

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

INVESTIGATION OF WELFARE IMPACTS OF GASEOUS METHODS FOR  
ON-FARM EUTHANASIA OF SUCKLING PIGLETS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Physiology

At Massey University, Manawatu,

New Zealand

Nicola Jean Kells

2012

## **Abstract**

Blunt trauma (BT) to the head is the most common method used for on-farm euthanasia of pre-weaned piglets. When performed correctly, loss of consciousness is immediate, but the potential for delivery of sub-lethal blows, along with aesthetic unacceptability to many operators, has led to the need for alternative methods to be developed.

One recommended alternative is exposure to 100% CO<sub>2</sub>. Although gas euthanasia is potentially more reliable and less disturbing to perform than BT, there are concerns that CO<sub>2</sub> may induce breathlessness and pain before loss of consciousness, thus negatively affecting piglet welfare. This research aimed to evaluate the welfare impact of alternative gases, relative to CO<sub>2</sub>, for piglet euthanasia.

A small pilot study was conducted to select appropriate gases for further evaluation. This identified 100% argon (Ar) and a mixture of 40% CO<sub>2</sub>-60% argon (CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar) as possible alternatives to 100% CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>) for piglet euthanasia.

The relative welfare impacts of CO<sub>2</sub>, Ar and CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar were evaluated in two studies. These studies aimed to identify the interval following gas exposure in which the animal may be conscious, and to identify evidence of welfare compromise within this interval. Identifying the period of possible consciousness is important in evaluating welfare impact, as this defines the time period in which the animal is capable of perceiving potential negative experiences associated with euthanasia.

In the first study, conscious animals were exposed to the test-gases in a purpose-built chamber. Behavioural and physiological data including escape attempts, vocalisation, loss of coordination, loss of posture, respiratory effort, convulsions, gasping, and respiratory arrest were recorded until death. Loss of posture has previously been used to infer the onset of unconsciousness, whilst escape attempts, vocalisation and laboured breathing are associated with the experience of pain, aversion and distress in animals. Piglet behaviour was examined for evidence of negative experience prior to the onset of unconsciousness.

In the second study, EEG and ECG data were recorded from anaesthetised, immobilised pigs during exposure to the same test gases used in the first study. Changes in the amplitude of the raw EEG can provide information on the level of consciousness. Changes in the EEG power spectrum, derived from mathematical transformation of the raw EEG, can provide evidence of noxious stimulation in anaesthetised mammals. EEG recorded during exposure to test gases was analysed to determine the likely latency to loss of consciousness with each gas, and to determine

whether nociceptive processing occurred. Changes in heart rate, derived from the ECG, are frequently used as indicators of acute stress in mammals. ECG recorded during gas exposure was examined for indications of physiological stress responses.

Behavioural data suggested that the latency to onset of unconsciousness did not differ between gases. However, the changes in the amplitude of the EEG suggested that loss of consciousness may occur sooner with CO<sub>2</sub> than with Ar or CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar. Behavioural data indicated that piglets found CO<sub>2</sub> exposure more aversive or unpleasant than exposure to either Ar or CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar. However, CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar induced greater respiratory stimulation than Ar alone, suggesting that Ar caused the least negative welfare impact of the 3 gases. ECG data showed that heart rate increased prior to likely loss of consciousness in piglets exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar but not Ar alone, suggesting that Ar exposure does not induce a physiological stress response.

Contrary to expectations, there was no evidence of nociception in piglets exposed to either 40 or 100% CO<sub>2</sub>, although this may have been influenced by the method used.

Together, these data suggest that whilst CO<sub>2</sub> induces more rapid loss of consciousness than Ar, it also results in significantly greater welfare impact prior to loss of consciousness. The addition of CO<sub>2</sub> to Ar may provide some welfare advantage over CO<sub>2</sub> alone, but not over Ar alone. From a welfare perspective, Ar is preferable to either CO<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>-Ar for piglet euthanasia.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank a number of people, whose input, guidance and support were integral to the successful completion of this work.

To my supervisors, Dr. Ngaio Beausoleil, Dr. Mhairi Sutherland and Associate Professor Craig Johnson: Thank you so much for the knowledge and expertise you have shared, the assistance and encouragement you have given and for the confidence you have instilled in me.

To Amanda McIlhone, thank you for your practical assistance with all aspects of the study and particularly for your invaluable help with the analysis and interpretation of EEG data.

To Antony Jacob, thanks for your assistance with the handling of animals throughout this study.

To Neil Ward, your help with the technical aspects of this study was much appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge the US National Pork Board and the IVABS post-graduate research fund for their assistance in funding this body of work.

To my mother and late father: Thank you for always being there, for your enduring love, support and encouragement, and for inspiring me to be a better person.

To my daughters, Tayla and Samara: Thank you for being such amazing, awesome people, for being so patient and understanding and for being so proud of me.

## **Table of Contents**

<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of contents.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of figures.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of tables.....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Explanation of terms.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 General introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Background and Literature Review.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.1 Piglet euthanasia: guidelines, industry practice and welfare concerns .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1.1 Requirement for on-farm euthanasia .....	3
2.1.2 AVMA guidelines on euthanasia.....	3
2.1.3 Recommendations for euthanasia of suckling piglets.....	4
2.1.4 Current industry practice.....	5
2.1.5 Concerns regarding the use of BT.....	6
2.1.6 Gaseous methods of euthanasia .....	8
2.1.7 Control of ventilation .....	10
2.1.8 Welfare concerns regarding carbon dioxide .....	11
2.1.9 Alternatives to carbon dioxide.....	13
2.1.10 Gaps in the knowledge .....	16
<b>2.2 Assessment of animal welfare during euthanasia.....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.2.1 What is animal welfare and how can it be measured? .....	17
2.2.2 Physiological indices of welfare .....	19
2.2.3 Behavioural indices of welfare .....	20
2.2.4 Assessing consciousness.....	21
2.2.5 Use of the EEG to assess nociception .....	22
<b>2.3 Study objective and thesis structure .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>3 Experiment 1. Pilot study to evaluate the proposed protocol and potential gas mixtures for the main study .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>3.2 Materials and Methods.....</b>	<b>27</b>
3.2.1 Animals and housing .....	27
3.2.2 Experimental procedure.....	27
3.2.3 Behaviour analysis .....	30
3.2.4 EEG and ECG analysis .....	31
<b>3.3 Results.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>3.4 Discussion .....</b>	<b>35</b>

<b>4</b>	<b>Experiment 2. Behavioural assessment of the relative welfare impacts of 100% CO<sub>2</sub>, 100% argon and a mixture of 40% CO<sub>2</sub>-60% argon for euthanasia of pre-weaned piglets</b>	<b>38</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	38
4.2	Materials and methods .....	38
4.2.1	Animals and housing .....	39
4.2.2	Experimental procedure.....	39
4.2.3	Data Analysis.....	40
4.2.4	Statistical Analysis.....	41
4.3	Results.....	41
4.3.1	Behaviour.....	41
4.3.2	Endocrine measures.....	44
4.3.3	EEG and ECG data .....	44
4.3.4	Welfare-impact Index.....	45
4.4	Discussion .....	45
4.5	Limitations of the study .....	51
4.6	Conclusion .....	52
<b>5</b>	<b>Experiment 3. Changes in EEG and ECG activity in halothane-anaesthetised piglets during euthanasia with 100% CO<sub>2</sub>, 100% argon or a mixture of 40% CO<sub>2</sub>-60% argon</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	53
5.2	Materials and methods .....	55
5.2.1	Animals and housing .....	55
5.2.2	Experimental procedure.....	55
5.2.3	Data Analysis.....	57
5.2.4	Statistical analysis .....	58
5.3	Results.....	60
5.4	Discussion .....	66
5.5	Limitations .....	69
5.6	Conclusion .....	69
<b>6</b>	<b>General discussion and conclusion.....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Future Research.....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>References.....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Appendix B .....</b>	<b>83</b>

## List of figures

Figure 1 Video snapshot of an instrumented pig within the test chamber.....	29
Figure 2 Mean welfare-impact index scores (+SEM) for each group of piglets following euthanasia with 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40%CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon. Means with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05) .....	45
Figure 3 Example of an intubated, anaesthetised piglet. The cables from the EEG recording electrodes are visible in the foreground. The gas sampling tube on the left allowed continuous monitoring of end-tidal halothane and CO <sub>2</sub> . .....	57
Figure 4 Mean (+SEM) latency (seconds from start of exposure) to the appearance of a transitional EEG waveform in piglets exposed to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon (n=5 per treatment). Means with different letters differ significantly (p<0.05) .....	60
Figure 5 Mean (+SEM) latency (seconds from start of exposure) to the appearance of an isoelectric EEG waveform in piglets exposed to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon (n=5 per treatment). Means with different letters differ significantly (p<0.05) .....	61
Figure 6 Percentage change in the mean median frequency (F50) of the piglet EEG, relative to baseline, for consecutive 5-second blocks following exposure to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon. ....	62
Figure 7 Percentage change in the mean total power (P <sub>tot</sub> ) of the piglet EEG, relative to baseline, for consecutive 5-second blocks following exposure to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon. An asterisk indicates the treatment mean differed significantly to baseline mean for the indicated gas at the indicated time	63
Figure 8 Mean change in piglet heart rate, relative to baseline, in the period prior to the appearance of transitional EEG, following exposure to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and a mixture of 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon. An asterisk indicates the treatment mean differed significantly to baseline mean for the indicated gas at the indicated time. ....	65
Figure 9 Percentage change in the mean median frequency (F50) of the piglet EEG (Channel 1), relative to baseline, for consecutive 5-second.....	83
Figure 10 Percentage change in the mean total power (P <sub>tot</sub> ) of the piglet EEG (Channel 1), relative to baseline, for consecutive 5-second blocks following exposure to 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon. An asterisk indicates the treatment mean differed significantly to baseline mean for the indicated gas at the indicated time .....	85



## List of Tables

Table 1 Ethogram for the observation of piglet behaviour.....	32
Table 2 Latency to onset, frequency and/or duration of piglet behaviours during euthanasia with each of the five gas mixtures.....	34
Table 3 Change in plasma cortisol and epinephrine from pre-treatment concentration (ng ml <sup>-1</sup> ) following euthanasia with each gas.....	34
Table 4 Treatment means (SEM) for piglet behaviour during euthanasia with 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon, or a mixture of 40% CO <sub>2</sub> and 60% argon. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).....	42
Table 5 Mean (±SEM) change in piglet plasma cortisol and epinephrine concentrations (ng ml <sup>-1</sup> ) following euthanasia with 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40%CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon .....	44
Table 6 Results of repeated measures analysis of variance, showing the influence of treatment and time on the change in mean F50, F95 and Ptot of the piglet EEG (channel 2 data) .....	62
Table 7 Mean latency to the cessation of cardiac contractile activity in piglets euthanased with 100% CO <sub>2</sub> , 100% argon and 40% CO <sub>2</sub> -60% argon.....	64
Table 8 Results of repeated measures analysis of variance, showing the effects of treatment and time on the change in mean heart rate.....	65
Table 9 Raw data used to calculate welfare-impact index scores.....	82
Table 10 Results of repeated measures analysis of variance, showing the influence of treatment and time on the change in F50, F95 and Ptot of the piglet EEG (Channel 1) .....	83

## Commonly used abbreviations

BT	Blunt trauma
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
ECG	Electrocardiogram / electrocardiographic
EEG	Electroencephalogram / electroencephalographic
N <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen
pCO <sub>2</sub>	Partial pressure of carbon dioxide
pO <sub>2</sub>	Partial pressure of oxygen
SEM	Standard error of the mean

## Explanation of terms as applied in this document

Dyspnoea	The subjective experience of breathing discomfort, incorporating sensations such as the uncomfortable awareness of breathing, the sensation of breathlessness or the experience of air hunger
Hypercapnia	State in which arterial $p\text{CO}_2$ rises above the normocapnic range
Hyperventilation	Increase in ventilatory rate above normal resting values
Hypoxic	State in which arterial $p\text{O}_2$ falls below the normoxic range
Nociception	Neural process of encoding and processing noxious stimuli
Nociceptor	Sensory receptor that is activated by noxious stimuli, which then sends neural signals to the spinal cord and brain
Normocapnic	Within the normal arterial $p\text{CO}_2$ range of 36–44 mmHg
Normoxic	Within the normal arterial $p\text{O}_2$ range of 80–100 mmHg
Noxious stimulus	Stimulus that is damaging, or threatens damage, to normal tissues
Pain	Unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage
Respiration	Synonymous with ventilation; respiration rate thus refers to the number of breaths per minute
Ventilation	The process of filling and emptying the lungs with air, or breathing