

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# MAIZE IN THE MANAWATU

A FIELD STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF SPACING AND VARIETY UPON THE  
GROWTH OF ZEA MAYS L.

A thesis  
presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree  
of  
MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
at  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY

GREGORY OWEN EDMEADES

1972

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
SUMMARY	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
DEFINITIONS	2
CHAPTER ONE                      REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1.1 Introduction	4
1.2 Aspects of Growth and Development	4
1.2.1 The Terms Defined	4
1.2.2 Quantitative Description and Analysis of Growth and Development	4
1.2.3 Growth Analysis and its Parameters	5
1.3 Some Factors Influencing the Magnitude and Pattern of Photosynthate Distribution in the Maize Plant	10
1.3.1 The Development and Maintenance of Leaf Area in Maize	10
1.3.2 Some Factors Determining Crop Growth Rate in Maize	16
1.3.3 Patterns of Assimilate Distribution in Maize	29
1.3.4 The Growth and Development of Yield in Maize	36
1.3.5 Distribution of Dry Matter in the Mature Maize Plant	42
1.4 The Field Maturation of Grain Maize	43
1.5 The Response of the Maize Plant to Intraspecific Competition	46
1.5.1 Yield Density Relationships in Maize	47
1.5.2 Changes in Individual Plant Characters Associated With Interplant Competition for Light	50
1.5.3 Intraspecific Competition in Maize and Plant Barrenness	53
1.5.4 The Quantitative Relationship Between Plant Population and Crop Yield	56
CHAPTER TWO                      METHODS AND MATERIALS	59
2.1 Introduction	60
2.1.1 The Experimental Site	60
2.1.2 Experimental Layout	60
2.1.3 Cultural Aspects	61
2.2 Experimental Methods	62
2.2.1 Field Observations	62
2.2.2 Measurements on Harvested Plants	63

	<u>Page</u>
2.3 Statistical Methods	66
2.3.1 Preliminary Analysis of Data	66
2.3.2 The Analysis of Variance	67
2.3.3 Curve Fitting	68
2.3.4 Growth Analysis	70
2.3.5 Dry Matter Contents	72
2.3.6 Population Effects	72
2.3.7 Correlations Between Yield and Yield Components	72
CHAPTER THREE RESULTS	73
3.1 Introduction	74
3.2 Days to Flowering	74
3.3 Growth in the Size of the Stem	75
3.4 The Growth and Longevity of Leaf Area	76
3.4.1 Leaf Number, Area and Longevity, on a per Plant Basis	76
3.4.2 Leaf Area Index and Leaf Area Duration	78
3.5 Growth in Shoot Dry Weight and its Components	78
3.5.1 Growth in Weight on a Per Plant Basis	78
3.5.2 Growth in Total Shoot Dry Weight per Unit Area	81
3.6 Growth in Yield	82
3.6.1 Grain Dry Weight per Plant	82
3.6.2 Changes in Dry Weight of Plant Parts During Grain Filling	84
3.7 Yield and Yield Components	86
3.8 Node Number of Nodes Bearing Ears, and Ear Height	89
3.9 Tiller Numbers and Tiller Grain Production	92
3.10 Dry Matter Contents of Plant Constituents	94
3.11 Yield Density Relationships	95
CHAPTER FOUR DISCUSSION	96
4.1 Methods	97
4.1.1 Experimental Methods	97
4.1.2 Statistical Methods	98
4.2 Experimental Results	101
4.2.1 Growth, Composition and Longevity of Photosynthetic Area	101
4.2.2 Changes in Total Shoot Dry Weight and its Components	105
4.2.3 Growth Analysis	107
4.2.4 The Growth of Grain Yield	113
4.2.5 Yield and Yield Structure	115
4.2.6 Plant Density Effects	118
4.2.7 Field Maturation	120

	iv
	<u>Page</u>
4.3 Conclusions	121
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	123
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDICES	A1
1 (a) Weather Data Recorded During the Experimental Period	A2
(b) Accumulated Effective Degree Days	
2 Schedule of Events	A3
3 Regressions of Whole Huskless Ear Cob to Grain Ratio (Y) on Cob to Grain Ratio of Centre 4cm Section of Huskless Ear (X)	A4
4 Regression of Mid Stem Diameter (Y) on Diameter of Stem Base (X)	A5
5 Analysis of Variance of Stem Length and Expanded Leaf Number on the Main Stem	A6
6 Coefficients of Polynomials Fitted to Data on Stem Length and expanded Leaf Number on the Main Stem	A7
7 Coefficients of Polynomials Fitted to Total Photosynthetic Area Data	A8
8 Analysis of Variance of Total Photosynthetic Area per Plant and Total Dry Weight per Plant	A10
9 Analysis of Variance of Fractions of Total Photosynthetic Area per Plant	A11
10 Analysis of Variance of Total Leaf Area Index and Total Dry Weight per Unit Area	A13
11 Analysis of Variance of Dry Weight Fractions per Plant	A14
12 Coefficients of Polynomials Fitted to Dry Weight Data of Plant Parts	A18
13 Sample Analyses of Variance of Polynomials Fitted to Individual Plant Data for Population 3	A22
14 Analysis of Variance of Yield per Plant and Yield Components	A25
15 Analysis of Variance of Dry Matter Contents	A26
16 Coefficients of Polynomials Fitted to Dry Matter Content Data	A29
17 (a) Polynomials in Density Fitted to Grain Yield Data	A30
(b) Analysis of Variance of the Logarithm of Grain per Plant on Plants per Square Metre	

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.1	Labelled Assimilate Distribution in Two Similar Maize Varieties	32
1.2	Percentage of Total Shoot Dry Matter in Different Plant Parts at Maturity	43
1.3	Labelled Assimilate Distribution in a Single Maize Variety Grown at Two Spacings	56
2.1	Summary of Plant Spacings	61
2.2	Variables Measured on Sampled Plants	64
2.3	Analysis of Variance For Fitted Polynomial of Degree k in Time, Fitted to Data From n Harvests and b Replications	69
3.1	(a) Days to 50% Tasseling, Anthesis and Silking	74
	(b) Analysis of Variance of Days to 50% Tasseling, Anthesis and Silking	75
3.2	Stem Basal Diameter	76
3.3	Days From Planting to 50% Senescence of Maximum Photosynthetic Area Attained	78
3.4	Leaf Area Duration From 50% Silking Till 50% of the Maximum Photosynthetic Area was Senescent	78
3.5	Ratios of Plant Part Dry Weights at Maturity	80
3.6	Values of Unit Leaf Rate Predicted by Linear Regression of E upon LAI at 50% Silking	81
3.7	Date From Planting and From 50% Silking Till 95% Maximum Grain Dry Weight was Obtained	83
3.8	Grain Leaf Ratio	84
3.9	Summary of Changes in Dry Weight of Non-Grain Plant Components During the Period from their Peak Dry Weights After Flowering till 95% Total Grain Dry Weight was Obtained	85
3.10	Summary of Changes in Dry Weight of Stem and Leaf Fractions from 50% Silking until 95% Total Grain Dry Weight was Obtained	85
3.11	Yield and Yield Components	87
3.12	Percentage Composition of Total Ear Number per Plant	88
3.13	Analysis of Variance of Total Ear Number per ha, Number of Second Ears per ha, and Total Grain Yield	88
3.14	Correlations of Total Grain Dry Weight per Plant with Various Characters	90
3.15	Ear Dimensions	91
3.16	Numbers of the Nodes at which the Three Heaviest Ears were Borne	91
3.17	Height of Apical, Second and Third Ears	92
3.18	Tiller Number per Plant	93
3.19	The Percentage Distribution of Dry Weight per Plant Between the Main Stem and Tillers of the Two Varieties	94

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
4.1	Coefficients of Variation (%) for Total Shoot Dry Weight Per Plot at Maturity, and Total Leaf Area per Plot at Day 103	97
4.2	Comparison of Standard Errors Calculated from Fitted Multiple Regressions and from a One Way Analysis of Variance	99
4.3	Average Monthly Temperatures and Accumulated Degree Days at the End of Each Month at Three Sites	104
4.4	The Distribution of Total Shoot Crop Growth Rate (CCR) Between the Ear and the Remainder of the Shoot For Each Variety for Population 3	107
4.5	Unit Leaf Rate and Leaf Area Ratio When LAI = 2.00	110
4.6	Summary of Relative Values of Yield and Yield Component	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Following page</u>
1	Experimental Layout	60
2	(a) Growth in Stem Length (b) Stem Length Growth Rate	75
3	(a) Number of Fully Expanded Leaves on the Main Stem (b) Rate of Appearance of Expanded Leaves on Main Stem (c) Relative Rate of Appearance of Expanded Leaves on Main Stem	76
4	(a) Leaf Area Per Plant (b) Rate of Relative Leaf Growth	76
5	Specific Leaf Area	77
6	Percentage Distribution of Total Plant Photosynthetic Area	77
7	Leaf Area Index	78
8	(a) Growth in Total Shoot Dry Weight Per Plant (b) Relative Growth Rate	78
9	Percentage Distribution of Total Shoot Dry Weight	79
10	(a) Unit Leaf Rate (b) Leaf Area Ratio	80
11	(a) Total Shoot Dry Weight Per Unit Area (b) Crop Growth Rate	81
12	(a) Growth in Total Ear Dry Weight Per Plant (b) Growth in Grain Dry Weight Per Plant (c) Grain Unit Leaf Rate	82
13	Changes in Plant Component Dry Weights During Growth (a) Stem (b) Leaf (c) Husk (d) Cob	84
14	Dry Matter Content (Fresh Weight Basis) of Plant Components (a) Grain, Cob and Husk (b) Stem and Leaf (c) Rate of Increase in Grain Dry Matter Content	85
15	(a) Quadratic Polynomial in Plant Density Giving Best Fit to Grain Yield (b) Linear Regression of the Logarithm of Grain Weight Per Plant on Plant Density	85



An experiment is described in which two commercial dent maize varieties, W575, a late maturing hybrid, and KC3, an early maturing hybrid were grown at equidistant spacings in five populations of from 39,000 to 79,000 plants.ha<sup>-1</sup>. Plants were sampled on ten occasions from 44 days after planting to field maturity, and leaf area, dry weights of shoot components, and dry matter contents determined. Analyses of variance were performed on the data, polynomials fitted to plot means of the variables, and growth analysis carried out.

On average the late variety (W575) outyielded KC3 in grain production by 12%. Although ears in both varieties were similar in weight, they were more numerous in W575, particularly at wide plant spacings. Consequently yields in the two varieties were similar at high plant densities but superior in W575 at low plant densities. Tillers provided 10% of total shoot weight at 50% silking but bore only 2% of the final grain yield. Plants of the early variety possessed only 50% of the leaf area of the late variety. The difference arose from a lower leaf number, smaller leaf size, and a smaller tiller component, which were slightly offset by longer internodes giving a greater proportion of stem area.

At maturity, KC3 was characterized by a lower proportion of stem, leaf and cob, and a greater proportion of grain and tassel than the late variety. The proportion of husk was similar in both varieties.

The total shoot dry weight production of W575 exceeded that of KC3 because of a higher average crop growth rate and a longer period of growth. The late maturing variety was the more efficient in converting incident radiation into total shoot dry weight, but this efficiency was similar in both varieties for grain production.

Growth analysis revealed that the higher crop growth rate of W575 prior to silking was due primarily to an 11% higher unit leaf rate. The significantly lower leaf area ratio of this variety indicated a superiority of gross photosynthesis in this variety. The superiority of crop growth rate in W575 after flowering was principally due to its 67% higher leaf area index, and to the more rapid ageing of KC3. The grain leaf ratio, G, of the early variety significantly exceeded that of W575, though it was comparatively uniform within any single variety.

Considerable losses of dry weight from non-grain shoot components occurred during the late grain filling period of both varieties. Mobilization of previously established reserves may have accounted for 3.4% and 4.2% of final grain yield in KC3 and W575 respectively. This proportion increased with plant density in KC3, but not in W575.

The time from silking to senescence was greater than that observed in many other countries. Leaf area was reduced to 50% of its maximum value

approximately 10 weeks after silking, and 68 and 79 days elapsed between silking and 95% completion of grain filling in the early and late varieties respectively. Varietal differences in grain yield were due to differences in the length of the grain filling period rather than in the rate of grain filling. Reasons for delayed plant senescence and its implications on maize productivity are discussed.

The optimum plant population for grain production in KC3 did not occur within the range of densities tested, though that for W575 appeared to be close to the upper end of the range of plant densities grown. Optimum plant populations calculated from regressions of the logarithm of grain weight per plant on density were 92000 plants.ha<sup>-1</sup> (W575) and 157000 plants.ha<sup>-1</sup> (KC3), and appeared to reflect differences in plant size.

The rate of increase in grain dry matter content was significantly greater in W575 than in KC3.

Implications of these findings on plant growth and crop yield are discussed in the light of current knowledge and hypotheses on the physiology of growth and productivity in maize.