Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Organic Livestock Specifications

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Applied Science majoring in Agribusiness at Massey University

> Stephen H Benson 2001

Abstract:

Globally the organic market now comprises approximately 2% of the total food market and is growing in the order of 20%- 30% pa (Meat NZ 2000). Pasture based agriculture in New Zealand is in an ideal position to take advantage of this growth. BioGro New Zealand and AgriQuality New Zealand are currently the two dominant organic livestock specifications that farmers must produce to.

The AgriQuality standard is based on the new European Union standard recently released. This study will investigate the requirements, implications and issues pertinent to a group of New Zealand livestock growers contemplating conversion to organic livestock production for the European market.

A detailed literature review, multiple case study analysis of three BioGro farms and focus group discussion was conducted. The major distinction between the two standards is in the animal welfare and conversion period requirements. The AgriQuality standard permits up to three medical treatments per year, per animal without loss of organic status and the conversion can be quicker. Motives for the case study farmers converting to organic included, environmental, market opportunities and a desire to bring the soils, pastures and animals into 'balance'. Best management practice of the soils, pastures, animals and water on the farms was about accepting and minimising where possible the production risks through timing and proactive management with a preventative focus.

Sourcing information, developing new skills and a drive to find new and different ways of answering conventional problems is critical. A lot of thought and energy goes into off farm marketing and value adding projects for market control and personal satisfaction.

These differences in the standards could provide opportunities to farmers keen on a more environmentally friendly farming system that don't want to go to the full BioGro standard

and conversion process. There may also be the opportunity to attain organic premiums from moving to the EU standard.

Opinion on the EU standards was mixed. Some saw the EU standards as an easier option that gave the 'organic brand' a bad name. They felt having more than one organic label would confuse the consumer and be of detrimental value long term to the New Zealand organic industry. None of the case farmers would revert back from their BioGro Standards.

Financial performance measures were not defined in this research. It would be unwise for a conventional farmer to convert to an organic system for financial reasons. Non-financial drivers such as the environment and social indicators are important measures.

For progressive farmers that are after a more sustainable farming system the EU standard offers an opportunity. For these farmers financial and non financial performance measures will be important and attainable. The EU standard has the potential to 'out perform' conventional and BioGro systems - proving the hypothesis.

Acknowledgements:

Special thanks go to my supervisors Nicola Shadbolt and Tony Rhodes for their guidance and perseverance, throughout the course of my Masters. Their knowledge and professionalism to the task at hand was invaluable to my overall learning experience.

Thanks must also go to the case study farms and focus group members that agreed to participate in my research thus making it possible.

Special mention must also be made to Agriculture New Zealand and the Bright Futures Scholarship scheme for funding my studies over the last year.

I would also like to acknowledge the other farm management staff and postgraduate students who contributed to making my time at Massey highly enjoyable and a valuable experience.

Table of Contents:

INTROD	UCTION	
1.1	ORGANIC FARMING IN NEW ZEALAND - THE CURRENT SITUATION	
1.2	RESEARCH QUESTION	
1.3	Hypothesis	
1.4	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	
LITERAT	TURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRODUCTION: FOOD MARKETS OF THE FUTURE	
2.1.1		
2.1.2		
2.1.3		
2.2	MANAGEMENT	
2.2.1		
2.2.2		
2.2.3		
2.2.4	Planning	13
2.2.5	Implementation	14
2.2.6	Decision Making	15
2.2.7	Control	17
2.2	2.7.1 Feed Forward (leading indicators)	18
2.2	2.7.2 Loci of Control	19
2.2	2.7.3 Feed Back (Lag indicators)	
2.3	RISK	21
2.3.1	What is Risk in Farming?	21
2.3.2	Sources of Risk	21
2.3	.2.1 Production and Technical Risk	22
2.3	.2.2 Market or Price Risk	22
2.3	.2.3 Financial Risk	23
2.3	.2.4 Human Risk	
2.3	.2.5 Legal and Social Sources of Risk	24
2.3.3	Risk Management	
2.4	Entrepreneurs	25
2.4.1	Characteristics of Entrepreneurs	27
2.5	CONVENTIONAL FARMING SYSTEMS IN NEW ZEALAND	29

2.5.1	Introduction	29
2.5.2	The Development of Conventional Farming Systems	29
2.5.3	Changes in the Farming Environment	32
2.5	3.1 Food Safety and Quality	32
2.5	3.2 Environmental concerns	33
2.5	3.3 Technological Advances	34
2.5.4	Changes in Conventional Management Thinking	36
2.5.5	Top Conventional Farming Systems	38
2.5.	5.1 Te Puna Farm Details - Hawkes Bay	39
2.5.	5.2 Feed Planning	40
2.5.	5.3 Farm Monitoring	40
2.5.	5.4 Development	41
2.5.	5.5 Summary	41
2.6	ORGANIC FARMING	42
2.6.1	Introduction	42
2.6.2	What is Organic Farming?	42
2.6.3	Definitions of Organic Farming	45
2.6.	3.1 The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)	45
2.6.	3.2 The International Federation for Organic Agricultural Movements (IFOAM)	45
2.6.	3.3 BioGro New Zealand	46
2.6.	3.4 AgriQuality New Zealand Ltd.	46
2.6.4	Organic Farming in New Zealand	47
2.6.5	Structure of the New Zealand Organic Industry	48
2.6.6	The New Zealand Organic Export Market	50
2.6.7	Standards and Regulations	52
2.6.7	7.1 BioGro	52
2.6.7	.2 AgriQuality	53
2.6.7	.3 European Regulation	54
2.6.8	Managerial Requirements for Organic Farming	55
2.6.9	Farmer Reasons for Converting to Organic	57
2.6.10	Farmer Reasons for Reverting from Organic Farming	
2.7 P	ERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	
2.7.1	What is Performance Measurement?	
2.7.2	What to Measure?	
550 540 0 mm		
2.7.3	Financial Performance Measurement Tools	
2.7.3		
2.7.4	Non-Financial Performance Measurement	
2.7.4	.1 The Balanced Scorecard	63

2.	7.4.2 Social and environmental performance indicators	68
2.7.5	Summary	70
METHOD	OLOGY	71
3.1	SELECTION OF RESEARCH METHOD	71
3.1.1	Introduction to the Case Study Technique	
3.1.2	The Multiple Case Study Research Process	
3.1	.2.1 Theory Development	
3.1.3	Selection of Cases	75
3.2	DESIGN OF DATA COLLECTION PROTOCOL	77
3.2.1	Data Collection Process	77
3.2	.1.1 PART A – The Case Study Farms	78
3.2	.1.2 Analysis of questionnaire results	78
3.2	1.3 PART B - Focus Group.	80
3.3 Focu	S GROUP DESIGN	81
3.3.1	Purpose of the Focus Group	82
3.4 DATA	ANALYSIS	82
RESULTS.		85
	INTRODUCTION	95
	DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BIOGRO AND THE EU ORGANIC LIVESTOCK STANDARD	
4.2.1		
	Cost of Registration and Inspection Fees European Union Standard (AgriQuality)	
4.2.2 4.2.3	BioGro New Zealand	
	RESULTS – PART A.	
1,55	Introduction: Case Farm One	
4.3.1	Value & Goal Observations:	
4.3.3	Value Results	
4.3.4	Goal Results	
4.3.5	Control Questionnaire and Observations	
4.3.6	Risk Management	
4.3.7	Interview Results and Field Observations	
	NTRODUCTION: CASE FARM TWO (CF2)	
4.4.1	Goals and Values Observations	
4.4.2	Control Questionnaire and Observations	
4.4.3	Risk Management	
4.4.4	Interview Summary	
4.5 I	NTRODUCTION: CASE FARM THREE (CF3)	104

4.5.	1 Value and Goal Observations	103
4.5.	2 Control Questionnaire and Observations	106
4.5.	3 Risk Management	107
4.5.	4 Interview Results and Field Observations	108
4.6	RESULTS – PART B – FOCUS GROUP	110
DISCUSS	ION	116
5.1	Introduction	116
5.2	OBJECTIVE ONE	117
5.3	OBJECTIVE TWO	120
5.4	OBJECTIVE THREE	128
5.5	OBJECTIVE FOUR	132
CONCLU	SIONS	137
6.1	FURTHER RESEARCH FROM HERE	138
REFERE	NCES	139
APPENDI	CES	148
8.1	APPENDIX A: BACKGROUND INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE	148
8.2	APPENDIX B: VALUES & GOALS SURVEY	154
8.3	APPENDIX C: CONTROL SURVEY	156
8.4	APPENDIX D: RISK SURVEY	158
8.5	APPENDIX E: INFORMAL INTERVIEW GUIDELINES	161

List of Tables

TABLE 1. DOMINANT VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE FARMING OCCUPATION	1
TABLE 2. SUCCESS FACTORS FOR THE FAMILY BUSINESS.	12
TABLE 3. STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF A FAMILY BUSINESS.	12
TABLE 4. N.Z.'S TOTAL EXPORTS TO ALL COUNTRIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 2000	29
TABLE 5. EXPORT PRICE TRENDS AND PRODUCTIVITY (INFLATION ADJUSTED PRICE CHANGES)	30
TABLE 6. PHYSICAL PRODUCTION TRENDS, NEW ZEALAND AVERAGES	31
TABLE 7. NZ LAMB EXPORTS PRODUCT MIX: 1996-99.	32
TABLE 8. MANAGEMENT OF CONVENTIONAL AGRIBUSINESS FIRMS TODAY	36
TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF MANAGERIAL CHANGES	38
TABLE 10. COMPILATION OF FAS GLOBAL DATA ON ORGANIC FOOD PURCHASING	51
TABLE 11. ORGANIC VERSUS CONVENTIONAL MANAGEMENT	56
TABLE 12. INDICATORS OF FARM BUSINESS PERFORMANCE.	64
TABLE 13. NON-FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (RAWLINGS 1999).	67
TABLE 14. DRDC RECOMMENDED PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	67
TABLE 15. RELEVANT SITUATIONS FOR DIFFERENT RESEARCH METHODS (ADAPTED FROM YIN 1994)	71
TABLE 16. SUMMARY OF THE KEY DIFFERENCES IN THE EU AND BIOGRO ORGANIC LIVESTOCK STAND	ARDS
	86
TABLE 17. CF1 BACKGROUND SUMMARY	88
TABLE 18. CF1 INTERVIEW SUMMARY	96
TABLE 19. CF2 BACKGROUND SUMMARY	98
TABLE 20. CF2 INTERVIEW SUMMARY	102
TABLE 21. CF3 BACKGROUND SUMMARY	105
TABLE 22 CF3 INTERVIEW SUMMARY	108

List of Figures

FIGURE 1. A COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT MODEL	9
FIGURE 2. THE PLANNING FRAMEWORK.	13
FIGURE 3. KEY PROCESSES OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT	14
FIGURE 4. THE MANAGERIAL DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	16
FIGURE 5. THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN DIMENSIONS OF PERSONAL VALUES OF OWNER/MANAGERS,	
BUSINESS STRATEGIES, AND ENTERPRISE PERFORMANCE	27
FIGURE 6. THE REPLICATION LOGIC.	75
FIGURE 7. CF1 VALUES SUMMARY FOR THE CONVENTIONAL SYSTEM	89
FIGURE 8. CF1 VALUES SUMMARY FOR THE ORGANIC SYSTEM	90
FIGURE 9. CF1 GOALS SUMMARY FOR THE CONVENTIONAL SYSTEM	91
FIGURE 10. CF1 GOALS SUMMARY FOR THE ORGANIC SYSTEM	91
FIGURE 11. CF1 LOCUS OF CONTROL	92
FIGURE 12. CF1 – SOURCES OF RISK COMPARED TO THE MARTIN (1996) SURVEY RESULTS	94
FIGURE 13. CF1– RISK MANAGEMENT RESPONSES COMPARED TO THE SURVEY RESULTS	95
FIGURE 14. CF2 VALUE SUMMARIES FOR THE CONVENTIONAL AND ORGANIC SYSTEM	99
FIGURE 15. CF2 GOAL SUMMARIES FOR THE CONVENTIONAL AND ORGANIC SYSTEM	100
FIGURE 16. CF2 LOCUS OF CONTROL	100
FIGURE 17. CF2 – SOURCES OF RISK COMPARED TO THE SURVEY RESULTS	101
FIGURE 18. CF2 – RISK MANAGEMENT RESPONSES COMPARED TO THE SURVEY RESULTS	102
FIGURE 19. CF3 VALUE SUMMARIES FOR THE CONVENTIONAL AND ORGANIC SYSTEM	105
FIGURE 20. CF3 GOAL SUMMARIES FOR THE CONVENTIONAL AND ORGANIC SYSTEMS	106
FIGURE 21. CF3 LOCUS OF CONTROL	107
FIGURE 22. CF3 – SOURCES OF RISK COMPARED TO THE SURVEY RESULTS	107
FIGURE 23. CF3 – RISK MANAGEMENT RESPONSES COMPARED TO THE SURVEY RESULTS	108
FIGURE 24. CFA. VALUES AND GOALS	110
FIGURE 25. CFB. VALUES AND GOALS	111
FIGURE 26. CFC. VALUES AND GOALS	112
FIGURE 27. CFD. VALUES AND GOALS	113
FIGURE 28. CFE. VALUES AND GOALS.	114
FIGURE 29, CFF, VALUES AND GOALS	115

Introduction

1.1 Organic farming in New Zealand - the current situation

The organic industry in New Zealand started in the 1950's but began to develop into its current structure between 1983 and 1990 as a more coherent industry with a central certification body, BioGro (Skinner 1999). Until 1990 the sector was characterised by philosophically committed organic producers focusing on the domestic market. However since then, the development of the export sector has encouraged the expansion of organic farming. World-wide the environmental movement has been booming with discontent about the overproduction in many developed countries, underproduction in developing countries and the negative impacts of agricultural practices on the environment. The effect of this movement can not only be seen in the range of policies which give greater weight to environmental considerations, but also in the growth of the organic movement and the market for organically produced food (Meat NZ 2000). Globally the organic market now comprises approximately 2% of the total food market and is growing in the order of 20% to 30% pa (Meat NZ 2000).

Estimates of certified BioGro members show an increase from 232 in 1992, to 310 in 1998 (as at April 1998) and now reaching 700 members in 2000. BioGro currently trademarks over 100 million worth of organic products per year, of which approximately 60 million is exported (BioGro 2000). In a recent study by Campell *et al* (1998) investigating the market for organic food it was concluded that on the New Zealand domestic scene growth was rapid at 50% per annum over the three years to the end of 1999. Research data presented in the same article from the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) found that the average growth rate for the organic market over 21 major countries was 35%. Only Australia and the United Kingdom had experienced higher domestic growth rates than New Zealand. On average from this study by the FAS premiums of 35% were being paid for the organic produce.

With its outdoor legume based pastoral system, New Zealand is in an excellent position to take advantage of the market opportunities that growth in the demand for organic food creates (Mackay et al 1998). In the UK for instance the annual market for organic products is expected to surpass the 1 billion pound sterling mark by 2001, with around 70% of all organic food sold imported (Holden 2000; Lohr 1998). Germany's retail market for organic products had an approximate value of \$1.6 billion in 1997 of which 60% was imported (Lohr 1998). Holden cites organic meat products as an obvious example of an opportunity for prospective New Zealand exporters to Europe.

The conversion to organic livestock production provides many opportunities and risks. The opportunity to tap into a relatively new and rapidly growing niche market where substantial premiums are paid by consumers' world-wide. However, there are risks from adopting different and more restrictive farming techniques that may or may not result in increased or even the same performance they currently achieve and in a young and unproven market. This study will investigate the requirements, implications and issues pertinent to of a group of New Zealand livestock growers contemplating conversion to organic livestock production for the European market.

1.2 Research Question

What farming systems changes will New Zealand livestock growers need to make to comply with European organic meat production standards, and what are the implications?

The introduction of a new EU organic meat production standard will certainly spark interest amongst the many farmers considering moving to organics. It may offer an opportunity to farmers on the fringe of going organic the opportunity to achieve many of their conventional farming goals whilst moving more in the organic direction. This new standard needs research and analysis to understand how it may benefit livestock farmers and the wider meat industry.

1.3 Hypothesis

"That by producing to European Organic meat specifications, New Zealand livestock growers can out perform their conventional and BioGro systems".

The ability to 'outperform' is the key aspect of the hypothesis as performance can be measured in so many ways (e.g. financially and non-financial measures). In this study, the definition of performance relates more to overall management satisfaction rather than only financial measures. It will require investigating what and how organic and conventional farmers measure performance in relation to their goals and values. From here some broad assumptions can be made to whether the EU standard will out perform BioGro and conventional livestock systems.

1.4 Research Objectives

- Compare and contrast the BioGro and European organic livestock
 production specifications for the production of livestock. This is needed to
 ascertain the important differences between the two standards. These differences
 will have implications to growers, processors, the wider organics industry and
 consumer perceptions.
- 2. Gain an understanding of the philosophical drivers and best management practices by which soils, water, animals and feed are managed to meet the two specifications. This objective is needed to find out how, what and why organic farmers do things differently. This is important to understand the motivational factors, values and satisfactions that may or may not be achieved with a different organic standard.

- 3. Establish the methods by which performance can be measured when converting from a conventional farming system to an organic system. If an EU standard is going to out perform BioGro and conventional farming livestock systems, then specific performance measures need defining.
- 4. Estimate the biophysical, financial and social implications of altering conventional farming systems to meet the two organic specifications. Objective four considers the wider implications of having less conventional farming systems and more organic. There are many important economic considerations that need thought and discussion.