

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SOME *FUSARIUM*
SPP. AND SEED QUALITY IN MAIZE (*ZEA MAYS* L.)**

A thesis presented
in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in
Seed Technology
at Massey University, New Zealand

Flavia Kabeere

1995

ABSTRACT

The effect of delayed harvest on the occurrence and incidence of seed-borne *Fusarium* spp. and their effects on seed quality was investigated using four maize cultivars (Pioneer 3551, 3591, 3709 and 3475) over two seasons (1989/90, 1990/91) at Massey University, Palmerston North. As harvest was delayed from April to July, the percentage of cobs showing *Fusarium* mould increased. Cultivar 3551 tended to develop *Fusarium* cob mould later in the season (June) than the other three cultivars.

In both seasons the percentage of seeds of all four cultivars infected with *Fusarium* spp. increased as harvest was delayed. However, there was a difference between the two seasons; in 1989/90 the mean percentage of seeds carrying *Fusarium* spp. was 26%, 39%, 70% and 82% for April, May, June and July harvests respectively, while the corresponding levels for 1990/91 were 1%, 9%, 31% and 40% respectively. Between season differences were ascribed to climatic differences, the former season being wetter and warmer than the latter. There were only minor differences among cultivars for the percentage of seeds carrying *Fusarium* spp. *F. graminearum* was the species most consistently detected in all cultivars in both seasons, being recorded from 16%, 31%, 53% and 72% of seeds from the 1989/90 April to July harvests respectively, and from 0%, 6%, 25% and 30% of seeds from the same harvest times in 1990/91. *F. subglutinans*, *F. poae* and other *Fusarium* spp. were also detected, but their incidence was generally low.

Seed-borne *Fusarium* did not significantly reduce seed germination or vigour. In both seasons germination was between 86-99% for all cultivars. However, any dead seeds bore evidence of *F. graminearum* mycelial growth. Mycotoxins were recorded in seeds from all harvests in both seasons and mycotoxin levels increased as harvest was delayed. However, there were differences between seasons, as mean levels of Zearalenone, α Zearalenol, Nivalenol and Deoxynivalenol ranged from 0.06 - 1.42 mg/kg seed in 1989/90, but from 0.0 - 0.54 mg/kg seed in 1990/91. In all cultivars and at most harvests in both years, levels of α Zearalenol and of Nivalenol increased earlier than those of Zearalenone and Deoxynivalenol. Mycotoxin

differences among cultivars and the precise nature of the relationship between specific *Fusarium* species and mycotoxin development urgently requires further study, because of the potential for human and animal health problems.

Fusarium spp. from seed-culture colony were initially identified macroscopically on Malt Agar (MA), with pure cultures later being verified by the International Mycological Institute (UK). Subsequently, cultures were studied on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), Malt Extract Agar (MEA) and on Carnation Leaf Agar (CLA), with the final identity of seed-culture colonies being verified on CLA.

Colony texture and colour (including agar pigmentation) were initially used to separate *Fusarium* species detected on MA from infected seeds after harvest into a series of groups, ie 'red and fluffy', 'red centre', 'red and lobed', 'cream and fluffy', and 'cream and lobed' for *F. graminearum*. *F. crookwellense* was also separated as a 'red centre' type of colony while *F. culmorum* was separated as 'cream and flat', *F. subglutinans* 'purple and strands' type, and *F. poae* as 'purple/white/cream and powdery' type. While it was possible to differentiate the five types of *F. graminearum* on MA, it was not possible to distinguish *F. graminearum* 'red centre' type from *F. crookwellense*, although *F. culmorum* was relatively easy to differentiate from *F. graminearum* and *F. crookwellense*. Use of PDA or MEA pure cultures to differentiate *F. graminearum* from *F. crookwellense* or *F. culmorum* was not successful because the colony morphology of these three species was similar. However, *F. subglutinans* and *F. poae* were readily identified macroscopically on MA and MEA.

F. graminearum seed-culture colonies which did not sporulate on MA or MEA in most cases readily formed perithecia of *Gibberella zeae* on CLA (in the presence of 40W NUV light) regardless of whether the cultures were initiated by single germinated spores or by mass transferred inoculum. Those colonies which did sporulate on MA or MEA formed abundant sporodochia on CLA but not perithecia. CLA was also used to identify *F. graminearum* (*G. zeae*) from maize seeds or

seedlings by direct plating of these structures after surface disinfection. Full descriptions of the *Fusarium* colonies on the various media used are presented.

Fusarium survival in seed during storage depended upon seed moisture content (SMC) and storage temperature. *F. graminearum* was eliminated from seed at 14% SMC stored at 30°C and 25°C after 3 or 6 months storage, respectively, but survived at low levels (1-5%), together with *F. subglutinans* (1-7%), *F. poae* (1-2%) at these temperatures and 10% SMC. *F. subglutinans* and *F. poae* in seeds at 14% SMC did not survive after 9 months storage at 30°C. In seed stored at 5°C, *Fusarium* spp. infection levels did not decline after 12 months of storage at both 10 and 14% SMC. These results suggest a possible control strategy for producing *Fusarium* free seed, providing seed moisture content is not greater than 10%. At a storage temperature of 30°C, the post-storage germination of seed at 14% SMC had dropped to under 10% within 3 months, but seed at 10% SMC maintained its germination (88-97%) throughout the storage trial. After 12 months seed storage at 5°C (sealed storage) or 25°C (open storage), mycotoxin levels were similar to pre-storage levels.

The requirements of Koch's postulates were fulfilled in demonstrating that seed-borne *F. graminearum* was transmitted from maize seeds to seedlings under aseptic conditions in a glasshouse maintained at a temperature of 14°C to 17°C. The mean transmission rate (48%) was similar to the original seed-borne inoculum which suggests that under favourable environmental conditions, the pathogen will be effectively transferred from the seed to seedlings. *F. graminearum* had little effect on seedling emergence or survival, but was associated with a high percentage of seedlings with scutellum-mesocotyl/scutellum-main root lesioning. In the field, *F. graminearum* was consistently isolated from seedlings, but seed transmission could not be confirmed because of the presence of soil-borne inoculum, ie the pathogen was isolated from up to 37% of seedlings from a seed lot which carried only 1% seed-borne inoculum.

F. subglutinans was also proved to be seed transmitted under the same glasshouse conditions as described for *F. graminearum*. The significance of surface-

borne inoculum of this pathogen was demonstrated in that the mean transmission rate for non-surface disinfected seed lots was 81%, whereas it was only 7% for surface disinfected seed lots. *F. subglutinans* was associated mainly with 'above sand level' seedling infection (coleoptile-node infection, leaf/shoot blight, shoot wilt and seedling stunting). However, *F. subglutinans* was rarely detected in seedlings from the field, possibly because of the antagonistic effects of mycopathogenic fungi such as *Gleocladium roseum*.

These results are discussed, particularly in relation to the significance of *F. graminearum* and *F. subglutinans* as seed-borne pathogens of maize, and the difficulties inherent in the identification of *Fusarium* spp. following seed health testing. It is likely that these seed-borne *Fusarium* spp. are more important because of their association with mycotoxins, than with any effects they have as an inoculum source for diseases of maize.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study for this degree, the completion of the research and the preparation of this thesis would not have been possible without the support of a number of individuals and organisations. I wish therefore to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the following:

Professor Murray J. Hill, my Chief Supervisor, for his supervision, guidance and support during the conduct of the research and the writing of the thesis.

Associate Professor John G. Hampton, my second supervisor, for encouragement and valuable suggestions in the planning of experiments, especially the glasshouse and field studies, and the patience he showed in the improvement of the manuscript of this thesis; Dr Peter Long of Massey University, for useful suggestions on plant diseases.

Dr Denis Lauren of HortResearch, Ruakura Research Centre for analysing my seed samples for mycotoxin contamination. Mr Allan K. Hardacre of HortResearch, Palmerston North, for valuable information on growing maize in New Zealand and for extra seed samples. Dr Hassan M. Hussein of Massey University for expert guidance in identifying *Fusarium* spp., and Dr S. Ganeshanadam of Massey University for helpful suggestions regarding statistical analysis and computing.

Dr Michael Baxter of the Department of Microbiology and Genetics, Massey University, and Mr Nick Grbavac, of the Official Seed Testing Station, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Palmerston North for near-ultraviolet light culture incubation facilities; Dr Keith Funnell of Plant Growth Unit, Massey University, for supplying all carnation leaves.

Mr Hugh Neilson of the Department of Plant Science and the staff of the Photographic Centre, especially Tony Watts, Leigh Dome and Cath Goss for their expert photography of my research materials; Mr Graeme Donaldson for his help in the graphics and Mrs Kathy Hamilton for her humour and skilful typing of this

manuscript. The staff of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University for their valuable help in so many ways, particularly Mr Craig McGill and Mr Robert Southward for their technical help and Mrs Karen Hill for her expert advice on seed testing; and the students of the Seed Technology Centre for help and moral support.

Financial support for this research programme was received from the Rockefeller Foundation, New York, USA, and I am very grateful to the Foundation for granting me the scholarship which allowed me to conduct this study. My great thanks go also to Hon. Professor Tarsis Kabwegyere, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Uganda, for his encouragement for me to apply for these funds and for his kind support. I am also grateful to Professor K.S. Milne, Head of the Department of Plant Science, Massey University, for arranging extra financial support in my final year of study.

My employer, the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (Uganda), particularly, the Uganda Seed Projects and the National Agricultural Research Organisation (NARO) for granting me study leave.

Drs Charles Lawoko, Linus Opara and Mr Charles Chua, of Massey University; Pastors Denis Humphreys and Mark Somerville and Christians of the Assembly of God Church, Palmerston North; Margaret and Allan Cliff, Palmerston North, John and Judy Whitefield, Ashhurst, and all other unmentioned friends and colleagues for their great encouragement, prayers and help in various ways.

Frank and Rosette Twine Kakuru of Kamokya, Kampala, Uganda, for looking after my little daughter, Keren, and handling all my family responsibilities and obligations while I was doing this study; Keren, for patiently waiting for me for all this time! Mrs Eva Tumuhairwe, Kampala, for good home coordination.

Last, but not least, Jesus Christ, my Lord and my Saviour, and my personal friend, for his blessings, especially good health, endurance and wisdom!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE : GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 MAIZE AND ITS IMPORTANCE	1
1.2 GROWING A MAIZE CROP	3
1.3 MAIZE DISEASE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY FUSARIUM	4
1.4 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	7
 CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	 8
2.1 THE GENUS <i>FUSARIUM</i> LINK AND ITS TAXONOMY	8
2.1.1 The genus <i>Fusarium</i> Link	8
2.1.2 Taxonomy	11
2.2 <i>FUSARIUM</i> SPP. IN CULTURES AND THEIR IDENTIFICATION	15
2.2.1 Cultures	15
2.2.2 Isolation of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. from natural substrates	16
2.2.3 Recommended culture media for isolation	17
2.2.4 <i>Fusarium</i> culturing media	19
2.2.5 General purpose media: CLA and PDA	20
2.2.6 Selective media	21
2.2.7 Other media - MA	22
2.2.8 Incubation Conditions: Temperature and light requirements	22
2.2.9 <i>Fusarium</i> species identification in pure culture	25
2.3 MAIZE SEED DEVELOPMENT AND MAIZE CROP DISEASES	30
2.3.1 Seed development	30
2.3.2 Maize seed dry down and harvest time	33
2.3.3 Pathogens and diseases of maize crops	34
2.3.4 Maize diseases caused by <i>Fusarium</i> and their epidemiologies	36
2.3.4.1 Root rots	36

2.3.4.2	Stalk rots	38
2.3.4.3	Cob rot/ear rot caused by <i>Fusaria</i>	39
2.3.4.4	Symptoms of cob rot caused by <i>F. graminearum</i>	40
2.3.4.5	Symptom of cob rot caused by <i>F. moniliforme</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i>	41
2.3.4.6	Multiple cob rot infections	42
2.3.5	Seed infection and pathogen establishment in seed	42
2.3.6	Time of harvest and fungal invasion : factors influencing the effects of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. on maize seed infection and quality	43
2.3.6.1	Stage of seed maturity, cob infection and seed penetration	43
2.3.6.2	Infection spread in the ear and seed penetration	44
2.3.6.3	Site of internal seed inoculum and seed germination	46
2.4	THE LIFE CYCLE OF <i>F. graminearum</i>	48
2.4.1	Seed to plant transmission, establishment of infection and course of disease in fungi	48
2.4.2	Transmission of <i>F. graminearum</i>	48
2.4.2.1	Source of inoculum	48
2.4.2.2	Inoculum types and their dispersal	50
2.4.2.3	Infection and colonisation of maize ears	50
2.5	LIFE CYCLE OF <i>F. moniliforme</i> / <i>F. subglutinans</i>	54
2.6	EFFECT OF <i>FUSARIUM</i> INFECTION ON SEED QUALITY	55
2.6.1	Time of harvest and seed deterioration	55
2.6.2	Seed Health: occurrence of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. in seeds . . .	56

2.6.3	Effects of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. on seed germination and seedling emergence: seedling blights	57
2.6.4	Maize seedling blight caused by <i>F. graminearum</i> (= <i>G. saubineti</i>)	61
2.6.5	Mycotoxin production	66
2.6.6	Factors affecting the production of mycotoxins	69
2.6.7	Assessment of grain and maize parts for possible mycotoxin contamination	71
2.7	EFFECT OF SEED STORAGE ON <i>FUSARIUM</i> SPP.	72
2.7.1	Survival of <i>Fusarium</i> during storage in dry seeds	72
2.7.2	Mycotoxin production during storage	75
2.7.3	Airtight or sealed grain storage for control of micro-organisms	76
2.8	EFFECTS OF SEED STORAGE ON SEED QUALITY	77

CHAPTER THREE: THE EFFECTS OF HARVEST TIMING ON THE OCCURRENCE OF *FUSARIUM* SPP. AND THEIR EFFECTS ON SEED QUALITY IN MAIZE

3.1	INTRODUCTION	81
3.1.1	Objectives	83
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	83
3.3	RESULTS	89
3.3.1	Factors influencing <i>Fusarium</i> spp. seed infection and seed quality	89
3.3.2	Seed germination and vigour	92
3.3.3	Occurrence of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. and seed infection	99
3.3.4	Description of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. seed-culture colonies	101
3.3.5	Identification of seed-culture colonies in pure cultures by the IMI	114
3.3.6	Mycotoxin contamination of seeds	115
3.4	DISCUSSION	118
3.4.1	Identification of <i>Fusarium</i> spp.	127

3.4.2	Need for verification of identification of <i>F. graminearum</i>	129
-------	---	-----

CHAPTER FOUR: IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF

	SEED-BORNE FUSARIUM SPECIES	130
4.1	INTRODUCTION	130
4.2	OBJECTIVES	133
4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	133
4.3.1	Identification of <i>F. graminearum</i> in pure cultures	133
4.3.1.1	Colony morphology on PDA and MEA	133
4.3.1.2	Conidial and perithecial formation on CLA . .	136
4.3.2	Identification of <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i> . . .	137
4.3.2.1	Colony morphology on PDA and MEA . . .	137
4.3.2.2	Conidial development on CLA	137
4.3.3	Verification of identification of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. from seed-culture colonies on MA	137
4.3.3.1	Seed-culture colony categorisation and description	137
4.3.3.2	Seed-culture colony identification	138
4.3.4	Effects of medium on colony appearance: Malt Extract Agar	139
4.3.4.1	Seed-culture colony categorisation	139
4.3.4.2	Seed-culture colony identification	139
4.4	RESULTS	140
4.4.1	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on PDA and on MEA	140
4.4.2	Conidial and perithecial formation by <i>F. graminearum</i> on CLA	145
4.4.2.1	Sporodochial formation	145
4.4.2.2	Perithecial formation	145
4.4.2.3	Description of macroconidia, perithecia and ascospores	149

4.4.3	Colony morphology of <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i> on PDA and MEA	149
4.4.3.1	Colony morphology of <i>F. crookwellense</i> . . .	149
4.4.3.2	Conidial development by <i>F. crookwellense</i> .	153
4.4.3.3	Colony morphology of <i>F. culmorum</i>	153
4.4.3.4	Conidial development by <i>F. culmorum</i> on CLA	157
4.5	VERIFICATION OF SEED-CULTURE COLONY IDENTIFICATION	157
4.5.1	Seed-culture colony categorisation on MA	157
4.5.2	Seed-culture colony identification on CLA	159
4.5.2.1	Description of <i>F. graminearum</i> seed-culture colonies: 'cream and lobed' type	162
4.5.2.2	Description of <i>F. crookwellense</i> seed-culture colonies: 'red centre' type	164
4.5.2.3	Description of <i>F. culmorum</i> seed-culture colonies: 'cream and flat' type	164
4.5.3	Effects of medium on colony appearance : Malt Extract Agar	167
4.5.3.1	Seed-culture colony categorisation	167
4.5.4	Seed-culture colony identification on CLA	167
4.5.5	Description of seed-culture colonies on MEA	169
4.5.5.1	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'pink and fluffy' type . . .	169
4.5.5.2	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'pink, lobed and sporulating' type	169
4.5.5.3	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'pink and lobed, non-sporulating' type	171
4.5.5.4	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'cream and lobed' type . .	171
4.5.5.5	<i>F. culmorum</i> : 'cream and flat' type	171
4.5.6	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on PDA and on MEA following subculturing from seed-culture colonies growing on MEA	174

4.6	DISCUSSION	174
4.6.1	Differentiation of <i>F. graminearum</i> from <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i> in pure cultures on PDA	174
4.6.2	Identification and differentiation of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. seed-culture colonies on MA: <i>F. graminearum</i> , <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i>	175
4.6.3	Effects of MEA on pure cultures and on seed-culture colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> , <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i> ; and perithecia formation by <i>F. graminearum</i>	177
4.6.4	Incubation period for seed-culture colonies	180
4.6.5	Mass transfer of inoculum direct onto CLA versus single spore culture initiation for identification of <i>F. graminearum</i> , <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. culmorum</i> from maize seed-culture colonies on MA	180
4.6.6	Identification of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. on CLA	181
4.6.6.1	Identification based on macroconidia	181
4.6.6.2	Identification of <i>F. graminearum</i> based on perithecia formation	183
4.6.7	Relationships among <i>F. graminearum</i> seed-culture colony types, population Groups 1 and 2 of Francis and Burgess (1977) and morphological types A and B of Cullen <i>et al</i> (1982)	185
4.7	CONCLUSION	190

CHAPTER FIVE: EFFECTS OF SEED MOISTURE CONTENT AND STORAGE TEMPERATURE ON SURVIVAL OF *FUSARIUM* SPP. AND ON SEED QUALITY

5.1	INTRODUCTION	191
5.2	OBJECTIVE	193
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	193

5.3.1	Seed lots and seed lot preparation	193
5.3.2	Pre-storage seed quality assessment	194
5.3.2.1	Seed moisture content determination	194
5.3.2.2	Seed germination and seedling growth	194
5.3.2.3	Seed health tests	194
5.3.3	Seed quality changes during storage	195
5.4	RESULTS	195
5.4.1	Seed Moisture Content	195
5.4.2	<i>Fusarium</i> spp. incidence and decline	196
5.4.3	Seed germination	204
5.4.4	Seedling dry weight	205
5.4.5	Mycotoxins	207
5.5	DISCUSSION	208
5.5.1	<i>Fusarium</i> species decline	208
5.5.2	Seed germination decline	209
5.5.3	Effects of storage conditions on <i>Fusarium</i> spp. and seed quality	210
5.5.4	Causes of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. decline	211
5.6	CONCLUSION	212

CHAPTER SIX: A STUDY OF THE TRANSMISSION OF *Fusarium* *graminearum* FROM MAIZE SEEDS TO SEEDLINGS

	PART 1 : GLASSHOUSE STUDY	214
6.1	INTRODUCTION	214
6.2	OBJECTIVES	216
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	216
6.3.1	Initial seed quality assessment	216
6.3.2	Establishing seedlings in the glasshouse	217
6.3.3	Seedling harvesting	218
6.3.4	Dead seeds and pre- and post-emergence damped off seedlings; external fungal growth examination and pathogen isolation	219

6.3.5	Live seedlings disease symptom and external fungal growth examination	219
6.3.5.1	Description of seedling parts	221
6.3.6	Preservation of live seedlings	222
6.3.7	Pathogen isolation from live seedlings	222
6.3.8	Determination of recovery of <i>F. graminearum</i> and other fungi from dead seeds and seedlings	223
6.3.9	Calculation of transmission rate of <i>F. graminearum</i> from seed to seedlings	224
6.4	RESULTS	224
6.4.1	Initial seed quality	224
6.4.2	Seedling emergence	224
6.4.3	Disease symptoms on ungerminated seeds and on seedling parts	228
6.4.4	Isolation of <i>F. graminearum</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i> from dead seeds/seedlings and lesioned/non-lesioned living seedlings	234
6.4.5	Transmission rate	234
6.5	DISCUSSION	238
PART 2 :	FIELD STUDY	247
6.6	INTRODUCTION	247
6.7	OBJECTIVES	247
6.8	MATERIALS AND METHODS	247
6.9	RESULTS	249
6.10	DISCUSSION	260
PART 3 :	SEED AND SEEDLING INOCULATION STUDY:	
	KOCH'S POSTULATES VERIFICATION	266
6.11	INTRODUCTION	266
6.12	OBJECTIVES	267
6.13	MATERIALS AND METHODS	267
6.13.1	Inoculum preparation	269
6.13.2	Seedling inoculation	272

6.13.2.1	Above ground parts seedling inoculation . .	272
6.13.2.2	Seed inoculation	272
6.13.3	Seedling harvesting and pathogen re-isolation	273
6.14	RESULTS	273
6.14.1	Initial Seed Quality	273
6.14.2	Temperature conditions during the study	274
6.14.3	Seedling emergence	274
6.14.4	Disease symptoms	275
6.14.5	Re-isolation of pathogens	280
6.15	CONCLUSION	280
CHAPTER SEVEN:	GENERAL DISCUSSION	283
7.1	SEED HARVEST TIMING	283
7.2	IDENTIFICATION OF SEED-BORNE <i>FUSARIUM</i> SPP.	284
7.3	<i>FUSARIUM</i> EFFECT ON SEED QUALITY AND <i>FUSARIUM</i> CONTROL	286
7.4	TRANSMISSION OF SEED-BORNE INOCULUM OF <i>F. graminearum</i> AND OF <i>F. subglutinans</i>	287
7.5	SOURCES OF <i>FUSARIUM</i> INOCULUM AND THEIR EFFECT ON DISEASE DEVELOPMENT	289
BIBLIOGRAPHY		292
APPENDICES		326

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Some examples of the plant diseases caused by <i>Fusarium</i> species	9
Table 2.2	Summary of synonymy within the <i>Liseola</i> section	14
Table 2.3	Comparison of key diagnostic features of <i>F. culmorum</i> , <i>F. sambucinum</i> , <i>F. crookwellense</i> and <i>F. graminearum</i> Groups 1 and 2	27
Table 2.4	Key characters for the identification of some <i>Fusarium</i> species in some taxonomic sections	28
Table 2.5	Diseases of maize in New Zealand	35
Table 2.6	Names of diseases of maize caused by <i>Fusarium</i> species . . .	37
Table 2.7	<i>Fusarium</i> mycotoxins and their producers	67
Table 3.1	Monthly weather data, 1990 and 1991	91
Table 3.2	Normal, abnormal and ungerminated seeds after a germination test before drying of seeds harvested in 1991	97
Table 3.3	Normal, abnormal and dead seeds from germination tests of seeds harvested in 1990 and 1991 after drying	98
Table 3.4	Conductivity of leachates (mean reading) from maize seeds harvested in 1990 and 1991 from April to July	98
Table 3.5	Percentage of cobs showing visual symptoms of <i>Fusarium</i> mycelial growth	99
Table 3.6	Percentage of seeds carrying <i>Fusarium</i> species, 1990 and 1991	102
Table 3.7	Relationship between cultures identified by IMI and category of seed-culture colonies from which the cultures were obtained	103
Table 4.1a	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on PDA	143
Table 4.1b	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on MEA	144
Table 4.2	Colony morphology of <i>F. crookwellense</i> on PDA and MEA . .	150
Table 4.3	Colony morphology of <i>F. culmorum</i> on PDA and MEA . . .	155
Table 4.4	Listing of seed-culture colony 'types' on MA, number of	

	colonies in each type, and identification of <i>Fusarium</i> species	159
Table 4.5	Listing of seed-culture colony 'types' and their sporulation tendency on MEA, number of colonies in each type, and identification of <i>Fusarium</i> species	168
Table 5.1	Seed moisture content before and after 9 months storage at six temperatures	196
Table 5.2	Incidence of <i>Fusarium</i> species prior to storage	197
Table 5.3	Incidence (%) of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. during 9 months storage at six temperatures and two seed moisture contents	198
Table 5.4	A comparison of <i>F. graminearum</i> survival levels (%) and retention of germination capacity (%) during storage at 5-30°C of seeds at 14% moisture content in sealed containers	203
Table 5.5	A comparison of <i>F. graminearum</i> survival levels (%) and retention of germination capacity (%) during storage at 5-30°C of seeds with 10% moisture content in sealed containers	204
Table 5.6.	Mean seedling dry weight (mg/seedling) after seed storage at 10% and 14% SMC and temperatures of 5-30°C for 9 months in sealed containers	206
Table 5.7.	Analysis of Variance table showing nested treatment combinations and probability (F) values for seedling dry weight data at 3 to 9 months storage at 5-30°C and 10% and 14% SMC . . .	207
Table 6.1	Initial seed quality: percentage of seeds yielding <i>F. graminearum</i> and other fungi	225
Table 6.2	Seedling emergence and survival under glasshouse conditions (%)	228
Table 6.3	Recovery (%) of <i>F. graminearum</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i> from live, pre- and post-emergence damped off seedlings and from dead seed raised in the glasshouse	235
Table 6.4	Transmission rate of <i>F. graminearum</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i> to seedlings under glasshouse conditions (%)	238
Table 6.5	Seedling emergence and survival under field conditions (%)	251

Table 6.6	Percentage of live seedlings with visual disease symptoms at 10 d and 21 d harvests	255
Table 6.7	Number of dead seeds and unemerged seedlings harvested and number from which <i>F. graminearum</i> and other fungi were isolated	257
Table 6.8	Percentage of live seedlings that yielded <i>F. graminearum</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> spp. and mycopathogenic fungi after harvest from the field	258
Table 6.9	Percentage of live seedlings that yielded field fungi and mucorales after harvest from the field	259
Table 6.10	Initial quality of seed used in the seed and seedling inoculation study	274
Table 6.11	Number of seedlings visually showing lesions and external <i>F. graminearum</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i> growth and from which the species were re-isolated on CLA	278

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Spore form and conidiogenous cells	10
Figure 2.2	Diagram of procedures for preparing cultures for <i>Fusarium</i> species identification	18
Figure 2.3	Disease cycle of <i>F. graminearum</i> in maize	51
Figure 2.4	Influence of soil temperature on percentage of infection by <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> on seedlings of maize and wheat, compared with the growth rate of fungus on agar medium . .	63
Figure 2.5	Curves showing the influence of temperature upon the composition of wheat and maize seedlings	65
Figure 3.1	Seed moisture content (%) on different harvest dates in 1990 and 1991	90
Figure 3.2	Mean level of contamination (mg/kg) by different mycotoxins for pooled cultivar data (1990)	116
Figure 3.3	Mean level of contamination (mg/kg) by different mycotoxins for pooled cultivar data (1991)	117
Figure 4.1	Diagram showing procedures followed in preparing and studying pure cultures initiated by single germinated spores (conidia)	135
Figure 4.2	Relationships for <i>F. graminearum</i> isolates A and B of Cullen <i>et al.</i> (1982), Group 1 and Group 2 of Francis and Burgess (1977) and seed-culture colony types	188
Figure 6.1	Diagram showing maize seedling regions, parts and orientation in the sand bed in the glasshouse and in the soil in the field	220
Figure 6.2	Seedling emergence under glasshouse conditions (%)	226
Figure 6.3	Mean soil moisture content (%) and soil temperature (°C) and recorded during seed germination, seedling emergence and establishment under field conditions	250
Figure 6.4	Seedling emergence under field conditions (%)	252
Figure 6.5	Koch's postulates: proof of pathogenicity - diagram showing the principles of/or steps taken to verify Koch's postulates	268

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1	Maize cobs (parts) showing visual symptoms of <i>Fusarium</i> infection, ie white mycelial growth/mould	85
Plate 3.2	Maize cobs in a declined position	93
Plate 3.3	Dehusked maize cobs showing bleached tip kernels without <i>Fusarium</i> mycelial growth	93
Plate 3.4	Maize cobs showing field fungal growth on husks, cobs with exposed shank, cob with mainly butt moulding and mould-free cob	94
Plate 3.5	Perithecia, asci and ascospores of <i>G. zeae</i>	95
Plate 3.6	Perithecia and ascospores of <i>G. subglutinans</i>	96
Plate 3.7	Germinating seeds and a dead seed in a germination test showing <i>F. graminearum</i> infection and secondary infection . .	100
Plate 3.8	Cobs showing <i>Fusarium</i> mould and rotten or discoloured seeds	100
Plate 3.9	<i>F. graminearum</i> ; 'red and fluffy' type	105
Plate 3.10	<i>F. graminearum</i> ; 'red centre' type	105
Plate 3.11	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'red and lobed' type; various morphological shapes as seen over transmitted light	106
Plate 3.12	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'cream and fluffy' type	106
Plate 3.13a	<i>F. moniliforme</i> var <i>subglutinans</i> (<i>F. subglutinans</i>); 'pink and strands' type	108
Plate 3.13b	<i>F. moniliforme</i> var <i>subglutinans</i> (<i>F. subglutinans</i>); 'pink and strands' type	109
Plate 3.13c	<i>F. moniliforme</i> var <i>subglutinans</i> (<i>F. subglutinans</i>); 'pink and strands' type	110
Plate 3.14a	<i>F. poae</i> : 'purple and powdery', 'white and powdery' types . .	112
Plate 3.14b	<i>F. poae</i> : 'purple and powdery', 'white and powdery' types . .	113
Plate 4.1	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on PDA, with cultures 7R, 20R, 21R and 26R representing the 'red and fluffy', 'cream and fluffy', 'red centre' and 'red and lobed' types . . .	141

Plate 4.2	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on MEA, with cultures 7R, 20R, 21R and 26R representing the 'red and fluffy', 'cream and fluffy', 'red centre' and 'red and lobed' types . . .	142
Plate 4.3	<i>F. graminearum</i> : sporodochia formed on CLA; macroconidia from CLA culture from 'red centre' type of seed-culture colony . . .	146
Plate 4.4a	Perithecia initials and mature perithecia of <i>G. zeae</i> on CLA formed under 40W NUV light conditions	147
Plate 4.4b	Perithecia initials and perithecia formation on CLA under 2 x 18 W NUV light	148
Plate 4.4c	<i>G. zeae</i> perithecia, asci and ascospores	150
Plate 4.5	<i>F. crookwellense</i> colony morphology on PDA and on MEA . . .	152
Plate 4.6	Sporodochia and macroconidia of <i>F. crookwellense</i>	154
Plate 4.7	<i>F. culmorum</i> colony morphology on PDA and on MEA	156
Plate 4.8	Sporodochia and macroconidia of <i>F. culmorum</i> from CLA . . .	158
Plate 4.9	Seed-culture colony morphology of <i>F. sambucinum</i> and <i>F. decemcellulare</i> on MA	161
Plate 4.10	<i>F. graminearum</i> ('cream and lobed' type) on MA	163
Plate 4.11	<i>F. crookwellense</i> on MA	165
Plate 4.12	<i>F. culmorum</i> on MA	166
Plate 4.13	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'pink and fluffy' type on MEA	170
Plate 4.14	<i>F. graminearum</i> 'pink, lobed and sporulating' on MEA	170
Plate 4.15	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'pink and lobed' non-sporulating on MEA . .	172
Plate 4.16	<i>F. graminearum</i> : 'cream and lobed' on MEA	172
Plate 4.17	<i>F. culmorum</i> on MEA	173
Plate 4.18	<i>F. graminearum</i> / <i>F. crookwellense</i> aerial mycelium absent at the colony junctions due to inter-colony effect	173
Plate 6.1	Photograph showing seedling establishment conditions in the glasshouse	218
Plate 6.2	Seedling death post-emergence	227
Plate 6.3a	'Above sand level' lesions/symptoms and those found 'below sand level' associated with <i>F. subglutinans</i>	229
Plate 6.3b	'Above sand level' lesions/symptoms and those found 'below sand	

	level' associated with <i>F. subglutinans</i>	230
Plate 6.4	'Below sand level' seedling reddish-brown lesions/symptoms or damage due to <i>F. graminearum</i> infection	232
Plate 6.5a	Cultural morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> and <i>F. subglutinans</i> . .	236
Plate 6.5b	Perithecia of <i>G. zeae</i> on carnation leaf pieces and on roots of a maize seedling on CLA	237
Plate 6.6	Seedling disease symptoms under field conditions	254
Plate 6.7	<i>F. graminearum</i> pure culture and macroconidia before inoculation	270
Plate 6.8	<i>F. subglutinans</i> before inoculation	271
Plate 6.9	<i>F. graminearum</i> leaf infection and <i>F. subglutinans</i> leaf and coleoptile infection following inoculation of these parts with respective spore suspensions	276
Plate 6.10a	<i>F. subglutinans</i> : leaf and coleoptile infection following soaking (inoculation) seeds in a spore suspension	277
Plate 6.10b	<i>F. graminearum</i> : characteristic mesocotyl, root and node lesioning/disease symptoms following soaking of seeds in spore suspension; close up of sunken centre; <i>F. graminearum</i> growing out of the infected seedling tissue on CLA	279
Plate 6.11	<i>F. graminearum</i> : pure culture on CLA after re-isolation from inoculated maize seedling; macroconidia from the pure culture	281
Plate 6.12	<i>F. subglutinans</i> : pure culture after re-isolation from inoculated maize seedling; macroconidia from pure culture; polyphialides; microconidia and macroconidia	282

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 3.1	Relative ratings for four Pioneer maize cultivars	326
Appendix 3.2	Drying rate (moisture content loss (%)) in 1990 and 1991	327
Appendix 3.3	Types and levels of mycotoxins detected in seeds of four cultivars harvested in 1990 and 1991	328
Appendix 3.4	Description of <i>F. subglutinans</i> and <i>F. poae</i> on carnation leaf agar (CLA)	329
Appendix 4.1	Description of some <i>Fusarium</i> species in pure cultures in petri dishes or test tubes	330
Appendix 4.2	Description of pure culture colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> on PDA and on MEA following subculturing from seed-culture colonies growing on MEA	335
Appendix 4.2.1	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> isolates on PDA following subculturing from seed-culture colonies growing on MEA	336
Appendix 4.2.2	Colony morphology of <i>F. graminearum</i> isolates on MEA following subculturing from seed-culture colonies growing on MEA	337
Appendix 5.1	Decline in the percentage of seeds carrying various <i>Fusarium</i> spp. during 12-months seed storage at 25°C in single layered paper bags or 5°C in sealed containers . .	338
Appendix 5.2	Incidence of <i>Pencillium</i> and <i>Aspergillus</i> during storage for 9 months at seed moisture contents of 10% and 14% and six temperatures (5-30°C) in sealed containers (%) .	339
Appendix 5.3	Normal and abnormal seedlings and dead seeds after 3, 6 and 9 months storage at 10% and 14% seed moisture contents and temperatures of 5-30°C in sealed containers	340
Appendix 5.4	Levels (mg/kg) of mycotoxins after seed storage at 5°C and 25°C for 12 months	340

Appendix 6.1 Minimum, maximum and mean daily temperatures recorded during germination of non-surface and surface disinfected seeds and seedlings emergence and establishment under glasshouse conditions 342

Appendix 6.2 Minimum, maximum and mean daily air temperatures recorded during seed germination, seedling emergence and establishment under field conditions 343

Appendix 6.3 Minimum, maximum and mean daily air temperatures recorded during the Koch’s Postulates study 344