

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**FARMER ATTITUDES AND BELIEFS TOWARD A BULL BEEF SUPPLY  
CONTRACT**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of  
Agricultural Science in Farm Management.**

**Vanessa J. Blanchard  
Massey University  
1993**

## ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to establish the reasons why past-users (1990-92) of a Riverlands weaner bull supply contract (RWBC) had entered and exited the contract, and what aspects of the current RWBC prevented them from re-signing. A mail survey of past RWBC users in the central North Island who were still farming bull beef was conducted. Useful responses were obtained from 22 of the 35 eligible farmers. The survey design incorporated the Ajzen-Fishbein Theory of Reasoned Action to establish how past-user's subjective beliefs and evaluative attitudes towards aspects of the current contract influenced their overall attitude towards re-signing a RWBC. Information about RWBC entry and exit reasons, and farmer requirements of future meat supply contracts was also obtained.

The results suggest that respondents originally entered a RWBC to obtain the equivalent of 100% funding for cattle at low interest rates, and to increase cattle numbers (which at that stage were more profitable than sheep) by access to such funding. Farmers exited the contract because slaughter prices in the RWBC had become uncompetitive, and because of inflexibility associated with the range of dates available to slaughter cattle. Low belief strengths that a RWBC provides competitive prices compared to the free market, flexible dates for killing cattle, and improved farm profitability compared to non-contract weaner bull systems, are acting against farmers re-entering a RWBC. A future meat supply contract therefore, needs to incorporate price premiums, flexible killing dates, low interest rates, and competitive pricing before respondents, such as those involved in this study, would be prepared to sign. The Ajzen-Fishbein Theory of Reasoned Action provided an effective methodology to identify key aspects of an individual's belief structure which influenced their decision to not sign a 1993 RWBC.

Future research in the area of meat supply contracts should examine more closely, using techniques such as COPE (e.g. Hurley & Valentine, 1993), the cognitive structures farmers hold towards issues such as competitive pricing, farm profitability under contract, and killing date flexibility.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As with all research projects there are numerous people who, in their various (and often unique) ways aided the completion of this masterate.

Firstly, acknowledgements must go to Professor Warren Parker, Professor Bob Townsley, and Associate Professor Kevin Lowe, for their supervision in thesis preparation and presentation.

The encouragement given by Dr Bill Maughan, Associate Professor Frank Sligo, and Evelyn Hurley in pursuing this study topic is greatly appreciated. Also to Dr John Spicer and Dr Ganeshanandam for their time and advice about questionnaire design and statistical analysis.

Thanks are extended to the C. Alma Baker Trust for their considerable financial support. To Riverlands Foods Limited for allowing me to pursue this study topic, and of course to John Read, Will Wilson, and all the team at JRAC for their financial and moral support, not to mention advice and information. "Busy" and "almost" will never have the same meaning again!

On the more personal front, thanks to Simon and Tanya for their humor and numerous other ways they demonstrated their support, and for never doubting there was light at the end of the tunnel! To Janet, Jus, and my flatties of recent times, who endured the myriad of personalities that seem to be associated with completing a task such as this.

Lastly, but not anywhere near least, a heartfelt thanks to my family whose help by being completely removed from this whole process was the best approach they could have taken, but even so know more about masterates than they ever probably wished to!

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of Peter Buxton.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2 The New Zealand Beef Industry</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.3 Beef Supply Contracts in the North Island</b>	<b>3</b>
1.3.1 Examples of Beef Contracts in the Central North Island	4
1.3.2 Issues for Farmers Using Beef Contracts	7
Financial	8
Management Advice	8
Contract Complexity	9
Pricing	9
Killing Flexibility	9
Farm Management	9
<b>1.4 Riverlands Beef Supply Contracts</b>	<b>11</b>
1.4.1 The Intermediary Role	11
1.4.2 Types of Contract	13
Livestock Purchasing	13
Slaughter and Freight Requirements	14
Bonus Payments	14
Contract Pricing Mechanism	15
Interest Rates	16
1.4.3 Riverlands Weaner Bull Contract	16
<b>1.5 Objectives and Outline of Research</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.2 Psychological and Sociological Variables</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.3 Attitudes in Psychometrics</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2.4 The Components of Attitude</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>2.5 Ajzen-Fishbein Theory of Reasoned Action</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>2.6 Methodology used for the Current Study</b>	<b>32</b>

2.6.1 Descriptive Information	32
2.6.2 Contract Entry Reasons	33
2.6.3 RWBC Exit Reasons	33
2.6.4 Contract Re-entry Requirements	33
2.6.5 Data Analysis	34
<b>CHAPTER THREE: RESULTS</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.2 Respondent Profile</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.3 Contract Entry Reasons</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>3.4 Contract Exit Reasons</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>3.5 Beef Contract Re-entry</b>	<b>48</b>
3.5.1 Cognitive Maps	48
Individual Maps	49
Attribute Maps	53
3.5.2 Overall Attitude Responses	55
3.5.3 Open-ended Responses	56
<b>3.6 Summary</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>4.1 Introduction</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>4.2 Discussion of Results</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>4.3 Limitations of the Study</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>4.4 Wider Implications of the Study and Further Research</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>APPENDIX I: Covering Letters</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>APPENDIX II: Mail Survey</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>APPENDIX III: Cognitive Maps for Attributes (1) to (16)</b>	<b>XIV</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
<b>Table 1.1:</b> Details of beef supply contracts offered by AFFCO, Richmonds, and Riverlands.	5
<b>Table 1.2:</b> The range of beef supply contracts offered by Riverlands, as from the 1994 slaughter season.	13
<b>Table 1.3:</b> The range of minimum and target carcass weights and performance bonuses for Riverlands beef contracts.	15
<b>Table 2.1:</b> Hypothetical beliefs and evaluative attitudes about attributes associated with SST.	27
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Number of farmers, and the proportion of bulls grown for a RWBC for the 1990-91, 1991-92, and 1992-93 seasons.	36
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Number of farmers and the range of farm size and cropping area for the contracting period (1990-1992) and 1993.	37
<b>Table 3.3:</b> Stock number and classes for survey respondents, for contracting period.	38
<b>Table 3.4:</b> Stock number and classes for survey respondents for winter 1993.	39
<b>Table 3.5:</b> Contract entry reasons: importance ratings for previous RWBC users.	42
<b>Table 3.6:</b> Collapsed importance rating scales derived for correspondence analysis.	43
<b>Table 3.7:</b> Reasons for exiting a RWBC.	47
<b>Table 3.8:</b> Responses to overall attitude questions about types of beef farming.	56
<b>Table 3.9:</b> Requirements for past contract users to re-enter a beef supply contract.	58

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> The relationship between beliefs, overall attitude, subjective norm, behavioural intention, and behaviour.	26
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> The cognitive map illustrating an individual's hypothetical beliefs and evaluative attitudes towards supersonic transport.	31
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Range in finishing months for bulls on each survey property.	40
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> Correspondence analysis plot for respondent entry reasons in a RWBC.	44
<b>Figure 3.3:</b> The cognitive map for respondent 8.	50
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> The cognitive map for respondent 2.	51
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> The cognitive map for respondent 10.	52
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> The cognitive map for respondent 16.	53

## ABBREVIATIONS

- A:** Overall attitude.
- ADG:** Average daily gain
- AFFCO:** Auckland Farmers Freezing Co-operative.
- b<sub>i</sub>:** Subjective belief.
- BI:** Behavioural intention.
- BSC:** Beef supply contract.
- CA:** Correspondence Analysis.
- CWT:** Carcase weight.
- e<sub>i</sub>:** Evaluative attitude.
- ha:** Hectare.
- IPM:** Integrated pest management.
- JRAC:** John Read Agricultural Consultancy Limited.
- kg:** Kilograms.
- LWT:** Liveweight.
- m:** Months.
- MSC:** Meat supply contract.
- MSWT:** Mean slaughter weight.
- NI:** North Island.
- NZ:** New Zealand.
- OOS:** Out-of-season.
- Riverlands:** Riverlands Foods Limited.
- RR:** Re-entry requirement.
- RWBC:** Riverlands weaner bull contract.
- SN:** Subjective norm.
- SST:** Supersonic transport.
- SV:** Slaughter value.
- SWT:** Slaughter weight.