

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

STABILITY OF BIOCHAR AND ITS INFLUENCE ON THE DYNAMICS OF SOIL PROPERTIES

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy
(PhD)
in
Soil Science**



**Institute of Natural Resources
College of Sciences
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand**

Herath Mudiyanseelage Saman Kumara Herath

2012

*This Thesis is Dedicated to My
Father (Late Mr. H.M.S.P. Herath),
Mother (Mrs. W.M.P. Wijesinghe),
and All the Teachers Who Paved the
Way and Expected Me to Achieve
Such a Task One Day.....!!!*

ABSTRACT

The overall objective of this PhD was to investigate the stability of specific biochars – produced from corn stover (*Zea mays* L.) at 350 °C (CS-350) and 550 °C (CS-550) – and their roles on the dynamics of native organic matter (NOM) and physical properties of a Typic Fragiaqualf (Tokomaru soil; TK soil) and a Typic Hapludand (Egmont soil; EG soil). Except for the controls, all other treatments received a 7.18 t C ha⁻¹ application, either as fresh corn stover or as biochar. After 295 d, bulk density, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_s), volumetric moisture content (θ_v), aggregate stability and soil water repellency were measured. At that sampling time, two undisturbed subsamples from each pot were taken: (i) in one subsample, lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.) was seeded; (ii) in the other, the incubation was continued without plants. All pots were additionally incubated for 215 d. During the 510 d incubation, the CO₂-C efflux rate was determined for the selected 82 d, and samples for 19 d out of these 82 d were analysed for $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$. Soil samples at T0, T295 and T510 (with and without plants) were physically fractionated into coarse and fine free particulate organic matter (fPOM), silt+clay, and heavy fraction (HF), and analysed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and total OC. Dichromate oxidation and acid hydrolysis were also conducted for the bulk soil and physical fractions.

Biochar application significantly increased ($P<0.05$) the aggregate stability of both soils (the effect of CS-550 biochar being more prominent in the TK soil than that in the EG soil, and the reverse pattern being observed for the CS-350 biochar), and the volumetric moisture content (θ_v). The latter effect was generally more evident in the TK soil than that in the EG soil, at both T0 and T295. Biochar addition significantly ($P<0.05$) increased the macroporosity in the TK soil and also the mesoporosity in the EG soil. Biochar also significantly increased ($P<0.05$) K_s of the TK soil but not that of the EG

soil. However, biochar was not found to increase water repellency of these soils. Overall, the results suggest that these biochars may facilitate drainage in the poorly drained TK soil and potentially reduce N₂O emissions.

Total accumulated CO₂-C evolved from the corn stover treatment was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than that from rest of the treatments. No significant differences ($P<0.05$) were observed in the rate of CO₂-C evolution between the controls and biochar treatments. In both soils, fresh corn stover had a net positive priming effect on the NOM decomposition, while biochar had a net negative priming effect in the TK soil, but no effect in the EG soil. When a C balance was made considering the C lost during pyrolysis, the combination of CS-350 biochar and EG soil provided the greatest C saving of all treatments. When the different priming effects on NOM were also considered, differences among the two soils were balanced. The longer half-life (494 y) corresponded to the CS-550 biochar in the TK soil, while the half-lives of the other biochar-soil combinations were <200 y. It was estimated that 55 – 70 % of amended biochar-C would remain in soil after 100 y.

After 295 d, >78 % of biochar-C recovered in the TK soil and >64 % of biochar C in the EG soil ended in the coarse fPOM, >13 % (TK) and >21 % (EG) in the fine fPOM fraction, and the rest in the silt+clay fraction. The same pattern was observed after 510 d, both with and without plants. Most of the biochar particles thus concentrated into the “unprotected pool”. The use of dichromate oxidation to distinguish the recalcitrant fraction of C in the “unprotected pool” is suggested. Finally, the presence of both biochar and plants induced an additional accumulation of total organic carbon (OC) in the TK-350 and EG-550 soils ($P<0.05$), compared with the treatments with plants but no biochar.

The use of biochars in these OC-rich soils was proven to be adequate to promote C sequestration, especially when compared to the direct application of the fresh feedstock. This enhanced C sequestration is suggested to occur through (i) the addition of a stable C source (*e.g.*, condensed aromatic C in biochar), (ii) the protection of NOM (especially in the TK soil), and (iii) the interaction of biochar with new OC inputs to soil (*e.g.*, root exudates). The results from this study also indicated that long-term incubations in the absence of a continuous fresh input of plant material may create artefacts such as reduced aggregate protection and an apparent loss of aggregate protected OC. Future research should be directed to investigate (i) the influence of these physicochemical changes on microbial activity, population and diversity; and (ii) the evolution of these interactions under field conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to sincerely thank my chief supervisor, Associate Prof. Marta Camps, for her great supervision, constructive ideas, guidance, advice, patience, and understanding offered throughout this PhD study. My sincere thanks are extended also to my co-supervisor, Prof. Mike Hedley for his brilliant ideas, valuable suggestions and comments, and encouragement.

My special thanks go to the New Zealand Biochar Research Centre for awarding me a Doctoral Scholarship for pursuing a PhD attached to Massey University and to the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MAF), New Zealand and the New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse gas Research Centre (NZAGRC) for granting financial support for the research.

I am indebted to Uva Wellassa University, Sri Lanka for granting me study leave to continue my studies at PhD level.

Special thanks go to Associate Prof. Bob Stewart, Dr. Markus Deurer, Dr. Miko Kirschbaum, Dr. Ranvir Singh, Dr. Mark Waterland, Dr. Peter Bishop, Dr. Joeri Kaal, and Dr. Roberta Gentile for their help, advice and suggestions given to me from time to time in fulfilling this task.

Technical assistance of Mr. Ian Furkurt, Mr. Bob Toes, Ms. Glenys Wallace, Mr. Rose Wallace, Mr. Mike Bretherton and official assistance of Ms. Denise Sterwart and Ms. Liza Haarhoff are acknowledged.

Great gratitude is always extended to the family of New Zealand Biochar Research Centre (NZBRC): Dr. Roberto Calvelo-Pereira, Dr. Congying Wang, Prof. Riaz Ahmad, Tao Wang, Erwin Wisnubroto, Qinhua Shen, Ainul Faizah, and Kiran Hina for all their support given throughout my stay at Massey University.

Appreciations are also conveyed to other colleagues: Devastotra, Yonathan, Anant, Rajesh, Tomoko, Sadaf, Reddy, Amandeep, Neha, Salim, Sam, Hanan, Chin, Rob, and Raza for their friendship, assistance and encouragement. Special thanks go to Helen Free for editing the chapters for English.

My appreciation goes to all the Sri Lankans who live in Palmerston North and special thanks are to Suranga, Uttara, Indika, Ruvini, Asoka, Saman, Tilakesiri, Sujatha, Jeya, Sharini, Rohana and his wife, Kithsiri, Nihal, Amal, Patric and Sameera for their all help given to me from the day I arrived to Palmy, three years ago.

Last, but not least, my immense gratitude is offered to my parents, my family members, and my parents-in-law for all their blessings, encouragement, and commitments. My lovely wife, Kumari is always appreciated for taking all responsibilities of our kids during this study time and for giving me her fullest co-operation as a great partner. My lovely daughter Jinuli and son Gihansith – You are My Two Eyes – are blessed all the time for giving me an unbeaten encouragement to complete this PhD within three years, which is really a challenge.

PUBLICATIONS

Journal articles

1. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2012. Effect of biochar on soil physical properties in two contrasting soils: an Alfisol and an Andisol (*Submitted, Geoderma*).
2. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, M.U.F. Kirschbaum, Robert van Hale, 2012. Experimental evidence for sequestering C by avoidance of CO₂ emission from feedstock and enhanced protection of native soil organic matter with the use of biochar (*To be submitted*).
3. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, Robert van Hale, 2012. Fate of biochar in chemically- and physically defined organic carbon pools (*To be submitted*).

Other contributions

1. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2012. Stability of biochar in soil and its effect on native organic matter. The 4th International Biochar Congress, Beijing, China, 16 – 20th September, 2012: 42.
2. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2012. Effect of biochar on the distribution of soil organic carbon in physical and chemical soil fractions. New Zealand 2012 Biochar Workshop, Massey University, New Zealand.
http://www.biochar.co.nz/pdf/2012_Biochar_Final_programme_for%20web.pdf
3. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2012. Effect of biochar on soil physical properties in two contrasting soils. New Zealand 2012 Biochar Workshop, Massey University, New Zealand.
http://www.biochar.co.nz/pdf/2012_Biochar_Final_programme_for%20web.pdf
4. Marta Camps-Arbestain, M. Hedley, R. Calvelo Pereira, **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, T. Wang, E. Wisnubroto, 2012. Is biochar a suitable amendment for New Zealand soils? Results from three years of research at the NZBRC. The 4th International Biochar Congress, Beijing, China, 16 – 20th September, 2012:50.
5. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2011. Effect of fresh and carbonised corn stover on the mineralisation of soil organic carbon in volcanic and non-volcanic soils. APBC Kyoto 2011. Asia Pacific Biochar Conference, Kyoto, Japan. 15 – 18 September 2011: 48.
6. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2011. Mineralisation of carbon from fresh and carbonised corn stover in two New Zealand soils. New Zealand 2011 Biochar Workshop, 10 – 11 February 2011. Massey University, New Zealand.
http://www.biochar.co.nz/Files/2011_Biochar_Final_programme_low_res.pdf
7. **Herath, H.M.S.K.**, Marta Camps-Arbestain, Mike Hedley, 2011. Effect of different types and doses of biochars on the water retention capacity of a Typic Fragiaqualf and a Typic Hapludand. New Zealand 2011 Biochar Workshop, 10 – 11 February 2011. Massey University, New Zealand.
http://www.biochar.co.nz/Files/2011_Biochar_Final_programme_low_res.pdf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgement	iv
Publications	v
Table of content	vi
List of figures	x
List of tables	xiii
Acronyms	xv
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Overall importance of the research.....	1
1.2 Outline of the thesis with the hypotheses and objectives	4
CHAPTER 2: Literature Review	6
2.1 What is black carbon?.....	6
2.2 What is biochar?	8
2.3 Technology of pyrolysis	10
2.4 Properties of biochar	13
2.4.1 Chemical structure and surface characteristics	13
2.4.2 Elemental composition.....	16
2.4.3 Physical characteristics	18
2.5 Agronomic significance of biochar.....	19
2.6 Stability of biochar and C sequestration potential	22
2.6.1 Effect of the type of feedstock on the stability of biochar	22
2.6.2 Pedoclimatic conditions and biochar-C stability	24
2.6.2.1 Effect of external temperature on environmental stability of biochar	24
2.6.2.2 Stability of biochar in different soil types	25
2.6.2.3 Environmental stability of biochar with soil water regimes.....	27
2.6.2.4 Microbial activity and biochar decomposition	28
2.6.2.5 Long-term environmental stability of biochar.....	30
2.7 Conclusions and the research gaps	31
CHAPTER 3: Effect of biochar on soil physical properties in two contrasting soils: an Alfisol and an Andisol.....	33
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Materials and methods	37

3.2.1 Biomass used and carbonisation process.....	37
3.2.2 Particle-size distribution of biochar	38
3.2.3 BET surface area and scanning electron microscope (SEM).....	38
3.2.4 Soil collection	39
3.2.5 Sample preparation, incubation and sampling	39
3.2.6 Determination of soil physical properties	40
3.2.6.1 Aggregate stability.....	40
3.2.6.2 Soil water holding capacity measurements	41
3.2.6.3 Saturated hydraulic conductivity and bulk density	42
3.2.6.4 Soil water repellency	42
3.2.7 Statistical analysis	42
3.3 Results.....	42
3.3.1 Pyrolysis yield and biochar characteristics	42
3.3.2 Aggregate stability.....	43
3.3.3 Effect of biochar on soil porosity	45
3.3.4 Soil water holding capacity	45
3.3.4.1 Effect of biochar on soil water holding capacity at T0	45
3.3.4.2 Effect of biochar on soil water holding capacity after 295d	46
3.3.5 Hydraulic conductivity.....	49
3.3.6 Bulk density.....	50
3.3.7 Soil water repellency	51
3.4 Discussion	52
3.4.1 Soil aggregation	53
3.4.2 Total soil porosity	55
3.4.3 Water holding capacity at different matric potentials.....	56
3.4.3.1 Available water content.....	58
3.4.4 Hydraulic conductivity.....	59
3.4.5 Hydrophobicity	60
3.5 Conclusions	61
 CHAPTER 4: Experimental evidence for sequestering C by avoidance of CO₂ emission from feedstock and enhanced protection of soil organic matter with the use of biochar.....	 63
4.1 Introduction	65
4.2 Materials and methods	67

4.2.1 Biochar production	67
4.2.2 Characterisation of feedstock and biochar	67
4.2.3 Soil material preparation.....	67
4.2.4 CO ₂ evolution measurements	70
4.2.5 Determination of total soil C and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	70
4.2.6 Modelling and statistical analysis.....	71
4.2.6.1 Model 1.....	71
4.2.6.2 Model 2.....	73
4.2.6.3 Statistical analysis	75
4.3 Results.....	75
4.3.1 Properties of the feedstock and biochars at time zero	75
4.3.2 Incubation experiment.....	77
4.3.2.1 Decomposition of charred and uncharred corn stover.....	77
4.3.2.2 $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and priming effect.....	82
4.3.2.3 Estimates of the added C remaining after 100 y and half-life	86
4.3.2.4 Changes in total soil organic C with time	86
4.3.2.5 CPMAS ¹³ C NMR spectra of biochar	89
4.4 Discussion	89
4.4.1 Changes in soil OC stocks and the effect of different amendments on NOM decomposition	89
4.4.1.1 Control soils.....	89
4.4.1.2 Fresh corn stover-amended soils	91
4.4.1.3 Soils amended with biochar.....	92
4.4.2 CO ₂ evolution pattern	94
4.4.3 Predicted residence time	94
4.4.4 C debt or credit ratio.....	96
4.5 Conclusions	99
4.6 Supportive information	100
4.6.1 Characterisation of feedstock and biochar	100
4.6.2 Chemical and spectroscopic characterisation of OC in the amendments.....	100
4.6.3 Determination of $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$	102
 CHAPTER 5: Fate of biochar in chemically- and physically-defined soil organic carbon pools	 104
5.1 Introduction	106

5.2 Materials and methods	108
5.2.1 Biochar production and characteristics.....	108
5.2.2 Soil material preparation and incubation experiment	109
5.2.3 Soil organic matter chemical fractionation	113
5.2.3.1 Chemical fractionation with 0.17 M $K_2Cr_2O_7$	113
5.2.3.2 Chemical fractionation with 6 M HCl.....	113
5.2.4 Soil organic matter physical fractionation.....	113
5.2.4.1 Concentration and isotope ratio of soil organic carbon.....	116
5.2.5 Statistical analysis	116
5.3 Results.....	117
5.3.1 Total soil OC content	117
5.3.2 Soil OC distribution in the oxidisable vs. non-oxidisable OC fractions.....	118
5.3.3 Soil OC distribution in physical fractions	121
5.3.4 Distribution of OC pools based on the Six's fractionation method	127
5.4 Discussion	129
5.4.1 Soil properties (TK-Ctr and EG-Ctr at T0)	129
5.4.2 Changes in chemical and physical fractionation with time.....	130
5.4.2.1 Control treatments	130
5.4.2.2 Fresh corn stover treatments.....	131
5.4.2.3 Biochar-amended treatments	132
5.4.3 Implications of biochar on aggregate formation.....	133
5.5 Conclusions	134
5.6 Supportive information	136
 CHAPTER 6: Overall conclusions and future research works	 142
6.1 Conclusions	142
6.2 Implications for future research.....	143
 REFERENCES.....	 145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	An overview of the three research chapters comprising this thesis.....	5
Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of biochar, bio-oil, and syngas production in pyrolysis and their use (source: www.csiro.au)..	10
Figure 2.2	Van Krevelen plot of the elemental composition changes of five types of biochar with incubation and over time as adapted from Hemmes & Schmidt (2009).....	16
Figure 2.3	Scanning electron micrographs of BC prepared by charring maize straw at a temperature of 350 °C for 2 h, as adapted from Brodowski <i>et al.</i> (2005).....	19
Figure 2.4	Typical representation of the soil water retention curve as provided by Van Genuchten (1980) and the hypothesised effect of the addition of biochar to this soil as adapted from Verheijen <i>et al.</i> (2009).....	21
Figure 2.5	Cumulative CO ₂ -C release of pyrogenic OM produced from <i>Lolium perenne</i> and <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> at 350 °C with and without co-substrate addition. Values are corrected by subtraction of the CO ₂ -C emission of the blank values, as adapted from Hilscher <i>et al.</i> (2009).....	23
Figure 3.1	Particle size distribution of CS-350 and CS-550 biochars before adding in to soils.....	38
Figure 3.2	Aggregate stability as given by MWD determined using the Le Bissonnais (1996) method for the (a) TK soil, and (b) EG soil at T295.....	44
Figure 3.3	Saturated hydraulic conductivity (<i>K_s</i>) determined for the (a) TK soil, and (b) EG soil at T295.....	50
Figure 3.4	Bulk density determined for the (a) TK soil, and (b) EG soil at T295.....	51
Figure 3.5	The SEM images of corn stover biochar particles picked out from the experimental pots after 295 d. Arrow points to the fungal hyphae.....	55
Figure 4.1A	Solid-state ¹³ C NMR spectra of CS-350 biochar obtained at T0 (before adding to the soils), T295, and T510 (handpicked particles from the incubation pots) for (a) the TK soil and (b) the EG soil. The SSBs are given with * symbol.....	76

Figure 4.1B	Solid-state ^{13}C NMR spectra of CS-550 biochar obtained at T0 (before amending to the soils), T295, and T510 (handpicked particles from the incubation pots) for (a) the TK soil and (b) the EG soil. The spinning side bands (SSBs) are given with * symbol.....	76
Figure 4.2A	The $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ evolution rates ($\text{mg CO}_2\text{-C kg}^{-1} \text{ soil h}^{-1}$) for the (a) corn stover amended TK soil with the control soil and (b) the two biochars amended TK soil during 510 d of the incubation experiment.....	79
Figure 4.2B	The $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ evolution rates ($\text{mg CO}_2\text{-C kg}^{-1} \text{ soil h}^{-1}$) for the (a) corn stover amended EG soil with the control soil and (b) the two biochars amended EG soil during 510 d of the incubation experiment.....	80
Figure 4.3	The total cumulative $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ mineralised from (a) the TK soil, (b) the EG soil, (c) the TK soil with the source of origin, and (d) the EG soil with the source of origin during 510 d of the soil incubation experiment.....	81
Figure 4.4	Priming effect (%) of amendment on NOM-C degradation as calculated using stable isotopic ratio of evolved CO_2 and reported by averaging data at specific sampling points.....	84
Figure 4.5	The relationship of estimates of total soil C changes at time 295 and 510 d in relation to time 0 and cumulative $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ evolved after 295 and 510 d.....	87
Figure 4.6	Measured and modeled respiration rates of corn stover amended (a) TK soil and (b) EG soil over 510 d.....	95
Figure 4.7	Procedure of calculation of “C debt or credit ratio” based on Whitman <i>et al.</i> , (2012) and C_{Net} debt or credit ratio”. PE stands for priming effect.....	98
Figure 4.S1	Total ion current pyrograms of coarse free particulate organic matter (fPOM) samples (a) TK soil and (b) EG soil. FAME stands for fatty acid methyl ester.....	103
Figure 5.1	Schematic representation of physical fractionation procedure used to separate free POM, iPOM, and silt+clay sized OM according to the four isolated conceptual SOM pools as given by Six <i>et al.</i> (2002). In this study, only the fractions marked in grey are discussed. SPT and SHMP stand for sodium polytungstate and sodium hexameta phosphare, respectively.	115
Figure 5.2	Change in soil OC and oxidisable OC contents calculated at different sampling times by subtracting the respective soil OC	

	and oxidisable OC contents of the corresponding Ctr treatment at each sampling time, for (a) the TK-CS treatment, (b) EG-CS treatment, (c) TK-350 treatment, (d) EG-350 treatment, (e) TK-550 treatment, and (f) EG-550 treatment....	120
Figure 5.3	Total ion current pyrograms of five different fractions obtained at T0 for the (a) TK soil and (b) EG soil.....	122
Figure 5.4	Distribution of native organic carbon (NOC) (g C kg^{-1} soil) and added C in coarse fPOM, fine fPOM, silt+clay sized fraction and HF obtained from (a) control (b) corn stover amended (c) CS-350 amended and (d) CS-550 amended TK soils at T0, T295 (R), T510 (R), and T510(P).....	124
Figure 5.5	Distribution of native organic carbon (NOC) (g C kg^{-1} soil) and added C in coarse fPOM, fine fPOM, silt+clay sized fraction and HF obtained from (a) control (b) corn stover amended (c) CS-350 amended and (d) CS-550 amended EG soils at T0, T295 (R), T510 (R), and T510(P).....	126
Figure 5.6	Relative distribution of OC in different SOC pools as given in Six <i>et al.</i> (2002): for (a) the TK soil at T295 (R), (b) the EG soil at T295 (R), (c) the TK soil at T510 (R), (d) the EG soil at 510 (R), (e) the TK soil at 510 (P), and the EG soil at T510 (P). Corresponding T0 data are included in each graph.....	128
Figure 5.7	Relationship between the non-oxidisable OC fraction (biochar-amended – control) vs. fraction of biochar-C calculated using stable C isotope ratio of the free POM fractions.....	134
Figure 5.S1A	Soil OC, hydrolysable OC, and non-hydrolysable OC contents calculated at different sampling times after subtracting the respective soil OC, hydrolysable OC, and non-hydrolysable OC contents of the corresponding control treatment at that sampling time, for the TK soil.....	136
Figure 5.S1B	Soil OC, hydrolysable OC, and non-hydrolysable OC contents calculated at different sampling times after subtracting the respective soil OC, hydrolysable OC, and non-hydrolysable OC contents of the corresponding Ctr treatment at that sampling time, for the EG soil.....	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Range of the main operating parameters for pyrolysis process, as adapted from Demirbas & Arin (2002).....	11
Table 2.2	Percentage total C distribution among structural groups in biochars pyrolysed from different feedstocks and different temperatures as adapted from Novak <i>et al.</i> (2009a).....	13
Table 2.3	Properties of BC depending on the charring temperature as adapted from Nguyen & Lehmann (2009).....	15
Table 2.4	Elemental analysis of carbonised charcoals, as adapted from Antal and Grønli (2003).....	17
Table 2.5	Ash composition of switch grass, corn stover, and hardwood chars by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy prepared by the pressed pellet method as adapted from Brewer <i>et al.</i> (2009).....	17
Table 2.6	Concentration (mg kg ⁻¹) of heavy metals and trace elements in biochar produced from waste water sludge as adapted from Hossain <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	18
Table 3.1	Elemental analysis of feedstock and biochars and yield of biochar..	38
Table 3.2	Basic properties of the Tokomaru and Egmont soils.....	39
Table 3.3	Mean (<i>n</i> = 3) volumetric soil moisture contents measured at different matric potentials, AWC and RAWC immediately after establishment (T0) of soil-feedstock and soil-char mixtures.....	46
Table 3.4	Mean (<i>n</i> = 3) volumetric soil moisture contents measured at different matric potentials, AWC and RAWC after 295 d of incubation of soil-feedstock and soil-char mixtures.....	48
Table 3.5	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effect of treatment and time on AWC and RAWC of the TK and EG soils.....	49
Table 3.6	The persistence and the degree of water repellence determined for the fresh and charred corn stover amended soils from the incubation experiment after 295 d.....	52
Table 3.7	Total C and soil pH (H ₂ O) data determined after 295 d of the incubation study.....	53
Table 4.1	Basic chemical properties of Tokomaru and Egmont soils.....	69
Table 4.2	Elemental analysis, proximate analysis, and other properties of corn stover feedstock and two biochar types.....	72

Table 4.3	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and fraction of C coming from the organic amendments (f_{OA}) determined for the evolved $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ at different stages of soil incubation.....	85
Table 4.4	Percentage of remaining C after 100 y and half lives of added C calculated using the power model.....	86
Table 4.5	Total C, non-oxidisable C, non-hydrolysable C, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (only at T510) and pH (H_2O) data determined after 295 and 510 d of the incubation study.....	88
Table 4.6	Amount of added C_{dichro} degraded and remaining after 510 d of soil incubation.....	93
Table 5.1	Elemental analysis of feedstock and biochars.....	111
Table 5.2	Basic chemical properties of Tokomaru and Egmont soils.....	112
Table 5.3	Total OC (g C kg^{-1} soil) and the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of whole soil determined after 295 and 510 d (with and without plants).....	118
Table 5.S1	Distribution of biochar at T0 (expected %) and the recovered after 295 and 510 d (recovered %).....	138
Table 5.S2	Dry weight of lucerne plants (total, shoots and roots) (g) determined after 215 d of growth in 510 d study (standard deviations are given within parenthesis).....	139
Table 5.S3	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and the fraction of C originated from the amendment in the coarse fPOM, fine fPOM and silt+clay sized fractions taken after 295 and 510 d of respiration study and 510 of the plant study.....	140
Table 5.S4	Amount of soil dry matter present in the size and density fractions ($\text{g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ soil) obtained by the physical fractionation (average \pm standard deviation) and the mass recovery (%) obtained at T0, T295 (R), T510 (R) and T510 (P).....	141

ACRONYMS

AWC	available water content
BET	Brunauer, Emmett and Teller surface area
BC	black carbon
C	carbon
C _{dichro}	dichromate oxidisable C
C _{Net}	net C
C _{org}	organic carbon (biochar/feedstock)
CP	cross polarisation
CS	corn stover feedstock
CS-350	corn stover biochar produced at 350 °C
CS-550	corn stover biochar produced at 550 °C
Ctr	control
d	day(s)
ECEC	effective cation exchange capacity
EG	Egmont soil
EG-350	CS-350 biochar amended Egmont soil
EG-550	CS-550 biochar amended Egmont soil
EG-CS	corn stover amended Egmont soil
f_{OA}	fraction of C from organic amendment
fPOM	free particulate organic matter
f_{SOC}	fraction of C from soil organic carbon
GC/MS	gas chromatography mass spectroscopy
GHG	greenhouse gas
h	hour(s)
HF	heavy fraction

IBI	international biochar initiative
IPCC	Intergovernmental panel on climate change
iPOM	intra particulate organic matter
K_s	saturated hydraulic conductivity
MED	molarity of ethanol droplet
MWD	mean weight diameter
NOM	native organic matter
NOM-C	C from native organic matter
OA	organic amendment
OA-C	C from organic amendment
OC	organic carbon (soil)
OM	organic matter
OC _{hl}	hydrolysable organic carbon
OC _{nhl}	non-hydrolysable organic carbon
OC _{nox}	non-oxidisable organic carbon
OC _{ox}	oxidisable organic carbon
RAWC	readily available water content
S	supportive information
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SOM	soil organic matter
SSB	spinning side bands
T0	time zero
$t_{1/2}$	half life
T295 (R)	after 295 d of soil respiration
T510 (P)	after 510 d in the presence of plants
T510 (R)	after 510 d of soil respiration

TK	Tokomaru soil
TK-350	CS-350 biochar amended Tokomaru soil
TK-550	CS-550 biochar amended Tokomaru soil
TK-CS	corn stover amended Tokomaru soil
TPV	total soil pore volume
VM	volatile matter
VPDB	Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite
WDPT	water droplet penetration test
WHC	water holding capacity
y	year(s)
$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	stable C isotopic ratio
$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{OA}}$	stable C isotopic ratio of organic amendment
$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{SOC}}$	stable C isotopic ratio of soil organic carbon
ΔOC	difference of OC content between the amended and unamended treatments
$\Delta\text{OC}_{\text{hl}}$	difference of non-oxidisable OC content between the amended and unamended treatments
$\Delta\text{OC}_{\text{nhl}}$	difference of oxidisable OC content between the amended and unamended treatments
$\Delta\text{OC}_{\text{nox}}$	difference of non-oxidisable OC content between the amended and unamended treatments
$\Delta\text{OC}_{\text{ox}}$	difference of oxidisable OC content between the amended and unamended treatments
θ_v	volumetric water content