Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A METHODOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE JUSTER SCALE: CONTEXTUAL REQUIREMENTS AND MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE BEHAVIOURS

By

Mathew Parackal

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Marketing at Massey University Palmerston North New Zealand

April 2004

ABSTRACT

The relatively poor performance of intention scales to forecast future purchase behaviour turned researchers' attention to testing probability scales and the 11 point Juster Scale has become a preferred instrument for this task. The scale has undergone considerable testing and has been implemented successfully in a variety of research environments including self-completion mail surveys, telephone surveys and Internet-based surveys. Nevertheless, several methodological challenges remain, each of which produce some variation in the scale's accuracy. In particular, the review of Juster Scale literature revealed that accuracy of the scale was not consistent across product categories. This raised concerns about the reliability of the scale with both the context of the scale as it is presented to respondents and the nature of samples used to test the scale cited as possible causes for inconsistency. The review also identified two areas of development for the Juster Scale. These were to examine whether the structure of the scale could improve its performance and a problem that researchers encountered when using the Juster Scale to forecast mutually exclusive behaviours.

The research carried out for this thesis aimed to address two of the four issues raised above. They were, one, to address the contextual requirements of the Juster Scale and, two, to resolve the problem that researchers encountered when using the Juster Scale to forecast mutually exclusive behaviours. Data required to address the two issues were secured by implementing two Internet-based surveys. One was carried out on the clientele of Vodafone New Zealand (Vodafone survey) and the other on a sample of the national population (New Zealand survey). The test products were WAP-capable mobile phones and the payment plans offered by mobile telephony companies. Purchase probability data for these products were obtained in separate treatments to produce the required comparisons.

The review of literature identified three factors that exhibited tendencies to alter context, namely, question order, the practice of testing the Juster Scale concurrently on product categories and respondent's interpretation of the question accompanying the Juster Scale. Prior to addressing these issues, it was necessary to standardise the contextual requirements of the Juster Scale. Investigation was undertaken by implementing the Juster Scale in separate treatments with and without providing

additional contextual inputs. Results showed that the Juster Scale implemented on its own without additional contextual information produced mean probability scores similar to when the scale was implemented after contextual information was provided.

The Juster Scale has also been successfully employed in the forecasting of mutually exclusive behaviour. The review of literature revealed two methods namely weighting and the Constant Sum Scale for the above purpose. However, no comparisons were previously made to test whether the forecasts made by these two methods were similar or not, and this became the second major objective addressed in the current research. Investigation was undertaken by implementing the two methods in separate treatments (Weighted-scores and Constant Sum Scale) in the New Zealand survey. Results produced were mixed hence it was not possible to conclusively establish one method's superiority. The topic remains open for further investigation to test a method that is best suited for collecting probability data of mutually exclusive behaviour.

The investigation on the contextual requirements of the Juster Scale concluded, at least for the test products (WAP-capable mobile phones) used in this research, that the Juster Scale is a robust forecasting instrument in a typical purchasing environment. However, contextual requirements of the Juster Scale were examined here for just one product. Future studies might investigate whether the results obtained here can be reproduced for other product categories.

Results from the investigation to resolve the problem researchers encountered when using the Juster Scale in forecasting mutually exclusive behaviours were not conclusive. This research, however, showed that the Constant Sum Scale was a better instrument to produce clear data, ready for analysis. Nonetheless, the topic remains open for more investigation. In any future research, selection of test products will be crucial. Frequently purchased products may not generate the necessary comparisons.

The major contribution of this thesis to the academic community is that the Juster Scale is successful in collecting probability data in a purchasing context. While the objective regarding mutually exclusive behaviour yielded less conclusive results, the research showed that either of the two methods compared (Constant Sum Scale and weighting process) could be used when respondents are confident about their behaviour.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My foremost acknowledgement goes to God Almighty through the Lord Jesus Christ. I have had the greatest experience of trusting in God all the years I have worked on this thesis. I would like to offer my praises to God with the opening verses of Psalm 103

"Praise the Lord, O my soul; all my inmost being, praise his holy name.

Praise the Lord, O my soul, and forget not all his benefits..."

I take this opportunity to express my appreciation to my wife, Sherly for her tireless love and support. She has with tremendous patience helped me in each stage of this thesis. Without her, I would not have completed this piece of work. My three daughters, Sharon, Sarah and Raquel, have been a source of motivation for me to complete this thesis. I've enjoyed the love and care of my family during times of difficulties and times of success. I would like to also mention my appreciation to fellow-believers at the Victoria Gospel Hall, Palmerston North and Mailer Street Gospel Hall, Dunedin. Thank you for the many prayers and help given; may God continue to bless and prosper your services that you do in the Lord Jesus.

I have had a great team of supervisors behind this work. To begin, I'd like to thank Dr Ron Garland for stepping in as my chief supervisor. Your guidance was precise and always right. Thanks for the timely feedback and comments; they were very useful. Next on my list is Associate Professor Tony Lewis; your arriving to my help was timely which turned this thesis around. Thank you for your advice and support, they had great value to this thesis. I was introduced to this research by Dr Mike Brennan; thanks Mike for setting me up with this research. Thanks for those early papers and conference experiences. One person who has followed me through my work is Mr Barry Jackson. I have used him as my punching bag; thanks Barry for keeping up with me. I would like to express my thanks to Professor Phil Gendall for offering me the opportunity to do my PhD in the department. I have enjoyed working under you and the experience gained in survey research has been very useful in doing the research for this thesis. I would like to convey my thanks to Dr Zane Kearns and Mr Don Esslemont. I thoroughly enjoyed learning under you both; thanks for your help, support and friendship. My thanks to Mrs Sue Johns for reading the thesis before I submitted; thank you very much Sue. There were some who silently did their part to make my PhD days go easy. Mrs Maureen MacDonald, Ms Pru Robbie, Mrs Rosemary McDonald, Mrs Pat Collins and Mr Craig Goodwin, thanks for your best wishes and help from time to time.

In closing this brief acknowledgement, I would like to mention my thanks to Mum and Dad. They have sacrificially given a lot of themselves to me. They gave me a great home to grow up, good education and wonderful days. They have faithfully followed me with their prayers. My thanks also go to Sherly's Mum and Dad for their love and prayers. To all my brothers (Achachan, Geogie, Binod, and Babuchayan) and sisters (Sheena, Sheela, Elsa and Beena), thank you for praying for me. I am thankful to God Almighty for all you wonderful people in my life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ΑF	BSTRACT		- i
A (CKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	- iii
TA	BLE OF	CONTENTS	- v
LI	ST OF FIC	GURES	- x
LI	ST OF TA	BLES	xii
1.	INTROD	UCTION	
	1.1. Backg	ground	- 1
	1.2. Conte	ext of the Juster Scale	- 2
	1.3. Mutu	ally Exclusive Behaviours	.~ 3
	1.4. Resea	arch Objectives	5
	1.5. Resea	arch Approach	- - 5
	1.6. Outlin	ne of Chapters	6
2.	PROBAB	BILITY SCALES USED FOR FORECASTING PURCHASE	
	BEHAVI	OUR	
	2.1. Introd	luction	8
	2.2. Intent	tion Scales	- 9
	2.3. Proba	ability Scales	- 11
	2.3.1.	Plan-O-Meter	- 12
	2.3.2.	Byrnes' Scale	- 13
	2.3.3.	The Juster Scale	- 14
	2.3.4.	Likelihood Scale	- 16
	2.3.5.	Pickering and Isherwood's Scale	- 17
	2.4. Devel	lopment of the Juster Scale	- 18
	2.4.1.	Replications of Juster's Experiment	- 18
	2.4.2.	Comparisons of the Juster Scale with Other Probability Scales -	- 25
	2.4.3.	Implementations of the Juster Scale in Other Survey Media	32
	2.5. Chapt	ter Summary	- 36
3.	PROBLE	MS OF THE JUSTER SCALE	
	3.1. Introd	luction	- 37
	3.2. Sub-(Optimal Samples	38
	3.3. Conte	extual Background	45

	3.3.1.	Context of Survey Questions	45
	3.3.2.	Context of the Juster Scale	57
	3.3.3.	Method of Providing Context for the Juster Scale	63
	3.4. Proba	bility Scales	67
	3.4.1.	Scale Descriptors	67
	3.4.2.	Response Distribution	69
	3.4.3.	Comparisons of Probability Scales	72
	3.5. Mutu	ally Exclusive Behaviours	74
	3.5.1.	Weighting of Probability Scores	75
	3.5.2.	Constant Sum Scale	82
	3.6. Chapt	er Summary	95
4.	INTERNI	ET-BASED SURVEYS	
	4.1. Introd	luction	98
	4.2. Adop	tion of the Internet	98
	4.3. Adopt	tion of Computer and Browser Technology	101
	4.4. The Ir	nternet Technology	102
	4.4.1.	Active Server Page	102
	4.4.2.	Hypertext Mark-up Language	103
		ECMAScript	105
	4.5. Intern	et-Based Surveys	106
	4.6. Ration	nale of the Internet-Based Survey Approach	113
	4.7. Chapt	er Summary	116
5.	CONCEP	TUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES	
	5.1. Introd	uction	117
	5.2. Resear	rch Priorities	117
	5.2.1.	Accuracy of the Juster Scale	117
		Scale Development	119
	5.3. Resear	rch Objectives and Hypotheses	121
6.	METHOL	DLOGY	
	6.1. Introd	uction	123
	6.2. Survey	y Approach	123
	6.2.1.	Vodafone Survey	123
	6.2.2.	New Zealand Survey	124
	6.3. Treatn	nents	125

	6.3.1. Context of the Juster Scale	125
	6.3.2. Mutually Exclusive Behaviours	135
	6.4. Test Products	145
	6.4.1. WAP-Capable Mobile Phones	145
	6.4.2. Mobile Phone Payment Plans	146
	6.5. Survey Features	147
	6.5.1. Assigning Respondents to Treatments	- 147
	6.5.2. Data Collation	148
	6.5.3. Response Based Question Skips	149
	6.5.4. Updating the Name Database	150
	6.5.5. Survey Security	150
	6.6. Survey Results	151
	6.6.1. Vodafone Survey	151
	6.6.2. New Zealand Survey	160
	6.7. Chapter Summary	169
7.	CONTEXT OF THE JUSTER SCALE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	7.1. Overview of the Research	175
	7.2. Vodafone Survey	177
	7.3. New Zealand Survey	179
	7.3.1. Mobile Phone Users	180
	7.3.2. Non-Mobile Phone Users	183
	7.4. Discussion	188
	7.5. Chapter Summary	191
8.	MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE BEHAVIOURS: RESULTS AND DISCUSS	ION
	8.1. Overview of the Research	193
	8.2. Telecom Subscribers	195
	8.2.1. Mean Probability Scores	195
	8.2.2. Rank Orders	196
	8.2.3. Comparisons of Treatments	197
	8.3. Vodafone Subscribers	200
	8.3.1. Mean Probability Scores	200
	8.3.2. Rank Orders	201
	8.3.3. Comparisons of Treatments	202
	8.4. Non-Mobile Phone Users	204

	8.4.1.	Mean Probability Scores and Rank Order	204
	8.4.2.	Comparisons of Treatments	20
	8.5. Discu	ssion	20
	8.6. Chapt	er Summary	20
9.	CONCLU	SIONS	
	9.1. Introd	uction	21
	9.2. Conte	xt of the Juster Scale	21
	9.2.1.	Conclusions	21
	9.2.2.	Limitations	21
	9.2.3.	Future Research Direction	21
	9.2.4.	Managerial Implications	21
	9.3. Mutua	ally Exclusive Behaviour	21
	9.3.1.	Conclusions	21
	9.3.2.	Limitations	21
	9.3.3.	Future Research Direction	21
	9.3.4.	Managerial Implications	22
10	. REFERE	NCES	22
11	. APPEND	ICES	
	11.1. Mail	Outs	23
	11.1.1	Vodafone Survey: Cover Letter	23
	11.1.2	New Zealand Survey: Cover Letter	23
	11.1.3	New Zealand Survey: First Reminder Letter	23
	11.1.4	New Zealand Survey: Second Reminder Letter	23
	11.2. Ques	stionnaire	23
	11.3. Con	textual Treatments	25
	11.3.1	Standard Treatment	25
	11.3.2	Point & Click Treatment	25
	11.3.3	Search Engine Treatment	25
	11.4. Mut	ually Exclusive Behaviour Treatments	25
	11.4.1	Constant Sum Scale Treatment	25
	11.4.2	Weighted Scores Treatment	25
	11.5. Inter	net-Based Survey: Supplementary Tables	26
	11.6. Con	text of the Juster Scale: Supplementary Tables	20
	11.7. Mut	ually Exclusive Behaviours: Supplementary Tables	20

11.8. Conference Papers	273
11.8.1. Database Driven Web-Based Survey Approach for	
Forecasting Adoption Of New Technology Based Products	274
11.8.2. Internet-based & Mail Survey: A hybrid probabilistic	
survey approach	275
11.8.3. Forecasting mutually exclusive behaviour using the	
Juster Scale	276
11.8.4. A Study Investigating the Contextual Requirement of the	
Juster Scale	277

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER TWO: PROBABILITY	SCALES	USED FOR	FORECAST	ING
PURCHASE BEHAVIOUR				

Figure 2.1	Plan-O-Meter	12
Figure 2.2	Byrnes' Scale	14
Figure 2.3	The Juster Scale	15
Figure 2.4	Likelihood Scale	16
Figure 2.5	Pickering and Isherwood's Scale	17
Figure 2.6	Probability Distributions of Durables Goods	22
Figure 2.7	Probability Distributions of Service Goods	22
Figure 2.8	Probability Distributions of Fast Moving Products	23
Figure 2.9	Constant Sum Scale	28
Figure 2.10	The Juster Scale and the Verbal Probability Scale	35
CHAPTER T	THREE: PROBLEMS OF THE JUSTER SCALE	
Figure 3.1	Correlations between Mean, Distribution shape and Individual Level Accuracy	71
Figure 3.2	Error Difference when the Forecast is an Overestimation (Brand A)	81
Figure 3.3	Error Difference when the Forecast is an Underestimation (Other Brands)	81
CHAPTER F	OUR: INTERNET-BASED SURVEYS	
Figure 4.1	Region Wise Household Accesses to the Internet in New Zealand	100
Figure 4.2	Html Mark-up Codes Compiled using Notepad	104
Figure 4.3	The Html Mark-Up Codes Displayed as a Web Page on Microsoft Internet Explorer	105
CHAPTER F	TIVE: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHE	ESES
Figure 5.1	Research Design	122

CHAPTER SIX: METHODOLOGY

Figure 6.1	Web Format of the Questionnaire	124
Figure 6.2	Web Pages Showing the Juster Scale and the Twelve Months-Probability Question	126
Figure 6.3	Web Pages Showing the Juster Scale and the Six Months-Probability Question	127
Figure 6.4	Web Pages Showing the Explanation of WAP-Capable Mobile Phones in the Control Treatment	128
Figure 6.5	Web Pages Showing the Instructions for Viewing Information in the Point & Click Treatment	129
Figure 6.6	Web Page Showing the Information Headings using a Collapsible Menu	131
Figure 6.7	Web Pages Showing the Menu Expanded	131
Figure 6.8	Web Pages Showing a Selected Information Item	132
Figure 6.9	Web Page Showing the Instructions to Use the Search Engine to View Information in the Search Engine Treatment	133
Figure 6.10	Web Page Showing the Search Engine	134
Figure 6.11	Web Page Showing the Search Result	134
Figure 6.12	Web Page Showing a Selected Information Item	135
Figure 6.13	Web Page Showing the Instructions for Answering the Practice Question using the Constant Sum Scale	137
Figure 6.14	Web Page Showing the Practice Question	138
Figure 6.15	Web Page Showing the Movie Synopses	139
Figure 6.16	Web Page Showing the Tokens Distributed to the Movie Selections	139
Figure 6.17	Web Page Showing the Actual Question and the Constant Sum Scale	141
Figure 6.18	Web Page Showing the Features of the Payment Plans	142
Figure 6.19	Web Page Showing the Conventional Approach used in the Weighted-Scores Treatment	143

Figure 7.1	Web Pages Showing the Question Enquiring about Mobile Phone Ownership	179
CHAPTER AND DISC	SEVEN: CONTEXT OF THE JUSTER SCALE: RESULT CUSSION	ſS
Figure 6.23	Weekly Questionnaire Returns in the Vodafone Survey and Parackal & Brennan (1999)	158
Figure 6.22	Security Web Page used for securing the Survey Site to Participants	151
Figure 6.21	Equations Used in the Weighting Process	145
Figure 6.20	Web Page Showing the Features of the Payment Plans	143

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER THREE: PROBLEMS OF THE JUSTER SCALE

Table 3.1	Proportion of High and Low Probability Scores Collected for Durables, Services and Fast Moving Products Observed in Gan et al. (1986)	40
Table 3.2	Forecasts and Actual Purchase Rates Reported in Gan et al. (1986)	41
Table 3.3	Forecasted and Actual Purchase Rate Reported by Day et al. (1991)	41
Table 3.4	Discrepancy between NORC and SRC Results	50
Table 3.5	General and Specific Question used by Schuman <i>et al.</i> 1981	51
Table 3.6	General Questions on Energy, Economy, Politics and Religion used by McFarland (1981)	52
Table 3.7	Percentage of "Yes" Responses to the Question on Communist Reporter by Context and Contiguity	55
Table 3.8	Reduced and Developed Contexts	64
Table 3.9	Example of the Weighting Processes Applied to the Raw Probability Scores	75
Table 3.10	Percent of Actual Votes Compared with Forecasts Made On Verbal Probability Scale and Forced-Choice Method	77
Table 3.11	Mean Errors and Mean Absolute Errors of Weighed and Raw Probability Scores Obtained in Two and Multi-Candidates Elections	79
Table 3.12	Forecasting Errors of Raw and Weighted Probability Scores	80
Table 3.13	Summary Table of Literature Reviewed	86
CHAPTER F	OUR: INTERNET-BASED SURVEY	
Table 4.1	People with Internet Access via Their Home PC in 2001 -	98
Table 4.2	Households with Internet Access and Telephone Connection	99

Table 4.3	Operating Systems and Web Browsers of Visitors to the AccessNZ Site	1(
Table 4.4	Comparisons between the KN Panel and the US Population	10
Table 4.5	Response Rates of the Three Survey Approaches	1
Table 4.6	Response Rates of the Mixed Mode Survey Approaches -	1
CHAPTER	SIX: METHODOLOGY	
Table 6.1	Payment Plans Offered by Telecom New Zealand	14
Table 6.2	Payment Plans Offered by Vodafone New Zealand	14
Table 6.3	GNAs, Refusals and Response Rate of the Vodafone Survey	1 :
Table 6.4	Proportions and Ranks of Age Categories in the Final and Original Samples	1 :
Table 6.5	Employment Status of Participants in the Final and Original Samples	1.
Table 6.6	Gender Split in the Final and Original Samples	1
Table 6.7	Treatment Sizes Obtained in the Vodafone Survey, Parackal & Brennan (1999) and Vehovar <i>et al.</i> (2000)	1.
Table 6.8	Homogeneity of Treatments Produced in the Vodafone Survey	1
Table 6.9	Completion Rates of the Vodafone Survey and Brennan <i>et al.</i> (1999)	1
Table 6.10	Browser (Internet Explorer/Netscape) Versions of Respondents in the Vodafone Survey	1
Table 6.11	Mean Age and Proportion of Male Participants in the Actual and Final Samples	1
Table 6.12	Proportions of Age Categories in the Actual Sample, Final Sample, and 2001 Census	1
Table 6.13	Gender split in the Actual Sample, Original Sample and 2001 Census	1

Table 6.14	Mean Probability Scores of WAP-Capable Mobile Phones Obtained in the Internet-Based Survey and Mail Survey	167
Table 6.15	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores of WAP-Capable Phones between the Internet-Based Survey and Mail Survey for Non-Mobile Phone Users	168
Table 6.16	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores of WAP-Capable Phones between the Internet-Based Survey and Mail Survey for Mobile Phone Users	169
CHAPTER S AND DISCUS	EVEN: CONTEXT OF THE JUSTER SCALE: RESUL SSION	TS
Table 7.1	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores for the Twelve Months-Probability Data	178
Table 7.2	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores for the Six Months-Probability Data	178
Table 7.3	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores for the Twelve Months-Probability Data	181
Table 7.4	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores for the Six Months-Probability Data	181
Table 7.5	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores after Applying the Information Viewing Criterion for the Twelve Months-Probability Data	182
Table 7.6	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores after Applying the Information Viewing Criterion for the Six Months-Probability Data	182
Table 7.7	Comparisons of Mean Ranks after Applying the Information Viewing Criterion for the Twelve Months-Probability Data	183
Table 7.8	Comparisons of Mean Ranks after Applying the Information Viewing Criterion for the Six Months-Probability Data	183
Table 7.9	Homogeneity Tests of the Twelve and Six Months-Probability Data Collected From Non-Mobile Phone Users	184

Table 7.10	Months-Probability Data	185			
Table 7.11	Comparisons of Mean Ranks for the Six Months- Probability Data	185			
Table 7.12	Pair wise Comparisons of Mean Differences for Twelve Months-Probability Data	186			
Table 7.13	Pair wise Comparisons of Mean Differences for Six Months-Probability Probability Data	187			
Table 7.14	Distributions of Probability Scores obtained in the Treatments	188			
CHAPTER EIGHT: MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE BEHAVIOURS: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION					
Table 8.1	Mean Probability Scores Based on the Raw Scores for Telecom Subscribers	196			
Table 8.2	Mean Probability Scores and Ranks of Payment Plans Obtained in the Treatments for Telecom Subscribers	197			
Table 8.3	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores of Payment Plans for Telecom Subscribers	198			
Table 8.4	Proportion of Respondents whose Probability Scores Added up to Ten	198			
Table 8.5	Comparisons of Mean Ranks of Payment Plans for Telecom Subscribers	199			
Table 8.6	Mean Probability Scores Based on the Raw Scores for Vodafone Subscribers	200			
Table 8.7	Mean Probability Scores of Payment Plans obtained for Vodafone Subscribers	201			
Table 8.8	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores of Payment Plans for Vodafone Subscribers	202			
Table 8.9	Proportion of Respondents whose Probability Scores Added up to Ten	203			

Table 8.10	Comparisons of Mean Ranks of Payment Plans for Vodafone Subscribers	204
Table 8.11	Mean Probability Scores Based on the Raw Scores for Non-Mobile Phone Users	205
Table 8.12	Proportion of Respondents whose Probability Scores Added up to Ten	205
Table 8.13	Comparisons of Mean Probability Scores for Non-Mobile Phone Users	206