D. semiclausum	11
2.6.1	Egg	11
2.6.2	Larva	11
2.6.3	Pupa	12
2.6.4	Adult	12
2.7	General Biology of D. semiclausum	14
2.8	Host Location and Searching Behaviour of D. semiclausum	14
2.9	Reproductive Biology	15
2.9.1	Emergence, Sexual Receptivity and Mating	15
2.9.2	Reproductive System	16
2.9.3	Egg Maturation	18
2.9.3.1	Factors Affecting Egg Maturation	18
2.9.3.2	Egg Maturation Period	19
2.9.4	Factors Affecting the Reproductive Fitness	19

2.9.4.1	Host Stage	20
2.9.4.2	Host Density	21
2.9.4.3	Body Size	21
2.9.4.4	Age and Mating History	22
CHAPTER 3	EMERGECE, SEXUAL MATURATION & ADULT LONGEVITY	
	OF DIADEGMA SEMICLAUSUM	23
3.1	General Introduction	23
3.2	General Methodology	23
3.2.1	Materials and Methods	23
3.2.2	Environmental Conditions	26
3.2.3	Definitions of Biological Parameters for D. semiclausum	26
3.2.4	Statistical Analysis and Reported Values	26
3.3	Emergence Pattern and Sexual Maturation	26
3.3.1	Introduction	26
3.3.2	Materials and Methods	28
3.3.2.1	Emergence	28
3.3.2.2	Sexual Maturation	28
3.3.2.3	Statistical Analysis	29
3.3.3	Results	29
3.3.3.1	Emergence	29
3.3.3.2	Sexual Maturation	30
3.3.4	Discussion	31
3.4	Factors Affecting Egg Maturation and Egg Load	33
3.4.1	Introduction	33
3.4.2	Materials and Methods	33
3.4.2.1	Effect of Parasitoid Age on Egg Load	34
3.4.2.2	Effect of Parasitoid Body Size on Egg Load	34
3.4.2.3	Egg Load at Death	35
3.4.2.4	Effect of Food Supply on Egg Load	35
3.4.2.5	Effect of Host and Plant Cues on Egg Maturation	35
3.4.2.6	Statistical Analysis	36

3.4.3	Results	36
3.4.3.1	Effect of Parasitoid Age on Egg Load	36
3.4.3.2	Effect of Parasitoid Body Size on Egg Load	38
3.4.3.3	Egg Load at Death	39
3.4.3.4	Effect of Food Supply on Egg Load	40
3.4.3.5	Effect of Host and Host Plant Cues on Egg Maturation	41
3.4.4	Discussion	41
3.5	Factors Affecting Adult Longevity	43
3.5.1	Introduction	43
3.5.2	Materials and Methods	44
3.5.2.1	Effect of Food Supply and Body Size	44
3.5.2.2	Effect of Mating	44
3.5.2.3	Effect of Oviposition	45
3.6	Statistical Analysis	45
3.7	Results	45
3.7.1	Effect of Food Supply and Body Size	45
3.7.2	Effect of Mating	48
3.7.3	Effect of Oviposition	48
3.8	Discussion	49
CHAPTER 4	REPRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOUR OF DIADEGMA	52
	SEMICLAUSUM	
4.1	General Introduction	52
4.2	Mating Behaviour	52
4.2.1	Introduction	52
4.2.2	Materials and Methods	53
4.2.2.1	General Methodology	53
4.2.2.2	Effect of Mate Age on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	54
4.2.2.3	Effect of Body Size on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	54

4.2.2.4	Effect of Light Regime on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	55
4.2.2.5	Mating Pattern During Photophase	55
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis	55
4.2.4	Results	56
4.2.4.1	General Mating Behaviour	56
4.2.4.2	Effect of Mate Age on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	57
4.2.4.3	Effect of Body Size on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	59
4.2.4.4	Effect of Light Regime on Mating Success, Premating and Mating	
	Duration	59
4.2.4.5	Mating Pattern During Photophase	60
4.2.5	Discussion	62
4.3	Oviposition Rhythms of D. semiclausum	66
4.3.1	Introduction	66
4.3.2	Materials and Methods	67
4.3.3	Statistical Analysis	67
4.3.4	Results	68
4.3.5	Discussion	69
4.4	Host Stage Preference and its Effect on Reproductive Fitness of	70
	D. semiclausum	
4.4.1	Introduction	70
4.4.2	Materials and Methods	72
4.4.2.1	Identification of Host Stages	72
4.4.2.2	Effect of Host Stage on Parasitoid Development, Body Size,	
	Parasitism Rate and Sex Allocation	73
4.4.3	Statistical Analysis	73
4.4.4	Results	74
4.4.4.1	Host Stage Preference	74
4.4.4.2	Effect of Host Stage on Parasitoid Development	74
4.4.4.3	Effect of Host Stage on Parasitoid Body Size	75

Effect of Host Stage on Emergence Rate and Sexual Allocation	75
Discussion	76
Effect of Host Density on Reproduction of D. semiclausum	79
Introduction	79
Materials and Methods	80
Statistical Analysis	81
Results	81
Discussion	84
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	88
Introduction	88
Emergence and Sexual Maturation	88
Egg Maturation Dynamics	88
Longevity	89
Mating Behaviour	90
Oviposition Behaviour	90
Host Stage and Reproductive Behaviour	91
Host Density and Reproductive Behaviour	91
Conclusion	92
	94
BLISHED PAPERS	129
2. and Wang Q. 2009. Mating behaviour and egg maturation in	
ausum Hellen (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). New Zealand Plant	
4-178	129
	Discussion Effect of Host Density on Reproduction of D. semiclausum Introduction Materials and Methods Statistical Analysis Results Discussion GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION Introduction Emergence and Sexual Maturation Egg Maturation Dynamics Longevity Mating Behaviour Oviposition Behaviour Host Stage and Reproductive Behaviour Host Density and Reproductive Behaviour Conclusion BLISHED PAPERS A and Wang Q. 2009. Mating behaviour and egg maturation in <i>ausum</i> Hellen (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). New Zealand Plant

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Mean premating period (h) and mating duration (min); and mating success (%) of <i>D. semiclausum</i> adults	30
Table 3.2	Effect of food supply and body size on the longevity (days) of <i>D. semiclausum</i> adults	47
Table 4.1	Effect of mate age on premating period and mating duration	58
Table 4.2	Effect of body size on premating period, mating duration and mating success	59
Table 4.3	Effect of host stage at parasitisation on developmental duration (days) of <i>D. semiclausum</i>	74
Table 4.4	Effect of host stage on pupal weight (mg) of <i>D. semiclausum</i>	75
Table 4.5	Effect of host stage on parasitism, emergence and sex ratio of <i>D. semiclausum</i>	75
Table 4.6	Effect of host density on reproductive fitness of <i>D. semiclausum</i>	82

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Biological control agents of DBM. [From Sarfraz et al. (2005)]	6
Figure 2.2	Adults of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . These pictures were taken in the present study	10
Figure 2.3	Hind tibia of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . This picture was taken in the present study	10
Figure 2.4	Wings of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . This picture was taken in the present study	11
Figure 2.5	Life stages and development of the <i>D. semiclausum</i> : (A) eggs; (B) larva (4 days after oviposition); (C) larva (6 days after oviposition); (D) prepupa (8 days after oviposition); (E) pupa in cocoon (9 days after oviposition); (F) cocoon (12 days after oviposition); (G) adult emerging from the cocoon; and (H) adult. All pictures were taken in the present study	13
Figure 2.6	Female reproductive system of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . Ova – ovary; Tf - terminal filament; G – germarium; V – vitellarium; Cr – calyx; VG - venom gland; VGR - venom gland reservoir; DG - Dufour's gland; and Ovi - ovipositor. This picture was taken in the present study	17
Figure 2.7	Male reproductive system of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . T – testis; VD - vas deferens; AG - accessory gland; and ED - ejaculatory duct. This picture was taken in the present study	17
Figure 3.1	Rearing and maintenance of DBM and <i>D. semiclausum</i> colonies: (A) cabbage seedlings grown in glasshouse; (B) plexiglass cages maintaining cabbage seedlings for DBM oviposition; (C) plastic jars for rearing DBM larvae; (D) glass vials as mating arenas for <i>D. semiclausum</i> ; (E) oviposition chamber maintaining cabbage seedlings infested by DBM larvae for <i>D. semiclausum</i> oviposition; (F) rearing of parasitised DBM larvae on cabbage seedlings in plastic cylinders for pupation; (G) <i>D. semiclausum</i> pupae maintained individually in glass vials for emergence; and (H) DBM and <i>D. semiclausum</i> adults	

	maintained separately in plastic containers fed on honey solution. All these pictures were taken during the present study
Figure 3.2	Mean hourly number of <i>D. semiclausum</i> males and females emerging throughout the photophase
Figure 3.3	Relationship between mating duration (mins) and number of matings in newly emerged <i>D. semiclausum</i> females
Figure 3.4	Mature eggs in the ovaries of <i>D. semiclausum</i> females of different ages: (A) 0-h-old; (B) 12-h-old; (C) 1-d-old; (D) 2-d-old; (E) 3-d-old; (F) 10-d-old. All these pictures were taken during the present study
Figure 3.5	Mean (\pm SE) number of mature eggs in <i>D. semiclausum</i> females of different ages (days). Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05)
Figure 3.6	Relationship between the number of developing oocytes and body size (hind tibia length, HTL) of newly emerged females
Figure 3.7	Relationship between the number of mature eggs and body size (hind tibia length, HTL) of 8-d-old females
Figure 3.8	Egg load at death in <i>D. semiclausum</i> females
Figure 3.9	Mean (\pm SE) number of mature eggs in 2-d-old <i>D. semiclausum</i> females with or without food supply. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05)
Figure 3.10	Effect of mating on the longevity (days) of <i>D. semiclausum</i> adults. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$)
Figure 3.11	Effect of host access on the longevity of <i>D. semiclausum</i> females: (A) with food; (B) without food. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$)
Figure 4.1	Mating behaviour of <i>D. semiclausum</i> : (A) a male approaching a female with fanning wings; (B) antennating; (C) mounting; and (D) mating.

Figure 4.2	Effect of male (Mage) and female age (Fage) on mating success of <i>D</i> . <i>semiclausum</i> . Mating success (%) = Exp (-2.2912 + 0.0196Fage + 0.0190Mage-0.0002Fage × Mage)	58
Figure 4.3	Effect of light on mating success of <i>D. semiclausum</i> . Columns with the same letters are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$)	60
Figure 4.4	Mating pattern of <i>D. semiclausum</i> during the photophase	61
Figure 4.5	Relationship between the number of matings and mating duration of <i>D. semiclausum</i> during the 16-h photophase	61
Figure 4.6	Mating interval (h) between two matings of <i>D. semiclausum</i> during the 16-h photophase	62
Figure 4.7	Mean (\pm SE) number of DBM larvae parasitised during the 16 h photophase. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05)	68
Figure 4.8	Proportion of female progeny emerged in different oviposition bouts. Columns with the same letters are not significantly different (P $>$ 0.05)	69
Figure 4.9	Mature and/or developing eggs in the ovaries of 6-d-old females: (A) non-ovipositing females and (B) ovipositing females	69
Figure 4.10	Different stages of DBM larvae. This picture was taken in the present study	73
Figure 4.11	Mean number of larvae parasitised during the oviposition period of females at a host density of 30 DBM larvae	83
Figure 4.12	Mean number of larvae parasitised during the oviposition period of females at a host density of 5 DBM larvae	83
Figure 4.13	Proportion of male progeny produced during the oviposition period of females at a host density of 30 DBM larvae	84
Figure 4.14	Proportion of male progeny produced during the oviposition period of females at a host density of 5 DBM larvae	84