Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

New Bridges to New Literacies: Year five and six students' use of hypertext in information literacy acquisition

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education

> At Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Jacqueline Mary Mason

December 1999

The reseacher asserts her right and that of Dr. Ken Ryba to be identified as co-authors of the diagram *Analysis of the Collective ZPD*.

Abstract

This thesis addresses the some of the new issues for older New Zealand primary school students' information literacy acquisition. These issues have arisen as the result of the advent of computer-mediated interactive non-linear hypertexts, a new text type as opposed to traditional linear texts. The thesis maintains that the branching, expansive nature of hypertexts, coupled with socially constructed, strategic student learning, transforms the nature of learning itself, and creates a new synergistic learning environment and new conceptions of "literacy".

This potential for transformation is seen by the researcher as an ideal opportunity for teachers to design and implement new approaches to information literacy activity, and the process of this thesis puts this opportunity into action.

The research, then, seeks to clearly identify implications for teaching and learning of hypertext in relation to information literacy acquisition, through analysis and reflection of the experiences of the researcher in a classroom of year five and six students.

An ecological constructivist research perspective was selected as the philosophical, theoretical and methodological foundation of the research. This perspective clearly aligned with the research design and process. The research was designed as an ethnographic case study which was based on a model of analysis of the class "collective zone of proximal development" over three phases of development and observation.

The collection, analysis and "triangulation" of the eclectic range of data obtained from the ethnographic case study informed the analysis of conditions for successful "dynamic hypertextual literacy". This analysis in turn informed the construction of the findings, implications, and recommendations of the thesis.

Results from the study confirmed that hypertext does indeed require specialised strategies for accessing, processing and authoring. In addition, given the complexities of information hypertexts such as the Internet, socially-mediated settings which also allow opportunites for teacher guidance are critical to effective deep learning and construction of knowledge when these texts are used.

Further, the thesis suggests that the success or otherwise of "dynamic hypertextual literacy" is in the hands of teachers and the research embodies practical applications as well as outlining theoretical possibilities.

Acknowledgements

This thesis could not have been completed without the support of many people and I am deeply grateful to the following mentors, colleagues, friends and family members:

Associate Professor Ken Ryba, my mentor and supervisor of this study, thank you for your constant encouragement, eagle-eye critique, and inspiring suggestions, and setting a clear and thought-provoking example of socially interactive, reflective <u>teaching</u>.

Dr. Linda Selby, thank you for your timely assistance, and sincere ongoing support.

John Roder, thank you for your unstinting support and your constant "cognitive hyperactivity" that enabled me to think through so many ideas.

Pauline Buchanan, Jan Keenan, Marineke Goodwin, Jill Holt, and Libby Limbrick, thank you for your constant care, listening, humour, and ideas.

Ronnie Bridges, Jan Rhodes, Fiona August and Lata Chand, thank you for your kind and patient assistance with text processing.

The class teacher and Principal of the school where I worked, thank you for your tolerance, patience and support

The wonderful children in the study, thank you for being the heart in my work, never failing to fascinate me and make me hurry to school to see what would happen next.

Dominique, Michael, Danielle, Marlon, Hazel and Quinn, my family, thank you for your unconditional and unwavering support without which the completion of this thesis would not have been possible.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to Peter and Gwenyth Sharp, with thanks for their gift of education.

Table of Contents

i)	Abstract	ii
ii)	Acknowledgements	iii
iii)	Dedication	iv
iv)	Table of contents	v
v)	List of Tables	ix
vi)	List of Figures	x
Cha	apter One – Introduction	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background	2
1.3	Statement of Purpose	4
1.4	Organisation of the Thesis	5
1.5	Summary	6
Cha	apter Two – Literature Review	
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Historical Background to New Zealand Literacy Teaching	7
2.3	Changing Views of Literacy: what is literacy?	9
2.4	Language Development and Acquisition	15
2.5	Socially-interactive, reflective ICT-enhanced learning environments	17
2.6	Text and Texts	21
2.7	Teachers Thinking in New Ways	32
2.8	Summary	34
Cha	apter Three - Conceptualisation of the Research Process	
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Research Aim	36
3.3	Research Objectives	36
3.4	Defining a Theoretical Framework for the Study	37

3.5	Rationale for Selection of Research Perspective 49	
3.6	Visual summary of Process of Selection	50
3.7	Summary	52
Cha	pter Four - Methodology	
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Research Design	53
4.3	Justification of Research Design	57
4.4	Research Questions	57
4.5	Participants in the Research	58
4.6	Data Collection	61
4.7	How Information-gathering Strategies were linked to Research questi	ons 63
4.8	Chronology and Description of Data Collection and Intervention Methods 65	
4.9	Ethical considerations	72
4.10	Summary	73
Cha	apter Five - Results	
5.1	Introduction	74
5.2	Section One: Analysis of the Class Zone of Current Functioning	74
5.3	Section Two: Formation of a Collective Zone of Proximal Development	ent - direct
	peer tutor training in hypertextal search and reciprocal strategies, and	authoring
	strategies	108
5.4	Section Three: Analysis of the class Zone of Future Functioning	120
5.5	Conclusion to Chapter Five	149
Ch	apter Six - Discussion	
6.1	Introduction	150
6.2	The Impact of the Learning Environment on Students' Cooperative In	teractions with
	Hypertext	157
6.3	How Students' Perceptions of Learning and Information Literacy Affe	ected
	Cooperative Interactions with Hypertext	160

6.4	Key Features of Access to Hypertext	65	
6.5	Strategies for Scaffolding Learning when Hypertext is a Medium for Lea	arning 165	
6.6	Conclusion	167	
Cha	apter Seven - Conclusion		
7.1	Introduction	168	
7.2	Meeting the main research aim: Implications for Teaching and Learning	168	
7.3	Meeting the aims of the research: Successes and Limitations	174	
7.4	Recommendations for Best Practice and Research	177	
7.5	Final Comments	178	
Ref	erences	180	
Appendices (contents only)			
App	pendix A		
Iten	1 Informed consent form		
Iten	1 2 Information sheet for participants and substitute consent givers		
Iten	workplan for implementation of research activity		
Iten	n 4 Interview questionnaire (students)		
Iten	n 5 Interview questionnaire (teacher)		
Iten	n 6 Observation format (whole class)		
Iten	n 7 Tracking sheet – hypertext observations		
Iten	n 8 Retrieval chart 1 (used with hypertext activity in phase one)		
Iten	n 9 Transcripts (hypertext observations in phase one)		
Appendix B			
Iten	n 1 Retrieval chart 2 (search plan and retrieval chart in phases two and	three)	
Iter	n 2 Planning sheet/storyboard (used in phases two and three)		
Iter	n 3 Feedback after authoring, (phase two)		

Appendix C

Item 1	Retrieval chart 3 (used in phase three)
Item 2	Interview questionnaire (students)
Item 3	Interview questionnaire (teacher)
Item 4	Table of teacher interview responses
Item 5	Transcripts of hypertext observation, phase three

List of tables

Chapter T	wo	
Table 2.1	Text-type contrasts	26
Chapter T	hree	
Table 3.1	Elements of the research process	41
Table 3.2	Learning perspective – information processing	44
Table 3.3	Learning perspective – metacognitive strategy	45
Table 3.4	Learning perspective – socio-cultural	46
Table 3.5	Learning perspective – constructivist	47
Table 3.6	Learning perspective – ecological	48
Chapter Fo	our	
Table 4.1	Number and gender breakdown	58
Table 4.2	Cultural heritage	59
Table 4.3	Reading ages	59
Table 4.4	Writing stages	59
Table 4.5	Links between information gathering strategies and research questions	64
Chapter Fi	ive	
Table 5.1	Random observed dialogue	79
Table 5.2	Incidence and nature of researcher's interventions	140
Chapter Si	ix ·	
Table 6.1	Metacognitive abilities and hypertext	155
Chapter So	even	
Table 7.1	Contrasts between traditional literacy and dynamic hypertextual literacy	v 17

List of figures

Chapter Th	ree	
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram of conceptualisation of the research process	51
Chapter Fo	ur	
Figure 4.1	Ecological analysis of the collective ZPD	56
Chapter Fiv	ve	
Figure 5.1	Teacher talk	75
Figure 5.2	Scaffolded language approaches	76
Figure 5.3	Strategic knowledge developed by teacher	77
Figure 5.4	Learning arrangements	77
Figure 5.5	Hypertext observation process	92
Figure 5.6	Hypertextual search strategies - training process	108
Figure 5.7	Reciprocal strategy - training process	109
Figure 5.8	Copy of reciprocal strategy reference chart	110

Figure 5.9 Authoring strategies – training process

113